

A Strong Renewal Theorem for Generalized Renewal Functions in the Infinite Mean Case

Kevin K. Anderson * and Krishna B. Athreya ** Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50010, USA

Summary. Let F(x) be a nonarithmetic c.d.f. on $(0, \infty)$ such that $1 - F(x) = x^{-\alpha}L(x)$, where L(x) is slowly varying and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. Let a(x) be regularly varying with exponent $\beta \ge -1$. A strong renewal theorem (of Blackwell type)

for generalized renewal functions of the form $G(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) F^n(t)$ is proved

here, thus extending the recent work of Embrechts, Maejima and Omey [1] and that of Erickson [4].

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_i; i \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive independent random variables with common nonarithmetic distribution function F(x) and write $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n$ for $n \ge 1$.

Generalized renewal functions G(t) of the form

$$G(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) P(S_n \le t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) F^n(t),$$
(1)

where $\{a(n); n \ge 0\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative constants, have of late received an increasing amount of attention. For example, see papers [1] and [2] and references contained therein; papers [3, 5, 6, 8] consider special cases such as subordinated probability distributions and harmonic renewal measures. A good deal of this work is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of G(t) as $t \to \infty$ (weak renewal theorems) under various assumptions on the moments of F(x)and the constants a(n). The purpose of this paper is to extend some of the recent work of Embrechts, Maejima and Omey [1] to the infinite mean case.

^{*} Kevin K. Anderson is now at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 914550 USA. His research was performed in part under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy at LLNL under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

^{**} The research of Krishna B. Athreya was supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-8502311 and DMS-8706319.

In particular, we extend their following Blackwell type theorem for generalized renewal functions which have a(n) regularly varying with exponent $\beta \ge -1$.

Theorem A Embrechts, Maejima and Omey [1]. Let F(x) be nonarithmetic and have finite mean μ . Let $a(x) = x^{\beta}L(x)$, where L(x) is slowly varying. If $\beta > -1$, then, for every y > 0,

$$(G(t+y)-G(t)) \sim y \,\mu^{-\beta-1} a(t) \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

When $\beta = -1$, if L(x) is monotone and, for some $K \ge 0$,

$$(1-F(x)) \sim Ka(x)$$
 as $x \to \infty$

or if $x^{1+\delta}(1-F(x)) = o(1)$ for some $\delta > 0$ as $x \to \infty$, then (2) also holds.

When the mean μ is infinite, the asymptotic behavior of G(t) as $t \to \infty$ in the special case a(n)=1 has been well-studied. In this case, G(t) becomes the renewal function U(t) and the following theorems are well-known (see Erickson [4]). Theorems B and B' are equivalent and yield the analogue of the weak renewal theorem, while Theorem C yields the analogue of the strong renewal theorem.

Theorem B. Let L(x) be slowly varying. Then for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$(1 - F(x)) = x^{-\alpha} L(x) \tag{3}$$

if, and only if,

$$U(t) \sim t^{\alpha} (L(t) \Gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(1+\alpha))^{-1} \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

Further, the truncated mean function

$$m(t) \equiv \int_{0}^{t} (1 - F(u)) \, du \sim L(t) \quad as \quad t \to \infty$$

if, and only if,

 $U(t) \sim t(L(t))^{-1} \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$

An alternative form is due to Erickson [4].

Theorem B'. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. The following are equivalent and each implies (4) below:

- (i) m(t) is regularly varying with exponent $1-\alpha$
- (ii) U(t) is regularly varying with exponent α .

$$U(t) \sim t(m(t) \Gamma(1+\alpha) \Gamma(2-\alpha))^{-1} \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$
(4)

Theorem C. Let F(x) satisfy (3) with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for every y > 0,

$$(U(t+y)-U(t)) \sim y c_{\alpha}(m(t))^{-1}$$
 as $t \to \infty$.

If $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} m(t)(U(t+y)-U(t)) = y c_{\alpha},$$

where the constant $c_{\alpha} = (\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(2-\alpha))^{-1}$.

The extension of Theorem B to generalized renewal functions in the infinite mean case was given in [7] and is stated as Theorem D below.

Theorem D Omey [7]. Let $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $\rho > 0$. Let $\{\alpha(n); n \ge 0\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative constants and let G(t) be of the form (1). Any two of the following implies the third:

- (i) m(t) is regularly varying with exponent $1-\alpha$
- (ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a(k)$ is regularly varying with exponent ρ
- (iii) G(t) is regularly varying with exponent $\rho \alpha$.

Furthermore, if (i) holds for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a(k) \sim n^{\rho} L_1(n) \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
(5)

where $L_1(x)$ slowly varying and $\rho \ge 0$, then

$$G(t) \sim (U(t))^{\rho} L_1(U(t)) C(\alpha, \rho) \quad as \quad t \to \infty,$$

where the constant $C(\alpha, \rho) = \Gamma(1+\rho)(\Gamma(1+\alpha))^{\rho}(\Gamma(1+\alpha\rho))^{-1}$.

In this paper we extend the strong renewal Theorem A to the infinite mean case. The proof of Theorem 2, which is our main result, makes use of Theorem D and a result proved in Theorem 1, which could be of independent interest. Our results follow.

Theorem 1. Let F(x) satisfy (3) with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let Q(t) be a nondecreasing regularly varying function with exponent $\beta \geq 0$. If, for every y > 0,

$$(Q(t+y)-Q(t))/Q(t) = O(t^{-1}) \quad as \quad t \to \infty,$$
 (6)

then, for every y > 0,

$$((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) \sim y A(\alpha, \beta)(m(t))^{-1}Q(t) \quad as \quad t \to \infty,$$
(7)

where the constant $A(\alpha, \beta) = \Gamma(1+\beta)(\Gamma(2-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta))^{-1}$ and * denotes convolution.

Theorem 2. Let F(x) satisfy (3) with $1/2 < \alpha \le 1$. Let G(t) be of the form (1). Let a(x) be regularly varying with exponent $\beta \ge -1$ such that na(n) is nondecreasing in n. If, for every y > 0,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t\left(1 - \frac{1 - F(t + y)}{1 - F(t)}\right) < \infty,$$
(8)

then, for every y > 0,

$$(G(t+y)-G(t))\sim yD(\alpha,\beta)(m(t))^{-1}a(U(t)) \quad as \quad t\to\infty,$$

where the constant $D(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha (\Gamma(1+\alpha))^{\beta} \Gamma(2+\beta) (\Gamma(1+\alpha(\beta+1)))^{-1}$.

This obvious corollary compares Theorems C and 2.

Corollary 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, for every y > 0,

$$\frac{G(t+y)-G(t)}{U(t+y)-U(t)} \sim C(\alpha,\beta+1) a(U(t)) \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

The next section gives the proofs, while the last section has a few remarks.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove (7) for $\beta > 0$. Fix y > 0 and choose δ such that $1/2 < \delta < 1$. Write

$$((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) = \int_{0^-}^{t} (U(t+y-z) - U(t-z)) Q(dz)$$

$$- \int_{t}^{t+y} U(t+y-z) Q(dz) \equiv I(t) + J(t),$$

say. Define I_1, I_2, I_3 and I_4 by

$$I(t) = \left(\int_{0^{-}}^{\delta t} - \int_{[\delta t]}^{\delta t} + \int_{[\delta t]}^{[t]} + \int_{[t]}^{t}\right) (U(t+y-z) - U(t-z)) Q(dz)$$

$$\equiv I_1(t) - I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t),$$

where [x] is the greatest integer function. We now prove a series of lemmas which approximate the integrals I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 and J. We return to the proof of Theorem 1 after Lemma 4.

Lemma 1. $I_2(t)$, $I_4(t)$ and J(t) are o(Q(t)/m(t)) as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. These estimates easily follow from (6), Theorem C and the monotonicity and regular variation of U(t), Q(t) and m(t).

Lemma 2. If $\beta > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} I_1(t) m(t) / Q(t) = y c_{\alpha} \beta \int_0^{\delta} u^{\beta - 1} (1 - u)^{\alpha - 1} du.$$

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem C there exists a $t_0 = t_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(yc_{\alpha}-\varepsilon) \leq m(t)(U(t+y)-U(t)) \leq (yc_{\alpha}+\varepsilon)$$

for $t > t_0$, where $c_0 = (\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(2 - \alpha))^{-1}$. Write

$$I_1(t) = \int_{0^-}^{\delta^t} (U(t+y-z) - U(t-z)) m(t-z)/m(t-z) Q(dz).$$

We have for $t > t_0 (1 - \delta)^{-1}$

$$(yc_{\alpha}-\varepsilon)I_{11}(t) \leq I_{1}(t) m(t)/Q(t) \leq (yc_{\alpha}+\varepsilon)I_{11}(t),$$
(9)

where

$$I_{11}(t) = \int_{0^{-}}^{\delta t} (m(t)/m(t-y)) Q(dz)/Q(t) = \int_{0^{-}}^{\delta} (m(t)/m(t(1-u))) Q(t\,du)/Q(t),$$

since $t > t_0(1-\delta)^{-1}$ and $z < \delta t$ imply that $t-z > t_0$.

Since m(t)/m(t(1-u)) converges uniformly to $(1-u)^{\alpha-1}$ for u in $[0, \delta]$ and the measures Q(t du)/Q(t) converge weakly to $\beta u^{\beta-1} du$ as $t \to \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} I_{11}(t) = \beta \int_{0}^{\delta} (1-u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-1} du.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$ followed by $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in (9) proves the lemma.

Lemma 3. $\limsup_{t \to \infty} I_3(I) \ m(t)/Q(t) = o(1) \ as \ \delta \to 1^-.$

Proof. By the monotonicity of U(t) and Q(t),

$$I_{3}(t) = \sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} \int_{k}^{k+1} (U(t+y-z) - U(t-z)) Q(dz)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} (U(t+y-k) - U(t-k-1))(Q(k+1) - Q(k)))$$

$$\leq Q(t) \sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} (U(t+y-k) - U(t-k-1))(Q(k+1) - Q(k))/Q(k))$$

For large t and for some constant C independent of δ .

$$I_{3}(t) = CQ(t) \sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} (U(t+y-k) - U(t-k-1))/k$$

$$\leq 3CQ(t) t^{-1} \sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} (U(t+y-k) - U(t-k-1))$$

by assumption (6). We allow C to be perhaps different values upon subsequent appearances for convenience. We approximate the summations by integrals using the monotonicity of U(t):

$$\sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} U(t+y-k) \leq \int_{t+y-[t]+1}^{t-[\delta t]+y+1} U(u) \, du \leq \int_{y+1}^{t(1-\delta)+y+2} U(u) \, du$$

and

$$\sum_{k=[\delta t]}^{[t]-1} U(t-k-1) \ge \int_{0}^{t-[\delta t]-1} U(u) \, du \ge \int_{0}^{t(1-\delta)-1} U(u) \, du.$$

Hence, for large t,

$$I_{3}(t) \leq CQ(t) t^{-1} \left(\int_{y+1}^{t(1-\delta)+y+2} U(u) \, du - \int_{0}^{t(1-\delta)-1} U(u) \, du \right)$$

$$\leq CQ(t) t^{-1} \left(\int_{t(1-\delta)-1}^{t(1-\delta)+y+2} U(u) \, du - \int_{0}^{y+1} U(u) \, du \right)$$

$$\leq CQ(t) t^{-1} \int_{t(1-\delta)-1}^{t(1-\delta)+y+2} U(u) \, du \leq CQ(t) t^{-1} U(t(1-\delta)+y+2)(y+3)$$

By the regular variation of U(t) and Theorem B',

$$I_3(t) \leq C(1-\delta)^{\alpha} Q(t)/m(t)$$

for large t. This completes the proof.

Returning now to the proof of Theorem 1, we proceed to establish (7) for $\beta > 0$. Let B(a, b) be the Beta function. By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) m(t)/Q(t) - y c_{\alpha} \beta B(\beta, \alpha)| \\ &\leq |I_1(t) m(t)/Q(t) - y c_{\alpha} \beta \int_0^{\delta} u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\alpha-1} du| \\ &+ |I_2(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + |I_3(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + |I_4(t) m(t)/Q(t)| \\ &+ |J(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + y c_{\alpha} \beta |B(\beta, \alpha) - \int_0^{\delta} u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\alpha-1} du|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the above lemmas yields

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t \to \infty} |((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) m(t)/Q(t) - yc_{\alpha}\beta B(\beta, \alpha)|$$

$$\leq C(1-\delta)^{\alpha} + yc_{\alpha}\beta |B(\beta, \alpha) - \int_{0}^{\delta} u^{\beta-1}(1-u)^{\alpha-1} du|.$$

Since $c_{\alpha}\beta B(\beta, \alpha) = A(\alpha, \beta)$ and the right side of the above goes to zero as $\delta \to 1^-$, we have proved (7) for $\beta > 0$.

The $\beta = 0$ case requires only the reexamination of integral I_1 .

Lemma 4. If $\beta = 0$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} I_1(t) m(t)/Q(t) = y c_{\alpha}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $I_{11}(t) \sim 1$ as $t \to \infty$ because (9) is still valid. Since the measures Q(tdu)/Q(t) converge weakly to the measure which gives mass 1 to the origin as $t \to \infty$ and m(t)/m(t(1-u))=1 when u=0, the limit of $I_{11}(t)$ as $t \to \infty$ is indeed 1.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1. The triangle inequality gives

$$|((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) m(t)/Q(t) - yc_{\alpha}| \le |I_1(t) m(t)/Q(t) - yc_{\alpha}| + |I_2(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + |I_3(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + |I_4(t) m(t)/Q(t)| + |J(m(t)/Q(t)|.$$

Since $A(\alpha, 0) = c_{\alpha}$, replacing Lemma 2 with Lemma 4 and letting first $t \to \infty$ and then $\delta \to 1^-$ establishes (7) for $\beta = 0$ and we have proved Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $G_1(t) \equiv \int_0^t u G(du)$ and $Q(t) \equiv \int_0^t u F(du)$ in what follows.

Fix y > 0. A simple calculation shows that

$$t(G(t+y) - G(t)) \leq G_1(t+y) - G_1(t) \leq (t+y)(G(t+y) - G(t)).$$

Rearranging yields

$$(t+y)^{-1}(G_1(t+y)-G_1(t)) \leq G(t+y)-G(t) \leq t^{-1}(G_1(t+y)-G_1(t)).$$

Therefore,

$$(G(t+y) - G(t)) \sim t^{-1} (G_1(t+y) - G_1(t)) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$
(10)

476

Let $b(n) \equiv (n+1) a(n+1) - na(n)$ and

$$R(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n) F^{n}(t).$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{n} b(k) = (n+1) a(n+1) \text{ is } (\beta+1) \text{-varying,}$ $R(t) \sim U(t) a(U(t)) C(\alpha, \beta+1) \text{ as } t \to \infty$ (11)

by Theorem D.

We give a series of lemmas which enable us to determine the asymptotic behavior of $G_1(t+y) - G_1(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Lemma 5. The truncated mean function $Q(t) = \int_{0}^{t} uF(du)$ is a nondecreasing $(1-\alpha)$ -varying function such that $Q(t) \sim \alpha m(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. This is a standard result from regular variation.

Lemma 6. If, for every y > 0, (8) holds, then, for every y > 0,

$$(Q(t+y)-Q(t))/Q(t)=O(t^{-1})$$
 as $t \to \infty$

Proof. By the definition of Q(t),

$$0 < t(Q(t+y) - Q(t))/Q(t) \le t(t+y)(F(t+y) - F(t))/Q(t)$$
$$\le ((t+y)(1 - F(t))/Q(t)) t\left(1 - \frac{(1 - F(t+y))}{(1 - F(t))}\right).$$

Since $Q(t) \sim \alpha m(t)$ and $t(1-F(t))/m(t) \sim 1-\alpha)$ as $t \to \infty$, $(t+y)(1-F(t))/Q(t) \sim (1-\alpha)/\alpha$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence, by (8),

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} t(Q(t+y)-Q(t))/Q(t) < \infty.$$

Lemma 7. $G_1(t) = (R^* U^* Q)(t)$.

Proof. The proof involves manipulations of convolutions and is omitted.

Lemma 8. For every y > 0,

$$(G_1(t+y)-G_1(t)) \sim \alpha y R(t) \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

Proof. Choose δ such that $1/2 < \delta < 1$. Write

$$G_{1}(t+y) - G_{1}(t) = \int_{0^{-}}^{t} ((U^{*}Q)(t+y-z) - (U^{*}Q))(t-z) R(dz) + \int_{t}^{t+y} (U^{*}Q)(t+y-z) R(dz) = \left(\int_{0^{-}}^{\delta t} + \int_{\delta t}^{t}\right) ((U^{*}Q)(t+y-z) - (U^{*}Q)(t-z)) R(dz) + \int_{t}^{t+y} (U^{*}Q)(t+y-z) R(dz) \equiv J_{1}(t) + J_{2}(t) + K(t)$$

by Lemma 7. We proceed to examine the integrals J_1 , J_2 , and K. First, it follows from Lemmas 5 and 6 and Theorem 1 that

$$((U^*Q)(t+y) - (U^*Q)(t)) \sim \alpha y \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$
(12)

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, for large t, $(\alpha y - \varepsilon) R(\delta t) \leq J_1(t) \leq (\alpha y + \varepsilon) R(\delta t)$. Since $R(\delta t) \sim \delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)} R(t)$ as $t \to \infty$ by (11) and ε is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} J_1(t)/R(t) = \alpha y \,\delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)}.$$

Secondly, since $(U^*Q)(t)$ is bounded on bounded intervals and (12) holds, there exists a constant C such that $J_2(t) \leq C(R(t) - R(\delta t))$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} J_2(t)/R(t) \leq C(1-\delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)}).$$

Finally, for K we have

$$K(t) \leq (U^*Q)(y)(R(t+y) - R(t))$$

by the monotonicity of $(U^*Q)(t)$. Therefore, by the regular variation of R(t), K(t) = o(R(t)) as $t \to \infty$.

By the triangle inequality,

$$|(G_1(t+y) - G_1(t))/R(t) - \alpha y| \leq |J_1(t)/R(t) - \alpha y \,\delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)}| + |J_2(t)/R(t)| + |K(t)/R(t)| + \alpha y |1 - \delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)}|.$$

Combining the above estimates gives

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} |(G_1(t+y) - G_1(t))/R(t) - \alpha y| \le (C + \alpha y)(1 - \delta^{\alpha(\beta+1)}).$$

The lemma follows by letting $\delta \rightarrow 1^-$.

The proof of Theorem 2 can now be completed. From Lemma 8 and (10) and (11),

$$(G(t+y)-G(t)) \sim \alpha y t^{-1} U(t) a(U(t)) C(\alpha, \beta+1).$$

Since $D(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha (\Gamma(1+\alpha) \Gamma(2-\alpha))^{-1} C(\alpha, \beta+1)$, an application of Theorem C completes the proof.

3. Remarks

The following obvious corollary handles the $0 < \alpha \le 1/2$ cases and shows that if a strong renewal theorem holds for the renewal function, then a strong renewal theorem holds for the generalized renewal function.

Corollary 2. Theorems 1 and 2 remain true for $0 \le \alpha \le 1/2$ if it is known that for every y > 0

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} m(t)(U(t+y)-U(t)) = y c_{\alpha}.$$

Assumption (8) of Theorem 2 was made in order to apply Theorem 3 with $Q(t) = \int_{0}^{t} uF(du)$ and is not as restrictive as it might appear. If F(x) has a regularly

varying density, then (8) holds. Many different slowly varying functions in (3) satisfy (8). For example, if L(x) is ultimately constant or of the form

$$L(t) = (\log_k(t))^{\rho},$$

where ρ is real and $\log_k(t)$ is the k-th iterated logarithm, then (8) is satisfied. The assumption does require more than just the first order asymptotic behavior of the tail of the distribution F(x), however. While Lemma 6 can actually be strenghtened to an "if and only if" result, the verification of (8) should be more immediate than the verification of (6) when given the distribution F(x).

The assumption that na(n) be nondecreasing can be made without loss of generality in the finite mean case (see Embrechts, Maejima and Omey [1]), but must be made explicitly in the infinite mean case, as we have done in Theorem 2. The reason for this is that x(1 - F(x)) need not converge to zero as $x \to \infty$ in the infinite mean case.

References

- 1. Embrechts, P., Maejima, M., Omey, E.: A renewal theorem of Blackwell type. Ann. Probab. 12, 561-570 (1984)
- Embrechts, P., Maejima, M., Omey, E.: Some limit theorems for generalized renewal measures. J. London Math. Soc., II. Ser. 31, 184–192 (1985)
- 3. Embrechts, P., Omey, E.: On subordinated distributions and random record processes. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 93, 339–353 (1983)
- 4. Erickson, K.B.: Strong renewal theorems with infinite mean. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 151, 263-291 (1970)
- 5. Greenwood, P., Omey, E., Teugels, T.L.: Harmonic renewal measures. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. verw. Geb. **59**, 391-409 (1982)
- 6. Grübel, R.: On harmonic renewal measures. Probab. Th. Rel. Fields 71, 393-404 (1986)
- 7. Omey, E.: Multivariate regular variation and its applications in probability theory. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Leuven 1982
- 8. Stam, A.: Regular variation of the tail of a subordinated probability distribution. Adv. Appl. Probab. 5, 308-327 (1973)

Received July 1, 1985; in revised form December 18, 1987