

Diffusion Branching Processes with Several Types of Particles

MILOSLAV JIŘINA

Let us consider a sequence of one-type-particle branching processes with basic first moments $M_n = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let us transform the time parameter and the states of the n^{th} process in such a way that one new time unit corresponds to n time units of the original process and one unit in the new state space corresponds to n particles of the original process. Feller discovered (see [1]) that the transition probabilities of the transformed processes converge with $n \rightarrow \infty$ to transition probabilities of a diffusion process and that the characteristic functions of the limit transition probabilities satisfy a partial differential equation the explicit solution of which is known. A complete proof of this assertion is contained in [2]; more precisely, it is proved in [2] that the logarithms of the Laplace transforms of the transformed transition probabilities converge to a function $\psi(t, s)$ defined by an explicit formula (see [2] (8)). This function satisfies a partial differential equation analogous to the partial differential equation mentioned above, but this fact is not used in the proof of [2].

It is natural to expect that branching processes with r types of particles will behave in a similar way and that the logarithms $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ of the Laplace transforms of the transformed basic transition probabilities will converge to functions $\psi_i(t, s)$ satisfying analogous differential equations (see (5) and (6) of this paper). It seems that there is no explicit solution of these equations if $r > 1$ and the method of [2] is therefore not applicable. An attempt to prove directly (using estimates similar to those of [2]) that the sequences $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ are convergent, failed too. For these reasons the method of the present paper is different from that used in [2]. It follows the ideas of the proof of the continuity theorem for characteristic functions, i.e. it consists of the following three steps: (a) the existence of a convergent subsequence of $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ is established, (b) it is proved that the limits $\psi_i(t, s)$ satisfy the system (5) of differential equations and (c) the uniqueness of the solution of (5) is then used in proving that the whole sequence $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ is convergent.

In the paper we shall deal with r -dimensional vectors and $r \times r$ matrices. For a vector $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $|a|$ will denote the vector $(|a_1|, \dots, |a_r|)$ and $\|a\| = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_r|\}$; similarly, for a matrix $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j}$, $|A|$ will denote the matrix $(|A_{ij}|)_{i,j}$. If a, b are vectors then ab will denote their inner product. We shall not distinguish explicitly between row and column vectors. Thus, in the product Aa of a matrix A and a vector a , a will be automatically considered a column vector. Inequalities between vectors or matrices will mean that the indicated inequalities hold between all corresponding components of the vectors or matrices. The i^{th} unit vector $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ will be denoted by $e^{(i)}$ and, consequently, $e_j^{(i)} = 0$ if

$i \neq j$, $e_i^{(i)} = 1$; e will denote the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, 0 the zero vector or zero matrix, E the diagonal unit matrix and I the matrix all elements of which are equal to 1. If $A(t)$ is a matrix $(A_{ij}(t))_{i,j}$ of functions of a variable t , then $\int A(t) dt$ will denote the matrix $(\int A_{ij}(t) dt)_{i,j}$.

Let \mathcal{P}_n be a sequence of homogeneous Markov branching processes with discrete time parameter $t \in T = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and with r types of particles, i.e. with state space N^r , where $N = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. The probability of transition from the state $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in N^r$ to the state $b \in N^r$ in \mathcal{P}_n will be denoted by $P_n(t, a, b)$. The probability distribution induced on N^r by $P_n(t, a, b)$ will be denoted by $P_n(t, a, \cdot)$. To each \mathcal{P}_n we shall assign a new Markov process \mathcal{Q}_n with discrete time parameter $t \in T_n = \{0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots\}$ and with state space N_n^r , where $N_n = \{0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots\}$. The transition probabilities $Q_n(t, a, b)$ of \mathcal{Q}_n will be defined by

$$Q_n(t, a, b) = P_n(nt, na, nb), \quad t \in T_n, \quad a, b \in N_n^r. \quad (1)$$

Let R_+^r be the non-negative cone of the r -space. For $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in R_+^r$, put

$$\Phi_n(t, a, s) = \sum_{b \in N^r} e^{-sb} P_n(t, a, b)$$

and

$$\phi_n(t, a, s) = -\log \Phi_n(t, a, s)$$

(i.e. ϕ_n is the negative logarithm of the Laplace transform of $P_n(t, a, \cdot)$). Similarly, put

$$\psi(t, a, s) = -\log \sum_{b \in N_n^r} e^{-sb} Q_n(t, a, b)$$

($t \in T_n$, $a \in N_n^r$).

It is well known that the process \mathcal{P}_n is uniquely determined by the basic transition probabilities $P_n(t, e^{(i)}, b)$. For simplicity reasons we shall write $P_{ni}(t, b)$ instead of $P_n(t, e^{(i)}, b)$ and the same rule will apply to all other functions; thus, $\phi_{ni}(t, s) = \phi_n(t, e^{(i)}, s)$ e.g. $\phi_n(t, s)$ will denote the vector $(\phi_{n1}(t, s), \dots, \phi_{nr}(t, s))$, $\psi_n(t, s)$ the vector $(\psi_{n1}(t, s), \dots, \psi_{nr}(t, s))$ etc. In \mathcal{P}_n processes, the value $t = 1$ will be also omitted, i.e. we shall write $P_{ni}(b)$ instead of $P_{ni}(1, b)$, $\phi_n(s)$ instead of $\phi_n(1, s)$ etc. To simplify symbols for derivatives, we shall write $\phi_{nij}(t, s)$ instead of $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} \phi_{ni}(t, s)$ and $\phi_{nijk}(t, s)$ instead of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_j \partial s_l} \phi_{ni}(t, s)$. The same rule will apply to $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ and other functions.

We shall assume that the first and second moments of \mathcal{P}_n are finite and we shall denote the first moments of $P_{ni}(t, \cdot)$ by

$$M_{nij}(t) = \phi_{nij}(t, 0).$$

$M_n(t)$ will denote the matrix $(M_{nij}(t))_{i,j}$. It is well known that

$$M_n(t) = M_n^t \quad (\text{with } M_n = M_n(1)).$$

The covariances of $P_{ni}(\cdot)$ will be denoted by

$$D_{nijk} = -\phi_{nijk}(0).$$

For each $t \geq 0$ let $[t]_n$ denote the largest $\tau \in T_n$ less or equal to t , i.e. $[t]_n = [t_n]/n$, where $[y] = [y]_1$ denotes the integral part of y . Similarly, for a vector $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$, we shall write $[a]_n = ([a_1]_n, \dots, [a_r]_n)$.

Theorem. *Let us assume that finite limits*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(M_n - E) = A = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ s \rightarrow 0}} \phi_{nijk}(s) = -2\beta_{ijk} \quad (3)$$

exist. Then there exist, for each $t \geq 0$ and $a \in R_+^r$, probability measures $Q(t, a, \cdot)$ on R_+^r such that

$$Q_n([t]_n, [a]_n, \cdot) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(t, a, \cdot) \quad (\text{weakly}) \quad (4)$$

and the functions

$$\psi_i(t, s) = -\log \int e^{-sb} Q(t, e^{(i)}, db)$$

satisfy the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_i(t, s) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \psi_j(t, s) - \sum_{j,k} \beta_{ijk} \psi_j(t, s) \psi_k(t, s) \quad (5)$$

with initial conditions $\psi_i(0, s) = s_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). Each $\psi_i(t, s)$ satisfies also the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_i(t, s) = \sum_j C_j(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} \psi_i(t, s) \quad (6)$$

where

$$C_i(s) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} s_j - \sum_{j,k} \beta_{ijk} s_j s_k. \quad (7)$$

Remarks. (a) It follows from (3) that

$$\frac{1}{2} D_{nijk} \rightarrow \beta_{ijk} \quad (8)$$

but the stronger condition (3) is needed in the proof. It can be proved that (3) follows from (8), if the third cumulants $\phi_{nijk}(0)$ are uniformly bounded with respect to n .

(b) The notation introduced in the theorem might be confusing, because $\psi_n(t, s)$ denotes by the previous agreement the vector of functions $(\psi_{n1}, \dots, \psi_{nr})$, while $\psi_i(t, s)$ defined in the theorem means another (single) function. All possible confusion will be avoided, if we make an agreement that the letter n will be always used as the first index only and its presence will express the fact that the corresponding function (or vector etc.) belongs to the n^{th} process \mathcal{P}_n or \mathcal{Q}_n , while n missing will mean that the function belongs to the limit process.

Proof of the Theorem. In order to prove (4) it is sufficient to show that for each $t \geq 0$ and $a \in R_+^r$ the functions $\psi([t]_n, [a]_n, s)$ converge to a function $\psi(t, a, s)$ and that $\psi(t, a, s)$ is the negative logarithm of the Laplace transform of a probability measure $Q(t, a, \cdot)$. By (1)

$$\psi_n(t, a, s) = \phi_n\left(nt, na, \frac{1}{n}s\right) \quad (t \in T_n, a \in N_n^r) \quad (9)$$

and from the basic identity for branching processes

$$\phi_n(t, a, s) = \sum_i a_i \phi_{ni}(t, s) \quad (t \in T, a \in N^r) \quad (10)$$

we have

$$\psi_{ni}([\ell]_n, s) = n \phi_{ni} \left([\ell n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$\psi_n([\ell]_n, [a]_n, s) = \sum_i [a_i]_n \psi_{ni}([\ell]_n, s)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and all $a \in R_+^r$. Since $[a_i]_n \rightarrow a_i$, it is sufficient to prove the convergence of $\psi_{ni}([\ell]_n, s)$. We have defined the functions $\psi_{ni}(t, s)$ for $t \in T_n$ only, but it will simplify the notation and the proof, if we define them for all $t \geq 0$, i.e., if we write

$$\psi_{ni}(t, s) = n \phi_{ni} \left([t n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Put $A_n = n(M_n - E)$ and let α_{nij} be the elements of A_n . By (2), $\alpha_{nij} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{ij}$ for all i, j . The well known formula

$$\phi_n(t_1 + t_2, s) = \phi_n(t_1, \phi_n(t_2, s)) \quad (12)$$

and also the obvious relations

$$\phi_n(0, s) = s, \quad \psi_n(0, s) = s$$

will be used frequently. The proof of the theorem will consist of several lemmas.

(i) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$M_n^t \leq k I \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and all } t = 0, 1, \dots, [t_0 n].$$

Proof. α_{nij} are convergent (with $n \rightarrow \infty$) and therefore bounded by a constant $c > 0$. Then

$$M_n^t = \left(E + \frac{1}{n} A_n \right)^t \leq \left(E + \frac{c}{n} I \right)^t \leq \left(E + \frac{c}{n} I \right)^{[t_0 n]} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(c t_0 I).$$

(ii) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{ni}(t, s) \leq k \|s\|$$

for all n , all $t = 0, 1, \dots, [t_0 n]$, all i and all $s \in R_+^r$.

Proof.

$$\phi_{ni}(t, s) = \sum_j M_{nij}(t) s_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \phi_{nijk}(t, \sigma) s_j s_k \quad (13)$$

where $0 \leq \sigma \leq s$. Since $(-\phi_{nijk}(t, \sigma))_{j,k}$ is the covariance matrix of the probability distribution $e^{-\sigma b} P_{ni}(t, b) / \phi_{ni}(t, \sigma)$ (on N^r),

$$\sum_{jk} \phi_{nijk}(t, \sigma) s_j s_k \leq 0.$$

Hence $\phi_{ni}(t, \sigma) \leq \sum_j M_{nij}(t) s_j$ and the assertion follows from (i).

(iii) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ there exists $x > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{nij}(t, s) \leq 2M_{nij}(t)$$

for all n , all $t = 0, 1, \dots, [t_0 n]_1$ all i, j and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

Proof.

$$\phi_{nij}(t, s) = -\frac{\Phi_{nij}(t, s)}{\Phi_{ni}(t, s)}$$

and $0 \leq -\Phi_{nij}(t, s) \leq M_{nij}(t)$ for all s . Hence,

$$\Phi_{ni}(t, s) = 1 + \sum_j \Phi_{nij}(t, \sigma) s_j \geq 1 - \sum_j M_{nij}(t) s_j.$$

By (i), $M_{nij}(t)$ are uniformly bounded for all n and all $t = 0, 1, \dots, [t_0 n]$ and, consequently, $\Phi_{ni}(t, s) > \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently small s .

(iv) To each $x > 0$ there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{nij}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) \leq e_j^{(i)} + \frac{k}{n}$$

for all n , all i, j and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

Proof. For $i \neq j$, the assertion follows from (iii) and the fact that $e_j^{(i)} = 0$, $M_{nij} = \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{nij}$ (at least for sufficiently large n ; but then it must hold for all n). For $i = j$ we have

$$\phi_{nii}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) - 1 = -\frac{\Phi_{ni}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) + \Phi_{nii}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right)}{\Phi_{ni}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ni}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) + \Phi_{nii}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) &= 1 - M_{nii} + \sum_j (\Phi_{nij}(\sigma_n(s)) + \Phi_{nii}(\sigma_n(s))) \frac{s_j}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [\alpha_{nii} + \sum_j (\Phi_{nij}(\sigma_n(s)) + \Phi_{nii}(\sigma_n(s))) s_j], \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \sigma_n(s) \leq \frac{1}{n} s$. The sequences α_{nij} , $\Phi_{nij}(\sigma_n(s))$ and $|\Phi_{nii}(\sigma_n(s))| \leq M_{nij}$ are uniformly bounded with respect to all n and $s \in [0, x]^r$ because of (2) and (3). From the proof of (iii) it follows that $\Phi_{ni}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) > \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently large n and all $s \in [0, x]^r$ and, consequently, the functions $1/\Phi_{ni}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right)$ are bounded uniformly with respect to all n and all $s \in [0, x]^r$. Hence $n \left[\phi_{nii}\left(\frac{1}{n} s\right) - 1 \right]$ is bounded uniformly with respect to all n and all $s \in [0, x]^r$. This proves the assertion of (iv) for $i = j$.

(v) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x > 0$ there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$\left| \phi_{nijk} \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right| \leq k t$$

for all n , all $t = 0, 1, \dots, [t_0 n]$, all i, j, k and all $s \in [0 x]^r$.

Proof. Differentiating the relation $\phi_n(t+1, s) = \phi_n(\phi_n(t, s))$ (see (12)) we obtain

$$\phi_{n \cdot jk}(t+1, s) = w_{njk}(t, s) + m_n(t, s) \phi_{n \cdot jk}(t, s) \quad (14)$$

where $\phi_{n \cdot jk}(t, s)$ denotes the vector $(\phi_{nijk}(t, s))_{i=1, \dots, r}$, $w_{njk}(t, s)$ the vector $\sum_{l, m} \phi_{n \cdot lm}(\phi_n(t, s)) \phi_{nlij}(t, s) \phi_{nmk}(t, s)$ and $m_n(t, s)$ the matrix $(\phi_{nij}(\phi_n(t, s)))_{i, j}$.

From (14) we have

$$\phi_{n \cdot jk}(t, s) = \sum_{\tau=1}^t \prod_{v=1}^{\tau-1} m_n(t-v) w_{njk}(t-\tau). \quad (15)$$

It follows from (3) that there exists $x_1 > 0$ such that the functions $\phi_{nijk}(s)$ are bounded uniformly with respect to all n and all $s \in [0 x_1]^r$.

By (ii), $\phi_n \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \in [0 x_1]^r$ for all sufficiently large n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$. Hence $\phi_{nijk} \left(\phi_n \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right)$ are bounded uniformly with respect to all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$.

By (i) and (iii), $\phi_{nij} \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right)$ are bounded uniformly with respect to all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$. Consequently, there exists $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left| w_{njk} \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right| \leq k_1 e \quad (16)$$

for all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$.

By (ii), there exists $x_2 > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq n \phi_{ni} \left(t, \frac{s}{n} \right) \leq x_2$$

for all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$. Hence, by (iv), there exists $k_2 > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{nij} \left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot n \phi_n \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right) \leq e_j^{(i)} + \frac{k_2}{n},$$

or

$$0 \leq m_n \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq E + \frac{k_2}{n} I \quad (17)$$

for all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$.

By (15), (16) and (17) we have

$$\left| \phi_{n \cdot jk} \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right| \leq k_1 \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \left(E + \frac{k_2}{n} I \right)^\tau e \quad (18)$$

for all n , all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and all $s \in [0 x]^r$.

For the same reasons as in the proof of (i), the sequence $\left(E + \frac{k_2}{n} I\right)^t$ is bounded with respect to all n and all $t \leq [t_0 n]$ and the assertion of (v) follows then from (18).

(vi) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ there exist n_0 and $k > 0$ such that

$$|M_n^{[tn]-[t'n]} - E| < k(t-t')I$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $0 \leq t' \leq t \leq t_0$.

Proof.

$$M_n^{[tn]-[t'n]} - E = (M_n - E) \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-[t'n]-1} M_n^\tau.$$

By (i),

$$|M_n^{[tn]-[t'n]} - E| \leq \frac{1}{n} |A_n| \cdot ([tn] - [t'n]) k_1 I$$

for some $k_1 > 0$, and the assertion of (vi) follows from the fact that the sequence $|A_n|$ is bounded and that $\frac{1}{n}([tn] - [t'n]) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} t - t'$.

(vii) To each $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x > 0$, there exist n_0 and $k > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_{ni}(t, s) - \psi_{ni}(t', s)| \leq k|t - t'|$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, all i , all $t \leq t_0$, $t' \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

Proof. Assume $t' < t$. By (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ni}(t, s) - \psi_{ni}(t', s) &= n \phi_{ni} \left([tn] - [t'n], \phi_n \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right) \\ &\quad - n \phi_{ni} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) = n \sum_j (M_{nij}^{[tn]-[t'n]} - e_j^{(i)}) \phi_{nj} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{j,k} \phi_{nij k} ([tn] - [t'n], \sigma_n(t, t', s)) \phi_{nj} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \phi_{nk} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 \leq \sigma_n(t, t', s) \leq \phi_n \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right). \quad (19)$$

By (ii)

$$\phi_{ni} \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq \frac{k_1}{n} x \quad (20)$$

for some $k_1 > 0$, all $t \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

By (v), (19) and (20)

$$\phi_{nij k} ([tn] - [t'n], \sigma_n(t, t', s)) \leq ([tn] - [t'n]) \cdot k_2 \quad (21)$$

for all n , all $t, t' \leq t_0$, all $s \in [0, x]^r$ and some $k_2 > 0$. Using (vi), (20) and (21) we have finally

$$|\psi_{ni}(t, s) - \psi_{ni}(t', s)| \leq k_3(t-t') + k_4 \frac{[tn] - [t'n]}{n}$$

for some positive constants k_3, k_4 , for all sufficiently large n , all $t, t' \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

(viii) Let $Q_{n,m}$ be a double sequence of probability measures on R_+^r . Then there exist an infinite sequence \mathcal{S} of natural numbers and finite measures Q_m on R_+^r such that

$$Q_{n,m} \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}]{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_m \quad \text{for each } m \text{ (weakly)}.$$

This assertion is well known for simple sequences. Its proof applies verbally to double sequences

(ix) There exist an infinite sequence \mathcal{S} of natural numbers and probability measures $Q_i(t, \cdot)$ on R_+^r such that

$$Q_{ni}([t]_n, \cdot) \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}]{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_i(t, \cdot) \quad \text{(weakly)} \quad (22)$$

for each $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Consider first rational $t \geq 0$ only. In this case, the probability measures $Q_{ni}(t, \cdot)$ can be arranged into a double sequence Q_{nm} and by (viii), there exist finite measures $Q_i(t, \cdot)$ and an infinite sequence \mathcal{S} such that (22) holds for all rational $t \geq 0$. Put, for each rational $t \geq 0$,

$$\psi_i(t, s) = -\log \int_{R_+^r} e^{-bs} Q_i(t, db) \quad (s \in R_+^r). \quad (23)$$

It follows from (21) that

$$\psi_i(t, s) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \mathcal{S}}} \psi_{ni}(t, s) \quad (24)$$

for all rational $t \geq 0$ and all $s \in R_+^r, s > 0$.

Consider now an irrational $t' > 0$ and take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s \in R_+^r$. There exists a rational $t \geq 0$ such that $|t - t'| < \varepsilon$ and, by (vii),

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{ni}(t', s) - \psi_{mi}(t', s)| &\leq |\psi_{ni}(t', s) - \psi_{ni}(t, s)| + |\psi_{mi}(t', s) - \psi_{mi}(t, s)| \\ &\quad + |\psi_{ni}(t, s) - \psi_{mi}(t, s)| < k\varepsilon + |\psi_{ni}(t, s) - \psi_{mi}(t, s)| \end{aligned}$$

for some $k > 0$ and sufficiently large m, n . Using (24) we see that the limit $\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \mathcal{S}}} \psi_{ni}(t, s)$ exists also for each irrational $t > 0$, i.e. for all $t \geq 0$ (and all $s \in R_+^r, s > 0$). By a well known theorem, there exist then finite measures $Q_i(t, \cdot)$ such that (22) holds for all $t \geq 0$, and if we define $\psi_i(t, s)$ by (23) for all $t \geq 0$ this time, the relation (24) holds (for all $t \geq 0$ and all $s \in R_+^r, s > 0$). It remains to show that $Q_i(t, \cdot)$ are probability measures.

Take a fixed $t \geq 0$. By (ii)

$$\psi_{ni}(t, s) = n \phi_{ni} \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq k \|s\|$$

for some $k > 0$, all n and all s from a finite interval $[0, x]^r$. Hence, by (24), $\psi_i(t, s) \leq k \|s\|$ for all $s \in [0, x]^r, s > 0$, and since $\psi_i(t, s)$ is continuous in $s, \psi_i(t, 0) = 0$.

(x) The relation (24) holds for all $s \in R_+^r$ and the functions $\psi_i(t, s)$ are continuous in (t, s) .

Proof. Since we have shown that $Q_i(t, \cdot)$ are also probability measures, the first part of the assertion follows from (22). By (vii),

$$|\psi_i(t, s) - \psi_i(t', s)| < k|t - t'|$$

for some $k > 0$, all $t, t' \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$ and each $\psi_i(t, s)$ is continuous in s . This proves the second part of the assertion.

In the next lemmas, the following notation will be used:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij}(t, s) &= \alpha_{ij} - \sum_k \beta_{ijk} \psi_k(t, s), & R(t, s) &= (R_{ij}(t, s))_{i,j}, \\ S_m(t, s) &= \int_{0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq t} R(t_m, s) R(t_{m-1}, s) \dots R(t_1, s) dt_1 \dots dt_m, \\ S_0(t, s) &= E, \\ \psi(t, s) &= (\psi_1(t, s), \dots, \psi_r(t, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(xi) For each $t_0 > 0$ and $x > 0$, the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} S_m(t, s)$$

is convergent uniformly with respect to $(t, s) \in [0, t_0] \times [0, x]^r$.

Proof. By (x), $R_{ij}(t, s)$ are bounded in $[0, t_0] \times [0, x]^r$. Hence,

$$|S_m(t, s)| \leq \frac{k^m t_0^m}{m!} I \quad (25)$$

for some $k > 0$, all m and all $(t, s) \in [0, t_0] \times [0, x]^r$.

(xii)

$$\psi(t, s) = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} S_m(t, s) \right) s.$$

Proof. By (13) (with $t = 1$) we have

$$\phi_n(s) = [M_n + Z_n(s)] s \quad (26)$$

where $Z_n(s)$ is the matrix $(Z_{nij}(s))_{i,j}$ and

$$Z_{nij}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \phi_{nik}(\sigma_n(s)) s_k, \quad 0 \leq \sigma_n(s) \leq s.$$

Using $\phi_n(\tau + 1, s) = \phi_n(\phi_n(\tau, s))$ and (26), we obtain

$$\phi_n(t, s) = \prod_{\tau=1}^t (M_n + Z_n(\phi_n(t-\tau, s))) s.$$

For each $t \geq 0$, put

$$R_n(t, s) = A_n + n Z_n \left(\phi_n \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n(t, s) &= n \phi_n \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) = \prod_{\tau=1}^{[tn]} \left(E + \frac{1}{n} R_n \left(\frac{[tn] - \tau}{n}, s \right) \right) s \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{[tn]} S_{n,m}(t, s) \right) s,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_{n,m}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq [tn]-1 \\ \text{(integers)}}} R_n \left(\frac{t_m}{n}, s \right) \dots R_n \left(\frac{t_1}{n}, s \right) \\ &= \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m \leq [tn]} R_n(t_m, s) \dots R_n(t_1, s) dt, \dots, dt_m\end{aligned}$$

and $S_{n,0}(t, s) \equiv E$.

By (2), (3), (ii) and (x),

$$R_n(t, s) \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}]{n \rightarrow \infty} R(t, s)$$

for each $t \geq 0$ and $s \in R_+^l$. Further, for each $t \geq 0$ and s , the functions $R_n(\tau, s)$ are uniformly bounded with respect to n and $\tau \in [0, t]$ because of (2), (3) and (ii). Hence

$$S_{nm}(t, s) \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}]{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m(t, s) \quad (27)$$

for each m, t, s . Also, by (2), (3) and (ii), to each t and s there exists $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$|S_{n,m}(t, s)| \leq \frac{k_1^m t^m}{m!} I \quad (28)$$

for all n and m . Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n(t, s) - \left(\sum_{m=0}^l S_m(t, s) \right) s &= \sum_{m=0}^l (S_{n,m}(t, s) - S_m(t, s)) \cdot s \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{m=l+1}^{[tn]} S_{n,m}(t, s) - \sum_{m=l+1}^{\infty} S_m(t, s) \right) \cdot s\end{aligned}$$

for any $l, 0 < l < [tn]$ and the assertion of (xii) follows from (25), (27) and (28).

(xiii) The functions $\psi_i(t, s)$ satisfy (5) with initial conditions $\psi_i(0, s) = s_i$.

Proof. Differentiating formally (xii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, s) &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S_m(t, s) \right) s \\ &= R(t, s) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} S_m(t, s) \right) s = R(t, s) \psi(t, s).\end{aligned}$$

Since by (xi) the series on the right-hand side is convergent uniformly with respect to t in each finite interval, the term-by-term differentiation is justified and (5) holds. The initial conditions follow from

$$\psi_{ni}(0, s) = s_i.$$

(xiv) $\psi_n(t, s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t, s)$ for all t and s .

Proof. By (x), the limit relation holds if n runs through the sequence \mathcal{S} . Let us assume that (xiv) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence \mathcal{S}_1 of natural numbers such that

$$\psi_{n_i}(t_0, s_0) \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}_1]{n \rightarrow \infty} l \neq \psi_i(t_0, s_0) \quad (29)$$

for some i, t_0, s_0 . Using the same method as before we can select a subsequence $\mathcal{S}_2 \subset \mathcal{S}_1$ such that

$$\psi_n(t, s) \xrightarrow[n \in \mathcal{S}_2]{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\psi}(t, s) \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and } s, \quad (30)$$

and prove that the limit function $\bar{\psi}(t, s)$ satisfies (5) with the same initial conditions $\bar{\psi}(0, s) = s$. Hence, $\bar{\psi}(t, s) \equiv \psi(t, s)$ which contradicts (29) and (30).

In the last three lemmas, the functions $C_i(s)$ defined by (7) and the functions

$$C_{ni}(s) = n^2 \left(\phi_{ni} \left(\frac{1}{n} s \right) - \frac{s_i}{n} \right)$$

will be used.

(xv) For each i and s

$$C_{ni}(s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} C_i(s).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ni} \left(\frac{1}{n} s \right) &= \sum_j M_{nij} \frac{s_j}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \phi_{nij}(\sigma_n) \frac{s_j s_k}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{s_i}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \left[\sum_j \alpha_{nij} s_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \phi_{nij}(\sigma_n) s_j s_k \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \sigma_n < \frac{1}{n} s$. The assertion follows from (2) and (3).

(xvi) To each t_0 and $x > 0$ there exists n_0 and $k > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_{nij}(t, s) - \psi_{nij}(t', s)| \leq k |t - t'|$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, all i, j , all $t \leq t_0$, $t' \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$.

Proof. Assume $t' < t$. By (11) and (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{nij}(t, s) - \psi_{nij}(t', s) &= \sum_k \phi_{nik} \left([tn] - [t'n], \phi_n \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right) \phi_{nkj} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \\ &\quad - \phi_{nij} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) = \sum_k (M_{nik}^{[tn] - [t'n]} - e_k^{(i)}) \phi_{nkj} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l} \phi_{nikl}([tn] - [t'n], \sigma_n(t, t', s)) \phi_{nl} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \phi_{nkj} \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 \leq \sigma_n(t, t', s) \leq \phi_n \left([t'n], \frac{1}{n} s \right).$$

The assertion of (xvi) can be now proved in a similar way as that of (vii), if, in addition, the inequality

$$\phi_{nkj} \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq c \tag{31}$$

is used. (31) holds for some $c > 0$, all $t \leq t_0$ and all $s \in [0, x]^r$ and follows easily from (i) and (iii).

(xvii) (6) holds for each i .

Proof. We shall prove the assertion for $s > 0$ only, but it would be possible to show that it holds for all $s \in R_+^r$ (with the convention that $\psi_{ij}(s)$ means the right-hand side derivative if $s_j = 0$).

By (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ni}(t+1, s) &= \phi_{ni}(t, \phi(s)) \\ &= \phi_{ni}(t, s) + \sum_j \phi_{nij}(t, s)(\phi_{nj}(s) - s_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \phi_{nij}(t, \sigma_n(t, s))(\phi_{nj}(s) - s_j)(\phi_{nk}(s) - s_k) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\sigma_n(t, s) - s| \leq |\phi_n(s) - s|. \tag{32}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ni}(t, s) &= n \phi_{ni} \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \\ &= s_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_j C_{nj}(s) \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-1} \psi_{nij} \left(\frac{\tau}{n}, s \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n^3} \sum_{jk} C_{nj}(s) C_{nk}(s) \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-1} \phi_{nij} \left(\tau, \sigma_n \left(\tau, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_{ni}([t]_n, \cdot) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_i(t, \cdot)$ weakly,

$$\psi_{nij}(t, s) \rightarrow \psi_{ij}(t, s) \tag{33}$$

for each $t \geq 0$ and $s \in R_+^r$, $s > 0$. Further, by (31)

$$\psi_{nij}(t, s) = \phi_{nij} \left([tn], \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq c \quad \text{for some } c > 0, \text{ all } n \text{ and all } t$$

from any given finite interval. Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-1} \psi_{ni} \left(\frac{\tau}{n}, s \right) = \int_0^{[tn]} \psi_{nij}(\tau, s) d\tau \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \psi_{ij}(\tau, s) ds. \tag{34}$$

By (ii) and (32), $n \sigma_n \left(t, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \leq x$ for some x , all t and all n and consequently, by (v),

$$\left| \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-1} \phi_{nij} \left(\tau, \sigma_n \left(\tau, \frac{1}{n} s \right) \right) \right| \leq k \sum_{\tau=0}^{[tn]-1} \tau \leq k t^2 n^2 \tag{35}$$

for some $k > 0$ and all n .

Using (xiv), (xv), (34) and (35) we see that

$$\psi_i(t, s) = s_i + \sum_j C_j(s) \int_0^t \psi_{ij}(\tau, s) d\tau. \quad (36)$$

By (33) and (xvi),

$$|\psi_{ij}(t, s) - \psi_{ij}(t', s)| \leq k(t - t')$$

for all t, t' from a finite interval. Hence, $\psi_{ij}(t, s)$ is continuous in t and we can therefore differentiate (36) with respect to t . This proves the existence of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_i(t, s)$ and (6).

References

1. Feller, W.: Diffusion processes in genetics. Proc. 2nd Berkeley Sympos math. Statist. Probability, 227-246 (1951).
2. Jiřina, M.: On Feller's branching diffusion processes. Časopis. Mat. **94**, 84-90 (1969).

Miloslav Jiřina
The Flinders University of South Australia
School of Math. Sciences
Bedford Park, South Australia 5042

(Received February 23, 1970)