

## An Algebraic Central Limit Theorem in the Anti-Commuting Case

W. von Waldenfels

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik der Universität,  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, 6900 Heidelberg 1, Federal Republic of Germany

In a previous paper [2] an algebraic version of the central limit theorem was derived which contains the classical central limit theorem and the convergence to “quasi-free states” in the quantum mechanics of bosons. In the following paper these results shall be generalized in order to cover the convergence to “fermion quasi-free states”. In the same way higher central limit theorems can be derived which become trivial in the case of positivity. The method of proof is somewhat different from [3]. The results are more general, as one does not presuppose a Clifford algebra, but the structure of Clifford algebra comes out in the limit theorem.

We consider an associative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with 1 over a field  $K$  and denote by  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  the tensor product of  $N$  copies of  $\mathfrak{A}$  as a vector space without any multiplicative structure. If in  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  the product

$$(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n), (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_N) \mapsto f_1 g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_N g_N$$

is introduced,  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  becomes an associative  $K$ -algebra and is then denoted by  $\otimes_\sigma^N \mathfrak{A}$ , called  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  with the symmetric multiplication, emphasizing the fact that e.g.  $f \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes g \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$  commute.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a semigraded algebra, i.e.  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{A}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_i \mathfrak{A}_j \subset \mathfrak{A}_k$ , with  $k \equiv i + j \pmod{2}$ , then in  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  an antisymmetric multiplication [1] can be introduced. Define  $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{A}_0 \cup \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ , if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ,  $\varepsilon(x) = 1$ , if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_N, g_1, \dots, g_N$  belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  or  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ , then put

$$(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_N)(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_N) = \pm f_1 g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_N g_N$$

where the + sign stands, if  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \varepsilon(f_j) \varepsilon(g_i)$  is even and the – sign stands if this sum is odd. With this multiplication  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  forms an associative semigraded algebra [1] which is denoted by  $\otimes_\alpha^N \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\alpha$  stands for antisymmetric, for e.g.  $f \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$  anticommute if  $f$  and  $g$  belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . An important example is the free algebra  $\mathfrak{F}$  generated by  $x_i, i \in I$ . This algebra is semigraded, with  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  spanned by the monomials of even degree, and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  spanned by the monomials of odd degree.

We prove first a combinatorial lemma which generalizes that of [2]. If  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ , denote

$$f_1^{(N)} = f \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, \dots, f_N^{(N)} = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes f \in \bigotimes^N \mathfrak{A}$$

and

$$f^{(N)} = f_1^{(N)} + f_2^{(N)} + \cdots + f_N^{(N)}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\omega: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow K$  be linear and  $\omega(1) = 1$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semigraded and that in  $\bigotimes^n \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $n \in N$ , either the symmetric or the antisymmetric multiplication has been introduced. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then*

$$\omega^{\otimes N}(f_1^{(N)} \cdots f_k^{(N)}) = \sum_{p=1}^k \binom{N}{p} \sum_{\varphi \in F(k, p)} \omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)})$$

where  $\omega^{\otimes N}: \mathfrak{A}^{\otimes N} \rightarrow K$  is defined by linear extension from  $\omega^{\otimes N}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_N) = \omega(f_1) \cdots \omega(f_N)$  and  $F(n, p)$  denotes the set of all functions from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  onto  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ .

*Proof.* The lemma uses only the following coherence property of the multiplication laws of  $\bigotimes_\sigma^N \mathfrak{A}$  resp.  $\bigotimes_\alpha^N \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the class of all finite ordered sets. Let  $S, S' \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\varphi: S \rightarrow S'$  be order-preserving and injective. Define the mapping  $\eta(\varphi): \bigotimes^S \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \bigotimes^{S'} \mathfrak{A}$  by

$$\eta(\varphi)(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S} f_\alpha) = \bigotimes_{\beta \in S'} \tilde{f}_\beta$$

where  $\tilde{f}_\beta = f_\alpha$  if  $\beta = \varphi(\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{f}_\beta = 1$  if  $\beta \notin \varphi(S)$ .

We say a family of multiplication laws in  $\bigotimes^S \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ , is *coherent* if  $\eta(\varphi): \bigotimes^S \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \bigotimes^{S'} \mathfrak{A}$  is a multiplicative homomorphism, for every order-preserving injection  $\varphi$ . It is easy to prove that the families  $\bigotimes_\sigma^S \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\bigotimes_\alpha^S \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  have this coherence property.

Even without any coherence property one has

$$\omega^{\otimes S} \circ \eta(\varphi) = \omega^{\otimes S'}.$$

Multiplying out the left-hand side below one sees

$$f_1^{(N)} \cdots f_k^{(N)} = \sum_{\psi} f_{1, \psi(1)}^{(N)} \cdots f_{k, \psi(k)}^{(N)}$$

where  $\psi$  runs over all mappings  $\psi: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Fix  $\psi$  and set  $S = \text{Im } \psi = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p\}$ ,  $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_p$ . Let  $\vartheta$  be the mapping  $\{1, \dots, p\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $i \mapsto \gamma_i$ . As  $\vartheta$  is order-preserving and injective,  $\eta(\vartheta)$  is a multiplicative homomorphism from  $\bigotimes^p \mathfrak{A}$  into  $\bigotimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  with either symmetric or antisymmetric multiplication. For  $j \in S$

$$f_{i, j}^{(N)} = \eta(\vartheta) f_{i, \vartheta^{-1}(j)}^{(p)}$$

and

$$f_{i, \psi(i)}^{(N)} = \eta(\vartheta) f_{i, \vartheta(i)}^{(p)}$$

with  $\varphi = \vartheta^{-1} \circ \psi$ . Hence

$$f_{1, \psi(1)}^{(N)} \cdots f_{k, \psi(k)}^{(N)} = \eta(\vartheta)(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\otimes N}(f_{1, \psi(1)}^{(N)} \cdots f_{k, \psi(k)}^{(N)}) &= \omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)}) \\ &= C_\varphi, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $\varphi$ . Then for any subset of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $p$  elements there can be defined  $\psi$  and  $\vartheta$  such that  $\varphi = \vartheta^{-1} \circ \psi$ . So to any  $\varphi$  there belong  $\binom{N}{p}$  mappings  $\psi$ . Hence the result sought

$$\omega^{\otimes N}(f_1^{(N)} \cdots f_k^{(N)}) = \sum_{p=1}^k \binom{N}{p} \sum_{\varphi} C_\varphi.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\omega: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow K$  be linear and  $\omega(1)=1$ . Assume that in  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$  either the symmetric or the antisymmetric multiplication has been introduced. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathfrak{A}$  and assume that

$$\omega(f_{i_1}), \omega(f_{i_1} f_{i_2}), \dots, \omega(f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_{s-1}}) = 0$$

for  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{s-1} \leq k$ . Then as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\omega^{\otimes N}((f_1^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \cdots (f_k^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}))$$

goes to 0 if  $k$  is not a multiple of  $s$  and to

$$\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\varphi \in F(k, p, s)} \omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)}) \quad \text{if } k = ps$$

where  $F(k, p, s)$  is the set of all mappings  $\varphi$  from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  onto  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $\#\varphi^{-1}(i) = s$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

*Proof.* We use the following fact which holds for symmetric and antisymmetric multiplications in  $\otimes^N \mathfrak{A}$ :

$$\omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)})$$

vanishes if there exists an  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , such that  $\#\varphi^{-1}(i) < s$ . By Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\otimes N}((f_1^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \cdots (f_k^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s})) \\ = N^{-k/s} \sum_{p=1}^k \binom{N}{p} \sum_{\varphi \in F(k, p)} \omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \cdots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)}) \end{aligned}$$

and in the right-hand sum only those  $\varphi$  appear for which  $\#\varphi^{-1}(i) \geq s$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . This implies that  $sp \leq k$ ,  $p \leq k/s$ . For  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k$  not a multiple of  $s$ , the highest term in  $N$  vanishes at least like  $N^{-1/s}$ , as  $\binom{N}{p}$  behaves like  $N^p/p!$ . If  $k$  is a multiple of  $s$ ,  $k = p_0 s$ , only the term with  $p = p_0$  survives and gives the stated result.

From the Lemmata 1 and 2 immediately follow Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 of [2] and hence the rest of the results for symmetric multiplication. In the following therefore we treat only  $\otimes_x^N \mathfrak{A}$ . We introduce some notation. Let  $a_i, i \in I$ , be a family of elements of an algebra  $A$ , assume  $I$  to be ordered and  $S \subset I, S = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  a finite subset. Then we denote by  $a_S$  the product  $a_S = a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}$ . If  $S$  is a finite ordered set and  $(S_1, \dots, S_p)$  a sequence of subsets of  $S$  with  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p S_i = S$ , then  $\binom{S}{S_1 \dots S_p}$  denotes the permutation of  $S$ , which is defined in the following way: map the first element of  $S$  onto the first element of  $S_1$ , the second element of  $S$  onto the second element of  $S_1$  and so on until  $S_1$  is exhausted. Then map the following element of  $S$  onto the first element of  $S_2$  and so on.

If  $\varphi$  is a mapping from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  onto  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ , then

$$\omega^{\otimes p}(f_{1, \varphi(1)}^{(p)} \dots f_{k, \varphi(k)}^{(p)}) = \text{sg} \binom{1 \dots k}{S_1 \dots S_p} \omega(f_{S_1}) \dots \omega(f_{S_p})$$

with  $S_i = \varphi^{-1}(i), i = 1, \dots, p$ .

**Lemma 3.** Assume that in  $\otimes_x^N \mathfrak{A}$  the antisymmetric multiplication has been introduced, that  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ , and that  $\omega(f_S) = 0$  for  $S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}, \#S < s$ . Then

$$\omega^{\otimes N}((f_1^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \dots (f_k^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}))$$

converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to 0 if  $k$  is not a multiple of  $s$  and to

$$\omega(f_1 \dots f_p) \quad \text{if } k = p$$

and to 0 if  $k = sp, s$  odd and greater than 1,  $p \geq 2$ , and to

$$\sum_{\{S_1, \dots, S_p\} \in \mathcal{P}_s(1, \dots, ps)} \text{sg} \binom{1 \dots ps}{S_1 \dots S_p} \omega(f_{S_1}) \dots \omega(f_{S_p})$$

if  $s$  even,  $p \geq 1$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}_s(1, \dots, ps)$  is the set of all partitions of  $\{1, \dots, ps\}$  into subsets of  $s$  elements. As  $\text{sg} \binom{1 \dots ps}{S_1 \dots S_p}$  does not depend upon the order of  $S_1, \dots, S_p$ , this number depends only on the partition  $\{S_1, \dots, S_p\}$ .

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the fact that

$$\text{sg} \binom{S}{S_1 \dots S_p}, \quad \#S_i = s, \quad S = \{1, \dots, k\}$$

changes sign upon interchanging  $S_i, S_j, i \neq j$ , if  $s$  is odd and does not change sign if  $s$  is even. This yields immediately the case of even  $s$ . That the expression of Lemma 2 vanishes for  $p \geq 2$  follows from the equation  $\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \text{sg } \pi = 0$  for  $p \geq 2$ .

Let  $a_i, i \in I$ , be a family of elements of  $\mathfrak{A}, a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{F}$  the free algebra generated by  $x_i, i \in I$ . Let  $Q: I^s \rightarrow K$  be an  $s$ -dimensional matrix,  $s$  even. Define

the antigaussian functional  $\alpha_Q$  of order  $s$  on  $\mathfrak{F}$  with respect to  $Q$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_Q(1) &= 1, \\ \alpha_Q(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) &= 0, \text{ if } k \text{ is not a multiple of } s, \\ \alpha_Q(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{ps}}) &= \sum_{\{S_1, \dots, S_p\} \in \mathcal{P}_s(1, \dots, ps)} \text{sg} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & ps \\ S_1 & \dots & S_p \end{pmatrix} Q_{i(S_1)} \dots Q_{i(S_p)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_{i(S_1)} = Q_{i(j_1) \dots i(j_s)}$  if  $S_1 = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}, j_1 < \dots < j_s$ . Then one has

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\omega(a_{i_1}) = 0, \dots, \omega(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_{s-1}}) = 0$  for  $i_1, \dots, i_{s-1} \in I$  and let  $s$  be even. Then*

$$\omega^{\otimes N}(P(a_i^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s})) \rightarrow \alpha_Q(P)$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $P$  is any polynomial and  $P(a_i^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s})$  signifies that in  $P$  the  $x_i$  have been replaced by  $a_i^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}$ .

We did not formulate the case of odd  $s$  in such a solemn way, as this case is trivial by Lemma 3.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a semigraded algebra. Define in  $\mathfrak{A}$  a non-associative multiplication  $\circ$  by linear extension from the definition

$$f_1 \circ f_2 = f_1 f_2 - (-1)^{\varepsilon(f_1)\varepsilon(f_2)} f_2 f_1$$

if  $f_i$  belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  or to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . So  $f_1 \circ f_2$  is the commutator unless both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . In that case it is the anticommutator.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_c \subset \mathfrak{F}$  be the  $\circ$ -subalgebra generated by  $x_i, i \in I$ . So  $\mathfrak{F}_c$  is the linear span of  $1, x_i, x_i \circ x_j, (x_i \circ x_j) \circ x_k, x_i \circ (x_j \circ x_k)$ , etc.  $\mathfrak{F}_c$  is nothing else than the Lie superalgebra generated by  $x_i$  [4].

**Theorem 2.** *The antigaussian functional  $\alpha_Q: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow K$  of order  $s$  vanishes on the two-sided ideal generated by the elements of the form*

$$P - \alpha_Q(P),$$

where  $P$  runs through all homogeneous polynomials of degree  $s$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_c$ .

*Proof.* One gets immediately for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$  that

$$\alpha_Q^{\otimes N}(P(x_i^{\otimes N} \cdot N^{-1/s})) \rightarrow \alpha_Q(P)$$

for  $P \in \mathfrak{F}$ . As  $\otimes_s^n \mathfrak{A}$  is again semigraded, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semigraded [1], we can define  $\circ$  in  $\otimes_s^n \mathfrak{A}$  as well as in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We state then that  $(W_1 \circ W_2)^{(N)} = W_1^{(N)} \circ W_2^{(N)}$  for monomials  $W_1, W_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ , for

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{(N)} \circ W_2^{(N)} &= \sum_{i, j=1}^N W_{1,i}^{(N)} \circ W_{2,j}^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_{1,i}^{(N)} \circ W_{2,i}^{(N)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (W_1 \circ W_2)_i^{(N)} = (W_1 \circ W_2)^{(N)} \end{aligned}$$

hence for  $P \in \mathfrak{F}$  one has

$$P(x_i^{(N)}) = P^{(N)}.$$

Then for  $k = ps$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_Q(x_{i(1)} \dots x_{i(j)} P x_{i(j+1)} \dots x_{i(k)}) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_Q^{\otimes N}((x_{i(1)}^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \dots (x_{i(j)}^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \\ & \quad \cdot P(x_{i(j+1)}^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s}) \dots (x_{i(k)}^{(N)} \cdot N^{-1/s})) \\ &= \lim N^{-(p+1)} \alpha_Q^{\otimes N}(x_{i(1)}^{(N)} \dots x_{i(j)}^{(N)} P^{(N)} x_{i(j+1)}^{(N)} \dots x_{i(k)}^{(N)}) \\ &= \lim N^{-(p+1)} \sum_{q=1}^{k+1} \binom{N}{q} \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{\varphi} \alpha_Q^{\otimes q}(x_{i(1), \varphi(1)}^{(q)} \dots x_{i(j), \varphi(j)}^{(q)} P_{\varphi(\Delta)}^{(q)} x_{i(j+1), \varphi(j+1)}^{(q)} \dots x_{i(k), \varphi(k)}^{(q)}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum runs over all  $\varphi$  from  $\{1, \dots, j, \Delta, j+1, \dots, k\}$  onto  $\{1, \dots, q\}$ , and  $\Delta$  has been inserted to take care of  $P$ .

The term corresponding to  $\varphi$  vanishes unless  $\varphi^{-1}(i)$  contains  $\geq s$  elements or  $\Delta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Hence as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  only those  $\varphi$  survive for which  $\varphi^{-1}(i) \subset \{1, \dots, j, j+1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\# \varphi^{-1}(i) = s$  or  $\varphi^{-1}(i) = \{\Delta\}$ .

The limit becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \sum_{\varphi} \alpha_Q^{\otimes (p+1)}(x_{i(1), \varphi(1)}^{(p+1)} \dots x_{i(j), \varphi(j)}^{(p+1)} P_{\varphi(\Delta)}^{(p+1)} x_{i(j+1), \varphi(j+1)}^{(p+1)} \dots x_{i(k), \varphi(k)}^{(p+1)}) \\ &= \alpha_Q(P) \alpha_Q(x_{i(1)} \dots x_{i(j)} x_{i(j+1)} \dots x_{i(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

as  $s$  is even,  $P$  is an even polynomial and  $P_{\varphi(\Delta)}$  commutes with  $x_{i(l)}$ . For  $k = ps$  one has therefore

$$\alpha_Q(x_{i(1)} \dots x_{i(j)} (P - \alpha_Q(P)) x_{i(j+1)} \dots x_{i(k)}) = 0.$$

For  $k$  not divisible by  $p$  this formula is trivial. Hence the theorem.

*Discussion of the Results.* The most interesting case is  $s = 2$ . If  $s \geq 3$ ,  $K = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $*$ -algebra,  $a_i^* = a_i$  and  $\omega \geq 0$ , then  $Q = 0$  as has been pointed out in [2]. If  $s = 2$ , then theorem 2 reads that  $\alpha_Q$  vanishes on the ideal  $I$  generated by  $x_i x_j + x_j x_i - (Q_{ij} + Q_{ji})$ . So  $\mathfrak{F}/I$  is the Clifford algebra generated by  $\xi_i, i \in I$ , and the quadratic form defined by the matrix  $(Q_{ij} + Q_{ji})_{i, j}$ . If  $K = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is considered as a  $*$ -algebra as in [2], then the connection with the quantum mechanics of fermions is well-known.  $\alpha_Q$  can then be interpreted as a "quasi-free state" and Theorem 1 and 2 together yield a central limit theorem for non-commuting quantities.

**References**

1. Chevalley, C.: The Construction and Study of Certain Important Algebras. Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, 1 (1955)
2. Giri, N., von Waldenfels, W.: An Algebraic Version of the Central Limit Theorem. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **42**, 129 (1978)
3. Hudson, R.L.: A Quantum Mechanical Central Limit Theorem for Anticommuting Observables. J. Appl. Probability **10**, 502-509 (1973)
4. Kac, V.G.: A sketch of Lie superalgebra theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **53**, 31-64 (1977)