

## Deviations in the Skorohod-Strassen Approximation Scheme

David G. Kostka

### 1. Introduction

Recent proofs of the law of the iterated logarithm rely on the Skorohod embedding into Brownian motion  $\xi(t)$  of the sum  $S_n$  of  $n$  independent, identically distributed random variables  $X_i$  with mean zero and variance one (see [3, pp. 276 to 278] and (4) in the Appendix). By this embedding  $S_n$  has the same distribution as  $\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right)$  where the sequence  $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  are independent, non-negative, identically distributed with mean one. Using the strong law of large numbers on  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  it is shown (see [3, pp. 291–292]) that

$$(1.1) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right) - \xi(n)}{\sqrt{n \lg \lg n}} = 0 \right] = 1$$

where  $\lg n = \log_e n$ .

More recent work has involved the situation where the  $X_i$  have moments higher than the second and thus the  $T_i$  have moments higher than the first. For this case better convergence rates than given by the strong law of large numbers may be used (see [2]). In this section assuming  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1) \right| = O(c_n)$  a.s. for a sequence of positive numbers  $\{c_n\}$ , it is shown that

$$(1.2) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty \right] = 1.$$

This is an upper class result for the  $\overline{\lim}$ .

Kiefer [9] has considered a more specialized case. Assuming  $E(T_i - 1)^2 = \beta < \infty$  he shows that

$$(1.3) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right) - \xi(n)}{((2\beta n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

Notice that he finds the exact constant for the  $\overline{\lim}$ . His proof relies on the law of the iterated logarithm applied to the sequence  $\{T_i\}$ . Namely,

$$(1.4) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1)}{\sqrt{2\beta n \lg \lg n}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

In this section Kiefer's result is generalized. Essentially it is shown that there exists a sequence of numbers  $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $c_n$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}} \uparrow$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$(1.5) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1)}{c_n} = 1 \right] = 1$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1) \right|}{c_n} = 1 \right] = 1$$

then

$$(1.7) \quad P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

This is both an upper and lower class result for the  $\overline{\lim}$ . The method of proof follows that employed by Kiefer but is substantially simpler.

This section concludes with a specific example of a sequence of random variables  $\{T_i\}$  such that  $E(T_i - 1)^2 = \infty$  and a sequence of numbers  $\{c_n\}$  such that (1.5) and (1.6) and thus Eq. (1.7) are satisfied. The example is interesting since the usual law of the iterated logarithm does not apply (see [14]) to this sequence of variables.

### 2. Proof of Eq. (1.2)

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be independent random variables with the same distribution; make the normalizations  $E(X_n) = 0$ ,  $E(X_n^2) = 1$ ; let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ; and let  $\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right)$  be the Skorohod representation of  $S_n$  where  $\xi(t)$  is standard Brownian motion (see (4) in the Appendix). We can prove an easy upper class result about the fluctuations in the Skorohod embedding versus the Brownian motion.

(2.1) **Theorem.** Suppose  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1) \right|}{c_n} \leq K$  with probability one for some  $K > 0$  where  $\{c_n\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers, then

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty \right] = 1$$

where  $\lg n = \log_e n$ .

*Proof.* For  $\theta > 0$  let

$$A_n = \left\{ \omega: \xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n) > \theta (c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \cap \left\{ \omega: \left| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n \right| \leq 2K c_n \right\}.$$

It is sufficient to show that with probability one only finitely many  $A_n$  occur.

$$(2.2) \quad A_n \subset \left\{ \omega: \sup_{|t-n| \leq 2K c_n} \xi(t) - \xi(n) > \theta (c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \equiv A'_n.$$

In the following  $C$  stands for various positive constants. By Lemma (3) in the Appendix

$$\begin{aligned} P(A'_n) &\leq 4P(\xi(n+2Kc_n) - \xi(n) > \theta(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= 4P\left(\frac{\xi(n+2Kc_n) - \xi(n)}{\sqrt{2Kc_n}} > \frac{\theta(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2Kc_n}}\right) \\ &= 4P\left(\xi(1) > \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2K}} (\lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq C e^{-\theta^2 \lg n / 4K} \text{ by Gaussian tail estimates} \\ &\leq C n^{-\theta^2 / 4K}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A'_n) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\theta^2 / 4K} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

if  $\theta^2 / 4K > 1$ . By Borel-Cantelli only finitely many  $A_n$  occur a.s. This completes the proof.

(2.3) **Proposition.** Let  $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive numbers, then

$$P\left[\omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{|t-n| \leq c_n} \frac{\xi(t) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) < \infty\right] = 1.$$

*Proof.* Same as for Theorem (2.1).

The proofs of Theorem (2.1) and Proposition (2.3) do not require the usual and more difficult method (see [2]) of looking at the events in (2.2) along a subsequence. (However, the method will not yield Eq. (1.1).) That we do have the right order of magnitude for the  $\overline{\lim}$  is indicated by later equations of the form (1.7) and by the next proposition.

(2.4) **Proposition.** Let  $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real numbers where  $c_n \uparrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c_n \leq n^{1-\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$P\left[\omega: 0 < \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{|t-n| \leq c_n} \frac{\xi(t) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)\right] = 1.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let

$$(2.5) \quad n_{k+1} = n_k + [c_{n_k}].$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\xi(n_{k+1}) - \xi(n_k) > \varepsilon(c_{n_k} \lg n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\xi(1) > \varepsilon(\lg n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^{\lceil \varepsilon^2 / 2 + o(1) \rceil}}$$

by Gaussian tail estimates.

Now note that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=n_k}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{1}{c_n n^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} \leq (n_{k+1} - n_k) \frac{1}{c_{n_k} n_k^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} \\ = \frac{c_{n_k}}{c_{n_k} n_k^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} = \frac{1}{n_k^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}}.$$

Using this in line (2.7) gives

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\xi(n_{k+1}) - \xi(n_k) > \varepsilon(c_{n_k} \lg n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=n_k}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{1}{c_n n^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n n^{\lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} \\ \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(1-\delta) \lceil \varepsilon^2/2 + o(1) \rceil}} = \infty \quad \text{when } \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} < \delta.$$

Thus, since the events in (2.9) are independent, the Borel-Cantelli lemma gives  $\xi(n_{k+1}) - \xi(n_k) > \varepsilon(c_{n_k} \lg n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  infinitely often almost surely which yields the required result.

The following theorem due to Feller [6] is useful for finding sequences  $\{c_n\}$  in Theorem (2.1) in terms of moment conditions on the random variables  $\{X_n\}$ .

(2.10) **Theorem (Feller).** *Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables such that  $E(|X_1|) < \infty$ ,  $E(X_1) = 0$ , and for some  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $E(|X_1|^{1+\delta}) = \infty$ . Let  $\{c_n\}$  be a sequence of numbers for which there exists an  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  such that  $c_n n^{-1/1+\varepsilon} \uparrow$ ,  $c_n/n \downarrow$ , and let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , then  $|S_n| > c_n$  infinitely often a.s. if and only if  $|X_n| > c_n$  infinitely often a.s.*

In the following there are two applications of Theorem (2.1).

(2.11) *Example.* Assume  $E(X_i) = 0$ ,  $E(X_i^2) = 1$ ,  $E(|X_i|^3) < \infty$ , and  $E(|X_i|^{3+\delta}) = \infty$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Then by the Skorohod embedding  $\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right)$  has the same distribution as  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $E(T_i) = 1$ , and  $E(T_i^3) < \infty$ . Assume  $E(T_i^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}) = \infty$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . By Feller's theorem (2.10) and Lemma (1) in the Appendix

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n \right| = O(n^{\frac{3}{2}}) \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus by Theorem (2.1)

$$\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right) - \zeta(n) = O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ a.s.}$$

(2.12) *Example.* Assume  $E(X_i) = 0$ ,  $E(X_i^2) = 1$ , and  $E(X_i^4) < \infty$ . Then  $\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i\right)$  has the same distribution as  $S_n$ ,  $E(T_i) = 1$ , and  $E(T_i^2) < \infty$ . By the law of the iterated logarithm

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n \right| = O(n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus by Theorem (2.1)

$$\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n) = O[\lg n (n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \text{ a.s.}$$

Except for showing the exact constant, this is the upper class result of Kiefer [9].

### 3. Proof of Eq. (1.7)

Under more specialized conditions on the sequence of random variables  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  it is possible to find the exact deviations of the  $\overline{\lim}$  and prove equations of the form (1.7).

(3.1) **Theorem.** *If  $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  are the random times of the Skorohod embedding and  $U_n = T_1 + \dots + T_n$  is such that there exists a sequence of real numbers  $\{c_n\}$  where  $c_n$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}} \uparrow$ , and*

$$(3.2) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_n - n|}{c_n} \leq K \text{ a.s.}, \quad \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_{n_k} - n_k}{c_{n_k}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \text{ a.s.}$$

for some  $K > 0$ ,  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$  and subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  where  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = O(n_r)$ ,  $n_k \geq \gamma^k$  for some  $\gamma \geq 2$ , and

$$(3.3) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n - n}{c_n} = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

then

$$P \left[ \omega: 1 \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(U_n) - \xi(n)}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] = 1.$$

(Note: In our examples condition (3.3) forces condition (3.2) to hold.)

*Proof.* We will show that

$$\xi(U_n) - \xi(n) > (1 - \eta) (c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

infinitely often a.s. for any  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $n_r$  be a subsequence of the integers increasing as fast as  $\gamma^r$ ,  $2 \leq \gamma$ , so that

$$(3.4) \quad \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) c_{n_r} < U_{n_r} - n_r < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) c_{n_r}$$

occurs infinitely often a.s. for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$(3.5) \quad F_{r,\delta} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq \delta n_r} |U_{n_r+i} - U_{n_r} - i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} c_{n_r} \right\}$$

where  $\delta < \gamma$ . We will now show that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{F_{r,\delta}}$ , the complement of  $F_{r,\delta}$ , occur only finitely often a.s. as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . By the assumption on the sequence  $\{T_i\}$

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^m (T_i - 1) \right| \leq 2K c_m$$

for all but a finite number of  $m$  a.s. Thus if (3.6) holds for two values  $m=M$  and  $m=k>M$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{i=M+1}^k (T_i - 1) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (T_i - 1) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^M (T_i - 1) \right| \leq 2K c_k + 2K c_M.$$

In particular

$$(3.7) \quad \max_{n_r < k \leq (1+\delta)n_r} \left| \sum_{i=n_r}^k (T_i - 1) \right| \leq 4K c_{k_r}$$

for all but a finite number of  $n_r$  a.s. where  $k_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta n_i$ . But

$$(3.8) \quad k_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta n_i \leq C \delta(n_r)$$

where  $C$ , as usual, represents various positive constants. Since  $c_n$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$4K c_{k_r} = 4K(k_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(k_r)$$

where  $L$  is a slowly varying function (see [5, pp. 268–269]). Thus using

$$(3.8) \quad 4K c_{k_r} \leq 4K(C \delta n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(C \delta n_r) \leq C \delta c_{n_r} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} c_{n_r}$$

for  $\delta = \varepsilon/2C$ . Thus only finitely many  $\overline{F}_{r,\delta}$  occur a.s. Now the event

$$(3.9) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) c_{n_r} < U_n - n < (1 + \varepsilon) c_{n_r}$$

for all  $n$  such that  $n_r \leq n \leq n_r + \delta n_r$  occurs for infinitely many  $r$  a.s.

Let  $J_r = \text{integral part of } (\delta n_r/4 c_{n_r})$  and for  $0 \leq i < J_r$  define

$$\begin{aligned} n'_{r,i} &= n_r + \text{int}(2i c_{n_r}), & n''_{r,i} &= n_r + \text{int}((2i + 1) c_{n_r}) \\ C'_{r,i} &= \{ \xi(n''_{r,i}) - \xi(n'_{r,i}) > (1 - \eta) (c_{n_r} \lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ C''_{r,i} &= \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 3\varepsilon} | \xi(n''_{r,i} + x c_{n_r}) - \xi(n'_{r,i}) | < \eta (c_{n_r} \lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ Q'_r &= \bigcup_{0 \leq i < J_r} C'_{r,i}, & Q''_r &= \bigcap_{0 \leq i < J_r} C''_{r,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose (3.9) holds for  $n = n'_{r,i}$ ,  $0 \leq i < J_r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} n''_{r,i} - \varepsilon c_{n_r} &\leq n'_{r,i} + (1 - \varepsilon) c_{n_r} \\ &\leq U_{n'_{r,i}} \leq n'_{r,i} + (1 + \varepsilon) c_{n_r} \leq n''_{r,i} + \varepsilon c_{n_r}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with  $C'_{r,i} \cap C''_{r,i}$  entails

$$\xi(U_{n'_{r,i}}) - \xi(n'_{r,i}) > (1 - 2\eta) (c_{n_r} \lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which gives the desired result of the theorem. Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$P(\overline{Q''_r} \text{ occurs i.o.})=0$$

and

$$P(\overline{Q'_r} \text{ occurs i.o.})=0$$

which we do in that order.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overline{C''_{r,i}}) &\leq CP\{\xi(n''_{r,i} + 3\varepsilon c_{n_r}) - \xi(n''_{r,i}) > \eta(c_{n_r} \lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \\ &\leq CP\left\{\xi(1) > \frac{\eta(c_{n_r} \lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(3\varepsilon c_{n_r})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\} \\ &\leq C e^{-\eta^2 \lg n_r / 6\varepsilon} \leq C \frac{1}{n_r^{\eta^2/6\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\overline{Q''_r}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{J_r} P(\overline{C''_{r,i}}) \leq C \frac{\delta n_r}{4 c_{n_r} n_r^{\eta^2/6\varepsilon}}.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P(\overline{Q''_r}) < \infty$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small and thus by Borel-Cantelli  $P(\overline{Q''_r} \text{ occurs i.o.})=0$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P(C'_{r,i}) &= P(\xi(1) > (1-\eta)(\lg n_r)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= e^{-\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2}(\lg n_r)(1+o(1))} = \left(\frac{1}{n_r}\right)^{\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2}(1+o(1))}, \\ \lg P(\overline{Q'_r}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{J_r} \lg P(\overline{C'_{r,i}}) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta n_r}{4 c_{n_r}} \lg \left[1 - n_r^{-\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2}(1+o(1))}\right] \\ &\leq -C \frac{\delta n_r}{4 c_{n_r}} n_r^{-\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2}(1+o(1))} < -C \delta n_r^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} n_r^{-\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2}(1+o(1))} \end{aligned}$$

since the regular variation with exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $c_n$  implies  $c_n < n^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$  for  $n \geq N(\alpha)$ . Thus  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P(\overline{Q'_r}) < \infty$  since  $\alpha$  is arbitrarily small and  $P(\overline{Q'_r} \text{ occur i.o.})=0$  by Borel-Cantelli.

This completes the proof.

By a method more delicate than used in the proof of Theorem (2.1), we can find the exact upper class result. The method of proof follows that employed by Kiefer (but involves a change of his subsequence) and uses the following lemma which he proves.

(3.10) **Lemma.** *If  $\xi$  is standard Brownian motion and  $T, L, \delta, c$  are positive values with  $T < L$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\sup_{0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq L; |t_1 - t_2| \leq T} |\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \geq c\right] \\ \leq \frac{8(L - T + \delta)(T + 2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta c(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp[-c^2/2(T + 2\delta)]. \end{aligned}$$

The upper class theorem is stated below.

(3.11) **Theorem.** Suppose  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - 1) \right|}{c_n} \leq 1$  with probability one where  $c_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}} h(n)$  and  $h(n)$  is a non-decreasing slowly varying function, then

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \zeta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \zeta(n) \right|}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 \right] = 1.$$

where  $\lg n = \log_e n$ .

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let

$$q_n = (1 + \varepsilon) c_n, \quad d_n = (1 + \varepsilon) (c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n_r = \text{integral part of } r^2.$$

For real numbers  $t$  and integers  $n$  and  $r$  let

$$M_n = \{t: |t - n| < q_n\}$$

and

$$M_r^* = \{(t, n): |t - n| < q_{n_{r+1}}; t, n \in [n_r - q_{n_{r+1}}, n_{r+1} + q_{n_{r+1}}]\}.$$

Define the events

$$A_n = \left\{ \sup_{t \in M_n} |\zeta(t) - \zeta(n)| > d_n \right\}$$

and

$$A_r^* = \left\{ \sup_{(t, n) \in M_r^*} |\zeta(t) - \zeta(n)| > d_{n_r} \right\}.$$

If  $A_n$  occurs for some  $n$  satisfying  $n_r \leq n \leq n_{r+1}$  then  $A_r^*$  occurs. Thus if  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P(A_r^*) < \infty$ , the Borel-Cantelli lemma will give the desired result that only finitely many  $A_n$  occur almost surely. Using the fact that  $h(n)$  is a non-decreasing slowly varying function we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n_r} &\sim r h(r^2) \sim c_{n_{r+1}} \\ q_{n_r} &= (1 + \varepsilon) c_{n_r} \sim (1 + \varepsilon) r h(r^2) \sim q_{n_{r+1}} \\ d_{n_r} &\sim (1 + \varepsilon) (c_{n_r} 2 \lg r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim (1 + \varepsilon) (r h(r^2) 2 \lg r)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the lemma above let

$$L = n_{r+1} - n_r + 2q_{n_{r+1}}, \quad T = q_{n_{r+1}}, \quad c = d_{n_r}, \quad \delta = r.$$

This lemma yields the following where  $K$  represents various constants.

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_r^*) &\leq \frac{8(L - T + \delta)(T + 2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta c (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp[-c^2/2(T + 2\delta)] \\ &\leq K \frac{r h(r^2) (r h(r^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r (r h(r^2) \lg r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left[ \frac{-(1 + \varepsilon)^2 (2c_{n_r} \lg r)}{2(1 + \varepsilon)(c_{n_{r+1}} + 2r)} \right] \\ &\leq K \frac{h(r^2)}{(\lg r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp[-(1 + \varepsilon)(\lg r)(1 + o(1))] \end{aligned}$$



since the slow variation of  $h(n)$  implies  $h(n) < n^\alpha$  for  $n \geq N(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus  $\sum_{r=1}^\infty P(A_r^*) < \infty$  and only finitely many  $A_r^*$  occur almost surely. This completes the proof.

(3.12) **Corollary.** *Under the assumptions of the above theorem and Theorem (3.1)*

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n) \right|}{(c_n \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

*Proof.* This is a combination of the above theorem and Theorem (3.1).

In the following there are two examples of Corollary (3.12).

(3.13) *Example.* Assume  $E(X_i) = 0$ ,  $E(X_i^2) = 1$ , and  $E(X_i^4) < \infty$ . Then  $\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right)$  has the same distribution as  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $E(T_i) = 1$ , and  $E(T_i - 1)^2 = \beta < \infty$ . By the law of the iterated logarithm

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n}{(2\beta n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n \right|}{(2\beta n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By the Skorohod embedding (see (4) in the Appendix),  $\left\{ \xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  has the same distribution as  $\left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n}{\sqrt{\beta}} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  and

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \right) - \xi(n) \right|}{\sqrt{n \lg \lg n}} = 0 \right] = 1.$$

(see [3, pp. 291–292]). It is also known (see [10, pp. 41–49]) that

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(n_k)}{\sqrt{2n_k \lg \lg n_k}} \geq \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $n_k \sim \gamma^k$  and  $\gamma$  is large. Thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} T_i - n_k}{(2\beta n_k \lg \lg n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since  $n_k \sim \gamma^k$  where  $\gamma$  is large, the second part of condition (3.2) is satisfied. Thus by Corollary (3.12)

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n)}{(\lg n (2\beta n \lg \lg n)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

This is Kiefer's result [9].

(3.14) *Example.* Assume the  $\{T_i\}$  in the Skorohod embedding satisfy

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - n \right|}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and condition (3.2).

(Proposition (8) in the Appendix gives a proof of the existence of such non-negative i.i.d. random variables with infinite variance and their common distribution is explicitly shown.) The above sequence  $\{T_i\}$  satisfies the conditions of Corollary (3.12) and thus

$$P \left[ \omega: \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) - \xi(n)}{(2Kn(\lg n \lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \right] = 1.$$

### Appendix

(1) **Lemma.** Let  $X$  be a random variable. Then  $E(|X|) < \infty$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > n) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Do an integration by parts on  $E(|X|)$  and then approximate the integral with a series.

(3) **Lemma.** If  $\xi$  is standard Brownian motion and  $T$  and  $b$  are positive values then

$$P \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \xi(t) \geq b \right) = 2P(\xi(T) \geq b).$$

*Proof.* See [5, pp. 171-172].

(4) **Skorohod Embedding.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be independent random variables with the same distribution; make the normalizations  $E(X_n) = 0$ ,  $E(X_n^2) = 1$ ; and let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ; then the following theorem due to Skorohod holds (see [3, pp. 276-278] and [2]). There exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  with a Brownian motion  $\xi(t)$  (normalized so that  $E[\xi(t)] = 0$  and  $E[\xi^2(t)] = t$ ) and a sequence of non-negative, independent, identically distributed random variables  $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 1}$

defined on it such that the following conditions hold:

(5) i)  $\left\{ \xi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  has the same distribution as  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

(6) ii)  $E(T_n) = E(X_n^2) = 1$ .

(7) iii) if  $E(|X_n|^k) < \infty$  then  $E(T_n^{k/2}) < \infty, 2 \leq k$ .

(8) **Proposition.** Let  $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be independent random variables with the common (non-negative) distribution

$$F(dx) = \frac{K dx}{x^3 \lg x}, \quad x \geq 2$$

$$= 0, \quad x < 2$$

where  $\lg x = \log_e x$ . Then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - E(T_i))}{c_n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - E(T_i)) \right|}{c_n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $c_n = [2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Our proof makes use of the following result of Heyde [8]: Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent random variables,  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers,  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ ; let  $V_n = X_n$  if  $|X_n| < a_n$ , while  $V_n = 0$  if  $|X_n| \geq a_n$ . If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \left( \frac{X_n^2}{X_n^2 + a_n^2} \right) < \infty$$

then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - E(V_k)] \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with probability one.

This allows us to reduce the problem to the law of the iterated logarithm for random variables which are bounded but whose distribution depends upon  $n$ . Then following Hartman and Wintner, we can use Kolmogorov's law of the iterated logarithm for bounded random variables to produce the required result.

*Proof.* Let  $Y_n = T_n$  if  $T_n \leq \varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(n) = 0$ ; and let  $Z_n = T_n - Y_n$ . We will exhibit a sequence  $\{c_n\}$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)}{c_n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)|}{c_n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

while

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|Z_1 + \dots + Z_n - E(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n)|}{c_n} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_n^2) &= \int_2^{\varepsilon(n)\sqrt{n}} \frac{K dx}{x \lg x} = K(\lg \lg x)^{\varepsilon(n)\sqrt{n}} \\ &\sim K[\lg(\lg \varepsilon(n) + \lg \sqrt{n})] \sim K \lg \lg n \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon(n)$  decreasing slowly enough.

$$\text{var}(Y_n) = E(Y_n^2) - [E(Y_n)]^2 \sim K \lg \lg n.$$

Define  $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(Y_i)$ , then  $B_n \sim Kn \lg \lg n$  by the asymptotic properties of regularly varying functions (see [1, pp. 272-273]).

$$\left(\frac{B_n}{\lg \lg B_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{Kn \lg \lg n}{\lg \lg(Kn \lg \lg n)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim (Kn)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $|Y_n| = o\left(\frac{B_n}{\lg \lg B_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Kolmogorov's law of the iterated logarithm (see [7, pp. 169-176]) gives

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)}{(2B_n \lg \lg B_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)|}{(2B_n \lg \lg B_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

or

$$(9) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)|}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, define } V_n &= Z_n < (2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

and let  $W_n = Z_n - V_n$ . Now if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{x^2}{x^2 + c_n^2} G_n(dx) < \infty$$

where  $c_n^2 = 2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2$  and  $G_n$  is the distribution function of  $Z_n$  then by Heyde (see [3, pp. 353-358])

$$(11) \quad |Z_1 + \dots + Z_n - E(V_1 + \dots + V_n)| = o(c_n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus to prove (11) it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \geq \varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{x^2}{x^2 + n(\lg \lg n)^2} \right) \frac{1}{x^3 \lg x} dx < \infty$$

which we proceed to do. In the following,  $C$  stands for various constants.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \geq \varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{x^2}{x^2 + n(\lg \lg n)^2} \right) \frac{1}{x^3 \lg x} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x = \varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}}^{\sqrt{n} \lg \lg n} \frac{1}{x(x^2 + n(\lg \lg n)^2) \lg x} dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x = \sqrt{n} \lg \lg n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x(x^2 + n(\lg \lg n)^2) \lg x} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x = \varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}}^{\sqrt{n} \lg \lg n} \frac{1}{x n(\lg \lg n)^2 \lg x} dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x = \sqrt{n} \lg \lg n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^3 \lg x} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\lg \lg n)^2} [\lg \lg(\sqrt{n} \lg \lg n) - \lg \lg(\varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n})] \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{n(\lg \lg n)^2 \lg n} \\ &\leq C + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\lg \lg n)^2} \left[ \lg \left( \frac{\lg \sqrt{n} + \lg \lg \lg n}{\lg(\varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n})} \right) \right] \\ &\leq C + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n(\lg \lg n)^2} \right] \lg \left[ 1 + \frac{\lg \sqrt{n} - \lg(\varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n}) + \lg \lg \lg n}{\lg(\varepsilon(n) \sqrt{n})} \right] \\ &\leq C + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\lg \lg n)^2} \left[ \frac{\lg \lg \lg n}{\lg n} \right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

if  $\varepsilon(n)$  decrease slowly enough. For instance it suffices that  $\varepsilon(n) \geq 1/\lg \lg n$ . Thus

$$(12) \quad |Z_1 + \dots + Z_n - E(V_1 + \dots + V_n)| = o[n(\lg \lg n)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now we will show that

$$E(W_1 + \dots + W_n) = o(c_n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_n) &= \int_{x \geq (2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{Kx}{x^3 \lg x} dx \\ &\sim \frac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \lg \lg n \lg n} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$E(W_1 + \dots + W_n) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$(13) \quad E(W_1 + \dots + W_n) = o(n(\lg \lg n)^{2\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Now,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - E(T_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - E(Y_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - E(V_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n E(W_i).$$

Combining (9), (12) and (13) in Eq. (14) gives the desired result that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - E(T_i))}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Combining (10), (12) and (13) in Eq. (14) gives the desired result that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - E(T_i)) \right|}{(2Kn(\lg \lg n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Now, by Kolmogorov's lower class proof of the law of the iterated logarithm (see [15, pp. 260-263]), if  $B_{n_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \gamma^k$  where  $\gamma$  is large then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_{n_k} - E(Y_1 + \dots + Y_{n_k})}{c_{n_k}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

For our case  $n_k$  is chosen so that  $n_k \sim \gamma^{2k}/K \lg k$ . Then the second part of condition (3.2) is satisfied and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (T_i - E(T_i))}{c_{n_k}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This completes the proof.

*Acknowledgment.* This paper is based on part of a doctoral thesis written at Northwestern University under Professor M. Pinsky.

### References

1. Baum, L., Katz, M.: Convergence rates in the law of large numbers. *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.* **120**, 108-123 (1965).
2. Breiman, L.: On the tail behavior of sums of independent random variables. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **9**, 20-25 (1967).
3. Breiman, L.: *Probability*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley 1968.
4. Davis, J.: Convergence rates for probabilities of moderate deviations. *Annals Math. Statistics* **39**, 2016-2028 (1968).
5. Feller, W.: *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. II. New York: John Wiley 1966.
6. Feller, W.: A limit theorem for random variables with infinite moments. *Amer. J. Math.* **68**, 257-262 (1946).
7. Hartman, P., Wintner, A.: On the law of the iterated logarithm. *Amer. J. Math.* **63**, 169-176 (1941).
8. Heyde, C. C.: On almost sure convergence of independent random variables. *Sankhya* **30**, 353-358 (1968).

9. Kiefer, J.: On the deviations in the Skorohod-Strassen approximation scheme. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **13**, 321–332 (1969).
10. Lamperti, J.: *Probability*. New York: Benjamin 1966.
11. Petrov, V.V.: An estimate of the deviation of the distribution of a sum of independent random variables from the normal law. *Soviet Math. Doklady* **6**, 242–244 (1965).
12. Petrov, V.V.: On the law of the iterated logarithm without assumptions about existence of moments. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **59**, 1068–1072 (1968).
13. Pinsky, M.: An elementary derivation of Khintchine's estimate for large deviations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22**, 288–290 (1969).
14. Strassen, V.: A converse to the law of the iterated logarithm. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **4**, 265–268 (1966).
15. Loève, M.: *Probability Theory*. New York: Van Nostrand 1960.

David G. Kostka  
Department of Mathematics  
Texas A & M University  
College Station, Texas 77843  
USA

*(Received December 9, 1971; in revised form June 13, 1972)*