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## 1. Introduction

It is a familiar fact that the output of the stochastic service system $G I / G / \infty$ may not by itself contain sufficient information to identify the interarrival-time and service-time distributions. For example, the output of $M / G / \infty$ is a Poisson stream ([6]; see also [3]) and so contains no information about the form of the service-time distribution; one can learn nothing from it beyond the intensity of the Poisson output, and this does not depend on the service-time distribution. It has been suggested [3] that complete structural information about the system may be obtained if we have access to the infinite permutation $P$ which converts the order of arrival (with an arbitrary customer labelled 0 ) into the order of departure (with this same customer labelled 0 ). The permutation $P$ may itself contain structural information; here, however, we are going to assume that we are supplied with one complete output-record

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ldots, t_{-2}, t_{-1}, t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the associated permutation $P$; we shall prove that then (i) the inter-arrival-time distribution $d A$ is completely identifiable, and (ii) the service-time distribution $d B$ is completely identifable up to a location parameter. (Alteration of the location of $d B$ shifts the output rigidly along the time-scale and leaves $P$ unchanged; obviously we could never detect this.)

As was explained in [3], the output of $G I / G / \infty$ can be interpreted as a randomly delayed renewal process. Such point-processes often form the input to a queueing system [2, 4]; it is this fact which is responsible for our interest in the present problem.

We are here concerned only with the uniqueness of $d A$ and $d B$, and not with their estimation from empirical data. That task would call for quite different methods, and will be discussed elsewhere [5].

## 2. Characteristic functions of non-negative random variables ${ }^{\star * *}$

If $\Phi(t)=E e^{i t u}$, where $u$ is a non-negative random variable and $t$ is real, we shall say that $\Phi$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{K}_{+}$. This sub-class of the whole family $\mathscr{K}$ of characteristic functions has some very special properties; for example, Smitн [7] has remarked that the set of zeros of $\Phi$ cannot contain a non-degenerate interval,

[^0]and that two members $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ of $\mathscr{K}_{+}$must coincide if they agree on a nondegenerate interval. We here record two similar but much stronger results; we shall make use of them in $\S 3$ *.

Theorem A. Let $\Phi \in \mathscr{K}_{+}$; then the set $\{t: \Phi(t)=0,-\infty<t<\infty\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

Theorem B. Let $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ belong to $\mathscr{K}_{+}$; then $\Phi_{1} \equiv \Phi_{2}$ if $\Phi_{1}(t)=\Phi_{2}(t)$ throughout a $t$-set of positive Lebesgue measure.

Proofs. Both theorems follow if we prove the assertion of Theorem $A$ for the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\Phi$ of a non-null totally-finite signed measure $\mu$ on $[0, \infty)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{0-}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1-z}{1+z} u\right) \mu(d u) \quad(|z| \leqq 1, z \neq-1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is analytic for $|z|<1$ and bounded and continuous on the punctured disk on which it is here defined. At a point $z=e^{i \theta}(-\pi<\theta<\pi)$ of the perimeter of the disk, $(1-z) /(1+z)=-i \tan \theta / 2$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\Phi(\tan \theta / 2) \quad(-\pi<\theta<\pi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a theorem proved by the brothers Riesz in 1916 (for which see, for example, [1], p. 46) we know that the bounded analytic function $f$ must vanish identically if its Fatou radial limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ vanishes on a $\theta$-set of positive Lebesgue measure, and so $\mu$ must vanish (because $\int e^{-s u} \mu(d u)$ will vanish for all real $s>0$ ) if $\Phi(t)=0$ on a $t$-set of positive Lebesgue measure.

## 3. The identification problem

We now return to the identification problem formulated in the introduction. We know the epochs of departure of the successively departing customers, and we know the permutation $P$ and so know in what order those customers arrived at the system. Thus, if $C, C^{\prime}$, and $C^{\prime \prime}$ are three customers who arrived consecutively in that order, we will be able to observe the epochs at which they each departed. Now suppose that in fact

```
    C arrived at T and departed at T+v,
    C' arrived at T+u' and departed at T}+\mp@subsup{u}{}{\prime
```

and

$$
C^{\prime \prime} \text { arrived at } T+u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime} \text { and departed at } T+u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime \prime} .
$$

From observations on triplets like this we can determine the joint distribution of the differences

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=u^{\prime}+\left(v^{\prime}-v\right), \quad y=u^{\prime \prime}+\left(v^{\prime \prime}-v^{\prime}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]of the elements in the second column (by an appeal to the strong law of large numbers) ${ }^{\star}$. We shall prove that the joint distribution of $x$ and $y$ uniquely determines $d A$ and $d B$ up to an error in the location of the latter.

Let us write $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ for the characteristic functions of $d A$ and $d B$, so that $\Phi(t)=E e^{i t u}$ and $\Psi(t)=E e^{i t v}$ ( $t$ real). The characteristic function of the distribution of $x$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=\Phi(t)|\Psi(t)|^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the joint characteristic function of the distribution of $(x, y)$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t, \tau)=\Phi(t) \Phi(\tau) \Psi(-t) \Psi(t-\tau) \Psi(\tau) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that both functions $L$ and $M$ can be considered known.
If we know $M$, then we must also know the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=M(t, t)=\Phi(t) L(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ and therefore also $L$ vanish at most in a set of measure zero, and so $\Phi(t)$ is given almost everywhere by $N(t) / L(t)$, and so is determined for all real $t$ by continuity; that is, $d A$ is uniquely determined by the data supposed given.

Exactly the same argument applied to (5) shows that $|\Psi(t)|^{2}$ is uniquely determined (it is equal almost everywhere to $\left.\{L(t)\}^{2} / N(t)\right)$, and so $\Psi(t)$ is known for every real $t$ save for a phase-factor. In order to show that $d B$ is known up to a shift in location we have to prove that the undetermined phase-factor has the form $e^{i b t}$. To establish this we have found it necessary to make use of the bivariate function $M$. It should be noted that the facts $\Psi \in \mathscr{K}_{+},|\Psi|^{2}$ known, are not in themselves sufficient to determine the distribution $d B$ up to a shift in location. For a counter-example, take the distinct characteristic functions $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2} \in \mathscr{K}_{+}$ given by

$$
\Psi_{1}(t)=\xi(t) \eta(t), \quad \Psi_{2}(t)=\xi(t) \eta(-t) e^{i c t}
$$

where $\xi$ is any member of $\mathscr{K}_{+}$, and $\eta$ is any asymmetric characteristic function with range $[0, c]$; for example,

$$
\eta(t)=1-p+p e^{i c l}\left(0<p<1, p \neq \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

Suppose then that two solutions $\Psi=\lambda$ and $\Psi=\mu$ are compatible with the data, so that $|\lambda|=|\mu|,=|L| /|N|^{1 / 2}$ a. e. We shall have

$$
\lambda(-t) \lambda(t-\tau) \lambda(\tau)=\frac{M(t, \tau)}{\Phi(t) \Phi(\tau)}=\mu(-t) \mu(t-\tau) \mu(\tau)
$$

provided that each of $t$ and $\tau$ lies outside a certain null-set (the zero-set for $\Phi$ ), whence by continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(-t) \lambda(t-\tau) \lambda(\tau)=\mu(-t) \mu(t-\tau) \mu(\tau) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all real $t$ and $\tau$. From this we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(t-\tau) \mu(t) \mu(-\tau)|\lambda(-t) \lambda(\tau)|^{2} \\
& \quad=\mu(t-\tau) \lambda(t) \lambda(-\tau)|\mu(-t) \mu(\tau)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]and so (the two squared moduli being equal) we find that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t-\tau) \mu(t) \mu(-\tau)=\mu(t-\tau) \lambda(t) \lambda(-\tau) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

first everywhere save when $|\lambda(-t)|=|\mu(-t)|=0$ or when $|\lambda(\tau)|=|\mu(\tau)|=0$, and then (trivially) everywhere else.

We now change the sign of $\tau$, and put $\beta(t)=\lambda(t) / \mu(t)$ save in the null-set $Z$ on which $|\lambda(t)|=|\mu(t)|=0$. From (9) we then find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t+\tau)=\beta(t) \beta(\tau) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

except when some one of $t, \tau$, or $t+\tau$ lies in $Z$.
Now $\lambda(0)=1$ and $\lambda$ is continuous, so that $|\lambda|=|\mu|>0$ throughout some neighbourhood $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of the point $t=0$; no point of $Z$ can lie in this neighbourhood. It follows that the function $\beta$ is continuous and satisfies the Cauchy functional equation (10) in the halved neighbourhood ( $-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2$ ), and that $\beta(0)=1$, and so we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t)=e^{i b t}, \quad \lambda(t)=e^{i b t} \mu(t) \neq 0 \tag{ll}
\end{equation*}
$$

throughout the halved neighbourhood.
Put $\mu_{1}(t)=e^{i b t} \mu(t)$ for all real $t$, so that $\mu_{1}$ coincides with $\lambda$ on ( $-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2$ ). Going back to (9) (which holds when $\mu$ is replaced by $\mu_{1}$ ) and changing the sign of $\tau$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t+\tau) \mu_{1}(t) \mu_{1}(\tau)=\mu_{1}(t+\tau) \lambda(t) \lambda(\tau) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all real $t$ and $\tau$. Let $J$ be the set on which $\lambda(t)=\mu_{1}(t)$. Then
(i) $(-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2) \subseteq J \backslash Z$,
and
(ii) $t+\tau \in J$ whenever both $t$ and $\tau$ lie in $J \backslash Z$. Now let

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=t \quad+\tau_{1} \\
t_{2}=t_{1} \quad+\tau_{2} \\
\ldots \\
t_{n}=t_{n-1}+\tau_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

then iteration of (ii) shows that
(iii) $t_{n} \in J$ provided that $t$ and all $\tau$ 's lie in $J \backslash Z$ and further provided that all of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ lie outside $Z$.

Choose any $t$ in ( $-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2$ ), and let $t^{\prime}$ be arbitrary. When $n$ is sufficiently large we can put $t^{\prime}=t_{n}$ and satisfy the conditions of (iii) by ensuring that all the $\tau$ 's lie in ( $-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2$ ) and that all the "bridging" points $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ avoid $Z$. Thus $t^{\prime}$ is in $J$, which must therefore be the whole line, and so

$$
\lambda(t)=\mu_{1}(t)=e^{i b t} \mu(t)
$$

for all real $t$, as required.
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