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## Introduction

Let $\beta(t)$ be a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion and suppose that $\sigma(t, \omega)$ is a bounded, measurable, real $d \times d$-matrix valued function which is independent of future increments of $\beta$. Then, following Itô [3], we know how to define the stochastic integral

$$
\xi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d \beta(s)
$$

and, following McKean [5], we can derive the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right) \leqq 2 d \exp \left[-\varepsilon^{2} / 2 d^{\frac{1}{2}} A T\right]^{1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{a}} \sup _{\substack{0 \leqq t \leq T \\ \omega}} \frac{\left|\sigma^{*}(t, \theta)\right|^{2}}{|\theta|^{2}}
$$

Furthermore, if $d=1$, then, once again following McKean, we can construct a one-dimensional Brownian motion $B(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t)=B\left(\int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2}(s) d s\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2), we see that if $T^{\prime}=\sup _{\omega} \int_{0}^{T} \sigma^{2}(s, \omega) d s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right) \leqq P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T^{\prime}}|B(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality in (3) leads to a much sharper estimate than that provided by (1) (see [2], p. 288, for a derivation of the exact analytic expression for the right side of (3)). In particular, (3) tells us that when $d=1, P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right)<1$ for all positive $T$ and $\varepsilon$. Moreover, both (1) and (3) suggest that the rate at which $|\xi(t)|$ grows is governed by the largest eigenvalue of $\sigma \sigma^{*}$. The purpose of this note is to point out that it is not so much the upper eigenvalue of $\sigma \sigma^{*}$ as the ratio

$$
\frac{\left(\operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}\right)|\xi|}{\left|\sigma^{*} \xi\right|}
$$

which determines whether $P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq r \leqq T}|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right)$ is always less than unity.
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## Notation and Elementary Facts

The notation is the same as that used in [6], namely: $\Omega=C\left([0, \infty), R^{d}\right)$, $x(t, \omega)=x_{t}(\omega)$ is the position of the trajectory $\omega \in \Omega$ at time $t, \mathfrak{M}_{t}=\mathfrak{B}\left[x_{u}: 0 \leqq u \leqq t\right]$, and $\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{B}\left[x_{u}: u \geqq 0\right]$. A mapping $\eta$ of $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ into a measurable space is said to be non-anticipating if it is $\mathfrak{B}_{[0, \infty)} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\text {-measurable and if } \eta(t) \text { is } \mathfrak{M}_{t} \text {-measurable }{ }^{\text {-m }} \text {. }}$ for all $t \geqq 0$. If $P$ is a probability measure on $\langle\Omega, \mathfrak{M}\rangle$, then $\eta$ is called a $P$-martingale if it is a complex-valued non-anticipating function satisfying

$$
\left.E^{P}\left[\eta\left(t_{2}\right) \mid \mathfrak{M}_{t_{1}}\right]=\eta\left(t_{1}\right) \quad \text { (a.s., } P\right)
$$

for each $0 \leqq t_{1} \leqq t_{2}$. A P-Brownian motion is a continuous $R^{d}$-valued non-anticipating function $\beta$ such that

$$
\exp \left[\langle\theta, \beta(t)-\beta(0)\rangle-\frac{|\theta|^{2}}{2} t\right]
$$

is a $P$-martingale for all $\theta \in R^{d}$. If $\theta$ is a bounded $R^{d}$-valued non-anticipating function and $\beta$ is a $P$-Brownian motion, then

$$
\exp \left[\int_{0}^{t}\langle\theta(s), d \beta(s)\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}|\theta(s)|^{2} d s\right]
$$

is a $P$-martingale, where the stochastic integral

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\langle\theta(s), d \beta(s)\rangle
$$

is defined in the sense of Itô (cf. [3] or [5]). Finally, if $\xi$ is a continuous, real-valued, non-anticipating function such that

$$
\exp \left[\lambda\left(\xi\left(t \vee t_{0}\right)-\xi\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t \vee t_{0}} \alpha(s) d s\right]
$$

is a $P$-martingale for all $\lambda \in R$, where $\alpha$ is a bounded, non-negative, non-anticipating function, then (after enlarging the sample space) we can construct a one-dimensional Brownian motion $B$ such that

$$
\left.\xi(t)-\xi\left(t_{0}\right)=B\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \alpha(s) d s\right) \quad \text { (a.s., } P\right)
$$

For the detail of this construction see p. 29 of [5].

## The Main Result

Let $\beta(t)$ be a $P$-Brownian motion and let $\sigma$ be a bounded $d \times d$-matrix valued non-anticipating function. Set

$$
\xi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d \beta(s)
$$

and

$$
p(T, \varepsilon)=P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon\right) .
$$

Theorem. If

$$
\sup _{\substack{s \geq 0 \\ \omega}} \frac{\left(\operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s, \omega)\right)|\xi(s, \omega)|}{\left|\sigma^{*}(s, \omega) \xi(s, \omega)\right|}<\infty,
$$

then $p(T, \varepsilon)<1$ for all positive $T$ and $\varepsilon$.
Proof. Suppose there exists $T_{0}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $p\left(T_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ equals one. Let
and

$$
\tau=\inf \left\{t \geqq 0:|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon_{0} / 2\right\}
$$

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\inf \left\{t \geqq \tau:||\xi(t)|-| \xi(\tau) \| \geqq \varepsilon_{0} / 4\right\} .
$$

Then $0 \leqq \tau \leqq \tau^{\prime} \leqq T_{0}$ (a.s., P). Clearly we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\sigma(s)=0$ for $|\xi(s)| \geqq 2 \varepsilon_{0}$.

Now let $\eta(t)=|\xi(t)|^{2}$. Then, by Itô's formula (cf. p. 32 of [5]),

Take

$$
\eta(t)=2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\sigma^{*}(s) \xi(s), d \beta(s)\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s) d s .
$$

$$
b(t)=\mathfrak{X}_{|\xi(t)| \geqq \varepsilon_{0} / 4} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(t)}{2\left|\sigma^{*}(t) \xi(t)\right|} \sigma^{*}(t) \xi(t)
$$

and

$$
\theta(t)=2 \lambda \sigma^{*}(t) \xi(t)+b(t)
$$

Then we see that

$$
\exp \left[\lambda\left(\eta(t)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{X}_{|\xi(s)| \leqq \varepsilon_{0} / 4} \operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s) d s\right)-2 \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma^{*}(s) \xi(s)\right|^{2} d s\right]
$$

is a $Q$-martingale for all $\lambda \in R$, where

$$
\frac{\left.d Q\right|_{\mathfrak{M}_{t}}}{\left.d P\right|_{\mathfrak{M}_{t}}}=R(t), \quad t \geqq 0,
$$

and

$$
R(t)=\exp \left[\int_{0}^{t}\langle b(s), d \beta(s)\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}|b(s)|^{2} d s\right] .
$$

Hence, if $\omega \rightarrow Q_{\omega}$ is a regular conditional probability distribution of $Q$ given $\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}$ (cf. [6]), then for $Q$-almost every $\omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp [\lambda(\eta(t \vee \tau(\omega))-\eta(\tau(\omega))- & \left.\int_{\tau(\omega)}^{t \vee \tau(\omega)} \mathfrak{X}_{|\xi(s)| \leqq \delta_{0} / 4} \operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s) d s\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{\tau(\omega)}^{t \vee \tau(\omega)}\left|\sigma^{*}(s) \xi(s)\right|^{2} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is a $Q_{\omega}$-matingale. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(\tau^{\prime}\right. & \left.\leqq T_{0} \mid \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}\right) \leqq Q_{\omega}\left(\sup _{\tau(\omega) \leqq t \leqq T_{0}}|\eta(t)-\eta(\tau(\omega))| \geqq \frac{3 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}}{16}\right) \\
& =Q_{\omega}\left(\sup _{\tau(\omega) \leqq \tau \leqq T} \mid \eta(t)-\eta(\tau(\omega))-\int_{\tau(\omega)}^{t} \mathfrak{X}_{|\xi(s)|} \leqq \varepsilon_{0} / 4\right. \\
& \left.<\operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s) d s \left\lvert\, \geqq \frac{3 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}}{16}\right.\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\eta(t)-\eta(\tau(\omega))-\int_{\tau(\omega)}^{t} \mathfrak{X}_{|\xi(s)| \leqq \varepsilon_{0} / 4} \operatorname{Tr} \sigma \sigma^{*}(s) d s
$$

is distributed under $Q_{\omega}$ like a one-dimensional Brownian motion run with the clock

$$
4 \int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma^{*}(s) \xi(s)\right|^{2} d s
$$

This proves that $Q\left(\tau^{\prime} \leqq T_{0}\right)<1$. But $P$ and $Q$ are equivalent on $\mathfrak{M}_{T_{0}}$, and therefore $P\left(\tau^{\prime} \leqq T_{0}\right)<1$, which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Remark. The preceding theorem shows that if $\sigma^{-1}(s, \omega)$ exists and is uniformly bounded, then $p(T, \varepsilon)<1$ for all $T, \varepsilon>0$.

An Example. We will now give an example which shows that the conditions given in our theorem are in some sense necessary. Assume $d>1$ and let $\bar{\sigma}(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable, non-negative definite, symmetric $d \times d$-matrix valued extention of the function $\sigma(x)$ which is defined on $|x| \geqq \frac{1}{2}$ by

$$
\sigma^{i j}(x)=\delta_{i j}-\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{2}} .
$$

Take $x^{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and

$$
\bar{\xi}(t)=x^{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\xi}(s)) d \beta(s), \quad t \geqq 0
$$

where $\beta$ is a $P$-Brownian motion. Then, by Itô's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\bar{\xi}(t)|^{2} & =\left|x^{0}\right|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\langle\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\xi}(s)) \bar{\xi}(s), d \beta(s)\rangle+(d-1) t \\
& =\left|x^{0}\right|^{2}+(d-1) t
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\bar{\sigma}(x) x=0$ for $|x| \geqq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus if $\xi(t)=\bar{\xi}(t)-x^{0}$ and $\sigma(s)=\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\xi}(s))$, then

$$
\xi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d \beta(s)
$$

and for each $\varepsilon>0, p(T, \varepsilon)=1$ for large enough $T$.

## A Property of Stochastic Differentials

The preceeding example displays an interesting property of stochastic differentials. At first sight one might expect that the process $\vec{\xi}(t)$ should live on the sphere $S^{d-1}\left(\left|x^{0}\right|\right)$. However, as we have just seen, $\bar{\xi}(t)$ feels a "centrifugal drift" which pushes it off the sphere. The origin of this "drift" is, of course, the fact that $d \beta(s)$ is not a true differential in that " $\left(d \beta_{i}(s)\right)^{2}=d s$ ". In [7] it is shown that if $\sigma(x)$ is a smooth $d x d$-matrix valued function and if

$$
\xi(t)=x+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(\xi(s)) d \beta(s), \quad t \geqq 0
$$

then the process $\xi(t)$ experiences a drift $1 / 2\left(\sigma^{\prime} \sigma\right)(x)$, where

$$
\left(\sigma^{\prime} \sigma\right)_{i}(x)=\sum_{j, k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \sigma^{i j}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} \sigma^{k j}(x) .
$$

(A similar drift term arises naturally in the work of Fichera [1] (also see [4]) on degenerate elliptic boundary value problems.)

For the above example

$$
\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}\right)(x)=-\frac{(d-1) x}{|x|^{2}}, \quad|x| \geqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

If we now let

$$
\bar{\xi}(t)=x^{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \bar{\sigma}(\overline{\bar{\xi}}(s)) d \beta(s)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}\right)(\overline{\bar{\xi}}(s)) d s, \quad t \geqq 0
$$

we obtain a process which does live on $S^{d-1}\left(\left|x^{0}\right|\right)$. In fact, an application of Itô's formula shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\bar{\xi}(t)|^{2}= & \left|x^{0}\right|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\langle\bar{\sigma}(\overline{\bar{\xi}}(s)) \bar{\xi}(s), d \beta(s)\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}\right)(\bar{\xi}(s)) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{Tr} \sigma^{2}(\bar{\xi}(s)) d s \\
= & \left|x^{0}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, as $K$. Itô pointed out to me, the process $\bar{\xi}(t)$ is Brownian motion on $S^{d-1}\left(\left|x^{0}\right|\right)$. That is, the generator of $\bar{\xi}(t)$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S^{d-1}\left(\left|x^{0}\right|\right)$. Finally, it is easy to show that $\bar{\xi}(t)$ doesn't escape from balls in a finite time. To see this, observe that

$$
\left|\bar{\xi}(t)-x^{0}\right|^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\xi}(s))\left(\bar{\xi}(s)-x^{0}\right), d \beta(s)\right\rangle+\frac{(d-1)}{\left|x^{0}\right|^{2}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle x^{0}, \bar{\xi}(s)\right\rangle d s .
$$

Since $\bar{\xi}(t)$ is always on $S^{d-1}\left(\left|x^{0}\right|\right)$, for each $0<\alpha<1$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\xi}(s))\left(\overline{\bar{\xi}}(s)-x^{0}\right)\right| \geqq \alpha\left|\bar{\xi}(s)-x^{0}\right|$ whenever $\left|\bar{\xi}(s)-x^{0}\right|<\delta$. Thus, by an argument like the one used to prove our theorem, we can show that
for all $T, \varepsilon>0$.

$$
P\left(\sup _{0 \leqq t \leqq T}\left|\bar{\xi}(t)-x^{0}\right| \geqq \varepsilon\right)<1
$$
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