A Condition for Absolute Continuity of Infinitely Divisible Distribution Functions

By

M. Fisz* and V. S. Varadarajan**

1. Summarv***

Throughout this paper the symbols r.v., d.f., ch.f., and i.d. will stand, respectively, for "random variable", "distribution function", "characteristic function", and "infinitely divisible".

Let F(x) be an i.d.d.f.. HARTMAN and WINTNER [5] and Blum and Rosenblatt [1] have given a condition, necessary and sufficient, for F(x) to be a continuous d.f. In this note a sufficient condition for F(x) to be an absolutely continuous d.f. is given.

2. The condition for absolute continuity

As shown by Khintchine [6], the logarithm of the ch.f. $\varphi(v)$ of an i.d.d.f. is representable in the form

(1)
$$\log \varphi(v) = i \gamma v - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 v^2 + \left[\int_{-\infty}^{0-} + \int_{0+}^{\infty} A(u, v) dH(u) \right],$$

where γ and $\sigma(\geq 0)$ are constants, H(u) is defined and non decreasing for u < 0 and for u > 0, $H(-\infty) = H(+\infty) = 0$, and, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left[\int_{-\varepsilon}^{0-} + \int_{0+}^{\varepsilon} u^2 dH(u)\right] < \infty.$$

The function A(u, v) is given by the formula

(2)
$$A(u,v) = e^{ivu} - 1 - \frac{ivu}{1 + u^2}.$$

Theorem. Let F(x) be an infinitely divisible distribution function and let H(u) be the function corresponding to F(x) in formula (1). If, for some $u_0 > 0$, in one at least of the intervals $(-u_0, 0)$ and $(0, u_0)$, H(u) is both unbounded and absolutely continuous, F(x) is absolutely continuous.

Proof. Without restricting the generality of our reasoning, we may take γ in (1) to be 0. Further, if $\sigma > 0$ in (1), F(x) is obviously absolutely continuous. We may (and do) therefore assume that $\sigma = 0$.

^{*} Research supported by ONR Contract No. NONR-285(46).

^{**} Research supported in part by a National Science Foundation fellowship.

^{***} A summary of this paper has been published, in the Notices of the Amer. Math. Society, August, 1962.

We now remark that an i.d.r.v. X whose ch.f. satisfies formula (1) with $\gamma = \sigma = 0$ may be assumed to be the r.v. X(1) of some stochastic process $\{X(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$ that is separable, centered, has independent and stationary increments, and satisfies the equation X(0) = 0, and, moreover, is such that the ch.f. $\varphi(t, v)$ of X(t) satisfies, for any $0 \le t \le 1$, the relation

(3)
$$\log \varphi(t, v) = t \left[\int_{-\infty}^{0-} \int_{0+}^{\infty} A(u, v) dH(u) \right],$$

where A(u, v) and H(u) are given by (2) and (1), respectively.

We assume now that in one at least of the intervals $(-u_0, 0)$ and $(0, u_0)$, the function H(u) is unbounded. In other words, we assume that one at least of the relations

$$(4) H(0-) = +\infty,$$

$$(4') H(0+) = -\infty,$$

holds. If, for instance, (4') holds, then the sample functions of the process X(t) have in any interval (0, t), t being any point in (0,1], an infinite number of positive jumps, with probability one. Indeed, let N_n denote the number of positive jumps in (0, t) of magnitude m with $2^{-n-1} < m \le 2^{-n}$, and let n_0 be a positive integer such that $2^{-n_0} < u_0$. Then the N_n $(n = n_0, n_0 + 1, \ldots)$ form a sequence of independent Poisson variables with

$$\lambda_n = E(N_n) = H(2^{-n}) - H(2^{-n-1}).$$

By (4'), we have $\sum_{n \geq n_0} \lambda_n = \infty$. This implies (cf. [2], p. 115, Theorem 2.7 (ii)) that $\sum_{n \geq n_0} N_n = \infty$, with probability one. It follows in particular that the probability that X(1) = 0 is zero.

Let $\{\tau_k\}$ $(k=1,2,\ldots)$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\tau_1 < u_0$ and $\tau_k \searrow 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Denote by ξ_{jk} $(j=0,1,\ldots,\nu_k)$, where ν_k is a non-negative, integervalued r.v., the j^{th} jump* of the sample function X(1) whose magnitude m is such that $\tau_{k+1} < m \le \tau_k$. Let

$$\xi_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \xi_{jk}.$$

It follows from (4') and the assumption $\sigma = 0$, that X(1) can be represented, with probability one, as

(6)
$$X(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k + \xi,$$

where ξ denotes the sum of magnitudes of negative jumps and the jumps of magnitude $\geq u_0$, if any, ξ_k ($k=1,2,\ldots$) and ξ are independent, and the number of those indices k, for which $\xi_k > 0$, is infinite. If $F_k(x)$ denotes the d.f. of ξ_k , we have

(7)
$$F_k(x) = a_k + (1 - a_k) F_k^+(x),$$

^{*} when the points of jumps are arranged from 0 to 1.

where $a_k = P(v_k = 0)$ and $F_k^+(x) = P$ $(\xi_k \le x | v_k > 0)$. From (6) and (7) it follows that

(8)
$$F(x) = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} * [a_k + (1 - a_k) F_k^+(x)] \right\} * F^-(x),$$

where * denotes convolution and $F^{-}(x)$ is the d.f. of ξ . We also note that

(9)
$$a_k = \exp\{-[H(\tau_k) - H(\tau_{k+1})]\}.$$

Since $H(0+) = -\infty$, we can choose the constants τ_k such that $0 < a_k < 1$ for all k and $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_k) > 0$. We shall thus assume that the τ_k 's have been so chosen.

Suppose we now assume that, in addition to (4'), H(u) is absolutely continuous in the interval $(0, u_0)$. We proceed to prove that the infinite convolution

$$\prod_{1}^{\infty} *[a_k + (1 - a_k)F_k^+(x)]$$

is absolutely continuous. Since H(u) is absolutely continuous, for any Borel set S of numbers in $(0, u_0)$ whose Lebesgue measure is zero, we have

(10)
$$\int_{S} dH(u) = 0.$$

The integral on the left hand side of (10) is the expected number of jumps whose magnitudes m belong to S. It now follows that each $F_k^+(x)$ is absolutely continuous. To complete the proof that F(x) is absolutely continuous, we need the following lemma.

Lemma. Let $0 < a_k < 1$ be constants and let $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - a_k) > 0$. If the d.f. $G_k(x)$ are absolutely continuous for all k and if the infinite convolution

$$G(x) = \prod_{k} *[a_{k} + (1 - a_{k}) G_{k}(x)]$$

exists, G(x) is absolutely continuous.

Proof. For any d.f. let us denote the corresponding probability measure by the same symbol. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose an N such that $z_p = \prod_{N+1}^{N+p} (1 - a_k) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for all p > 1. Since the infinite convolution $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} *[a_k + (1 - a_k) G_k(x)]$

exists, it follows that the family $\{G^{(p)}: p>1\}$ of measures, where

$$G^{(p)} = \prod_{N+1}^{N+p} * [a_k + (1 - a_k) G_k],$$

has compact closure *. Moreover, the expansion of $G^{(p)}$ reveals that

$$G^{(p)} = z_p \left(\prod_{N+1}^{N+p} G_k\right) + (1-z_p) \cdot L_p,$$

^{*} in the usual topology.

where L_p is some probability measure. Consequently, for any set E on the line,

$$\left(\prod_{N+1}^{N+p} * G_k\right)(E) \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} G^{(p)}(E),$$

from which we may conclude that the family $\left\{\prod_{N+1}^{N+p} * G_k : p > 1\right\}$ has compact closure. Thus there exists a sequence $p_1, p_2, \ldots \to \infty$ and a d.f. $\tilde{G}(x)$ such that

$$\prod_{N+1}^{N+p_j} * G_k \Rightarrow \tilde{G}.$$

Since each $G_k(x)$ is absolutely continuous, so is $\tilde{G}(x)$. The equation

$$G^{(p_j)} = z_{p_j} \Big(\prod_{N+1}^{N+p_j} {}^*G_k \Big) + (1-z_{p_j}) L_{p_j}$$

enables us to infer that $L_{p_j} \Rightarrow \tilde{L}$, where \tilde{L} is some probability measure (note that $z_{p_j} \to \tilde{z} = \prod_{N+1}^{\infty} (1 - a_k)$ with $\tilde{z} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$). Consequently,

$$\prod_{N+1}^{\infty} * [a_k + (1 - a_k) G_k] = \tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{G} + (1 - \tilde{z}) \cdot \tilde{L},$$

and hence

(11)
$$G = \tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{G}_1 + (1 - \tilde{z}) \tilde{L}_1,$$

where
$$ilde{G}_1=\prod_1^N*[a_k+(1-a_k)\,G_k]* ilde{G}$$
 and $ilde{L}_1=\prod_1^N*[a_k+(1-a_k)\,G_k]* ilde{L}.$

Since \tilde{G} is absolutely continuous, so is \tilde{G}_1 . Thus the inequality $\tilde{z} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and the relation (11) imply that for each ε the absolutely continuous component of G has total mass $\geq 1 - \varepsilon$. This shows that G must be absolutely continuous and completes the proof of the lemma.

The theorem now follows from (8) and the lemma. In fact, by the lemma, $\prod_{1}^{\infty} *[a_k + (1 - a_k)F_k^+(x)] \text{ is absolutely continuous and hence so is } F(x).$

Corollary. If F(x) is a nondegenerate L-distribution function, then it is absolutely continuous.

Proof. As shown by the first author ([4], Lemmas 1 and 3), the assumptions of the preceding theorem are satisfied for a nondegenerate L-distribution function, so that the corollary follows at once.

This corollary strengthens a previous result of the first author [3] to the effect that a nondegenerate L-distribution function is continuous.

We remark that the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of an i.d.d.f. with $\sigma = 0$ is still open. The absolute continuity of H(u) is not necessary. An example of an absolutely continuous i.d.d.f. with a purely discrete H(u) has been given by Hartman and Wintner ([5],

p. 295). In constructing that example, they made use of a theorem due to themselves ([5], p. 286), according to which the relation

(12)
$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0-} + \int_{0+}^{\infty} |u|^{2-\lambda} dH(u) \right] = \infty.$$

where $\lambda < 2$, implies that F(x) is not only absolutely continuous but has at every x derivatives of any order. Obviously, (12) may be satisfied for H(u) purely discrete. We, however, remark that unboundedness and absolute continuity of H(u) is less restrictive than relation (12). Indeed, take in formula (1) $\gamma = \lambda = 0$ and

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & (u < 0), \\ 2 \log u & (0 < u \le 1), \\ 0 & (u > 1). \end{cases}$$

This is the H function of an L-distribution function (see Kubik [7]) and thus, by our Corollary, that d.f. is absolutely continuous. However, (12) does not hold for any $\lambda < 2$, since for every such λ , we have

$$2\int_{0}^{1}u^{1-\lambda}du<\infty.$$

Our next remark is that an example of a singular i.d.d.f. has been constructed by Hartman and Wintner ([5], p. 288).

We finally remark that if $\sigma = 0$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of an i.d.d.f., due to Hartman and Wintner and to Blum and Rosen-blatt, is equivalent to the unboundedness of H(u).

References

- Blum, J. R., and M. Rosenblatt: On the structure of the infinitely divisible distributions. Pacific J. Math. 9, 1-7 (1959).
- [2] Doob, J. L.: Stochastic Processes. New York: Wiley 1953.
- [3] Fisz, M.: On the continuity of the L-distribution functions. Z. f. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 1, 25-27 (1962).
- [4] On the orthogonality of measures induced by L-processes. Trans. Amer. math. Soc. 106, 185—192 (1963).
- [5] HARTMAN, P., and A. WINTNER: On the infinitesimal generators of integral convolutions. Amer. J. Math. 64, 273—298 (1942).
- [6] KHINTCHINE, A. YA.: Deduction nouvelle d'une formule de M. Paul Lévy. Bull. Univ. Etat Moscou, Sér. Int., Sect. A; Math. et Mécan 1, Fasc. 1, 1—5 (1937).
- [7] КUBIK, L., Some remarks on the class L of probability distributions. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. 9, 339—340 (1961).

New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York 3, N.Y. (USA)

(Received August 8, 1962)