

Erratum

In the article **Poetic Comparisons: Psychological Dimensions of Metaphoric Processing** by Albert N. Katz, Allan Paivio, and Marc Marschark (Volume 14, page 365–383), Table IV was incorrectly printed. Table IV should read:

Table IV. Multiple Correlations for Each of the 10 Rating Scales^a

	<i>R</i>	\bar{X}	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Overall Imageability	.92	-.44		<u>.26</u>	<u>.45</u>				<u>.14</u>	.10	<u>.08</u>	X
2. Subject Imagery	.83	.26	<u>.55</u>		X	.24	.24	X				X
3. Predicate Imagery	.90	.80	<u>.62</u>	X		X	<u>-.13</u>		.12			.24
4. Ease of Interpretability	.95	-.11		.07	X			.29	X	.11		<u>.43</u>
5. Degree of Metaphoricity	.82	1.39		.21	<u>-.34</u>	.20			<u>.22</u>		X	<u>.33</u>
6. Felt Familiarity	.95	-.61				.33			<u>.27</u>	.12	<u>.15</u>	<u>.15</u>
7. Semantic Relatedness	.92	-.78	<u>.17</u>			(.12) ^b	<u>.12</u>	<u>.36</u>		<u>.24</u>		X
8. Rated Metaphor Goodness	.86	1.19	.13			<u>.30</u>		.28	<u>.37</u>		-.13	
9. Number of Alternative Interpretations	.77	.69	X		.21		X	<u>.53</u>		-.23		.37
10. Comprehensibility	.95	-.22	X	<u>.05</u>	<u>.10</u>	.52	<u>.12</u>	<u>.14</u>				.10

^asee text for explanation of relations underlined, not underlined or marked with "X."

^b*p* = .16