

On Strassen's Version of the Loglog Law for Some Classes of Dependent Random Variables*

Marius Iosifescu

1. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space and for any two σ -algebras \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 contained in \mathcal{H} define their dependence coefficient by (cf. [6], p. 1)

$$\phi(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{K}_2} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\mathbf{P}(B | \mathcal{K}_1)(\omega) - \mathbf{P}(B)| \right).$$

Consider a strictly stationary sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of real valued random variables on Ω and assume that $\mathbf{E} \xi_1 = 0$, $\mathbf{E} |\xi_1|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. For any $A \subset \mathbf{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ denote by \mathcal{K}_A the σ -algebra generated by the family $(\xi_n; n \in A)$ and put

$$\phi(n) = \sup_{r \in \mathbf{N}^*} \phi(\mathcal{K}_{(1, \dots, r)}, \mathcal{K}_{(r+n, \dots)}).$$

It will be assumed that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^*} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) < \infty.$$

It is known that under the conditions assumed, if we set

$$\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E} \xi_1^2 + 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^*} \mathbf{E} \xi_1 \xi_{n+1},$$

we have $0 \leq \sigma^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \right) = n(\sigma^2 + \rho_n)$ with $\rho_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Such strictly stationary sequences have been first studied in [3] where, among other results, it has been proved that the central limit theorem holds for suitably normed consecutive partial sums. It has been shown in [4] and [5] that these sequences obey the loglog law, namely, if $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j}{\sigma \sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1 \right) = 1.$$

The same result has been later given in [7].

This paper shows that Strassen's version of the loglog law (cf. [8], Theorem 3) is still valid for strictly stationary sequences satisfying the above conditions. Moreover, it will be shown (§ 3) that some non-stationary sequences obey Strassen's theorem too.

* This paper was written while the author was an Overseas Fellow of Churchill College, Cambridge, Great Britain.

The proof of the main result is an adaptation of the proof Chover [1] has given to Strassen's theorem using the tools developed in [4] and [5].

2. The Main Result

Let C denote the Banach space of all continuous real valued functions defined on $[0, 1]$ endowed with the usual supremum norm. Let K be the set of absolutely continuous $h \in C$ such that $h(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^1 [h'(t)]^2 dt \leq 1$. Here h' denotes the derivative of h determined almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Assume that $\sigma > 0$ and for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 3$ define the function $f_n(\cdot, \omega)$ in C by

$$f_n(0, \omega) = 0, \quad f_n\left(\frac{k}{n}, \omega\right) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j(\omega)}{\sigma \sqrt{2n \log \log n}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

and linear over the subintervals $k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Our main result is the following extension of Strassen's theorem.

Theorem 1. *The sequence $(f_n(\cdot, \omega))_{n \geq 3}$ considered as a subset of C is precompact and its derived set coincides with K for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.*

Proof. To prove the above theorem one has to follow Chover's proof of the corresponding theorem for independent, identically distributed random variables making the following changes.

1. The maximal inequality (4) in [1], p. 84 is to be replaced by the maximal inequality that can be deduced from Lemma 7 in [5] just as the former was obtained from Lemma (2) in [2], p. 192.

2. Esseen's estimate of the rapidity of convergence to the normal distribution is to be replaced by the estimate given in Theorem 1 of [4].

3. The arguments in Section 4 of [1] from line 10 of p. 88 on are now to be based on the fact that for every fixed $m \in N^*$ the distribution function

$$P\left(\frac{m}{n \sigma^2} \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{k=[(v/m)n]+1}^{[(v+1)/m]n} \xi_k\right)^2 < a\right)$$

is asymptotically $(n \rightarrow \infty) \Psi_m(a) + o(n^{-c(\delta)})$ uniformly in $a \geq 0$, where Ψ_m is the distribution function of $\chi^2(m, 1)$ and $c(\delta) > 0$ is a constant depending on δ . To prove this one has to immitate the computations in [4], pp. 307-309 after having written

$$\sum_{k=[(v/m)n]+1}^{[(v+1)/m]n} \xi_k = u_v + v_v,$$

with

$$u_v = \sum_{k=[(v/m)n]+1}^{[(v+1)/m]n-s} \xi_k, \quad v_v = \sum_{k=[((v+1)/m)n]-s+1}^{[(v+1)/m]n} \xi_k,$$

$0 \leq v \leq m-1$, where $s = [(n/m)^\rho]$, $0 < \rho < 1$ suitably chosen.

4. The classical Borel-Cantelli lemma (for independent events) used in [1], p. 90 is to be replaced by Lemma 5' in [4].

3. An Extension

We shall indicate a class of non-stationary sequences of random variables to which Theorem 1 still applies.

Let (W, \mathcal{W}) and (X, \mathcal{X}) be two measurable spaces, $u(\cdot; \cdot)$ a $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X}$ -measurable mapping of $W \times X$ into W , P a transition probability function from (W, \mathcal{W}) to (X, \mathcal{X}) (i.e. $P(w; \cdot)$ is a probability on \mathcal{X} for any $w \in W$ and $P(\cdot; A)$ is a \mathcal{W} -measurable function for any $A \in \mathcal{X}$). These are the elements constituting a *homogeneous random system with complete connection* (for details see [6], Ch. 2).

Denote by (X^n, \mathcal{X}^n) the n -fold product of the measurable space (X, \mathcal{X}) and set $u(\cdot; x^{(n)}) = u(\cdot; x_n) \circ \dots \circ u(\cdot; x_1)$ for $x^{(n)} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n, n \in N^*$, where \circ denotes composition of mappings.

For any $w \in W$, consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{P}_w)$, the elements of which are defined as follows:¹ $\Omega = X^{N^*}, \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{X}^{N^*}$,

$$\mathbf{P}_w(\text{pr}_{(1)}^{-1} A) = P(w; A), \quad A \in \mathcal{X},$$

$$\mathbf{P}_w(\text{pr}_{(1, l)}^{-1} A_1 \times \dots \times A_l) = \int_{A_1} P(w; dx_1) \int_{A_2} P(u(w; x_1); dx_2) \dots \int_{A_l} P(u(w; x^{(l-1)}); dx_l)$$

for $l \geq 2$ and $A_1, \dots, A_l \in \mathcal{X}$. Define on Ω the sequence of random variables $(\eta_n)_{n \in N^*}$ with values in X given by $\eta_n(\omega) = x_n$ if $\omega = (x_n)_{n \in N^*}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}_w(\eta_1 \in A) = P(w; A),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_w(\eta_{n+1}(\omega) \in A | \eta_j, 1 \leq j \leq n) = P(u(w; \eta^{(n)}); A)$$

for all $n \in N^*, A \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\eta^{(n)} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$.

We shall say that *uniform ergodicity* holds if for each $l \in N^*$ there exists a probability P_l^∞ on \mathcal{X}^l such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_w(\text{pr}_{(n, n+l-1)}^{-1} A^{(l)}) = P_l^\infty(A^{(l)})$$

uniformly with respect to $w \in W, l \in N^*, A^{(l)} \in \mathcal{X}^l$. Set

$$\varepsilon_n = \sup |\mathbf{P}_w(\text{pr}_{(n, n+l-1)}^{-1} A^{(l)}) - P_l^\infty(A^{(l)})|, \quad n \in N^*,$$

the sup being taken over all $w \in W, l \in N^*, A^{(l)} \in \mathcal{X}^l$. For conditions ensuring uniform ergodicity and for estimates of the ε_n see [6], pp. 81–85.

When uniform ergodicity holds there exists a probability \mathbf{P}_∞ on \mathcal{H} such that the sequence $(\eta_n)_{n \in N^*}$ is a strictly stationary one on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{P}_\infty)$. In particular, $\mathbf{P}_\infty(\text{pr}_{(n, n+l-1)}^{-1} A^{(l)}) = P_l^\infty(A^{(l)})$ for any $l, n \in N^*, A^{(l)} \in \mathcal{X}^l$. Further, $\phi_\infty(n) \leq \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \in N^*$. Finally, if we consider the tail σ -algebra

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{n \in N^*} \mathcal{H}_{(n, \dots)},$$

then $\mathbf{P}_\infty(T) = \mathbf{P}_w(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$ and $w \in W$ (cf. [6], pp. 135–136).

Taking into account the fact that the event appearing in Theorem 1 lies in \mathcal{F} we can state

¹ For $A \subset N^*$ the mapping pr_A is the projection of X^{N^*} on X^A defined by $\text{pr}_A \{(x_n)_{n \in N^*}\} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in A}$. If $A = (m, m+1, \dots, n)$ we shall write $\text{pr}_A = \text{pr}_{(m, n)}$.

Theorem 2. Let f be an \mathcal{X} -measurable real valued function and set $\xi_n = f \circ \eta_n$. Assume that

- i) $\mathbf{E}_\infty \xi_1 = 0$ and there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathbf{E}_\infty |\xi_1|^{2+\delta} < \infty$;
- ii) $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^*} \varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$;
- iii) $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}_\infty \xi_1^2 + 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^*} \mathbf{E}_\infty \xi_1 \xi_{n+1} \neq 0$.

Under these conditions the sequence $(f_n(\cdot, \omega))_{n \geq 3}$ considered as a subset of \mathcal{C} is precompact and its derived set coincides with K both \mathbf{P}_∞ - and \mathbf{P}_w -almost surely whatever $w \in W$.

Applications to the continued fraction expansion will be given elsewhere.

References

1. Chover, J.: On Strassen's version of the loglog law. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **8**, 83–90 (1967).
2. Feller, W.: Introduction to the theory of probability and its applications. Vol. I, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley 1957.
3. Ibragimov, I.A.: Some limit theorems for stationary processes. Theor. Probab. Appl. **7**, 349–382 (1962).
4. Iosifescu, M.: The law of the iterated logarithm for a class of dependent random variables. Theor. Probab. Appl. **13**, 304–313 (1968).
5. Iosifescu, M.: Addendum to [4]. Ibid. **15**, 160 (1970).
6. Iosifescu, M., Theodorescu, R.: Random processes and learning. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1969.
7. Reznik, M.K.: The law of the iterated logarithm for some classes of stationary processes. Theor. Probab. Appl. **13**, 606–621 (1968).
8. Strassen, V.: An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **3**, 211–226 (1964).

Prof. Dr. M. Iosifescu
Centre of Mathematical Statistics
of the Academy of the
Socialist Republic of Romania
Calea Griviței 21
Bucharest 12, Romania

(Received January 20, 1972)