

On Vector-Valued Amarts and Dimension of Banach Spaces

G.A. Edgar and L. Sucheston*

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

If $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an amart of class (B) taking values in a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property, then X_n converges weakly a.s., as proved in [4]. Examples exist in [4] and [7] which show that *strong* convergence may fail, but recently Alexandra Bellow [2] proved the following result: A Banach space \mathbf{E} is finite-dimensional if (and only if) every \mathbf{E} -valued amart of class (B) converges *strongly* a.s. We prove here that if p is fixed, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then a Banach space \mathbf{E} is finite-dimensional if (and only if) every L^p -bounded \mathbf{E} -valued amart converges *weakly* a.s. The point of this is that in the amart convergence theorem for an infinite-dimensional Banach space, the assumption (B) cannot be weakened any more than the conclusion that weak a.s. convergence holds can be strengthened.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of σ -algebras contained in \mathcal{F} . A *stopping time* is a mapping $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, such that $\{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The collection of bounded stopping times is denoted by T ; under the natural ordering T is a directed set. (The notation and the terminology of the present note are close to those of our longer article [7].)

Let \mathbf{E} be a Banach space and consider a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbf{E} -valued random variables adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e. such that $X_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is \mathcal{F}_n -strongly measurable. We will write EX (expectation of X) for the Pettis integral [9] of the random variable X . The sequence (X_n) is called an *amart* iff each X_n is Pettis integrable and $\lim_{\tau \in T} EX_\tau$ exists in the strong topology of \mathbf{E} . An adapted sequence (X_n) is said to be of *class* (B) iff

$$\sup_{\tau \in T} E \|X_\tau\| < \infty. \tag{B}$$

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. (X_n) is said to be *L^p -bounded* iff

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E \|X_n\|^p < \infty. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1. *Let a number p with $1 \leq p < \infty$ be fixed. Given a Banach space \mathbf{E} , the following conditions are equivalent.*

* Research in part supported by the National Science Foundation (USA), grant MPS 72-04522A03

- (i) \mathbf{E} is finite-dimensional.
- (ii) Every \mathbf{E} -valued L^p -bounded amart converges weakly a.s.
- (iii) Every \mathbf{E} -valued L^p -bounded amart adapted to a sequence of σ -algebras \mathcal{F}_n with $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}$ for all n , converges weakly a.s.

We will prove a slightly stronger result. The condition (2) includes not only L^p -boundedness, but boundedness in vector-valued Orlicz spaces as well.

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous increasing function with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t > 0$. Let \mathbf{E} be a Banach space. The following are equivalent.

- (i) \mathbf{E} is finite-dimensional.
- (ii) Every \mathbf{E} -valued amart (X_n) such that

$$\sup_n E\Phi(\|X_n\|) < \infty \tag{2}$$

converges weakly a.s.

- (iii) Every \mathbf{E} -valued amart (X_n) satisfying (2) and adapted to a sequence of σ -algebras (\mathcal{F}_n) with $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}$ for all n , converges weakly a.s.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t > 0$, an amart satisfying (2) is L^1 -bounded. As observed in [2], the convergence is an immediate consequence of the (ascending) amart convergence theorem [1] which asserts that an L^1 -bounded scalar amart converges a.s. It suffices to point out that projections on different coordinates of an L^1 -bounded amart are L^1 -bounded amarts.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). This is the main part of the theorem. We may note the non-probabilistic nature of condition (iii). Indeed, to say that $(X_n, \mathcal{F})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an amart, is to say that for every sequence (ρ_n) of finite measurable partitions of Ω , $\rho_n = \{A_n^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r_n\}$, one has

$$\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E(X_{n+1} : A_n^i) = z \in \mathbf{E}$$

exists, the same for all $(\rho_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Thus the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is a result in the geometry of Banach spaces, and our proof is based on a fundamental result of that theory, the theorem of Dvoretzky, reducing the situation to Hilbert space. A. Bellow used the more elementary lemma of Dvoretzky-Rogers, which could also be applied, but the theorem of Dvoretzky seems to shed more light on the construction.

Given two Banach spaces \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{E} , \mathbf{F} is said to be *finitely representable* in \mathbf{E} iff, given any finite-dimensional subspace \mathbf{F}_1 of \mathbf{F} and any number $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an isomorphism V of \mathbf{F}_1 into \mathbf{E} such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|x\| \leq \|Vx\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_1$. The theorem of Dvoretzky [6] asserts that ℓ^2 is finitely representable in any infinite-dimensional Banach space.

Suppose now that \mathbf{E} is an infinite-dimensional Banach space and let $\mathbf{F} = \ell^2$. We will define an amart on $\Omega = [0, 1]$ for \mathcal{F}_n : the Lebesgue measurable sets for all n . For each n , let r_n be the least integer larger than $2^n \Phi(2n)$.

Let $\{\bar{e}_n^i: n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq r_n\}$ be a collection of orthonormal vectors in \mathbf{F} . By the theorem of Dvoretzky, for each n there is an isomorphism V_n of the Hilbert space \mathbf{F}_n spanned by $\{\bar{e}_n^i: 1 \leq i \leq r_n\}$ into \mathbf{E} , such that

$$\|V_n x\| \leq 2 \|x\| \tag{3}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_n$. Write $e_n^i = V_n \bar{e}_n^i$. Let $\rho_n = \{A_n^i: i = 1, 2, \dots, r_n\}$ be a partition of Ω such that $P(A_n^i) = 1/r_n$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, r_n$, set

$$Y_n^i = n e_n^i 1_{A_n^i}.$$

Let the sequence $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be $\{Y_n^i: n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq r_n\}$ ordered so that Y_n^i is before $Y_{n'}^{i'}$ if $n < n'$, or $n = n'$ and $i < i'$. Thus if $X_m = Y_n^i$, then $m = R_{n-1} + i$, where $R_{n-1} = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_{n-1}$. For $\tau \in T$, let $B_n^i = A_n^i \cap \{\tau = R_{n-1} + i\}$. Then

$$X_\tau = \sum_n \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} n e_n^i 1_{B_n^i}.$$

Now the sequence $X_m(\omega)$ is unbounded for each $\omega \in \Omega$, hence does not converge weakly.

In order to show that (X_n) is an amart, note that $r_n \geq 2^n \Phi(2n) \geq 2^n \Phi(2)$, so

$$\sum n r_n^{-1/2} \leq \sum n 2^{-n/2} \Phi(2)^{-1/2} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Now if $\tau \in T$, $\tau > R_{N-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|EX_\tau\| &\leq \sum_{n > N} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E(n e_n^i 1_{B_n^i}) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n > N} 2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E(n \bar{e}_n^i 1_{B_n^i}) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

But the \bar{e}_n^i are orthonormal, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E(n \bar{e}_n^i 1_{B_n^i}) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} n^2 P(B_n^i)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{n^2}{r_n} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} P(B_n^i) \leq \frac{n^2}{r_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|EX_\tau\| \leq 2 \sum_{n > N} \frac{n}{r_n^{1/2}},$$

which goes to 0 as N goes to ∞ by (4).

We next verify that (X_n) satisfies (2). Set

$$\bar{Y}_n^i = n \bar{e}_n^i 1_{A_n^i}.$$

Then for each ω ,

$$\|Y_n^i(\omega)\| \leq 2 \|\bar{Y}_n^i(\omega)\|.$$

Hence

$$E\Phi(\|Y_n^k\|) \leq E\Phi(2\|\bar{Y}_n^k\|) = E\Phi(2n 1_{A_k^k}) = \frac{\Phi(2n)}{r_n} \leq \frac{\Phi(2n)}{2^n \Phi(2n)} = \frac{1}{2^n},$$

so $E\Phi(\|X_m\|) \leq 1$ for all m .

We finally observe that we have only used Dvoretzky's theorem with $\varepsilon = 1$. A simple proof for this weaker form of the theorem was given by L. Tzafriri [10].

References

1. Austin, D.G., Edgar, G.A., Ionescu Tulcea, A.: Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **30**, 17–26 (1974)
2. Bellow, A.: On vector-valued asymptotic martingales. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **73**, 1798–1799 (1976)
3. Brunel, A., Sucheston, L.: Sur les amarts faibles à valeurs vectorielles. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **282**, 1011–1014 (1976)
4. Chacon, R.V., Sucheston, L.: On convergence of vector-valued asymptotic martingales. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **33**, 55–59 (1975)
5. Doob, J.L.: *Stochastic Processes*. New York: Wiley 1953
6. Dvoretzky, A.: Some results on convex bodies. In: *Proceedings of a Symposium on Linear Spaces*, Jerusalem 1961
7. Edgar, G.A., Sucheston, L.: Amarts: a class of asymptotic martingales. *J. multivariate Analysis* **6**, 193–221; 572–591 (1976)
8. Edgar, G.A., Sucheston, L.: The Riesz decomposition for vector-valued amarts. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **36**, 85–92 (1976)
9. Pettis, B.J.: On integration in vector spaces. *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.* **44**, 277–304 (1938)
10. Tzafriri, L.: On Banach spaces with unconditional basis. *Israel J. Math.* **17**, 84–93 (1974)

Received July 15, 1976; in revised form February 20, 1977