© by Springer-Verlag 1971

On Wigner's Semicircle Law for the Eigenvalues of Random Matrices

L. Arnold

1. Introduction

Wigner asked in [8] for the general conditions of validity for his so-called semicircle law for the distribution of eigenvalues of random matrices which is important in the statistical theory of energy levels of heavy atomic nuclei [6, 7]. We discovered [2] that the semicircle law possesses the following completely deterministic version from which probabilistic applications can be derived relatively easily.

Let $A_n = (a_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be the *n*th section of an infinite Hermitian matrix, $\{\lambda_k^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ its eigenvalues and $\{u_k^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ the corresponding (orthonormalized column-) eigenvectors. Let $v_n^* = (a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{n,n-1})$, put

$$X_{n}(t) = (n(n-1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{[(n-1)t]} |v_{n}^{*} u_{k}^{(n-1)}|^{2}, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$
(1)

(bookkeeping function for the length of the projections of the new row v_n^* of A_n onto the eigenvectors of the preceding matrix A_{n-1}), let finally

$$F_n(x) = n^{-1} (\text{number of } \lambda_k^{(n)} \le x \sqrt{n}, \ 1 \le k \le n)$$
(2)

(empirical d.f. of the eigenvalues of A_n/\sqrt{n}).

Theorem 1. (Deterministic version of the semicircle law, see [2].) Suppose

- (i) $\lim (number of k \leq n with |a_{kk}| > \sqrt{n})/n = 0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(t) = Ct \ (0 < C < \infty, 0 \le t \le 1).$

Then

$$F_n \Rightarrow W(\cdot, C) \quad (n \to \infty),$$
 (3)

where W is absolutely continuous with density (semicircle!)

$$w(x, C) = \begin{cases} (2C\pi)^{-1}(4C-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } |x| \le 2\sqrt{C}, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > 2\sqrt{C}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose now that the matrix elements a_{ij} are real-valued random variables defined on a fixed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , being independent for $i \ge j$ and satisfying $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ a.s. Suppose further that the diagonal elements a_{ii} , $i \ge 1$, are identically distributed according to the d.f. G, and that the off-diagonal elements a_{ij} , i > j, are also identically distributed with d.f. H having variance σ^2 .

L. Arnold:

What we are interested in is the asymptotic behavior of the sequence of stochastic processes defined by (2). We are aiming at a strong (convergence a.s.) and a weak (convergence in probability) form of the semicircle law (3) for (2).

In an earlier paper [1], we proved by a completely different method that the weak form of (3) holds under the conditions $\int x^2 dG < \infty$, $\int x^4 dH < \infty$ and $\int x dH = 0$. If, moreover, $\int x^4 dG < \infty$ and $\int x^6 dH < \infty$, then the strong form holds, choosing in both cases $C = \sigma^2$.

In this paper, we are able to eliminate any condition about G and the condition $\int x dH = 0$ and to reduce the moment restrictions on H by 2. The method used consists in utilizing Theorem 1 by verifying the assumptions (i) and (ii).

2. Stochastic Convergence of $\{X_n(t)\}$

Due to the independence of the a_{ij} 's, the vector v_n^* and the eigenvectors of A_{n-1} are independent, too. This fact will be used without further mentioning.

Lemma 1. We have

$$\lim X_n(1) = C < \infty \text{ in probability} \Leftrightarrow \int x^2 dH < \infty$$

If $\int x \, dH = 0$ and $\sigma^2 < \infty$, then $C = \sigma^2$ and

$$\lim X_n(t) = \sigma^2 t \text{ in probability}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Proof. Since

$$X_n(1) = (n(n-1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kn}^2,$$

the first part of the lemma is essentially the weak law of large numbers (cf. Feller [3], p. 232). For the proof of the second assertion, put

$$\bar{a}_{kn} = \begin{cases} a_{kn} & \text{for } |a_{kn}| \leq \sqrt{n-1}, \\ 0 & \text{for } |a_{kn}| > \sqrt{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

 $1 \le k \le n-1$. Let $\overline{X}_n(t)$ be the expression obtained from (1) by replacing a_{kn} by \overline{a}_{kn} , $1 \le k \le n-1$. Clearly

$$P[|X_n(t) - E\overline{X}_n(t)| > \varepsilon] \leq P[|\overline{X}_n(t) - E\overline{X}_n(t)| > \varepsilon] + P[X_n(t) \neq \overline{X}_n(t)].$$

We are going to show that the right-hand side tends to 0. Indeed,

$$P[X_n(t) \neq \overline{X}_n(t)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P[|a_{kn}| > \sqrt{n-1}] = (n-1) P[|a_{12}| > \sqrt{n-1}] \to 0,$$

since $\sum P[|a_{12}| > \sqrt{n}] < \infty$, which is the case iff $\sigma^2 < \infty$. By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P[|\overline{X}_n(t) - E\overline{X}_n(t)| > \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \left(E\overline{X}_n(t)^2 - \left(E\overline{X}_n(t) \right)^2 \right)$$

The proof of the lemma will be completed if we know that $E\overline{X}_n(t) \to \sigma^2 t$ and $E\overline{X}_n(t)^2 \to \sigma^4 t^2$.

We have

$$E\overline{X}_{n}(t) = (n(n-1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(\overline{a}_{in} \, \overline{a}_{jn}) \, E(r_{ij}),$$

where

$$r_{ij} = r_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{[(n-1)t]} u_{ik}^{(n-1)} u_{jk}^{(n-1)}.$$

Using

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{ii} = [(n-1)t], \quad E \bar{a}_{in} \bar{a}_{jn} = E \bar{a}_{in}^2 = \bar{m}_2 \quad (i=j), \quad = (E \bar{a}_{in})^2 = \bar{m}_1^2 \quad (i \neq j),$$

we obtain

$$E\overline{X}_{n}(t) = (n(n-1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left[(n-1) t \right] (\overline{m}_{2} - \overline{m}_{1}^{2}) + \overline{m}_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Er_{ij} \right).$$
(4)

By assumption, $\overline{m}_2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 < \infty$ and $\overline{m}_1 = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, the last statement following from

$$\int |x|^k dH < \infty, \quad \int x \, dH = o \Rightarrow n^{(k-1)/2} \int_{|x| \le \sqrt{n}} x \, dH = o(1) \quad (n \to \infty, k \ge 1) \quad (5)$$

(see Arnold [1], p. 265). Putting this into (4) together with the trivial estimate $|\sum \sum Er_{ij}| \leq (n-1)^2$, we obtain $E\overline{X}_n(t) \to \sigma^2 t$.

For estimating

$$E\overline{X}_{n}(t)^{2} = (n(n-1))^{-1} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{4}=1}^{n-1} E(\overline{a}_{i_{1}n} \, \overline{a}_{i_{2}n} \, \overline{a}_{i_{3}n} \, \overline{a}_{i_{4}n}) E(r_{i_{1}i_{2}} \, r_{i_{3}i_{4}})$$

we have to consider seven different cases of index degeneracy. Using again $\overline{m}_1 = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \overline{m}_2 \to \sigma^2$ and in addition $\overline{m}_3 = o(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\overline{m}_4 = o(n)$, which follows from

$$\int |x|^k dH < \infty \Rightarrow n^{(k-r)/2} \int_{|x| \le \sqrt{n}} |x|^r dH = o(1) \quad (r \ge k+1)$$
(6)

(see Arnold [1], p. 264), we arrive at

q.e.d.
$$E\overline{X}_n(t)^2 = \sigma^4 t^2 + o(1)$$

3. Almost Sure Convergence of $\{X_n(t)\}$

A much more delicate truncation technique has to be applied in order to obtain Lemma 2. *We have*

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(1) = C < \infty \ a.s. \Leftrightarrow \int x^4 \, dH < \infty.$

If $\int x \, dH = 0$ and $\int x^4 \, dH < \infty$, then $C = \sigma^2$ and

$$\lim_{n} X_n(t) = \sigma^2 t \ a.s., \qquad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Proof. 1. According to Lemma 1, there is a chance for a.s. convergence of $\{X_n(1)\}$ only if $\sigma^2 < \infty$. The prospective limit C must be equal to σ^2 . Obviously,

$$X_n(1) \to \sigma^2 \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow S_{n-1} = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_{kn}^2 - \sigma^2) \to 0 \text{ a.s}$$

193

L. Arnold:

Since $\{S_n\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables, the Borel-Cantelli lemma yields

$$S_n \to 0 \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow \sum P[|S_n| > \varepsilon] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

By a theorem of Heyde and Rohatgi [4] (Theorem 2) this is equivalent to

$$\sum n P[|a_{12}^2 - \sigma^2| > n] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x| < n} (x^2 - \sigma^2) \, dH \to 0.$$

The second condition is fulfilled since $\sigma^2 < \infty$, and the first one is equivalent to $Ea_{12}^4 = \int x^4 dH = m_4 < \infty$, according to the relation

$$E|X|^{(t+1)r} < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum n^t P[|X| > n^{1/r}] < \infty$$
⁽⁷⁾

(see [4], p. 74). This proves the first part of the lemma.

2. Suppose now $m_4 < \infty$, $m_1 = \int x \, dH = 0$, $m_2 = \sigma^2$. We are going to prove that

$$\sum P[|X_n(t) - \sigma^2 t| > \varepsilon] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

which is sufficient for $X_n(t) \to \sigma^2 t$ a.s. This time, our truncation level for a_{kn} , $1 \le k \le n-1$, will be $\tau_n = (n-1)^{\gamma/2}$,

where the appropriate choice of $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ will result from the proof. By (5) and (6), $\overline{m}_1 = o(n^{-3\gamma/2}), \ \overline{m}_2 \to \sigma^2, \ \overline{m}_3 \to m_3 = \int x^3 dH, \ \overline{m}_4 \to m_4$, and $\overline{m}_r = o(n^{\gamma(r/2-2)}) \ (r \ge 5)$, which we have to apply for r = 5, 6, 7, and 8. Finally, for the r_{ij} 's introduced in Section 2, we have to take into account that

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n-1} |r_{ij}|^p \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (p \geq 2), \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n-1} r_{ij} \right| \leq \sqrt{n/2},$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r_{ij}^2 = [(n-1)t].$$

and

3. Consider the following events (in Ω):

$$A_{1n} = [|a_{kn}| > \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n-1/2} \text{ for at least one } k \le n-1],$$

$$A_{2n} = [|a_{kn}| > (n-1)^{\gamma/2} \text{ for at least two } k \le n-1],$$

$$A_{3n} = [|\tilde{S}_{kn}(t)| > \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n/4} \text{ for at least one } k \le n-1],$$

where for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$\overline{S}_{kn}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq k}}^{n-1} \overline{a}_{in} r_{ik}(t),$$

$$A_{4n} = \left[|\overline{X}_n(t) - \sigma^2 t| > \varepsilon/2 \right],$$

$$\Omega_n = A_{1n} \cup A_{2n} \cup A_{3n} \cup A_{4n}$$

$$B_n = \left[|X_n(t) - \sigma^2 t| > \varepsilon \right].$$

and

 $B_{-} \subset \Omega_{-}$

We have

and therefore $P(B_n) \leq P(\Omega_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_{in})$, whence

$$\sum_{n} P(B_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{n} P(A_{in}).$$

We complete the proof of the lemma by showing that the four series on the right-hand side of the last inequality converge.

4. Convergence of $\sum P(A_{1n})$: We have

$$P(A_{1n}) = (n-1) P[|a_{12}| > \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n-1/2}],$$

thus

$$\sum_{n} P(A_{1n}) \leq \sum_{n} n P[|a_{1n}| > \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n/2}].$$

By (7), the last series is finite iff $m_4 < \infty$.

5. Convergence of $\sum P(A_{2n})$: We have

$$A_{2n} = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{n-1} \bigcup_{\substack{j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n-1} [|a_{in}| > (n-1)^{\gamma/2} \text{ and } |a_{jn}| > (n-1)^{\gamma/2}],$$

therefore (independence!)

$$P(A_{2n}) \leq (n-1)^2 \left(P[|a_{12}| > (n-1)^{\gamma/2}] \right)^2.$$

Since $m_4 < \infty$, $n^{2\gamma} P[|a_{12}| > n^{\gamma/2}] \to 0$, thus

$$\sum (nP[|a_{12}| > n^{\gamma/2}])^2 = \sum o(n^{2-4\gamma}) < \infty,$$

whenever $\gamma > \frac{3}{4}$.

6. Convergence of $\sum P(A_{3n})$: Putting $\varepsilon_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon}/4$, Chebyshev's inequality yields

$$P(A_{3n}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P[|\bar{S}_{kn}(t)| > \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{n}] \leq \varepsilon_1^{-6} n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E\bar{S}_{kn}^{6}.$$

In detail,

$$E\bar{S}_{kn}^{6} = \sum_{\substack{i_1=1 \ \dots \ i_6=1\\i_1 \neq k \ \dots \ i_6 \neq k}}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i_1=1 \ \dots \ i_6=1\\i_1 \neq k \ \dots \ i_6 \neq k}}^{n-1} E(\bar{a}_{i_1n} \dots \bar{a}_{i_6n}) E(r_{i_1k} \dots r_{i_6k}).$$

A systematic search through possible index degeneracies leads to $E\overline{S}_{kn} = o(n^{\gamma})$, thus

$$\sum P(A_{3n}) \leq \sum o(1) n^{1+\gamma-3}.$$

The last series is finite, if $\gamma < 1$. It turns out that the restrictions

$$\frac{3}{4} < \gamma < 1$$

put on γ up to now will also assure the convergence of the remaining series.

195

L. Arnold:

7. Convergence of $\sum P(A_{4n})$: Clearly,

$$P(A_{4n}) \leq (2/\varepsilon)^4 E(\overline{X}_n(t) - \sigma^2 t)^4,$$

furthermore

$$E\left(\overline{X}_n(t)-\sigma^2 t\right)^4 \leq 8 E\left(\overline{X}_n(t)-E\overline{X}_n(t)\right)^4 + 8\left(E\overline{X}_n(t)-\sigma^2 t\right)^4.$$

According to the proof of Lemma 1,

$$E\overline{X}_n(t) - \sigma^2 t = o(n^{-\gamma}),$$

so $\sum (E\overline{X}_n(t) - \sigma^2 t)^4$ certainly converges. After cumbersome, but simple calculations following the lines of the proof of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\sum E(\overline{X}_n(t) - E\overline{X}_n(t))^4 < \infty,$$

q.e.d.

4. The Semicircle Law

The essential part of Lemma 1 as well as of Lemma 2 requires $\int x dH = 0$. The following lemma assures that the limit of $\{F_n\}$ is not perturbed by a non-vanishing expectation of the a_{ij} 's. If F is any d.f.,

$$\hat{F}(z) = \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} (x-z)^{-1} dF(x), \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0,$$

is known as the *Stieltjes transform* of F. F is uniquely determined by \hat{F} , and uniform convergence of $\{\hat{F}_n\}$ in compact z sets is equivalent to vague convergence of $\{F_n\}$ (see [2], appendix).

Lemma 3. Let A be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix, E the $n \times n$ matrix having all elements equal to 1, $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ a real diagonal matrix. Denote by F, F_1 and F_2 the empirical d.f. of the eigenvalues of A, A + aE (a real) and A + D, respectively. Then

- (i) $|\hat{F}(z) \hat{F}_1(z)| \leq (n \operatorname{Im}(z))^{-1}$,
- (ii) $|\hat{F}(z) \hat{F}_2(z)| \leq (\operatorname{Im}(z))^{-2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i|,$

the bounds being independent of A and a.

Proof. (i) We have for $\text{Im}(z) > 0 \hat{F}(z) = n^{-1} \operatorname{tr} R(z, A)$ and $\hat{F}_1(z) = n^{-1} \operatorname{tr} R(z, A + aE)$, where $R(z, A) = (A - zI)^{-1}$ denotes the resolvent and tr A the trace of A. The insertion of

$$(I + a ER(z, A))^{-1} = I - (a/(1 + a e' R(z, A) e)) ER(z, A),$$

 $e' = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ (*n* times),

into the second resolvent equation

$$R(z, A+aE) = R(z, A) (I+aER(z, A))^{-1}$$

and passing to traces leads to

$$\hat{F}(z) - \hat{F}_1(z) = n^{-1} \frac{ae' R(z, A)^2 e}{1 + ae' R(z, A) e}.$$

196

If $f(z) = \int (x-z)^{-1} d\mu(x)$, where $\mu(x) = e'S(x)e$, S being the spectral matrix of A, we have e'R(z, A)e = f(z) and $e'R(z, A)^2e = f'(z)$, thus

$$\hat{F}(z) - \hat{F}_1(z) = n^{-1} \frac{af'(z)}{1 + af(z)}$$

Since

$$|1 + af(z)| \ge |a| \operatorname{Im}(f(z)) = |a| \operatorname{Im}(z) \int |x - z|^{-2} d\mu(x)$$

and

$$|af'(z)| \leq |a| \int |x-z|^{-2} d\mu(x),$$

therefore

$$|\widehat{F}(z) - \widehat{F}_1(z)| \leq (n \operatorname{Im}(z))^{-1}$$

(ii) Again by the second resolvent equation,

$$R(z, A+D) - R(z, A) = R(z, A+D) DR(z, A),$$

$$|\hat{F}(z) - \hat{F}_{2}(z)| \leq n^{-1} |\operatorname{tr} R(z, A+D) DR(z, A)|$$

$$\leq ||R(z, A+D) DR(z, A)||$$

$$\leq (\operatorname{Im}(z))^{-2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_{i}|,$$

q.e.d.

We are now in a position to prove

Theorem 2. Let F_n be the empirical d.f. of the matrix A_n/\sqrt{n} as defined by (2), where A_n is a random matrix satisfying the conditions stated in Section 1. Then

(i) (Weak semicircle law): If $\sigma^2 < \infty$, then

$$F_n \Rightarrow W(\cdot, \sigma^2)$$
 in probability,

where W is Wigner's semicircle d.f. defined in Theorem 1.

(ii) (Strong semicircle law): If, moreover, $\int x^4 dH < \infty$, then

$$F_n \Rightarrow W(\cdot, \sigma^2) \quad a.s.$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 3, it is no restriction of generality to assume $\int x \, dH = 0$. A look at the proof of Theorem 1 shows that it remains true if all limits are interpreted as limits in probability. Condition (i) of Theorem 1 means

$$Z_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n I_{(|a_{kk}| > \sqrt{n})} \to 0 \quad \text{in probability,}$$

which is true since

$$EZ_n = P[|a_{11}| > \sqrt{n}] \rightarrow 0.$$

Actually, by the strong law of large numbers (see [5], p. 238), we even have

$$Z_n \rightarrow 0$$
 a.s

Hence, the weak and strong version of the semicircle law follow immediately from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, resp., q.e.d.

198 L. Arnold: On Wigner's Semicircle Law for the Eigenvalues of Random Matrices

In physical applications of the semicircle law it is sometimes required to determine the eigenvalue distribution of functions of A_n . This can be done by the following

Corollary 1. Let f(x) be a real-valued measurable function on the real line being continuous $W(\cdot, \sigma^2)$ -a.s. Denote by f(F) the image under f of the measure corresponding to the d.f.F. Define the matrix f(A) as usual by $f(A) = \int f(x) dS(x)$, S(x) being the spectral matrix of A. Then for the sequences of measures μ_n defined by

 $\mu_n(M) = n^{-1}$ (number of eigenvalues of $f(A_n/\sqrt{n})$ belonging to M)

we have

 $\mu_n \Rightarrow f(W(\cdot, \sigma^2))$ in probability or a.s.

whenever

 $F_n \Rightarrow W(\cdot, \sigma^2)$ in probability or a.s.

Proof. Clear by observing that $\mu_n = f(F_n)$.

As an example, put $f(x) = x^2$. The asymptotic d.f. of the eigenvalues of A_n^2/n has density

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} (2/\pi \sqrt{x}) (1-x)^{\pm} & \text{for } 0 < x < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \text{ and } x > 1, \end{cases}$$

whereas it is sometimes incorrectly assumed that g is a quartercircle (see e.g. [8], p. 7).

We conjecture that the conditions for the strong semicircle law can still be reduced to the finiteness of σ^2 . The results essentially carry over to the Hermitian case.

References

- 1. Arnold, L.: On the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of random matrices. J. math. Analysis Appl. 20, 262-268 (1967).
- 2. Deterministic version of Wigner's semicircle law for the distribution of matrix eigenvalues. (To appear.)
- 3. Feller, W.: An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. II. New York: John Wiley 1966.
- 4. Heyde, C.C., Rohatgi, V.K.: A pair of complementary theorems on convergence rates in the law of large numbers. Proc. Cambridge philos. Soc. 63, 73-82 (1967).
- 5. Loève, M.: Probability theory, 2nd edn. New York: Van Nostrand 1960.
- 6. Metha, M.L.: Random matrices. New York: Academic Press 1967.
- 7. Porter, C.E. (ed.): Statistical theories of spectra: Fluctuations. New York: Academic Press 1965.
- 8. Wigner, E. P.: Random matrices in physics. SIAM Review 9, 1-23 (1967).

Dr. L. Arnold Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Stuttgart BRD-7000 Stuttgart 1, Herdweg 51 Germany

(Received May 4, 1970)