

# On the Theory of Probabilistic Metric Spaces with Applications

Shih-sen Chang

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, China

## 1. Introduction

The theory of probabilistic metric spaces is of fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis. Recently, some fixed point theorems for mappings in a probabilistic metric space (PM-space) were proved by several authors [1-5, 10, 13]. The purpose of this paper is to give some new fixed point theorems which generalize and unify the corresponding theorems stated above. As an example of applications, in §5 we use the results of the type considered in §3 to study the existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear Volterra integral equations on probabilistic metric spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the sake of convenience, following [2], we first introduce some basic definitions and concepts.

Throughout this paper  $R$  denotes the real,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $Z^+$  is the set of all positive integers.

*Definition 1.* A mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R^+$  is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing left-continuous with  $\inf_{t \in R} F(t) = 0$ ,  $\sup_{t \in R} F(t) = 1$ .

In the sequel, we always denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the set of all distribution functions, and  $H$  will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(T) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ 1 & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Definition 2.* A mapping  $\Delta: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a  $t$ -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\Delta-1) \quad \Delta(a, 1) = a, \quad \Delta(0, 0) = 0;$$

$$(\Delta-2) \quad \Delta(a, b) = \Delta(b, a);$$

$$(A-3) \quad \Delta(c, d) \geq \Delta(a, b) \text{ for } c \geq a, d \geq b;$$

$$(A-4) \quad \Delta(\Delta(a, b), c) = \Delta(a, \Delta(b, c)).$$

We list here three of the simplest  $t$ -norms which will be used.

$$\Delta_1 = \max\{\text{sum} - 1, 0\}, \quad \text{i.e. } \Delta_1(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}, \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1].$$

$$\Delta_2 = \text{product}, \quad \text{i.e. } \Delta_2(a, b) = a \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1].$$

$$\Delta_3 = \text{min}, \quad \text{i.e. } \Delta_3(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}, \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1].$$

*Definition 3.* A Menger PM-space (briefly Menger space) is a triplet  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ , where  $E$  is an abstract set of elements,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping of  $E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $\Delta$  is a  $t$ -norm satisfying the following conditions (we shall denote the distribution function  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  by  $F_{x, y}$ ):

$$(PM-1) \quad F_{x, y} = H \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(PM-2) \quad F_{x, y}(0) = 0;$$

$$(PM-3) \quad F_{x, y} = F_{y, x};$$

$$(PM-4) \quad F_{x, z}(t_1 + t_2) \geq \Delta(F_{x, y}(t_1), F_{y, z}(t_2)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in E, t_1, t_2 \geq 0.$$

Schweizer, Sklar [8] have pointed out that if  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a Menger space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$ , then  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a Hausdorff space in the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  induced by the family of neighborhoods

$$\{U_p(\varepsilon, \lambda): p \in E, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda > 0\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $U_p(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{x \in E, F_{x, p}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$ . From the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  we can derive some notions as follows:

*Definition 4.* Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  be a Menger space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  is said to be  $\mathcal{T}$ -convergent to  $x \in E$  (we write  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} x$ ) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a  $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $F_{x_n, x}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $n \geq N$ .

$\{x_n\} \subset E$  is called a  $\mathcal{T}$ -Cauchy sequence if for any  $\varepsilon > 0, \lambda > 0$ , there exists a  $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $F_{x_n, x_m}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $n, m \geq N$ .

A Menger space  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{T}$ -complete if each  $\mathcal{T}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E$  converges in  $\mathcal{T}$  to an element in  $E$ .

*Definition 5.* Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  be a Menger space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$ ,  $T$  a self-mapping on  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ .  $T$  is said to be  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous if, whenever  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  converges in  $\mathcal{T}$  to a point  $x \in E$ , then  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} Tx$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

**Lemma 1** [8]. *Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  be a Menger space with continuous  $t$ -norm. Then  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -convergent to  $x \in E$  if and only if for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x}(t) = H(t).$$

### 3. Common Fixed Point Theorems for a Sequence of Mappings

*Definition 6.* A set  $B \subset (E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is called probabilistically bounded if

$$\sup_{t > 0} \inf_{p, q \in B} F_{p, q}(t) = 1.$$

Throughout this section we always assume that  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete Menger space,  $\Delta$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm which is stronger than  $\Delta_1 = \max\{\text{sum} - 1, 0\}$ , i.e.  $\Delta(a, b) \geq \Delta_1(a, b)$ ,  $\forall a, b \in [0, 1]$ , and the function  $\Phi(t)$  satisfies the following condition  $(\Phi)$ :

$(\Phi)$ :  $\Phi(t): R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is strictly increasing,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\Phi^n(t) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $\forall t > 0$ , where  $\Phi^n(t)$  denotes the  $n$ -th iteration of  $\Phi(t)$ .

**Lemma 2** [8]. Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  and  $\Delta$  be the same as above. Suppose that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} x$ ,  $y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} y$  and that  $F_{x, y}$  is continuous at  $t_0 \in R$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, y_n}(t_0) = F_{x, y}(t_0).$$

In particular, under the hypotheses of Lemma 2, if  $y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} y$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x, y_n}(t_0) = F_{x, y}(t_0).$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $\Phi$  be the same as above. Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of self-mappings on  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ . Suppose that there exists a functional sequence  $\{m_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty: (E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta) \rightarrow Z^+$  such that for each  $n \in Z^+$  and each  $x \in E$ ,  $m_n(x) | m_n(T_n x)$  and that for any  $i, j \in Z^+$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $x, y \in E$  the following holds:

$$F_{T_i^{m_i(x)}x, T_j^{m_j(y)}y}(t) \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x, y, T_i^{m_i(x)}x, T_j^{m_j(y)}y\}} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose further that there exists some  $x_0 \in E$  such that the set  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset E$ ,

$$x_n = T_n^{m_n(x_{n-1})} x_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

is probabilistically bounded. Then there exists a unique common fixed point  $x_* \in E$ , and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} x_*$ .

*Proof.* For given  $x_0 \in E$  we prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.2) is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence of  $E$ .

In fact, for any  $i, j \in Z^+$ ,  $i \neq j$  it follows from (3.1) that,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,

$$F_{x_i, x_j}(t) = F_{T_i^{m_i(x_{i-1})}x_{i-1}, T_j^{m_j(x_{j-1})}x_{j-1}}(t) \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}, x_i, x_j\}} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)). \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore for any  $m, n \in Z^+$  ( $m < n$ ) from (3.3) we have

$$\inf_{m \leq i, j \leq n} F_{x_i, x_j}(t) \geq \inf_{m-1 \leq i, j \leq n} F_{x_i, x_j}(\Phi(t)).$$

By the arbitrariness of  $n \in Z^+$  ( $n > m$ ) we have

$$\inf_{i, j \geq m} F_{x_i, x_j}(t) \geq \inf_{i, j \geq m-1} F_{x_i, x_j}(\Phi(t)) \geq \sup_{u < \Phi(t)} \inf_{i, j \geq m-1} F_{x_i, x_j}(u). \quad (3.4)$$

By induction, it is easy to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{i, j \geq m} F_{x_i, x_j}(t) \geq \inf_{i, j \geq 0} F_{x_i, x_j}(\Phi^m(t)) \geq \\ & \geq \sup_{u < \Phi^m(t)} \inf_{i, j \geq 0} F_{x_i, x_j}(u), \quad m=1, 2, \dots, \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In view of condition  $(\Phi)$  and the probabilistic boundedness of the set  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{i, j \geq m} F_{x_i, x_j}(t) & \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{u < \Phi^m(t)} \inf_{i, j \geq 0} F_{x_i, x_j}(u) \right) \\ & = \begin{cases} 0, & t=0, \\ \sup_{u > 0} \inf_{i, j \geq 0} F_{x_i, x_j}(u), & t > 0, \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} 0, & t=0, \\ 1, & t > 0, \end{cases} = H(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  there exists  $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in Z^+$  such that

$$\inf_{i, j \geq m} F_{x_i, x_j}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \quad \forall m \geq N.$$

Therefore we have

$$F_{x_i, x_j}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \quad \forall i, j \geq N. \quad (3.7)$$

This implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.2) is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . By the  $\mathcal{T}$ -completeness of  $E$  we can suppose that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} x_* \in E$ .

Now we prove that  $x_*$  is a common periodic point of  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , i.e.

$$T_n^{m_n(x_*)} x_* = x_*, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, for any  $i \in Z^+$ , it follows from (3.1) that for any  $n > i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_n, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) & = F_{T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) \\ & \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x_{n-1}, x_*, x_n, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*\}} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let  $G_0$  be the set of all discontinuity points of  $F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t)$ . Since  $\Phi^m$  is strictly increasing, we know that  $\Phi^{-m}(G_0)$  is the set of all discontinuity points of  $F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(\Phi^m(t))$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover,  $G_0, \Phi^{-m}(G_0)$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$  are all countable sets, therefore

$$G = G_0 \cup \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{-m}(G_0) \right)$$

is also countable. Let  $\tilde{G} = R^+ \setminus G$ . When  $t=0$  or  $t \in \tilde{G}$  (i.e.  $t$  is a common continuity point of  $F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t)$ , and  $F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(\Phi^m(t))$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ) it fol-

lows from (3.8) and Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_* T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) \\ &\geq F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(\Phi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure we can prove that

$$F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) \geq F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(\Phi(t)) \geq \dots \geq F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(\Phi^n(t)).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and noting condition  $(\Phi)$  we have

$$F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) = H(t), \quad \forall t \in \tilde{G} \text{ or } t = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

When  $t \in G$  with  $t > 0$ , by the density of real numbers there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in \tilde{G}$  such that  $0 < t_1 < t < t_2$ . A distribution function being nondecreasing, we have from (3.9):

$$1 = H(t_1) = F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t_1) \leq F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) \leq F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t_2) = 1.$$

This shows that for all  $t \in G$  with  $t > 0$

$$F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) = H(t). \quad (3.10)$$

Combining (3.9) with (3.10) we have

$$F_{x_*, T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*}(t) = H(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

i.e.

$$x_* = T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

To prove that  $x_*$  is the unique periodic point of  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  we proceed as follows.

Suppose that  $y_* \in E$  is another periodic point of some  $T_j$ , i.e.

$$y_* = T_j^{m_j(y_*)} y_*.$$

Hence for any  $i \in Z^+$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_*, y_*}(t) &= F_{T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_*, T_j^{m_j(y_*)} y_*}(t) \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x_*, y_*, x_*, y_*\}} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)) \\ &= F_{x_*, y_*}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure we can prove

$$F_{x_*, y_*}(t) \geq F_{x_*, y_*}(\Phi^n(t)), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and noting condition  $(\Phi)$  we get

$$F_{x_*, y_*}(t) = H(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ i.e. } x_* = y_*.$$

Furthermore, by the assumption that  $m_n(x) | m_n(T_n(x))$ ,  $\forall x \in E$  and for all  $n \in Z^+$ , there exists for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  some  $k_i \in Z^+$  such that

$$m_i(T_i x_*) = k_i m_i(x_*). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence we have for each  $i=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i x_* &= T_i T_i^{m_i(x_*)} x_* = T_i T_i^{2m_i(x_*)} x_* = \dots = T_i T_i^{k_i m_i(x_*)} x_* \\ &= T_i T_i^{m_i(T_i x_*)} x_* = T_i^{m_i(T_i x_*)} T_i x_*. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $T_i x_*$  is also a periodic point of  $T_i$ . Since  $x_*$  is the unique periodic point of  $T_i$ , we have  $x_* = T_i x_*$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ . This means that  $x_*$  is the desired unique common fixed point of  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Taking  $\Phi(t) = \frac{t}{h}$ ,  $h \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  it is easy to see that  $\Phi(t)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ . From Theorem 1 we get the following

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  and  $\{m_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be the same as in Theorem 1. Suppose that for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and any  $x, y \in E$  the following holds

$$F_{T_i^{m_i(x)} x, T_j^{m_j(y)} y}(t) \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x, y, T_i^{m_i(x)} x, T_j^{m_j(y)} y\}} F_{p, q} \left( \frac{t}{h} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Suppose further that there exists  $x_0 \in E$  such that the set  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  defined by (3.2) is probabilistically bounded. Then the conclusions of Theorem 1 still hold.

*Remark 1.* A particular case of Corollary 1 with  $T_n = T$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $m(x) = m_i(x)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , appears in Istrăţescu [4].

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of self-mappings on  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for any  $x, y \in E$  and any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $i \neq j$  the following holds

$$F_{T_i^{m_i x}, T_j^{m_j y}}(t) \geq \min_{p, q \in \{x, y, T_i^{m_i x}, T_j^{m_j y}\}} F_{p, q} \left( \frac{t}{h} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Suppose further that there exists  $x_0 \in E$  such that the set  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  defined by (3.2) is probabilistically bounded. Then the conclusion of Theorem 1 still holds.

*Remark 2.* The main result of Sehgal, Bharucha-Reid [10] is a particular case of Corollary 2.

#### 4. Common Fixed Point Theorems for a Pair of Mappings

Throughout this section we suppose that  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -complete Menger space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$ , and that the function  $\Phi(t)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ . Suppose further that  $S, T$  are self-mappings on  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  and that they are commutable and  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous. Furthermore we denote

$$O_{S, T}(x; 0, \infty) = \{S^i T^j x\}_{i, j=0}^\infty, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ ,  $S, T$  and  $\Phi$  be the same as above. Suppose that for each  $x \in E$  the set  $O_{S, T}(x; 0, \infty)$  is probabilistically bounded. Suppose further that there

exist  $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m' + m \geq 1$  such that for each  $x \in E$  and all  $t \geq 0$  the following holds

$$\inf_{p, q \in O_{ST}(S^m T^{m'} x; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)). \quad (4.1)$$

Then for each  $x_0 \in E$ , the sequence  $\{S^n T^n x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges in  $\mathcal{T}$  to some common fixed point  $x_* \in E$  of  $S$  and  $T$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $h = \max\{m, m'\}$  for any given  $x_0 \in E$ , from (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^h T^h x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^m T^{m'} x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(t) \\ & \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)) \geq \sup_{u < \Phi(t)} \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(u), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we can prove that the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^{nh} T^{nh} x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^{(n-1)h} T^{(n-1)h} x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(\Phi(t)) \\ & \geq \dots \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(\Phi^n(t)) \\ & \geq \sup_{u < \Phi^n(t)} \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(u), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Invoking condition  $(\Phi)$  from (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^{nh} T^{nh} x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(t) \\ & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u < \Phi^n(t)} \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(u) \\ & = \begin{cases} \sup_{u > 0} \inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(u), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases} = H(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  such that

$$\inf_{p, q \in O_{S, T}(S^{nh} T^{nh} x_0; 0, \infty)} F_{p, q}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

This implies that all subsequences of  $\{S^i T^j x_0\}_{i, j=0}^\infty$  in which the indexes  $i$  and  $j$  are both convergent to  $\infty$ , are  $\mathcal{T}$ -Cauchy sequences. By the  $\mathcal{T}$ -completeness of  $E$  they all converge in  $\mathcal{T}$  to the same limit  $x_* \in E$ . In particular, the following three subsequences converge in  $\mathcal{T}$  to the limit  $x_*$ :

$$\{S^n T^n x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{S(S^n T^n) x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{T(S^n T^n x_0)\}_{n=0}^\infty.$$

By the  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuity of  $S$  and  $T$  we get

$$x_* = S x_* = T x_*,$$

i.e.  $x_*$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ ,  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $\Phi$  be the same as in Theorem 2. Suppose that for each  $x \in E$  the set  $O_{S,T}(x; 0, \infty)$  is probabilistically bounded. Suppose further that there exist  $m, m', n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $m + m' \geq 1$ ,  $n + n' \geq 1$  such that for any  $x, y \in E$  and any  $t \geq 0$  the following holds:

$$\inf_{\substack{p, q \in \{O_{S,T}(S^m T^{m'} x; 0, \infty) \\ \cup O_{S,T}(S^n T^{n'} y; 0, \infty)\}}} F_{p,q}(t) \geq \inf_{\substack{p, q \in \{O_{S,T}(x; 0, \infty) \\ \cup O_{S,T}(y; 0, \infty)\}}} F_{p,q}(\Phi(t)). \quad (4.3)$$

Then there exists a unique common fixed point  $x_*$  of  $S$  and  $T$  in  $E$  and for any  $x_0 \in E$  the sequence  $\{S^n T^n x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges in  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $x_*$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $h = \max\{m, m', n, n'\}$  and taking  $y = x$  in (4.3) we have

$$\inf_{p, q \in O_{S,T}(S^h T^h x; 0, \infty)} F_{p,q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_{S,T}(x; 0, \infty)} F_{p,q}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall x \in E, t \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 2 we know that for each  $x_0 \in E$  the sequence  $\{S^n T^n x_0\}$  converges in  $\mathcal{F}$  to some common fixed point  $x_* \in E$  of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Now we prove that  $x_*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  in  $E$ .

Suppose this is not the case, hence there exists another common fixed point  $y_* \in E$  of  $S$  and  $T$ . From (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_*, y_*}(t) &= \inf_{p, q \in \{O_{S,T}(S^m T^{m'} x_*; 0, \infty) \cup O_{S,T}(S^n T^{n'} y_*; 0, \infty)\}} F_{p,q}(t) \\ &\geq \inf_{p, q \in \{O_{S,T}(x_*; 0, \infty) \cup O_{S,T}(y_*; 0, \infty)\}} F_{p,q}(\Phi(t)) = F_{x_*, y_*}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure we can prove

$$F_{x_*, y_*}(t) \geq F_{x_*, y_*}(\Phi^n(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the condition  $(\Phi)$  we get

$$F_{x_*, y_*}(t) = H(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ i.e. } x_* = y_*.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

*Remark 3.* The special cases of Theorem 3 with  $S = T$  and  $m' = n' = 0$  appear in Chang [3].

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  and  $\Phi$  be the same as in Theorem 3. Suppose that  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -continuous self-mapping on  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  and that for each  $x \in E$  the set  $O_T(x; 0, \infty) = \{T^n x\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is probabilistically bounded. Suppose further that

(i) there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\inf_{p, q \in O_T(T^m x; 0, \infty)} F_{p,q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in O_T(x; 0, \infty)} F_{p,q}(\Phi(t)), \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Then for any  $x_0 \in E$  the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges in  $\mathcal{F}$  to some fixed point in  $E$ .

(ii) there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $x, y \in E$  and all  $t \geq 0$

$$\inf_{p, q \in \{O_T(T^m x; 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^n y; 0, \infty)\}} F_{p,q}(t) \geq \inf_{p, q \in \{O_T(x; 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y; 0, \infty)\}} F_{p,q}(\Phi(t)).$$



Then for any  $x_0 \in E$  the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges in  $\mathcal{T}$  to some fixed point of  $T$  in  $E$ .

*Proof.* Conclusion of (i) is a special case of Theorem 2 with  $S=I$  (the identical mapping), and conclusion (ii) is a special case of Theorem 3 with  $S=I$ .

### 5. Application

As an application, in this section we use some results stated in Sect. 3 to study the existence and uniqueness of the solution of nonlinear Volterra integral equations on a kind of particular probabilistic metric spaces.

*Definition 7.* Let  $(E, d)$  be a metric space. The space  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is called an induced Menger space if  $\Delta = \Delta_3 = \min$  (i.e.  $\Delta_3(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ ,  $\forall a, b \in [0, 1]$ ) and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping from  $E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  defined via  $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = F_{x,y}$ , where

$$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in E, t \in R.$$

It is well-known that if  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric space, then the induced Menger space  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_3)$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -complete Menger space (see [10], Theorem 2), and that the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  converges in  $\mathcal{T}$  to a point  $x_* \in E$  if and only if  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  converges in the metric  $d$  to  $x_*$ .

In what follows let  $[0, a]$  be a fixed real interval ( $0 < a < \infty$ ) and  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  a real Banach space. We denote by  $C([0, a]; E)$  the Banach space of all  $E$ -valued continuous functions defined on  $[0, a]$  with norm defined by

$$\|x\|_C = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} \|x(t)\|_E, \quad x(t) \in C([0, a]; E). \tag{5.1}$$

Besides the norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$ , the space  $C([0, a]; E)$  can be endowed with another norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  which is defined as follows:

$$\|x\|_* = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} (e^{-Lt} \|x(t)\|_E), \tag{5.2}$$

where  $L$  is any positive number. It is clear that the norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$ .

In the sequel we also denote by  $(C([0, a]; E), \mathcal{F}, \Delta_3)$  the induced Menger space where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the mapping from  $C([0, a]; E) \times C([0, a]; E)$  into  $\mathcal{D}$  defined by

$$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - \|x - y\|_*), \quad x(s), y(s) \in C([0, a]; E), t \in R.$$

Now we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the following kind of nonlinear Volterra integral equations

$$x(t) = y(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq a, \tag{5.3}$$

where  $y(t) \in C([0, a], E)$  is any given function.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ ,  $C([0, a]; E)$  and  $(C([0, a]; E), \mathcal{F}, \Delta_3)$  be the same as stated as above. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied

(i)  $K(t, s, x(s)) \in C([0, a] \times [0, a] \times C([0, a]; E); E)$ , and

$$\|K\|_C = \sup_{t, s \in [0, a], x \in E} \|K(s, t, x)\|_E < \infty;$$

(ii) there exist  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and a constant  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{T^m x, T^m y}(t) = \min_{p, q \in \{x, y, T^m x, T^m y\}} F_{p, q}\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right), \quad \forall x, y \in C([0, a]; E), t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where the mappings  $T$  and  $T^m$  are defined as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = y(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds,$$

$$(T^m x)(t) = y(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, T^{m-1} x(s)) ds;$$

(iii) for any  $x(t) \in C([0, a]; E)$  the set  $O_T(x; 0, \infty) = \{T^n x(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is bounded. Then for any  $x_0(t) \in C([0, a]; E)$  the sequence  $\{T^n x_0(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$  to a solution  $x_*(t) \in C([0, a]; E)$  of equation (5.3).

*Proof.* The conclusion follows immediately from Corollary 2.

For similar results, see [6].

## References

1. Bocsan, Gh.: On some fixed point theorems in random normed spaces. Proc. 5th Conference On Probability Theory, pp. 153-155. București, Acad. R.S.R. 1977
2. Chang Shih-sen: On some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric space and its applications. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **63**, 463-474 (1983)
3. Chang Shih-sen: Fixed point theorems of mapping on probabilistic metric spaces with applications. Sci. Sinica. V. XXVI No. 11, 1144-1155 (1983)
4. Istrățescu, I.: A fixed point theorem for mappings with a probabilistic contractive iterate. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **26**, 431-435 (1981)
5. Istrățescu, V., Sacuiu, I.: Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **18**, 1375-1381 (1973)
6. Ćirić, L.B.: Publications Institute of Mathematics Belgrad (N.S.) **13**(27), 11-16 (1972); **18**(32), 71-78 (1975)
7. Schweizer, B.: On the uniform continuity of the probabilistic distance. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **5**, 357-360 (1966)
8. Schweizer, B., Sklar, A.: Statistical metric spaces. Pacific J. Math. **10**, 313-334 (1960)
9. Schweizer, B., Sklar, A.: Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Amsterdam: North-Holland 1982
10. Sehgal, V.M., Bharucha-Reid, A.T.: Fixed point of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces. Math. Systems Theory **6**(2), 97-102 (1972)
11. Sherwood, H.: On the completeness of probabilistic metric spaces. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **6**, 62-64 (1966)
12. Sherwood, H.: On  $E$ -spaces and their relation to other classes of probabilistic metric spaces. J. London Math. Soc. **44**, 441-448 (1969)
13. Sherwood, H.: Complete probabilistic metric spaces. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **20**, 117-128 (1971)