

## Lévy's Downcrossing Theorem

David Williams

Department of Pure Mathematics, University College, Swansea SA2 8PP, Great Britain

Let  $\{W(t): t \geq 0\}$  be the standard Wiener process (Brownian motion starting at 0) with all paths continuous. Put

$$M^W(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} W(s), \quad Y(t) = M^W(t) - W(t).$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , let  $d_\varepsilon(t)$  be the number of times that  $Y$  crosses down from  $\varepsilon$  to 0 by time  $t$ . Thus  $d_\varepsilon(t)$  is the maximum integer  $n$  for which we can find points  $0 < s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < t_2 < \dots < s_n < t_n \leq t$  for which  $Y(s_k) = \varepsilon (\forall k)$  and  $Y(t_k) = 0 (\forall k)$ .

### Lévy's Downcrossing Theorem

$$P \{ \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon d_\varepsilon(t) = M^W(t), \forall t \geq 0 \} = 1.$$

Chung and Durrett ([1]) recently published a new proof of this result. They based their argument on a rather involved result on Brownian excursions, and they also used one of Jacobi's theta-function identities. Their interesting proof is therefore not particularly simple.

We now give a proof which is totally elementary, which requires no calculation, which does not even require the reader to know that  $Y$  is a reflecting Brownian motion (with  $M^W$  as its local time), and which gives a more complete explanation. All of the credit goes to Itô who (in [2]) did it all in a much more general context. For further information on  $M^W$  and  $Y$ , see [3].

For  $a \geq 0$ , define

$$T_a^W = \inf \{ t: W(t) = a \} = \inf \{ t: M^W(t) = a \},$$

$$T_a^Y = \inf \{ t: Y(t) = a \} = \inf \{ t: M^Y(t) = a \},$$

where  $M^Y(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} Y(s)$ . Since  $Y(T_a^W) = 0$ , the following implications are trivially valid for  $0 < b < a$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d_\varepsilon(T_a^W) = 0] &\Leftrightarrow [M^Y(T_a^W) < \varepsilon] \Leftrightarrow [T_a^W < T_\varepsilon^Y] \\
 &\Rightarrow [M^W(T_\varepsilon^Y) \geq a] \Rightarrow [T_b^W < T_\varepsilon^Y] \Leftrightarrow [M^Y(T_b^W) < \varepsilon].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

**Lemma.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{d_\varepsilon(T_a^W): a \geq 0\}$  is a Poisson process of rate  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ .

*Proof of Lemma.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  throughout this proof. Pick  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ . Since  $Y(T_x^W) = 0$ , the strong Markov property (of  $W$ ) applied at time  $T_x^W$  makes it clear that

$$P[M^Y(T_{x+y}^W) < \varepsilon] = P[M^Y(T_x^W) < \varepsilon] P[M^Y(T_y^W) < \varepsilon],$$

so that for some  $\beta(\varepsilon) > 0$ ,

$$P[M^Y(T_a^W) < \varepsilon] = e^{-a\beta(\varepsilon)} \quad (\forall a \geq 0). \quad (2)$$

It now follows from (1) (let  $b \uparrow a$ ) that for  $a > 0$ ,

$$P[d_\varepsilon(T_a^W) = 0] = P[M^W(T_\varepsilon^Y) \geq a] = e^{-a\beta(\varepsilon)},$$

so that  $M^W(T_\varepsilon^Y)$  is exponentially distributed with mean  $\beta(\varepsilon)^{-1}$ .

Since  $M^W - Y = W$  is a martingale, we have

$$EM^W(t \wedge T_\varepsilon^Y) = EY(t \wedge T_\varepsilon^Y) \quad (\forall t \geq 0).$$

The function  $Y(t \wedge T_\varepsilon^Y)$  is bounded by  $\varepsilon$  and converges to  $\varepsilon$  as  $t \uparrow \infty$ . The function  $M^W(t \wedge T_\varepsilon^Y)$  is monotonically increasing in  $t$  with limit  $M^W(T_\varepsilon^Y)$ . Hence  $EM^W(T_\varepsilon^Y) = \varepsilon$ , and  $\beta(\varepsilon)$  is identified as  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ .

An obvious further use of the strong Markov property now completes the proof of the lemma.

*Note.* Since  $\{c^{-1}W(c^2t): t \geq 0\}$  is a Wiener process for every  $c > 0$ , it follows directly from (2) that  $\beta(\varepsilon) = \beta(1)\varepsilon^{-1}$ .

Since  $d_\varepsilon(T_x^W)$  is Poisson distributed with mean  $x\varepsilon^{-1}$  and is monotonic in  $\varepsilon$ , it is easy to show (just from Čebyšev's inequality!) that, with probability 1,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_x^W) = x,$$

first for each fixed  $x$ , and then (by monotonicity) simultaneously for all  $x$ . Lévy's theorem is proved.

*Acknowledgement.* The original version of this paper appealed to (the reader's) pictures for "proof". The referee persuaded me to tighten things up. The paper is thereby much improved; and since the reader can still draw pictures, nothing is lost.

## References

1. Chung, K.L., Durrett, R.: Downcrossings and local time. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **35**, 147–149 (1976)
2. Itô, K.: Poisson point processes attached to Markov processes. *Proc. 6th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. Probab. Univ. Calif. vol. III*, 225–240 (1971)
3. Williams, D.: On a stopped Brownian motion formula of H.M. Taylor. *Sém. Probab. Strasbourg X*, 235–239. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 511. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1976

Received February 18, 1977; in revised form April 7, 1977