Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete © by Springer-Verlag 1979

On the Structure of Certain Excursions of a Markov Process

B. Maisonneuve*

Université de Grenoble II, I.M.S.S. 47X-38040 Grenoble Cédex

Summary. Let X be a Markov process and M a homogeneous random set. For $t \ge 0$, we set $G_t = \sup \{s \le t: s \in M\}$. The stochastic dependence between the past and the future of G_T is investigated for certain stopping times T. This gives some insight to recent results of Getoor concerning the excursion straddling t and the first excursion exceeding a in length.

I. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, P^x)$ be a strong Markov process and let M be a homogeneous closed well measurable set (for instance $M = \{\overline{t: X_t \in B}\}$, where B is a Borel subset of the state space E). Set

$$G_t = \sup \{ s \leq t : s \in M \}, \quad A_t = t - G_t, t \geq 0.$$

We will establish that if T is a stopping time of the family (\mathscr{F}_{G_t}) , the past and the future relative to G_T are conditionally independent given (A_T, X_{G_T}) . More precisely, there exists a family $(P^{a, x})$ of probabilities on Ω such that $P^{0, x} = P^x$ and

$$E^{\bullet}[f \circ \theta_{G_T} | A_T, \mathscr{F}_{G_T}] = P^{A_T, X_{G_T}}(f) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T < \infty\}$$

for all (\mathscr{F}_{G_t}) -stopping times T and all positive measurable functions f on $\Omega(P^{a, x}(f) \text{ denotes } \int f dP^{a, x})$.

In particular if we set

$$T^a = \text{Inf}\{t: A_t > a\}, \quad G^a = G_{T^a}, \quad a > 0,$$

one has $A_{T^a} = a$ on $\{G^a < \infty\}$ and the preceding formula specializes as follows

$$E^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}[f\circ\theta_{G^a}|\mathscr{F}_{G^a}]=P^{a,\,X_{G^a}}(f)\quad \text{ a.s. on } \{G^a<+\infty\}.$$

^{*} This research was supported in part by NSF grant MCS76-8023

Therefore the past and the future of G^a are conditionally independent given X_{G^a} .

These formulas generalize and make more understandable the following result recently established by Getoor [1]: the distribution of the excursion straddling t, conditionally on $A_t = a$, $X_{G_t} = x$, is the same as the distribution of the first excursion exceeding a in length, conditionally on $X_{G^a} = x$.

We shall also give analogous results for conditioning on $\mathscr{F}_{G_{T-}}$, under somewhat stronger assumptions. The reader will find a lot of nice applications and explicit computations for the excursions from a point in Getoor's paper [1].

II. Notations and Preliminary Results

We shall use the notations and assumptions of [3]. $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, P^x)$ is the canonical right continuous realization of a Markov semi-group (P_t) satisfying the "hypothèses droites" of Meyer. The state space *E* is Lusin; in *E* we distinguish a point δ . We assume that δ is absorbing and that the lifetime $\zeta = \inf \{t: X_t = \delta\}$ is infinite $P^x - a.s.$ for each $x \neq \delta$.

M is a closed random subset of \mathbb{R}_+ , well measurable (or optional) and homogeneous in $]0, \infty[$:

$$(M-t) \cap]0, \infty[=M \circ \theta_t \cap]0, \infty[, t \ge 0.$$

We associate with M the following notations:

$$\begin{split} R &= \inf \{t > 0: t \in M\}, \qquad R_t = R \circ \theta_t, \qquad D_t = t + R_t, \qquad t \ge 0, \\ G_t &= \sup \{s \le t: s \in M\}, \qquad 0 \le t \le \infty, \\ A_t &= t - G_t, \qquad t \ge 0, \ A_\infty = \infty, \\ G &= \{t > 0: \ R_{t-} = 0, \ R_t > 0\}, \\ F &= \{x \in E: \ P^x \{R = 0\} = 1\}. \end{split}$$

The set $G(\omega)$ is the set of the left endpoints in]0, ∞ [of the intervals that are contiguous to $M(\omega)$.

R is assumed to be \mathscr{F}^* -measurable $-\mathscr{F}^*$ denotes the universal completion of $\mathscr{F}^0 = \sigma\{X_s, s \ge 0\}$. Then one has the following result (see [3]).

Theorem 1. There exists an "exit system" (B, \hat{P}) : B is a well measurable random measure, \hat{P} is a kernel from (E, \mathscr{E}^*) to (Ω, \mathscr{F}^*) such that

$$E^{\bullet}\left[\sum_{s\in G} Z_s f \circ \theta_s\right] = E^{\bullet}\left[\int_0^\infty Z_s \hat{P}^{X_s}(f) \, dB_s\right] \tag{1}$$

for all positive well-measurable Z and \mathcal{F}^* -measurable f.

In view of [3], one may and will choose the system (B, \hat{P}) with the following properties: $dB_t = dK_t + \sum_{\substack{s \in G \\ X_s \notin F}} \varepsilon_s(dt)$, where K is a continuous additive functional

carried by F, with 1-potential ≤ 1 ; $\hat{P}^x = P^x$ if $x \notin F$; $\hat{P}^x \{R=0\} = 0$ and $\hat{P}^x(1 - e^{-R}) \leq 1$ for all x (which implies that $\hat{P}^x \{R > a\} < \infty$ for all x and all a > 0); and finally $(X_t)_{t>0}$ is strong Markov with semi-group (P_t) relative to the measure \hat{P}^x , for all x.

III. Conditioning on (A_T, \mathscr{F}_{G_T})

For each positive random variable S, \mathscr{F}_S denotes the σ -field of the sets $A \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $I_A = Z_S$ on $\{S < \infty\}$ for some well measurable process Z. For example S, $X_S(X_\infty = \delta)$ are \mathscr{F}_S -measurable. Here is a technical result.

Proposition 1. 1) The family $(\check{\mathscr{F}}_t) = (\mathscr{F}_{G_t})$ is increasing. 2) For each (\mathscr{F}_t) -stopping time T, one has $\mathscr{F}_{G_T} \subset \check{\mathscr{F}}_T \subset \mathscr{F}_T$.

Proof. 1) Let (Z_t) be a right continuous adapted process. The process (Z_{G_t}) is adapted, since $G_t \leq t$, and right continuous, since (G_t) is increasing and right continuous. Therefore (Z_{G_t}) is well measurable for each well measurable (Z_t) . Let $u \geq 0$, set $Z_t^u = Z_{G_t \wedge u}$; the process $(Z_t^u)_{t \geq 0}$ is still well measurable and the equality $Z_{G_u} = Z_{G_v}^u$, $u \leq v$, shows that $\mathscr{F}_{G_u} \subset \mathscr{F}_{G_v}$ if $u \leq v$.

2) Let T be a stopping time of the increasing family $(\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_t)$. We have already observed that, if (Z_t) is (\mathscr{F}_t) -well measurable, (Z_{G_t}) is (\mathscr{F}_t) -well measurable; therefore $\mathscr{F}_{G_t} \subset \mathscr{F}_t$ for all t, and (Z_{G_t}) is $(\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -well measurable, which implies that Z_{G_T} is $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_T$ -measurable (set $Z_{\infty} = 0$) and that $\mathscr{F}_{G_T} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{F}}_T$.

Remark. The variable A_T is $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_T$ -measurable, since (A_t) is right continuous and $(\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -adapted, but not necessarily \mathscr{F}_{G_T} -measurable.

Theorem 2. For all positive \mathscr{F}^* -measurable functions f on Ω and all $(\check{\mathscr{F}}_i)$ -stopping times T one has

$$\hat{P}^{A_T, X_{G_T}}(1) > 0$$
 a.s. on $\{G_T < T < \infty\},$ (2)

$$E'\left[f \circ \theta_{G_T} | \mathscr{F}_T\right] = P^{A_T, X_{G_T}}(f) \quad a.s. \text{ on } \{T < \infty\},$$
(3)

where we set

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}^{a,x}(f) &= \hat{P}^{x}(f \mathbf{1}_{\{R > a\}}) & \text{if } a > 0, \\ P^{a,x}(f) &= \frac{\hat{P}^{a,x}(f)}{\hat{P}^{a,x}(1)} & \text{if } a > 0 & \left(\frac{0}{0} = 0\right), \\ P^{0,x}(f) &= P^{x}(f). \end{split}$$

Remarks. a) If a > 0, $\hat{P}^{a, x}(1) = \hat{P}^{x} \{R > a\} < \infty$, as already observed.

b) $(a, x) \rightarrow \hat{P}^{a, x}(f)$ is measurable on $]0, \infty[\times E$ due to the right continuity in a, and $(a, x) \rightarrow P^{a, x}(f)$ is measurable on $[0, \infty[\times E]$.

c) X_{G_T} is \mathscr{F}_{G_T} -measurable. Therefore equality (3) implies the following:

$$E'[f \circ \theta_{G_T} | A_T, \mathscr{F}_{G_T}] = P^{A_T, \chi_{G_T}}(f) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T < \infty\}.$$

$$(3')$$

d) Let us set, for a positive h on E

$$\begin{split} \hat{Q}_{a}(x,h) &= \hat{P}^{x}(h \circ X_{a}I_{\{R > a\}}), \quad a > 0, \\ q_{a}(x,h) &= \frac{\hat{Q}_{a}(x,h)}{\hat{Q}_{a}(x,1)} \quad \text{if } a > 0 \qquad \left(\frac{0}{0} = 0\right), \\ &= h(x) \qquad \text{if } a = 0, \end{split}$$

like in [3]. We have $\hat{Q}_a(x,h) = \hat{P}^{a,x}(h \circ X_a), q_a(x,h) = P^{a,x}(h \circ X_a)$ and formula (3) implies

$$E^{\bullet}[h \circ X_T | \mathscr{F}_T] = q_{A_T}(X_{G_T}, h) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T < \infty\}.$$

Hence Theorem 2 is a direct generalization of Theorem (7.10) of [3].

Proof. Condition (2) was already established in [3], in the form $\hat{Q}_{A_T}(X_{G_T}, 1) > 0$ a.s. on $\{G_T < T < \infty\}$. On the set $\{G_T = T < \infty\}$, equality (3) follows from $\check{\mathscr{F}}_T \subset \mathscr{F}_T$ and from the Markov property at time T. One can reduce the proof of (3) on the set $\{G_T < T < \infty\}$ to the case T = t constant by the argument of [3]. So we have to establish that, for each positive well measurable Z,

$$E^{\cdot}[Z_{G_{t}}f \circ \theta_{G_{t}}I_{\{G_{t} < t\}}] = E^{\cdot}[Z_{G_{t}}P^{A_{t}, X_{G_{t}}}(f)I_{\{G_{t} < t\}}].$$
(4)

Let us firstly give the following extension of formula (1): if we set $G^0 = G$ if R =0, $G \cup \{0\}$ if R > 0 and $dB_t^0 = I_{\{R > 0\}} \varepsilon_0(dt) + dB_t$, one has

$$E^{\bullet}\left[\sum_{s\in G^{0}} Z_{s}F(s, X_{s}, \theta_{s})\right] = E^{\bullet}\left[\int_{[0, \infty[} Z_{s}(\omega) dB_{s}^{0}(\omega) \int F(s, X_{s}(\omega), \omega') \hat{P}^{X_{s}(\omega)}(d\omega')\right]$$
(5)

for each positive universally measurable function F on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times E \times \Omega$.

Now the basic observation is that $s = G_t < t$ iff $s \in G^0$ and $0 < t - s < R \circ \theta_s$. Therefore the left side of (4) equals

$$E^{\bullet}\left[\int_{[0, t[} Z_s \hat{P}^{t-s, X_s}(f) dB_s^0\right]$$

(take $F(s, x, \omega) = f(\omega) I_{\{0 \le t-s \le R\}}(\omega)$ in (5)) and the right side of (4) equals

$$E^{\bullet}\left[\int_{[0, t[} Z_s P^{t-s, X_s}(f) \hat{P}^{t-s, X_s}(1) dB_s^0\right]$$

(take $F(s, x, \omega) = P^{t-s, x}(f) I_{\{0 < t-s < R\}}(\omega)$ in (5)). This yields (4).

Corollary 1. If a > 0, let $T^a = \inf \{t: A_t > a\}, G^a = G_{T_a}$. Then

$$E^{\bullet}[f \circ \theta_{G^a} | \mathscr{F}_{G^a}] = P^{a, X_{G^a}}(f) \quad a.s. \ on \ \{G^a < \infty\}$$

$$(6)$$

for all positive \mathcal{F}^* -measurable f.

Proof. T^a is an $(\check{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -stopping time since

$$\{T^a \leq t\} = \{A_t = a\} \cup (\bigcup_{\substack{r < t \\ r \text{ rational}}} \{A_r > a\})$$

and (A_t) is $(\check{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -adapted. Notice also that $A_{T^a} = a$ on $\{G^a < \infty\} = \{T^a < \infty\}$. Therefore applying (3) to $T = T^a$ yields (6).

Remark. (6) can also be established directly by observing that $s = G^a < \infty$ iff $s \in G^0$, $R \circ \theta_s > a$ and $s \leq T^a$, and by using equality (5) with $Z_t = I_{\{t \leq T^a\}}$, like in the proof of (4).

From Theorem 2 one obtains the distribution of the excursion straddling T, conditionally on A_T , X_{G_T} (this was proved by Getoor for T = t).

}

Corollary 2. Let T be an $(\check{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -stopping time. If 0 < a < r, $x \in E$, $0 < t_1 < ... < t_n < r$, one has (symbolically)

$$\begin{split} & P^{\bullet}\{\theta_{G_{T}}^{-1}\{X_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}, \dots, X_{t_{n}} \in dy_{n}, R > r\} | A_{T} = a, X_{G_{T}} = x\} \\ &= \hat{Q}_{a}(x, 1)^{-1} \hat{Q}_{t_{1}}(x, dy_{1}) Q_{t_{2}-t_{1}}(y_{1}, dy_{2}) \dots Q_{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}(y_{n-1}, dy_{n}) P^{y_{n}}\{R > r-t_{n}\} \\ &= P^{\bullet}\{\theta_{G_{a}}^{-1}\{X_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}, \dots, X_{t_{n}} \in dy_{n}, R > r\} | X_{G^{a}} = x\} \end{split}$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_t(x, dy) = \hat{P}^x \{ X_t \in dy, R > t \}, \quad Q_t(x, dy) = P^x \{ X_t \in dy, R > t \}.$$

Proof. By the Markov property of the measure \hat{P}^x , one has

$$\begin{split} P^{a,x} \{ X_{t_1} &\in dy_1, \dots, X_{t_n} \in dy_n, R > r \} \\ &= \hat{P}^{a,x} (1)^{-1} \hat{P}^x \{ X_{t_1} \in dy_1 R > t_1 \} P^{y_1} \{ X_{t_2 - t_1} \in dy_2, R > t_2 - t_1 \} \\ &\dots P^{y_{n-1}} \{ X_{t_n - t_{n-1}} \in dy_n, R > t_n - t_{n-1} \} P^{y_n} \{ R > r - t_n \}. \end{split}$$

Hence Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

IV. Conditioning on (A_T, \mathscr{F}_{G_T})

For each positive random variable S, $\mathscr{F}_{S_{-}}$ denotes the σ -field of the sets $A \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $I_A = Z_S$ on $\{S < \infty\}$ for some predictable process Z. For example S is $\mathscr{F}_{S_{-}}$ -measurable. From now on we shall assume for simplicity that (X_i) is a Hunt process. Then $X_{S_{-}}$ is $\mathscr{F}_{S_{-}}$ -measurable (we set $X_{\infty_{-}} = \delta$).

In the sequel we shall also assume that *M* has (a.s.) no isolated point, which implies the equivalence $G_t \leq u \Leftrightarrow t \leq D_u$ for all $t, u \geq 0$. It follows that the process (Z_{G_t}) is predictable for all predictable *Z*. In fact if $Z_t = I_{\{t \leq U\}}$, where *U* is a stopping time, $Z_{G_t} = I_{\{t \leq D_U\}}$ is a predictable process, since D_U is a stopping time. This observation leads to the following result, whose proof is entirely analogous to the proof of Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. 1) The family $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t) = (\mathscr{F}_{G_t-})$ is increasing. 2) For each $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -stopping time T, one has $\mathscr{F}_{G_T-} \subset \overline{\mathscr{F}}_T \subset \mathscr{F}_T$.

In this paragraph we shall further assume that there exists a "predictable exit system" $(\overline{B}, \overline{P})$: \overline{B} is a predictable random measure and \overline{P} is a kernel from (E, \mathscr{E}^*) to (Ω, \mathscr{F}^*) such that for all positive predictable Z and \mathscr{F}^* -measurable f

$$E^{\bullet}\left[\sum_{s\in G} Z_{s}f\circ\theta_{s}\right] = E^{\bullet}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} Z_{s}\bar{P}^{\chi_{s}}(f)\,d\bar{B}_{s}\right]$$

Furthermore $\bar{P}^{x}(R=0)=0$ and $\bar{P}^{x}(1-e^{-R}) \leq 1$ for all x, and the process $(X_{t})_{t>0}$ is strong Markov with respect to (P_{t}) for each measure \bar{P}^{x} . The existence of such a system is not always satisfied as Getoor and Sharpe showed in [2]. The reader will find sufficient conditions for this existence in the appendix.

Under all previous assumptions, one has the following results (the proofs are analogous to the proofs of paragraph 3 and left to the reader).

Theorem 3. Let T be an $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_{t})$ -stopping time. For all positive \mathscr{F}^* -measurable f, $\overline{P}^{A_T, X_{G_T}}(1) > 0$ a.s. on $\{0 < G_T < T < \infty\}$ and

$$E^{\bullet}[f \circ \theta_{G_T} | \overline{\mathscr{P}}_T] = \tilde{P}^{A_T \cdot X_{G_T}}(f) \quad a.s. \text{ on } \{0 < G_T < T < \infty\}$$
(7)

where we set

$$\bar{P}^{a, x}(f) = \bar{P}^{x}(f I_{(R > a)}) \quad if \ a > 0, \\
\tilde{P}^{a, x}(f) = \frac{\bar{P}^{a, x}(f)}{\bar{P}^{a, x}(1)}$$

Remarks. A_T is $\overline{\mathscr{F}}_T$ -measurable since (A_t) is $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -adapted and right continuous. Proposition 2, equality (7) and the fact that X_{G_T-} is \mathscr{F}_{G_T-} -measurable imply

$$E^{\bullet}[f \circ \theta_{G_T} | A_T, \mathscr{F}_{G_T}] = \hat{P}^{A_T, X_{G_T}}(f) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{0 < G_T < T < \infty\}.$$
(7)

Corollary 3. With the notations of Corollary 1, one has

$$E^{\bullet}[f \circ \theta_{G^a} | \mathscr{F}_{G^a_{-}}] = \tilde{P}^{a, X_{G^a_{-}}}(f) \quad a.s. \text{ on } \{0 < G^a < \infty\}.$$

$$(8)$$

Remarks. 1) Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 3 by observing that T^a is an $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -stopping time, and that $A_{Ta} = a$ on $\{G^a < \infty\}$. It can also be established directly along the lines of the remark following Corollary 1 (notice that, $Z_t = I_{\{t \le T^a\}}$ is predictable). This method has the advantage that *it does not require that* M has no isolated point.

2) In the case where $M = \{t: X_t = x_0\}$, $X_{G^a} = x_0$ a.s. on $\{0 < G^a < \infty\}$, since M has no isolated point; therefore the strict past of G^a and the future of G^a are independent, as a consequence of Corollary 3.

Finally Corollary 2 can be rephrased for an $(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t)$ -stopping time by replacing $X_{G_T}, X_{G^a}, \hat{Q}_t$ by $X_{G_{T^{-}}}, X_{G^{a^-}}, \bar{Q}_t$, where $\bar{Q}_t(x, dy) = \bar{P^x} \{X_t \in dy, R > t\}$.

V. Appendix

In this section, we shall give sufficient conditions for the existence of a "predictable exit system". We assume for simplicity that (X_t) is a Hunt process, and that there exists a universally measurable subset S of F^c such that if $s \in G$, $X_{s-} \in F^c$, $X_s \in F^c$ then

 $X_{s-} \neq X_s \Leftrightarrow X_{s-} \in S.$

Then one has the following.

Theorem 4. Under the previous assumptions, there exists a predictable exit system (\bar{B}, \bar{P}) such that $\bar{P}^{x}(R=0)=0$, $\bar{P}^{x}(1-e^{-R}) \leq 1$ and $(X_{t})_{t>0}$ is strong Markov with semi-group (P_{t}) relative to \bar{P}^{x} , for all x.

Proof. The set G is the union of the following sets:

$${}^{1}G = \{s \in G \colon X_{s} \in F\},$$

$${}^{2}G = \{s \in G \colon X_{s-} \in F, X_{s} \in F^{c}\},$$

On the Structure of Certain Excursions of a Markov Process

$${}^{3}G = \{s \in G : X_{s-} \in S, X_{s} \in F^{c}\},\$$

$${}^{4}G = \{s \in G : X_{s-} \in S^{c} \cap F^{c}, X_{s} \in F^{c}\},\$$

It is known that ${}^{1}G \cap [T] = \emptyset$ a.s. for each stopping time T, whereas $G \setminus {}^{1}G$ is a countable union of graphs of stopping times.

For each positive, bounded, \mathcal{F}^* -measurable function f, define

$${}^{i}u_{f} = E^{*} [\sum_{s \in {}^{i}G} e^{-s} (1 - e^{-R_{s}}) f \circ \theta_{s}], \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

For each predictable stopping time T, one has ${}^{i}G \cap [T] = \emptyset$ a.s., i = 1, 2, 3, since $X_{s-} \neq X_{s}$ for $s \in {}^{2}G \cup {}^{3}G$. Hence the functions ${}^{i}u_{f}$ are regular 1-potentials. By the argument of [3], Theorem (4.1), there exist continuous additive functionals ${}^{i}K$ and kernels ${}^{i}N$ such that

$${}^{i}u_{f} = E \cdot \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s \, i} N^{X_{s}}(f) \, d^{i}K_{s} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for all f. ¹K and ²K are carried by F, whereas ³K is carried by S. Let ¹d, ²d be densities of ¹K, ²K with respect to ¹K + ²K and set

$$\begin{split} \bar{P}^{x}(f) &= \sum_{i=1,2} {}^{i}d(x) {}^{i}N^{x}(f/1 - e^{-R}) & \text{if } x \in F, \\ &= {}^{3}N^{x}(f/1 - e^{-R}) & \text{if } x \in S, \\ &= P^{x}(f) & \text{if } x \in S^{c} \cap F^{c}, \\ d\bar{B}_{i} &= \sum_{i=1,2,3} {}^{d}d^{i}K_{i} + \sum_{s \in {}^{4}G} \varepsilon_{s}(dt). \end{split}$$

The random measure \overline{B} is predictable, since $X_{s-} = X_s$ for $s \in {}^4G$. By the same arguments as in [3], one shows that, except for x in a \overline{B} -null set N_0 , one has $\overline{P^x}\{R=0\}=0$, $\overline{P^x}(1-e^{-R})\leq 1$ and the strong Markov property of $\overline{P^x}$ with respect to (P_t) . If we change the definition of \overline{P} by setting $\overline{P^x}=0$, if $x \in N_0$, $(\overline{B}, \overline{P})$ is a predictable exit system with all the desired properties.

Remark. By construction $E^{\star}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} \bar{P}^{X_{s}}(1-e^{-R}) d\bar{B}_{s}\right] \leq 1$. Therefore the process $\left(\int_{0}^{t} \bar{P}^{X_{s}}(1-e^{-R}) d\bar{B}_{s}\right)$ is a predictable additive functional with 1-potential ≤ 1 .

References

- 1. Getoor, R.K.: Excursions of a Markov process. [To appear in Ann. Probability]
- Getoor, R.K., Sharpe, M.J.: Last exit decompositions and distributions. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 23, 377-404 (1973)
- 3. Maisonneuve, B.: Exit systems. Ann. Probability, 3, 309-411 (1975)

Received January 15, 1978