

Random Polytopes in a Convex Body

Rolf Schneider and John André Wieacker

Mathematisches Institut der Universität, D-7800 Freiburg i. Br., Federal Republic of Germany

Let K be a convex body with interior points in d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , and let x_1, \dots, x_N be random points in K , independently and uniformly distributed. For any real function φ which is defined on the set of convex polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d , we denote by $E_N(\varphi)$ the expectation of $\varphi(\text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\})$, provided it exists, where conv denotes the convex hull. For $d=2$ and under additional assumptions on K , Rényi and Sulanke [4], [5] have studied the asymptotic behaviour, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, of $E_N(\varphi)$ for various functions φ . In particular, for sufficiently smooth K they proved that

$$E_N(L) = L(K) - \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) 12^{-1/3} \int_{\partial K} \kappa^{4/3} ds \left(\frac{N}{F(K)}\right)^{-2/3} + O(N^{-1}), \quad (1)$$

$$E_N(F) = F(K) - \Gamma\left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 12^{2/3} 10^{-1} \int_{\partial K} \kappa^{1/3} ds \left(\frac{N}{F(K)}\right)^{-2/3} + O(N^{-1}), \quad (2)$$

where L is the perimeter and F the area, and $\kappa > 0$ denotes the curvature of ∂K .

For further results on convex hulls of random points, the reader is referred to the surveys and references given in Santaló [6], pp. 22–33, and Baddeley [1], Chap. 2.

Extensions of (1) or (2) to higher dimensions, with L and F replaced by surface area and volume, respectively, are unknown, except for the case where K is a ball (Wieacker [8]). The object of this note is to extend (1) to $d > 2$, with the perimeter replaced by the mean width \bar{b} .

The mean width of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^d is, up to a constant factor, one of the Minkowski quermassintegrals W_0, \dots, W_d of K . These can be defined as the coefficients in the Steiner polynomial

$$V(K_\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} \varepsilon^i W_i,$$

where $V(K_\varepsilon)$ is the volume of the parallel body K_ε of K at distance ε (see Bonnesen-Fenchel [2], p. 49). In particular, W_0 is the volume and dW_1 the

surface area of K , and $2\beta_d^{-1}W_{d-1}=\bar{b}$ is the mean width of K (β_d denotes the volume of the d -dimensional unit ball). If $b(u)$ denotes the width of K in direction u (a unit vector), that is, the distance between the two supporting hyperplanes of K orthogonal to u , then \bar{b} is the mean value of $b(u)$ over all directions u (see Bonnesen-Fenchel [2], p. 50 and p. 63). For $d=3$ and sufficiently smooth K , the mean width is a constant multiple of the so-called integral mean curvature. For $d=2$, $\pi\bar{b}$ is equal to the perimeter L ; hence the following theorem is a natural generalization of (1).

Theorem. *If K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^d with a boundary of class C^3 and of positive Gauss-Kronecker curvature κ , then*

$$E_N(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}(K) - \frac{2}{d(d+1)\beta_d} \left(\frac{d+1}{\beta_{d-1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{d+1}\right) \int_{\partial K} \kappa^{\frac{d+2}{d+1}} dS \left(\frac{N}{V}\right)^{-\frac{2}{d+1}} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{d+1}}), \quad (3)$$

where V is the volume of K , dS denotes the surface area element, and β_i is the volume of the i -dimensional unit ball.

We remark that for $d=2$ the proof which follows is simpler than that given by Rényi-Sulanke. This simplification, which opens the way to a higher dimensional generalization, is achieved by utilizing an observation of Efron [3] (formula (4.2)).

Proof of the Theorem. Let U denote the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^d . In the following, hyperplanes H of \mathbb{R}^d are to be parametrized by $u \in U$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ via

$$H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle = t\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product of \mathbb{R}^d . If for a convex body A in \mathbb{R}^d we define

$$f(A, u, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle = t\} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the mean width of A can be written as

$$\bar{b}(A) = \int_U \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(A, u, t) dt d\omega(u),$$

where ω denotes the rotation invariant probability measure on U .

The distribution ν of a uniform random point in K is defined by

$$\nu(B) = \lambda(K \cap B)/V$$

for Borel sets $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where λ denotes Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_N(\bar{b}) &= \int \dots \int \bar{b}(\text{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}) dv(x_1) \dots dv(x_N) \\
 &= \int \dots \int \int_U \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\text{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, u, t) dt d\omega(u) dv(x_1) \dots dv(x_N) \\
 &= \int_U \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\dots \int f(\text{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, u, t) dv(x_1) \dots dv(x_N)] dt d\omega(u), \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the application of Fubini's theorem is easily justified.

Suppose that the hyperplane $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d: \langle x, u \rangle = t\}$ intersects K and that the two parts of K into which K is divided by H have volumes $v (=v(u, t))$ and $V - v$, respectively, where $v \leq V/2$. Further, let

$$h(u) = \max \{ \langle x, u \rangle : x \in K \} \quad \text{for } u \in U.$$

We have $f(\text{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, u, t) = 1$ if and only if not all of the points x_1, \dots, x_N lie on one side of H , hence the integral in brackets in (4) is equal to

$$1 - \left(\frac{v}{V}\right)^N - \left(1 - \frac{v}{V}\right)^N$$

if $-h(-u) \leq t \leq h(u)$, and equal to 0 otherwise. Thus we get

$$E_N(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}(K) - 2 \int_U \int_0^{h(u)} \left[\left(\frac{v}{V}\right)^N + \left(1 - \frac{v}{V}\right)^N \right] dt d\omega(u). \tag{5}$$

Following Rényi-Sulanke we observe that

$$\int_U \int_0^{h(u)} \left(\frac{v}{V}\right)^N dt d\omega(u) = O(2^{-N}) \tag{6}$$

and, writing $w(u, \eta) = v(u, h(u) - \eta)$, that

$$\int_0^{h(u)} \left(1 - \frac{v}{V}\right)^N dt = \int_0^c \left(1 - \frac{w}{V}\right)^N d\eta + O((1 - \varepsilon)^N) \tag{7}$$

if $c > 0$ (small) is given and $\varepsilon > 0$ and the origin of \mathbb{R}^d are chosen such that $w/V \geq \varepsilon$ for $c \leq \eta \leq h(u)$. Clearly such a choice is possible independent of u .

For given unit vector u , let $y \in \partial K$ be the point at which K has u as exterior normal vector (y is unique because we assume $\kappa > 0$). We choose $c > 0$ (independent of u , which is clearly possible) so small that every boundary point x of K lying in a hyperplane

$$H_{u, \eta} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d: \langle z, u \rangle = h(u) - \eta\}$$

with $0 \leq \eta \leq c$ can be represented in the form $x = y + h - f(u)u$ with $\langle h, u \rangle = 0$, where f is a real C^3 function defined in a convex neighbourhood of 0 in the linear subspace L parallel to the tangent plane $H_{u, 0}$. For given $\eta \in]0, c]$ let $h' \in L$ be a point at which the function

$$h \mapsto \left| f(h) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d-1} f_{ij}(0) h_i h_j \right| \quad (h \in L)$$

attains its maximum, say $b(\eta)$, under the condition $f(h) = \eta$. Here h_1, \dots, h_{d-1} are cartesian coordinates of h , and $f_{ij} = \partial^2 f / \partial h_i \partial h_j$. In the following, c_1, c_2, \dots denote positive constants which can be chosen independent of u . It follows from Taylor's theorem that

$$b(\eta) \leq c_1 \|h'\|^3. \quad (8)$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $(f_{ij}(0))_{i,j=1}^{d-1}$ are the principal curvatures k_i ($i = 1, \dots, d-1$) of ∂K at y and hence have a positive lower bound c_2 . We have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} f_{ij}(0) h'_i h'_j \geq c_2 \|h'\|^2,$$

hence

$$\eta = f(h') \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} f_{ij}(0) h'_i h'_j - b(\eta) \geq c_2 \|h'\|^2 - c_1 \|h'\|^3 \geq c_3 \|h'\|^2, \quad (9)$$

provided that c has been chosen sufficiently small. Inequalities (8) and (9) together yield

$$b(\eta) \leq c_4 \eta^{3/2}. \quad (10)$$

By the definition of $b(\eta)$, every point $h \in L$ with $f(h) = \eta$ satisfies

$$\eta - b(\eta) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} f_{ij}(0) h_i h_j \leq \eta + b(\eta).$$

Defining the $(d-1)$ -dimensional ellipsoids

$$E_{\pm} = \{y + h - \eta u : h \in L \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} f_{ij}(0) h_i h_j = \eta \pm b(\eta)\}$$

we deduce that $E_- \subset K \cap H_{u,\eta} \subset E_+$. Hence the $(d-1)$ -dimensional volume $\lambda_{d-1}(K \cap H_{u,\eta})$ of the intersection $K \cap H_{u,\eta}$ lies between the $(d-1)$ -volumes of these ellipsoids, which have semi-axes $\sqrt{2(\eta \pm b(\eta))/k_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d-1$). This yields

$$\lambda_{d-1}(K \cap H_{u,\eta}) = \beta_{d-1} \kappa^{-1/2} (2\eta)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1 + \varphi(\eta))$$

with $|\varphi(\eta)| \leq c_5 \eta^{1/2}$, and hence

$$w = \int_0^{\eta} \lambda_{d-1}(K \cap H_{u,\rho}) d\rho = \frac{\beta_{d-1}}{d+1} \kappa^{-1/2} (2\eta)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (1 + \varphi_1(\eta))$$

with $|\varphi_1(\eta)| \leq c_6 \eta^{1/2}$. Now from (5), (6), (7), assuming $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, we get

$$E_N(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}(K) - 2 \int_U J d\omega(u) + O((1-\varepsilon)^N)$$

where

$$J = \int_0^c \left[1 - \frac{2^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \beta_{d-1} \kappa^{-1/2}}{(d+1)V} \eta^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (1 + \varphi_1(\eta)) \right]^N d\eta.$$

Here we substitute

$$t = N a \eta^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \quad \text{with} \quad a = \frac{2^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \beta_{d-1} \kappa^{-1/2}}{(d+1)V}$$

to get

$$J = \frac{2}{d+1} (aN)^{-\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\tau N} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{N}\right) - \frac{t}{N} \psi\left(\frac{t}{N}\right) \right]^N t^{\frac{1-d}{d+1}} dt$$

with $\tau = a c^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$ and $\left| \psi\left(\frac{t}{N}\right) \right| \leq c_7 \left(\frac{t}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$. We assume, as we may, that $\tau \leq 1$.

Developing the integrand by the binomial theorem and using

$$\int_0^{\tau N} \left(1 - \frac{t}{N}\right)^N t^\alpha dt = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right),$$

which may be proved by an obvious modification of the argument given in Whittaker-Watson [7], p. 242, we obtain

$$J = \frac{2}{d+1} (aN)^{-\frac{2}{d+1}} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{d+1}\right) + O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{d+1}}\right) \right].$$

Now we integrate over U , equivalently over ∂K using $d\omega = \kappa dS/d\beta_d$, thus completing the proof of (3).

References

1. Baddeley, A.: A fourth note on recent research in geometrical probability. *Advances Appl. Probability* **9**, 824–860 (1977)
2. Bonnesen, T., Fenchel, W.: *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. Berlin: Springer 1934
3. Efron, B.: The convex hull of a random set of points. *Biometrika* **52**, 331–343 (1965)
4. Rényi, A., Sulanke, R.: Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **2**, 75–84 (1963)
5. Rényi, A., Sulanke, R.: Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. II. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **3**, 138–147 (1964)
6. Santaló, L.A.: Integral geometry and geometric probability. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications*, vol. 1 (ed. by G.-C. Rota). Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley 1976
7. Whittaker, E.T., Watson, G.N.: *A course of modern analysis*. 4th ed., Cambridge: University Press 1952
8. Wieacker, J.A.: *Einige Probleme der polyedrischen Approximation*. Diplomarbeit, Freiburg i. Br. 1978