

Exit Kernels and Quasi-left-continuity of Standard Markov Processes

V. Dembinski

Institut für Statistik und Dokumentation der Universität Düsseldorf,
Universitätsstraße 1, D-4000 Düsseldorf 1, Federal Republic of Germany

Let X be a standard Markov process (see [2]) with the property that almost all paths have left-hand-limits everywhere on $(0, \infty)$. It is well known (see [2] p. 50, [5] p. 208) that smoothness conditions (such as ‘Feller’, ‘quasi Feller’) on the semigroup (P_t) of X imply that X is quasi-left-continuous on $[0, \infty)$, hence a Hunt process (in this context see also [3]).

Starting with a \mathfrak{B} -harmonic space (E, \mathcal{H}^*) with $1 \in \mathcal{H}^*$ one can always choose a process X_0 whose semigroup is quasi Feller (see [1]). Every standard process Y associated with (E, \mathcal{H}^*) (i.e. $+\mathcal{H}^*$ coincides with the set of all excessive functions of Y) can be obtained from X_0 by means of a time change. So the question arises if every such process is already a Hunt process.

In general smoothness properties of the semigroup as well as quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \infty)$ are not invariant under (strict continuous) time changes.

In order to give ‘invariant’ sufficient conditions for X to be a Hunt process the above smoothness conditions on (P_t) are replaced by smoothness conditions on the exit kernels of X .

This yields that every standard Markov process associated with a ‘nondegenerated’ standard balayage space (see [1]) is a Hunt process. In particular this is true for every \mathfrak{B} -harmonic space.

I would like to thank K. Janßen and J. Steffens for helpful discussions.

In the following let $(\mathcal{B}_+, \mathcal{B}_b)$ \mathcal{B} denote the class of all (positive, bounded) Borel measurable functions on E , and \mathfrak{U} the class of all nonempty relatively compact open subsets of E .

For standard Markov processes X with state space E the notations follow essentially those of Blumenthal-Gettoor [2] (e.g.: ζ , (θ_t) and \mathcal{F} denote life time and shift operators of X and the σ -field generated by all X_t , $t \geq 0$, respectively). But Π_A will denote the exit kernel corresponding to the first exit time $D_{\mathfrak{U}A}$ from a set A (i.e. $D_{\mathfrak{U}A} = \inf\{t \geq 0; X_t \notin A\}$ and $\Pi_A = E^x[f \circ X_{D_{\mathfrak{U}A}}]$, if defined).

Lemma. Let X be a standard Markov process having left-hand-limits on $(0, \infty)$ a.s., let (T_n) be an increasing sequence of stopping times with bounded supremum T and define $L := \lim X_{T_n}$. Then the following holds:

a) For $x \in E$, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_+$ which are $L(P^x)$ -a.s. continuous, and for all $0 \leq Y \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$E^x[\liminf E^{X_{T_n}}[Y] f(L)] \leq E^x[\limsup Y \circ \theta_{T_n} f(L)].$$

b) If G is an open subset of E , then for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$D_{\mathbf{t}G} \circ \theta_{T_n} = T - T_n + D_{\mathbf{t}G} \circ \theta_T \quad \text{a.s. on } [L \in G].$$

Proof. a) If f is continuous, $L(P^x)$ -a.s., then P^x -a.s.

$$\liminf E^{X_{T_n}}[Y] f(L) = \liminf (E^{X_{T_n}}[Y] f(X_{T_n}))$$

and the same with \limsup . Hence the result follows from the strong Markov property and Fatou's lemma.

b) It suffices to consider $\omega \in [T_n < T \text{ for all } n]$. But then $L(\omega) = X_{T-}(\omega)$, and $X_{T-}(\omega) \in G$ implies the existence of an integer k such that

$$X([T_n(\omega), T(\omega)[, \omega) = X([0, T(\omega) - T_n(\omega)[, \theta_{T_n}(\omega)) \subset G$$

for all $n \geq k$. Consequently

$$\theta_{T_n}(\omega) \in [T(\omega) - T_n(\omega) \leq D_{\mathbf{t}G}]$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathbf{t}G}(\theta_{T_n}(\omega)) &= T(\omega) - T_n(\omega) + D_{\mathbf{t}G}(\theta_{T(\omega) - T_n(\omega)}(\theta_{T_n}(\omega))) \\ &= T(\omega) - T_n(\omega) + D_{\mathbf{t}G}(\theta_T(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

(Observe that the quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \zeta)$ is not needed in the above proof.) \square

Proposition. Let X be a standard Markov process. Then X is a Hunt process if the following holds:

- i) Almost all paths have left-hand-limits on $(0, \infty)$.
- ii) For every $x \in E$ there is a neighbourhood U of x such that $\Pi_U 1(x) > 0$.
- iii) $\Pi_U 1$ is l.s.c. on U for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose X to be not quasi-left-continuous on $[0, \infty)$. According to ([2] p. 50) we may (and do) assume that the stopping times involved are bounded¹.

Then there exists a point $y \in E$ and an increasing sequence (T_n) of stopping times with bounded limit T such that

$$P^y[L \neq X_T] > 0 \tag{1}$$

where $L = \lim X_{T_n}$.

Since the paths have left-hand-limits on $(0, \infty)$, L does exist. Since X is quasi-left-continuous on $[0, \zeta)$ (1) yields

$$P^y[L \in E, T \geq \zeta] > 0. \tag{2}$$

¹ The author is obliged to a referee for this remark

Furthermore, from ii) and iii) we know that, for all $x \in E$, there is an open neighbourhood V of x such that

$$\inf \Pi_V 1 = \inf_V \Pi_V 1 > 0. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, V may be chosen to be a $L(P^y)$ -continuity set (i.e. $P^y[L \in \partial V] = 0$). Hence part a) of the lemma applies to $f = 1_V$.

Define $D := D_{\mathfrak{t}_V}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E^y[\Pi_V 1 \circ L; L \in V] &\leq E^y[\liminf \Pi_V 1 \circ X_{T_n}; L \in V], \quad \text{by iii)} \\ &= E^y[\liminf P^{X_{T_n}}[D < \zeta]; L \in V] \\ &\leq P^y[\limsup[D \circ \theta_{T_n} < \zeta \circ \theta_{T_n}]; L \in V], \quad \text{by part a)} \end{aligned}$$

of the lemma. By part b), applied to the open sets V and E simultaneously, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} P^y[D \circ \theta_T < \zeta \circ \theta_T, L \in V] &= P^y[D \circ \theta_T < \zeta \circ \theta_T, L \in V, T < \zeta] \\ &= P^y[D \circ \theta_T < \zeta \circ \theta_T, X_T = L \in V, T < \zeta] \\ &= E^y[\Pi_V 1 \circ L, X_T = L \in V, T < \zeta] \\ &\leq E^y[\Pi_V 1 \circ L, L \in V] - (\inf \Pi_V 1) P^y[L \in V, T \geq \zeta]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $P^y[L \in V, T \geq \zeta] = 0$ for all $L(P^y)$ -continuity sets V which satisfy (3). But E can be covered by a sequence of such sets, which contradicts (2). \square

The following remarks discuss the conditions ii), iii) and the quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \zeta)$ assumed in the proposition, and give an application to harmonic spaces.

Remarks. 1) Since $\sup\{\Pi_U 1(x); U \downarrow \{x\}\} = P^x[D_{\mathfrak{t}_{\{x\}}} < \zeta]$, ii) holds if and only if X has no absorbing points.

2) The conditions ii) and iii) are obviously not necessary for X to be a Hunt process. But they cannot simply be dropped:

2a) Let X be uniform motion to the right on \mathbb{R}_+ but terminating with probability 1/2 just before reaching the point 1. Then X satisfies all but condition iii). Moreover $\Pi_U 1$ is bounded away from zero for all $U \in \mathfrak{U}$.

2b) Let $E = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the state space of the process X which is uniform motion on $[0, 1)$ terminating just before reaching the point 1 and which terminates with some intensity $\lambda > 0$ if starting at 1. Then X satisfies all but condition ii).

3) The conditions i)–iii) alone do not imply the quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \zeta)$ -even if left-limits are in E :

3a) Let $E = [0, 1] \cup [2, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the state space of the following process X : X begins uniform motion to the right on $E \setminus \{1\}$ jumping to the point 2 just before reaching 1, and starting at 1 X jumps to 2 with some strictly positive intensity. Then $\Pi_U 1 = 1$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ and the paths have left-hand-limits (in E) on $(0, \infty)$. But X is not a standard process.

3b) The 'same' process with state space $[0, 1) \cup [2, \infty)$ instead of the above E gives an analogous example without holding points. (In this case the left-hand-limits exist as well but are not necessarily in E).

4) As we have seen, it is not possible to drop the quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \zeta)$ from the assumptions. In the case that it is replaced by

(*) $[T < \zeta] \subset [X_{T-} \in E]$ a.s. for all stopping times T

one gets the same result, if the conditions ii) and iii) are replaced by

ii)' All points are instantaneous for X .

iii)' $\Pi_V 1_U$ is l.s.c. on V for all $\bar{V} \subset U$ ($V, U \in \mathfrak{A}$).

This can be proved with the same methods as before but I shall not do so since I don't know any reasonable condition for (*) different from quasi-left-continuity on $[0, \zeta)$.

5) The proposition applies easily to nondegenerated standard balayage spaces (E, \mathcal{S}) in the sense of [1]:

Every standard Markov process associated with (E, \mathcal{S}) in the usual sense (i.e. the set of excessive functions coincides with \mathcal{S}) is a Hunt process.

Proof. It suffices to ensure condition i) of the proposition. But it is known from [1] that the following approximation theorem holds:

$$\{s_1 - s_2; s_i \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}_b, s_1 - s_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c\} \quad \text{is dense in } \mathcal{C}_c$$

w.r.t. the sup-norm (\mathcal{C}_b and \mathcal{C}_c denote the class of continuous functions which are bounded and which have compact support, respectively).

This, in turn, yields a sufficient condition of i) in the proposition:

There exists a sequence $(d_n) \subset \mathcal{C}_c$ such that (d_n) separates the points of E and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \rightarrow d_n \circ X_t$ has left-hand-limits a.s. on $(0, \infty)$. \square

5a) In particular every standard Markov process associated with a \mathfrak{P} -harmonic space (see [4]) is a Hunt process.

5b) It should be possible² to show the above conclusion for general standard balayage spaces, i.e. to eliminate the restrictive nondegeneracy, but it seems that this requires a different method.

References

1. Bliedtner, J., Hansen, W.: Markov Processes and Harmonic spaces. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **42**, 309–325 (1978)
2. Blumenthal, R.M., Gettoor, R.K.: Markov Processes and Potential Theory. New York-London: Academic Press 1968
3. Chung, K.L.: On the Fundamental Hypotheses of Hunt Processes. INDAM Sym. Math. **IX**, 43–52 (1972)
4. Constantinescu, C., Cornea, A.: Potential Theory on Harmonic Spaces. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1972
5. Hansen, W.: Konstruktion von Halbgruppen und Markoffschen Prozessen. Invent. math. **3**, 179–214 (1967)

Received August 20, 1979; in revised form May 1, 1980

² It is: Look for the forthcoming "Markov Processes on Standard Balayage Spaces" by W. Hansen