

# Existence of Proper Conditional Probabilities

K. MUSIAŁ

## Introduction

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is well known that even if there exists a regular conditional probability (r.c.p.) on  $\mathcal{A}$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , it is not necessarily proper (Blackwell and Ryll-Nardzewski [2]).

It is shown in this paper that under some assumptions on  $P$ -null sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  there exist  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathcal{A} (P/\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 \sim \mathcal{B} (P/\mathcal{A})$  and there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

The theorems proved here generalize the ones of Pfanzagl's paper [7] and the proofs seem to be simpler.

Moreover under some assumptions about separability of  $\sigma$ -algebras under consideration there is given a full condition for the existence of a r.c.p. and a proper r.c.p.

Besides, there is given a simple characterisation of Blackwell spaces (Rao) which employs the proper r.c.p.-ies.

## 1. Definitions and Basic Lemmas

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space. For given two subsystems  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$  we shall write  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C} (P/\mathcal{A})$  if for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $P(B \Delta C) = 0$ . We shall write  $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{C} (P/\mathcal{A})$  if  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C} (P/\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B} (P/\mathcal{A})$ . In this paper by an algebra we shall always understand a  $\sigma$ -algebra and by a measure the probability measure. If  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is an algebra then a set  $D \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $P(D) > 0$  is a  $P/\mathcal{B}$ -atom if  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $B \subset D$  together imply  $P(B) = 0$  or  $P(B) = P(D)$ .  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is purely atomic if the union of all  $P/\mathcal{B}$ -atoms has measure 1,  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is nonatomic if  $P/\mathcal{B}$ -atoms do not exist.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be arbitrary algebras. A regular conditional probability (r.c.p.) on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , is a function  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B}): \mathcal{A}_0 \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- (i)  $P(\cdot, x/\mathcal{B})$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{A}_0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii)  $P(A, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_B P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) P(dx) = P(A \cap B)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

A r.c.p.  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is proper if  $P(B, x/\mathcal{B}) = X_B(x)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $x \in X$ .

For any  $A \subset X$  let  $A^1 = A$  and  $A^0 = X - A$ . If  $\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$ , then we shall call them  $\sigma$ -independent if for any sequence  $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \{0, 1\}^{\aleph_0}$  and for any sequence  $\{A_{\gamma_n}\}_{\gamma_n \in \Gamma}$  we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_{\gamma_n}^{\delta_{\gamma_n}} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -independent sets which belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . For any  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \sigma(\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0})$ , given  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  this is obvious. If  $\Gamma_0 \neq \Gamma$  we may assume that the set  $\Gamma - \Gamma_0$  is ordered by ordinals  $\gamma < \gamma_0$ .

We put  $P_0(B, x/\mathcal{B}) = X_B(x)$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ; clearly  $P_0$  is a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{B}$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let us make inductive assumption that for a certain  $\gamma_* < \gamma_0$  a family of consistent proper r.c.p.-ies  $P_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \sigma(\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \varepsilon}, \mathcal{B})$ , for all  $\varepsilon < \gamma_*$  is already constructed (consistency is used here in the meaning that for every  $x \in X$  the measures  $\{P_\varepsilon(\cdot, x/\mathcal{B})\}_{\varepsilon < \gamma_*}$  are consistent in the sense of Kolmogorov).

It is our aim now to define a proper r.c.p.  $P_{\gamma_*}(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_*}$ , being an extension of all  $P_\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon < \gamma_*$ .

*Case 1.*  $\gamma_*$  is a limit ordinal. Then we take as  $P_{\gamma_*}$  the common extension of all  $P_\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon < \gamma_*$ . It is easy to see, that  $P_{\gamma_*}$  has all required properties.

*Case 2.*  $\gamma_* = \gamma_1 + 1$ . We have already built a proper r.c.p.  $P_{\gamma_1}(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$ . Let  $f(x)$  be any conditional probability of the set  $A_{\gamma_1}$ , given  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$  (let us observe, that  $A_{\gamma_1} \notin \mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$ ). We can choose  $f(x)$  such that  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ .

In view of the independence of algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$  and  $\sigma(A_{\gamma_1})$ , the function  $\bar{P}(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1})$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_*} \times X$  by

$$\bar{P}(E \cap A_{\gamma_1} \cup F \cap A_{\gamma_1}^0, x/\mathcal{A}) = X_E(x) f(x) + X_F(x) (1 - f(x))$$

for  $E \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$ , is a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_*}$ , given  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}$ .

Now, the function  $P_{\gamma_*}(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  defined for arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma_*}$  by

$$P_{\gamma_*}(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = \int \bar{P}(A, u/\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_1}) P_{\gamma_1}(du, x/\mathcal{B})$$

is a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma_*}$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is easy to see that  $P_{\gamma_*}$  is an extension of  $P_{\gamma_1}$ .

Having the described procedure accomplished we obtain a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Proposition 1** (Tarski). *If  $N$  is a set of cardinality  $m$ , such that  $m^{\aleph_0} = m$  then there exist  $2^m$  of  $\sigma$ -independent subsets of  $N$ .*

This is a particular case of Hilfssatz 3.16 from [10].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(N, \mathcal{C})$  be any measurable space, such that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $m \geq c$  disjoint sets. If the spaces  $(N, \mathcal{C})$  and  $(N, \mathcal{C})^{\aleph_0}$  (the product symbol has the usual meaning) are isomorphic, then there exists in  $(N, \mathcal{C})$  a family of cardinality  $m$  of  $\sigma$ -independent and measurable subsets.*

The idea of the proof is analogous to that from [5].

## 2. Main Theorems

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P/\mathcal{A}$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us assume that  $m \geq \aleph_0$  is the smallest cardinality of a dense subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  in Frechet-Nikodym's metric. Let  $n$  be the smallest cardinal number such that  $n^{\aleph_0} = n$  and  $m \leq 2^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be any subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is either purely atomic, or  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a  $P$ -null set  $N$  of cardinality  $n$ , such that all its subsets belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  then for some algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathcal{A}(P/\mathcal{A})$  and for some algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $\mathcal{B}_0 \sim \mathcal{B}(P/\mathcal{A})$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is purely atomic, this is trivial; the function  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  defined by

$$P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(A \cap E_n)}{P(E_n)} \chi_{E_n}(x),$$

where  $E_n, n=1, 2, \dots$  are  $P/\mathcal{B}$ -atoms and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = X$ , is a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}$ , given  $\sigma(\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) \sim \mathcal{B}(P/\mathcal{A})$ .

The rest of the proof is devoted to the case, when  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a  $P$ -null set  $N$  such that  $\text{card } N = \mathfrak{n}$ , and all subsets of  $N$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose the family  $\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  with  $\text{card } \Gamma = \mathfrak{m}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We may assume that there exists  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  such that  $A_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ , and  $\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

By Proposition 1 there is a family  $\{N_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $\sigma$ -independent subsets of  $N$ .

For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let

$$C_\gamma = A_\gamma \cap N^0 \cup N_\gamma \cap N.$$

Of course for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $C_\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma$  and  $C_\gamma^{\delta_\gamma} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\delta_\gamma = 0$  or  $1$ . Moreover, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$  we have  $C_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$ .

From this remark and the  $\sigma$ -independence of  $N_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , it follows that all  $C_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$  are  $\sigma$ -independent.

Let us notice that because of  $P(N) = 0$ , we have

$$\sigma(\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0}) \sim \sigma(\{C_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0})(P/\mathcal{A}).$$

The last relation together with the assumed fact that

$$\sigma(\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0}) \sim \mathcal{B}(P/\mathcal{A})$$

gives us the equivalence

$$\sigma(\{C_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0}) \sim \mathcal{B}(P/\mathcal{A}).$$

In the similar way we can prove that

$$\sigma(\{C_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) \sim \mathcal{A}(P/\mathcal{A}).$$

Putting  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \sigma(\{C_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \sigma(\{C_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0})$ , we have, by Lemma 1, the desired result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $P/\mathcal{A}$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us assume that  $\mathfrak{m} \geq \aleph_0$  is the smallest cardinality of a dense subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  in Frechet-Nikodym's metric. Let  $\mathfrak{n} \geq \mathfrak{m}$  be the smallest cardinal number such that  $\mathfrak{n}^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{n}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be any subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is either purely atomic or  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a  $P$ -null set  $N$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{n}$  and there is a subalgebra  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that the measurable spaces  $(N, \mathcal{C} \cap N)$  and  $(N, \mathcal{C} \cap N)^{\aleph_0}$  are isomorphic and  $\mathcal{C} \cap N$  contains  $\mathfrak{n}$  disjoint sets then for some algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathcal{A}(P/\mathcal{A})$  and for some algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $\mathcal{B}_0 \sim \mathcal{B}(P/\mathcal{A})$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition 2 we can prove this theorem in the same manner as the first one.

### 3. The Separable Case

In this section by a *separable* algebra we shall mean an algebra which is  $\sigma$ -generated and contains points. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a separable algebra, then  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -atom if  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A_1 \subset A$  implies  $A_1 = \emptyset$  or  $A_1 = A$ .

As a special case of Theorem 1 we obtain the theorem proved by Pfanzagl in [7].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $P/\mathcal{A}$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is the completion of a separable algebra. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing all  $P$ -null sets from  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is either purely atomic or  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a  $P$ -null set of the cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  then for some algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathcal{A} P/\mathcal{A}$  and for some algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B}_0 \sim \mathcal{B} P/\mathcal{A}$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .*

Assuming the separability of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense of Frechet-Nikodym, we obtain such a theorem:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $P/\mathcal{A}$  be a measure on a separable in the sense of Frechet-Nikodym algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be any subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $P/\mathcal{B}$  is either purely atomic or  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a  $P$ -null set  $N$  of the cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  and an algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the measurable space  $(N, \mathcal{C} \cap N)$  is standard (cf. Parthasarathy [6]) then for some algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathcal{A} P/\mathcal{A}$  and for some algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B}_0 \sim \mathcal{B} P/\mathcal{A}$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .*

Of course, this theorem holds for any  $\mathcal{A}$  containing a dense subfamily of cardinality not greater than continuum.

Now we shall generalize another theorem of the paper [7] (Proposition 3 in [7]).

We begin with an easy observation:

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $P/\mathcal{A}$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If there exists a r.c.p.  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , then for every  $\sigma$ -generated algebra  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  there exists a set  $N_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $P(N) = 0$  and  $P(C, x/\mathcal{B}) = X_C(x)$  for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in X - N_{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be arbitrary algebra and let  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be such that there exists a  $\sigma$ -generated algebra  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  such that every set from  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a union of atoms of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then a r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$  exists iff there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $P(N) = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap N^0 \subset \mathcal{B} \cap N^0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  is a r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . In virtue of Proposition 3 there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $P(N) = 0$  and  $P(C, x/\mathcal{B}) = X_C(x)$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in X - N$ . Thus, for every  $\mathcal{C}$ -atom  $e_x$  we have  $P(e_x, y/\mathcal{B}) = X_{e_x}(y)$  for every  $x \in X - N$ .

It means that

$$P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \cap N^0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in A^0 \cap N^0. \end{cases}$$

But from the property of a r.c.p. we have  $\{x: P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = 1\} \in \mathcal{B}$ , so that  $A - N = \{x: P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = 1\} - N \in \mathcal{B}$ . And so we have proved that  $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap N^0 \subset \mathcal{B} \cap N^0$ .

The converse implication is almost trivial. In fact the function  $P(\cdot, \cdot/\mathcal{B})$  defined for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$  by

$$P(A, x/\mathcal{B}) = \begin{cases} X_{A-N}(x) & \text{if } x \in X - N \\ P(A) & \text{if } x \in N \end{cases}$$

is a r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  contains a separable algebra. Then a r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , exists iff there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $P(N)=0$  and*

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \cap N^0 \subset \mathcal{B} \cap N^0.$$

*If  $\mathcal{A}_0 \not\subset \mathcal{B}$  then  $N$  is an uncountable set.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be arbitrary algebra and let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  contains a separable algebra. Then a r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , exists iff there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $P(N)=0$  and  $\mathcal{A} \cap N^0 = \mathcal{B} \cap N^0$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$  then  $N$  is an uncountable set.*

We shall come back now to proper r.c.p.-ies.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be arbitrary algebra and let  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be such that every set from  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a union of sets from  $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$ . Then a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , exists iff  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $P(\cdot, \cdot / \mathcal{B})$  is a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . For every  $C \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  and each  $x \in X$  we have  $P(C, x / \mathcal{B}) = \chi_C(x)$ . Thus for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$  we have  $P(A, x / \mathcal{B}) = \chi_A(x)$ . So it must be  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

The converse implication is obvious.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$  contains all points then a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ , exists iff  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ .*

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is separable  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is arbitrary separable subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  then we obtain a characterisation of Blackwell spaces (for definition see Rao).

**Corollary 4.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a separable algebra, then  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is Blackwell space iff for every separable algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  there exists a proper r.c.p. on  $\mathcal{A}$ , given  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank Prof. C. Ryll-Nardzewski for many valuable remarks.

## References

1. Blackwell, D.: On a class of probability spaces. Proc. Third Berkeley Sympos. math. Statist. Probab. **2**, 1–6 (1956).
2. – Ryll-Nardzewski, C.: Non-existence of everywhere proper conditional distributions. Ann. math. Statistics **34**, 223–225 (1963).
3. Doob, J.L.: Stochastic processes. New York: Wiley 1953.
4. Halmos, P.R.: Measure theory. Princeton: Van Nostrand 1962.
5. Marczewski, E.: Ensembles indépendants et leurs applications à la théorie de la mesure. Fundamenta Math. **35**, 13–28 (1948).
6. Parthasarathy, K.R.: Probability measures on metric spaces. New York and London: Academic Press 1967.
7. Pfanzagl, J.: On the existence of regular conditional probabilities. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. **11**, 244–256 (1969).
8. – Pierlo, W.: Compact systems of sets. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **16**. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1966.
9. Rao, B.V.: Studies in Borel structures. Doctor thesis. Indian Statistical Institute. Calcutta 1969.
10. Tarski, A.: Ideale in vollständigen Mengenkörpern. I. Fundamenta Math. **32**, 45–63 (1939).

Kazimierz Musiał  
 Mathematical Institute  
 Polish Academy of Sciences  
 ul. Kopernika 18  
 Wrocław, Poland

(Received August 11, 1970)