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## 1. Introduction and Results

This note is a continuation of [5]. We follow the notation and terminology of [5]. Let $(X, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$ denote the measure space consisting of the closed unit interval with Lebesgue measure. We shall abbreviate $(X, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$ by $(X, \mu)$ or $X$. Let $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{p}\right]$, $p=1$ or $\infty$, be the vector space of bounded linear operators from $L^{\infty}(X)$ into $L^{p}(X)$. We write $\left[L^{p}\right]$ for $\left[L^{p}, L^{p}\right]$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of positive operators $P \in\left[L^{\infty}\right]$ such that $P 1=1$. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the set of Markov operators on $L^{\infty}(X)$. Then $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{C} \subset\left[L^{\infty}\right] \subset\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$. Let $\Psi$ be the set of Markov operators $T_{\phi}$ that are induced by nonsingular measurable point maps $\phi$ on $X$ as $T_{\phi} f(x)=f(\phi(x))$. Let $\Psi_{i}$ be the set of operators $T_{\phi} \in \Psi$ that are induced by injective maps $\phi$. In the present note, since Theorems 1 and 2 of [5] are also valid for positive contractions $P$ in $\mathscr{C}$, we prove the following sharper forms of approximation theorems.

Theorem 1. $\mathscr{C}$ is a compact convex set and it is the closure of $\Psi_{i}$ in the weak* operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$.

Theorem 2. $\mathscr{C}$ is the closed convex hull of $\Psi_{i}$ in the strong operator topology of [ $\left.L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$.

Theorem 3. $\mathscr{C}$ is the closed convex hull of $\Psi$ in the strong operator topology of [ $\left.L^{\infty}\right]$.

Theorem 4. $\mathscr{A}$ is the sequential closure of $\Psi_{i}$ in the weak* operator topology of [ $\left.L^{\infty}\right]$, and it is the sequential closure of the convex hull of $\Psi_{i}$ in the strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$.

Note that if $P \in \mathscr{C}$ has a kernel in $L^{1}(X \times X)$, then it is necessarily a Markov operator. Thus, Theorems 3 and 4 of [5] do not extend to operators in $\mathscr{C}$.

## 2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. An elementary argument shows that $\mathscr{S}_{+}=\left\{P \in\left[L^{\infty}\right]\right.$ : $P \geqq 0$ and $P 1 \leqq 1\}$ is compact in the weak* operator topology. It is also easy to see that $\mathscr{C}$ is closed in $\mathscr{S}_{+}$in this topology. Since Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 of [5] hold also for each $P \in \mathscr{C}$, we have $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathrm{w}^{*}$-cl $\Psi_{i}$, the closure of $\Psi_{i}$ in the weak* operator topology. By the compactness of $\mathscr{C}$, it follows $\mathscr{C}=\mathrm{w}^{*}-\mathrm{cl} \Psi_{i}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. On the set $\mathscr{C}$, the induced weak operator topology of [ $L^{\infty}, L^{1}$ ] is a Hausdorff topology and it is weaker than the induced weak* operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$. Since $\mathscr{C}$ with the induced weak* operator topology is a compact

[^0]Hausdorff space, the weak* operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$ and the weak operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$ coincide on $\mathscr{C}$. On the other hand, since a convex set in $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$ has the same closure in the weak operator topology and the strong operator topology [2, Corollary, p.477], we see easily that $\mathscr{C}$ is the closed convex hull of $\Psi_{i}$ in the strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Note that Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 of [5] hold also for operators $P$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Thus, $\mathscr{C} \subset$ s-cch $\Psi$, the closed convex hull of $\Psi$ in the strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$. Since $\mathscr{C}$ is also closed in the strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$, we have at once $\mathscr{C}=\operatorname{secch} \Psi$.

Since $\mathscr{M} \neq \mathscr{C}$, it follows easily from Theorems 1 and 2 of [5] and Theorems 1 through 3 of the present paper that $\mathscr{M}$ is neither closed in the weak* operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$ nor in the strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$ or $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$, respectively.

Suppose $\mathscr{C}$ is endowed with a topology $\tau$. Let $A \subset \mathscr{C}$. Following Granirer [3] we denote the $\tau$-sequential closure of $A$ in $\mathscr{C}$ by $\tau$-seq. cl. $A$. Observe that $b \in \tau$-seq. cl. $A$ if and only if $b=\tau$ - $\lim a_{n}$ for some sequence $a_{n} \in A . \mathrm{w}^{*}$-seq. cl. $A$ and $s^{\prime}$-seq. cl. $A$ denote the sequential closures of $A$ in the induced weak* operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$ and in the induced strong operator topology of $\left[L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right]$.

Proof of Theorem 4. It is easy to show that

$$
\mathrm{w}^{*} \text {-seq. cl. } \Psi_{i} \subset \mathrm{w}^{*} \text {-seq. cl. } \mathscr{M}=\mathscr{M}
$$

and

$$
\left.s^{\prime} \text {-seq. cl. (ch } \Psi_{i}\right) \subset s^{\prime} \text {-seq. cl. } \mathscr{M}=\mathscr{M}
$$

To complete the proof we use an argument given in the Introduction of [5]. Given $P$ in $\mathscr{M}$, let $T \in\left[L^{1}\right]$ be such that $T^{*}=P$. Such an operator $T$ exists uniquely. Let $Y=\{x: T 1(x)>0\}$ and let $d \mu^{\prime}=T 1 d \mu$. The measure space $\left(Y, \mathscr{F}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ will be denoted by $\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right)$. Define the operator $T^{\prime}: L^{1}(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^{1}\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ by the formula $T^{\prime} f=T f / T 1$ on $Y$. The adjoint $P^{\prime}$ of $T^{\prime}$ which maps $L^{\infty}\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ into $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ satisfies the equality $P^{\prime} g^{\prime}=P g$ provided that $g^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right), g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$, and $g^{\prime}=g$ on $Y$. Then there exists an invertible measure preserving point map $\xi$ from $(X, \mu)$ onto $\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right)$. Let $T_{n}$ be the operator induced by the map $\eta=\xi^{-1}$. If we put $\hat{P}=P^{\prime} T_{\eta}$, then $\hat{P}: L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ is a doubly stochastic operator. By the weak approximation theorem of J.R. Brown [1, p.19], [4, p. 521], there exists a sequence $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ of invertible measure preserving maps from $(X, \mu)$ onto itself such that $T_{\theta_{n}}$ converges to $\hat{P}$ in the weak* operator topology for $\left[L^{\infty}\right]$. Note that both $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are equivalent on the set $Y$ and thus the map $\xi$ is a nonsingular injection from $(X, \mu)$ into itself, that is, $T_{\xi} \in \Psi_{i}$. Thus, if we put $\phi_{n}=\xi \circ \theta_{n}$, then $T_{\phi_{n}} \in \Psi_{i}$. A simple calculation yields

$$
\left|\left\langle f,\left(T_{\phi_{n}}-P\right) g\right\rangle\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad f \in L^{1}(X, \mu), \quad g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu),
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathrm{w}^{*}$-seq. cl. $\Psi_{i}$. Similarly, we prove $\mathscr{M} \subset s^{\prime}$-seq. cl. (ch $\Psi_{i}$ ) by using the strong approximation theorem of Brown [1, p.21], [4, p. 522].
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