

On the Convergence of Martingale Transforms

LUIS BÁEZ-DUARTE

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ an increasing sequence of σ -algebras contained in \mathcal{F} . For a martingale $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and a “multiplier sequence” $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, where each $v_n \in L_\infty(\mathcal{F}_{n-1})$, Burkholder has defined the *transform* of $\{x_n\}$ as the martingale $\{y_n\}$ given by

$$y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k(x_k - x_{k-1}), \quad n \geq 1, \quad x_0 = 0.$$

and then has established [2, Theorem 1] the following

Theorem 1. *If $\{x_n\}$ is L_1 -bounded, then $\{y_n\}$ converges almost everywhere in the set where $\sup_n |v_n|$ is finite.*

Building from this result using a difficult technique developed in an earlier paper [3], Burkholder arrived at some very interesting weak-type inequalities, among them the following *maximal theorem for martingale transforms* [2, Theorem 6]: If $\sup |v_n| \leq 1$, then there is a universal constant M such that

$$P(\sup |y_n| > \lambda) \leq \frac{M}{\lambda} \sup \|x_n\|_1 \quad (1)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Weak-type inequalities such as this are often the crucial fact needed in order to prove almost everywhere convergence of a wide variety of processes, as is the case in ergodic theory, and in the theory of orthogonal expansions. In [1] the author gave a proof of Doob's martingale convergence theorem based on the relevant maximal inequality (for yet another approach see Isaac [6]). We have been able to extend our method to cover the case of martingale transforms. So in this paper we show how Theorem 1 can be deduced from the inequality (1). Thus once more a maximal inequality turns out to be equivalent to pointwise convergence. In view of this result one should like to see a direct proof of the maximal inequality for martingale transforms completely independent of their convergence a.e. In this respect we would like to remark that Gundy [5] has identified a large class of inequalities including those of Burkholder, and proved them with “elementary” martingale techniques (e.g. stopping times). His proof however is based on a somewhat intricate decomposition theorem for L_1 -bounded martingales which by itself implies Theorem 1.

2. The Convergence Theorem

As remarked by Burkholder himself it is easy to see that it suffices to establish Theorem 1 in the case where $\sup \|v_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. For convenience we introduce the following linear operators

$$T_{m,n} = \sum_{k=m}^n v_k(E_k - E_{k-1}), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n,$$

where $E_k = E(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_k)$, $k \geq 1$, and $E_0 = 0$. Setting $T_{1,n} = T_n$ we have $y_n = T_n x_n$, $n \geq 1$, is the Burkholder transform of $\{x_n\}$. It is important to note that $m \leq k \leq n$ implies $E_k T_{m,n} = T_{m,n} E_k = T_{m,k}$. From Theorems 3.1 and 2.1 of [1] one may assume without loss of generality that the L_1 -bounded martingale $\{x_n\}$ is *measure dominated*, that is, there is an integrable random variable x_∞ , and a finite signed measure ν , *singular with respect to P* , such that its restrictions to each \mathcal{F}_n are absolutely continuous with density z_n , and

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= E_n x_\infty + z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \int_{E_n} z_n dP &= \nu(E_n), \quad \text{for all } E_n \in \mathcal{F}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Clearly $T_n x_n = T_n x_\infty + T_n z_n$ so the following two propositions accomplish the proof of the theorem.

Proposition 1. *If $x_\infty \in L_1$, $\sup |v_n| \leq 1$, then $T_n x_\infty$ converges almost everywhere.*

Proof. Here one could apply Banach’s principle ([4], Theorem 2, p. 332) to the operators $\{T_n\}$, however we prefer a direct argument. Set $y_n = E_n x_\infty$, and note that $\|x_\infty - y_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ assuming without loss of generality that \mathcal{F} is generated by the $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$. Now select a sequence of integers $m_k \uparrow \infty$, such that $\sum k \|x_\infty - y_{m_k}\|_1 < \infty$. Next observe that $\{y_n - y_{m_k}\}_{n \geq m_k}$ is a martingale indexed by n , and therefore (1) gives

$$P \left(\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n y_n - T_{m_k} y_{m_k}| > \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq M k \|x_\infty - y_{m_k}\|_1.$$

Thus adding for $k=1, 2, \dots$ we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty P \left(\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n y_n - T_{m_k} y_{m_k}| > \frac{1}{k} \right) < \infty.$$

Now using the Borel-Cantelli lemma we conclude that for almost every ω the events $\left(\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n y_n - T_{m_k} y_{m_k}| \geq \frac{1}{k} \right)$ occur only for a finite number of k ’s. That is, $T_n y_n(\omega)$ is a Cauchy sequence P -a. e. But $T_n y_n(\omega) = T_n x_\infty(\omega)$. q.e.d.

Proposition 2. *Let ν be a finite signed singular measure on \mathcal{F} which dominates a martingale $\{z_n\}$, i. e., (2) is satisfied. If $\sup_n |v_n| \leq 1$ then $T_n z_n$ converges P -almost everywhere.*

Without loss of generality we assume that the algebra of sets $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ generates \mathcal{F} . The next lemma was used without proof in [1].

Lemma. For every $\delta_1 > 0, \delta_2 > 0$ one can find a set $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $P(E) < \delta_1$, and $|v|(E^c) < \delta_2$.

Proof of the Lemma. Let N be a support of v , then from the theory of outer measures we know there is a set $F \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma$ such that $N \subseteq F$ and $P(F) < \delta_1$. On the other hand we have $|v|(F) = |v|(N) = |v|(\Omega)$. Since $F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m$, with $E_m \in \mathcal{E}$, find p large enough so that $|v|\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^p E_m\right) \geq |v|(\Omega) - \delta_2$. Now set $E = \bigcup_{m=1}^p E_m$. q. e. d.

Proof of Proposition 2. Given a preassigned $\varepsilon > 0$, by repeated applications of the lemma we arrive at a sequence of sets $\{E_k\}$ such that $E_k \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}, m_k \uparrow \infty$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(E_k) < \varepsilon, \tag{i}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |v|(E_k^c) < \infty. \tag{ii}$$

For $n \geq m_k$ one easily sees that the commutation relations of the $T_{m,n}$ with conditional expectations yield

$$(T_n x_n - T_{m_k} x_{m_k}) \chi_{E_k^c} = T_{m_k, n} (x_n \chi_{E_k^c}).$$

But, for each k , the sequence $\{x_n \chi_{E_k^c}\}_{n \geq m_k}$ is a martingale indexed by n , so (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n x_n - T_{m_k} x_{m_k}| > \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ \leq M k \sup_{n \geq m_k} \int_{E_k^c} |x_n| dP \leq M k |v|(E_k^c), \end{aligned}$$

since $E_k^c \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all $n \geq m_k$, and therefore $\int_{E_k^c} |x_n| dP$ is the total variation of v restricted to \mathcal{F}_n over E_k^c . Now adding for $k=1, 2, \dots$ we get taking account of (ii)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n x_n - T_{m_k} x_{m_k}| \chi_{E_k^c} > \frac{1}{k}\right) < \infty.$$

As in Proposition 1 resort is made to the Borel-Cantelli lemma to conclude that for almost every ω there is only a finite number of k 's (depending on ω) for which

$\sup_{n \geq m_k} |T_n x_n - T_{m_k} x_{m_k}| \chi_{E_k^c} > \frac{1}{k}$. Thus $T_n x_n(\omega)$ is a Cauchy sequence for almost every ω in $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} E_k^c$, i. e., outside $D(\varepsilon) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} E_k$, with $P(D(\varepsilon)) < \varepsilon$ according to (i). So $T_n x_n(\omega)$ converges a. e. outside the null set $\bigcap_{r \geq 1} D\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$. q. e. d.

References

1. Báez-Duarte, L.: Another look at the Martingale theorem. *J. math. Analysis Appl.* **23**, 551–557 (1968).
2. Burkholder, D.L.: Martingale transforms. *Ann. math. Statistics* **37**, 1494–1504 (1966).
3. — Maximal inequalities as necessary conditions for almost everywhere convergence. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **3**, 75–88 (1964).
4. Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: *Linear operators I*. New York: Interscience Publ. 1964.
5. Gundy, R.F.: A decomposition for L_1 -bounded Martingales. *Ann. math. Statistics* **39**, 134–138 (1968).
6. Isaac, R.: A proof of the Martingale convergence theorem. *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.* **16**, 842–844 (1965).

Luis Báez-Duarte
Instituto Venezolano
de Investigaciones Científicas
Departamento de Matemáticas
Apartado 1827
Caracas, Venezuela

(Received July 20, 1970)