

ERRATA

David Wiggins, 'Essentialism, Continuity and Identity', *Synthese* 28 (1974), 321–359.

Page 322: Delete intrusive apostrophe line 3, line 9, etc.

Page 331: The last three lines should read as follows:

This seems to claim that all mutation must be motion¹¹, that any true subject of discourse is a material body¹², and that spiritual

Page 345, line 19: For D read III.

Page 346: The derivation should read:

- (1) $(x) (y) (x=y) \supset (Fx \equiv Fy)$
- (2 λ) $(x) (y) ((x=y) \supset ((x \text{ has } (\lambda z) [[\text{Nec } [(\lambda r) (\lambda s) [s=r]]], [x, z]]] \equiv (y \text{ has } (\lambda z) [[\text{Nec } [(\lambda r) (\lambda s), [s=r]]], [x, z]])))$
- (3 λ) $(x) (x \text{ has } (\lambda z) [[\text{Nec } [(\lambda r) (\lambda s) [s=r]]], [x, z]])$
- (4 λ) $(x) (y) ((x=y) \supset (y \text{ has } (\lambda z) [[\text{Nec } [(\lambda r) (\lambda s) [s=r]]], [x, z]]))$

Page 347: Formulas (16) and (17) should read:

- (16) $[\text{Nec } (\lambda x) (\text{heavenly body})], [\text{Hesperus}]$
- (17) $[\text{Nec } (\lambda x) (\text{man } x)], [\text{Cicero}]$

Page 351, line 5: Delete bracket.