# Stopping Rules for $S_n/n$ , and the Class $L \log L$

BURGESS DAVIS

### **1. Introduction**

If  $(f_1, f_2, ...) = (f_n)$  is a stochastic process a stopping rule for  $(f_n)$  will be defined to be a non-defective positive integer valued random variable t such that for every positive integer  $k \{t=k\} \in \sigma(f_1, ..., f_k)$ , the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $f_1, ..., f_k$ . Here we will be concerned with stopping rules for the sequence  $(S_n/n)$  where  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n, X_1, X_2, \ldots$  independent identically distributed random variables with finite expectation, investigating the possibility of the existence of stopping rules t for  $(S_n/n)$  such that  $E(S_i/t) = \infty$ . It is proved (Theorem 1) that such stopping rules exist if and only if  $E(X_1 \log^+ X_1) = \infty$ , where  $\log^+ a = \log(a)$  if a > 1, 0 if  $a \le 1$ . This immediately implies the result of Burkholder in [1] that if  $E(X_1 \log^+ X_1) = \infty$ then  $E(\sup(S_n/n)) = \infty$ . Similar results are proved if  $(X_n/n)$  is looked at in place of  $(S_n/n)$ .

Since  $E|S_n/n| \le E|X_1|$  for all *n*, recent results (see, for example, [4]) on stopping a stochastic process  $(f_n)$  to get  $E|f_t| = \infty$  are not applicable here, since they require that  $\sup E|f_n| = \infty$ . The work by Chow, Robbins and others on stopping  $S_n/n$  to maximize  $E(S_t/t)$  has dealt with essentially different (although obviously related) questions than this paper.

From now on "t is a stopping rule" will mean that t is a stopping rule for the particular process under discussion. Note that since  $\sigma(S_1, S_2/2, ..., S_n/n) = \sigma(X_1, X_2/2, ..., X_n/n) = \sigma(X_1, ..., X_n)$ , the stopping rules for the processes  $(S_n/n)$ ,  $(X_n/n)$  and  $(X_n)$  are the same.

## 2. Some Inequalities Concerning $S_n/n$

Let  $f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)/k$ . By approximating f(n) with an integral it is seen that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/((n+1)\log(n+1)) = 1$ . Thus there is a positive number which will be called  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$f(n) > \varepsilon(n+1)\log(n+1), \quad n=2, 3, ...$$

In this section X will be a random variable with finite expectation and  $X_1, X_2, ...$ will be independent random variables each with the same distribution as X. B will stand for max(1, E|X|), and if Y is a random variable  $Y^+$  will designate max(Y, 0).

By a well known inequality, if  $\lambda > 0$  then  $P(\sup |S_n/n| > \lambda) \leq E|X_1|/\lambda$ . For a proof see the introduction to [3]. Thus

$$P(\sup_{n} |S_n/n| \ge 2B) \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (1)

B. Davis:

Let 
$$A_n = ((X_n/n) - 4B)^+ I(\sup_{k \le n} |S_k/k| \le 2B)$$
. Then, since  $X_n/n \le S_n/n + |S_{n-1}/(n-1)|$ ,

$$A_n \leq S_n/n \quad \text{on } \{A_n > 0\}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Also, since if  $X_n/n$  exceeds 4B then at least one of  $|S_n/n|$ ,  $|S_{n-1}/(n-1)|$  must exceed 2B,

$$P(A_i > 0, A_j > 0) = 0$$
 if  $i \neq j$ . (3)

Next a lower bound is found for  $\sum EA_k$ . Since  $X_n$  and  $\{\sup_{k \le n} |S_k/k| \le 2B\}$  are independent, (1) implies  $EA_n \ge E((X_n/n) - 4B)^+)/2$ . Let  $p_i = P(4Bi \le X < 4B(i+1))$ . Then

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} EA_{n} \ge (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{1}^{\infty} E(((X_{n}/n) - 4B)^{+})$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n) E((X - 4Bn)^{+})$$

$$\ge (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (4B/n) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p_{k}(k-n)$$

$$= 2B \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p_{k}f(k) \ge 2B \varepsilon \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p_{k}(k+1) \log(k+1)$$

$$\ge 2B \varepsilon [E((X^{+}/4B) \log^{+}(X^{+}/4B)) - p_{1} 2\log 2]$$

$$\ge 2B \varepsilon [(1/4B) E(X^{+} (\log^{+}X^{+} - \log 4 - \log B)) - 2\log 2].$$

Since  $B \le 1 + E|X|$ , this completes the proof of the following lemma, noting that  $\log^+ X^+ = \log^+ X$ .

**Lemma.** There are positive constants, K, C such that if X is an integrable random variable and  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are independent random variables each having the distribution of X then  $\sum EA_n \ge CEX \log^+ X - KE|X| \log^+ E|X| - K$ .

#### 3. Construction of Stopping Times

Suppose the conditions of the lemma are satisfied and that  $E(X \log^+ X) = \infty$ . Then  $\sum EA_n = \infty$ , and thus if  $\{t=n\} = \{A_n > 0\}$ ,  $E((S_t/t) I(t < \infty)) = \infty$ , using (2) and (3). Unfortunately t is not a stopping rule since  $P(t < \infty) < 1$ . Most of the work in proving Theorem 1 below involves changing t slightly to remedy this defect.

**Theorem 1.** Let X be an integrable variable and  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be independent random variables each with the same distribution as X. The following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $E(X \log^+ X) < \infty$ .

- (ii)  $E(S_t/t) < \infty$  for every stopping rule t.
- (iii)  $E(X_t/t) < \infty$  for every stopping rule t.

Proof of Theorem 1. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are immediate consequences of the fact that if  $E(X \log^+ X) < \infty$  then  $E \sup(S_n/n) < \infty$  and  $E \sup(X_n/n) < \infty$ . See [1], p. 891.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assuming  $E(X \log^+ X) = \infty$  a stopping rule t will be constructed so that  $E(S_t/t) = \infty$ .

148

Let a be a real number such that 0 < P(X > a) < 1. By the lemma,

 $\sum_{k=0}^{n} EA_n = \infty. \text{ Pick integers } 1 = N_1 < N_2 < \cdots \text{ and sets } \phi = D(N_1), D(N_2), \dots \text{ to satisfy, if } R_k = D(N_1), D(N_2), \dots D(N_k),$ (a) D(N) is either  $\{X_k > q\}$  or  $\{Y_k < q\}$  in 2.3

(a) 
$$D(N_j)$$
 is either  $\{X_{N_j} > a\}$  or  $\{X_{N_j} \le a\}, j = 2, 3, ...$   
(b)  $\sum_{k=N_j+1}^{N_{j+1}} E(A_k I(R_j)) \ge 1.$   
(c)  $\sum_{k=N_j+1}^{\infty} E(A_k I(R_j)) = \infty.$ 

This is possible, because having chosen  $N_1, N_2, ..., N_u, D(N_1), ..., D(N_u)$ , since (c) holds,  $N_{u+1}$  can be picked so that

$$\sum_{k=N_u+1}^{N_{u+1}} E(A_k I(R_u)) \geq 1.$$

Since

either

$$\sum_{k=N_{u+1}+1}^{\infty} E(A_k I(R_u)) = \infty,$$

$$\sum_{k=N_{u+1}+1}^{\infty} E(A_k I(R_u, \{X_{N_{u+1}} > a\}) = \infty$$

or

$$\sum_{k=N_{u+1}+1}^{\infty} E(A_k I(R_u, \{X_{N_{u+1}} \le a\}) = \infty.$$

Pick  $D(N_{u+1}) = \{X_{N_{u+1}} \leq a\}$  if the first holds and  $\{X_{N_{u+1}} > a\}$  if the second holds but the first doesn't.

Let  $\tau = \inf\{n: A_n > 0\}, v = \inf\{n: n \text{ is some } N_i \text{ and } I(D_n) > 0\}$ , and let  $\eta = \min(\tau, v)$ .

 $P(\eta < \infty) \ge P(\nu < \infty) = 1$ , since  $\sum P(D(N_i)) = \infty$  and the sets  $D(N_1), D(N_2)...$  are independent. Using (2) and (3),

$$E(S_{\eta}/\eta)^{+} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(A_{n}I(\eta=n))$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j}+1} E(A_{k}I(\eta=k))$   
=  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j}+1} E(A_{k}I(R_{j})) \ge 1 + 1 + \dots = \infty.$ 

Now let t be the first time n such that  $n \ge \eta$  and  $S_{n}/n \ge -2B$ . Then t is the required stopping rule, since  $S_t/t \ge S_\eta/\eta$ , so  $E(S_t/t)^+ = \infty$ , while  $S_t/t \ge -2B$  so  $E(S_t/t)^- < \infty$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Again suppose that  $E(X \log^+ X) = \infty$  and let the notation be as just above. Since  $X_n/n > A_n$  on  $\{t=n\}$ , the same proof shows that  $E(X_n/\eta)^+ = \infty$ . If  $t^*$  is the first time k after  $\eta$  such that  $X_k > 0$ , then  $X_{t^*}/t^* \ge X_n/\eta$  and  $X_{t^*}/t^* \ge 0$  so  $E(X_{t^*}/t^*) \ge E(X_n/\eta)^+ = \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.** There exist positive constants F, G, H such that if X is an integrable random variable,  $X_1, X_2, ...$  have the same distribution as X, and T is the class of all stopping rules then both  $\sup_{t \in T} E|S_t/t|$  and  $\sup_{t \in T} E|X_t/t|$  are bounded below by  $FE(|X|\log^+|X|) - GE|X|\log^+E|X| - G$  and bounded above by  $H + HE(|X|\log^+|X|)$ .

*Proof.* sup  $|X_n/n| \leq 2 \sup |S_n/n|$ , and since

$$E(\sup |S_n/n|) \leq e/(e-1) + e/(e-1) E(|X| \log^+ |X|),$$

due to Doob (see [1], p. 891), H can be taken as 2e/(e-1).

It is no loss of generality to assume  $E(X^+ \log^+ X) \ge (E|X| \log^+ |X|)/2$ . Thus, if  $T_N$  is the first time that  $A_k > 0$  or N, whichever comes first, using (2) and (3)

$$E|S_{T_N}/T_N| \ge \sum_{1}^{N} EA_i, \quad E|X_{T_N}/T_N| \ge \sum_{1}^{N} EA_i.$$

From an application of the lemma it follows that G may be taken to be K and F to be C/2.

## References

- 1. Burkholder, D.L.: Successive conditional expectations of an integrable function. Ann. math. Statistics 33, 887-893 (1962).
- 2. Doob, J.L.: Stochastic processes. New York: Wiley 1953.
- 3. Gundy, R. F.: On the class L log L martingales, and singular integrals. Studia math. 33, 109–118 (1969).
- 4. Stout, William F., Chow, Y.S.: On the expected value of a stopped stochastic sequence. Ann. math. Statistics 40, 456-461 (1969).

Dr. B. Davis Statistics Department Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, USA

(Received August 4, 1969)