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#### Abstract

Summary. For a type of stationary ergodic discrete-time finite-alphabet channel more general than the stationary totally ergodic $\bar{d}$-continuous channel of Gray, Ornstein and Dobrushin, it is shown that a stationary, ergodic source with entropy less than capacity can be transmitted over the channel with zero probability of error using stationary codes for encoding and decoding. This result generalizes the result of Gray et al. [3] that Bernoulli sources can be transmitted with zero error at rates below capacity over a totally ergodic $\bar{d}$-continuous channel.


## 1. Introduction

Let $[A, \mu]$ be an information source; the alphabet $A$ of the source is always assumed finite, and $\mu$, the distribution of the source, is a probability measure on the measurable space $\left(A^{\infty}, \mathscr{F}\left(A^{\infty}\right)\right)$ consisting of $A^{\infty}$, the set of all bilateral infinite sequences $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=\ldots \infty}^{\infty}$ from $A$, and $\mathscr{F}\left(A^{\infty}\right)$, the usual product $\sigma$-field of subsets of $A^{\infty}$. We will assume that our source $[A, \mu]$ is both stationary (i.e., the shift $T_{A}$ on $A^{\infty}$ preserves $\mu$ ), and ergodic (i.e., $T_{A}$-invariant sets have measure zero or one). We say that $[A, \mu]$ is aperiodic if $\mu(x)=0$ for every $x \in A^{\infty}$. We let $H(\mu)$ denote the entropy of the source $[A, \mu]$.

Let $[B, v, C]$ be a stationary channel, where the input alphabet $B$ and the output alphabet $C$ are finite, and $v=\left\{v_{x}: x \in A^{\infty}\right\}$ is a family of probability measures on $C^{\infty}$ such that
(a) The map $x \rightarrow v_{x}(E)$ from $B^{\infty} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is $\mathscr{F}\left(B^{\infty}\right)$-measurable for each $E \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{\infty}\right)$.
(b) $v_{T_{B} x}\left(T_{C} E\right)=v_{x}(E), x \in B^{\infty}, E \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{\infty}\right)$.

We say the stationary and ergodic source $[A, \mu]$ is zero error transmissible over the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ if there exist stationary codes $\varphi: A^{\infty} \rightarrow B^{\infty}$,

[^0]$\psi: C^{\infty} \rightarrow A^{\infty}$ and a Markov chain $U, X, Y$ such that $U=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a process with state space $A$ and distribution $\mu, X$ is the process with state space $B$ such that $X=\varphi(U), Y$ is a process with state space $C$ for which the distribution of $Y$ conditioned on $X$ is given by $v$, and $U=\psi(Y)$ a.s. Intuitively speaking, if we encode the process $U$ (which serves as a model for the information source $[A, \mu]$ ) into the process $X$, and then transmit $X$ over the channel $[B, v, C]$, the process $U$ can be recovered with probability one from the channel output process $Y$.

There is an equivalent way of formulating this. We say that the stationary sources $[A, \mu],[B, \lambda]$ are isomorphic if there exist processes $U, V$ which are stationary codings of each other such that $U$ has distribution $\mu$, and $V$ has distribution $\lambda$. Following Gray et al. [3], given the stationary source $[B, \lambda]$ and the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$, we say $[B, \lambda]$ is $v$-invulnerable if there are processes $X, Y$ such that the distribution of $X$ is $\lambda$, the distribution of $Y$ conditioned on $X$ is given by $v$, and $X$ is a stationary coding of $Y$. That is, $[B, \lambda]$ can be directly transmitted over the channel $[B, v, C]$ (without first encoding), and then recovered exactly from the channel output. It is not hard to see that $[A, \mu]$ is zero-error transmissible over $[B, v, C]$ if and only if there exists a $v$-invulnerable source $[B, \lambda]$ isomorphic to $[A, \mu]$.

Shannon [9] showed that for a discrete memoryless channel there are two capacities $C_{0}$ and $C$ (with $C_{0}<C$ for most cases of interest) such that, if block encoders and decoders are used, $C_{0}$ is the maximum rate below which zeroerror transmission is possible and $C$ is the maximum rate below which transmission is possible with arbitrarily small (but possibly positive) probability of error. The number $C$ is called the Shannon capacity and is equal to the supremum of the information rates of all stationary input-output measures for the channel; $C_{0}$ is called the zero-error capacity and has been calculated only for a few special cases considered by Shannon [9] and Lovasz [4] among others. One can see from an examination of Shannon's proof [9] that zeroerror transmission using block coders is not possible at rates between $C_{0}$ and $C$ because a block code has finite memory; that is, for some positive integer $M$, the output of the code at any time $i$ is completely determined by looking at the sequence being coded at times $i-M$ through $i+M$. (See also [2], where some negative results are given on zero-error transmission using finite-memory sliding-block codes.) Gray et al. [3] showed that if infinite memory stationary encoders and decoders are used, zero-error transmission at any rate below $C$ is possible provided the source being transmitted is a stationary coding of a memoryless source (i.e., a Bernoulli source), and the channel is totally ergodic and $\bar{d}$-continuous, a channel more general than the discrete memoryless channel.

In this paper, we show that for a type of channel more general than that considered by Gray et al. [3], zero-error transmission using stationary coders is possible for stationary, ergodic, aperiodic non-Bernoulli sources at all rates below $C$.

## 2. Principal Results

Let $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ be the set of all probability measures on $B^{\infty}$ for which the shift $T_{B}$ is a measure-preserving, ergodic, aperiodic transformation on the probability
space $\left(B^{\infty}, \mathscr{F}\left(B^{\infty}\right), \mu\right)$. On $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ we place the unique metric topology for which convergence of a sequence of measures is weak convergence. Similarly, we place the topology of weak convergence on the set $\mathscr{P}(B, C)$ of all probability measures on $B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$. If $\lambda$ is a probability measure on $B^{\infty}$ and $[B, v, C]$ is a stationary channel, let $\lambda v$ be the probability measure on $B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\lambda v(E \times F)=\int_{E} v_{x}(F) d \lambda(x), E \in \mathscr{F}\left(B^{\infty}\right), F \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{\infty}\right)
$$

Given a stationary channel $[B, v, C]$, let $\Phi_{v}$ be the map $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda v$ from $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(B, C)$. If $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(\mathrm{~B})$ and $[B, v, C]$ is a stationary channel, we say $[B, \nu, C]$ is ergodic at $\mu$ if the measure $\mu \nu$ is ergodic (with respect to the transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow\left(T_{B} x, T_{C} y\right)$ on $\left.B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}\right)$.

In the following, if $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and $[B, v, C]$ is a stationary channel, $I(\lambda v)$ denotes the mutual information rate of the measure $\lambda v$ on $B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$.

For $k=1,2, \ldots$, the $k$-th order marginal distribution of $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ is denoted by $\lambda^{(k)}$.

We now state the main result of the paper. (The proof is given in the last section.)

Theorem 1. Let the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ and $\tau \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ be given. Suppose there is some neighborhood $\mathscr{N}$ of $\tau$ in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ such that $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at every measure in $\mathcal{N}$ and $[B, v, C]$ is ergodic at every positive entropy measure in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $[A, \mu]$ be a stationary, ergodic, aperiodic source with $H(\mu)<I(\tau v)$. Then for any $k=1,2, \ldots$, and any $\delta>0$, there exists a v-invulnerable source $[B, \lambda]$ isomorphic to $[A, \mu]$ such that $\max _{x \in B^{k}}\left|\lambda^{(k)}(x)-\tau^{(k)}(x)\right|<\delta$. Thus, $[A, \mu]$ is zero-error transmissible over $[B, v, C]$.
Definition. The Shannon capacity $C(v)$ of the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ is the supremum of $I(\mu v)$ over all $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$.
Corollary. Let the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ be ergodic at every positive entropy measure in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$, and suppose $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at every measure in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$. Let $[A, \mu]$ be any stationary ergodic aperiodic source. Then:
(a) $[A, \mu]$ is zero-error transmissible over $[B, v, C]$ if $H(\mu)<C(v)$, and
(b) $[A, \mu]$ is not zero-error transmissible if $H(\mu)>C(v)$.

Part. Part (a) follows from Theorem 1. Part (b) was proved in [6].
As a special case, we get the results of Gray et al. [3], for, as shown in [6], the type of channel considered by these authors satisfies the hypotheses of the above corollary.

## 3. Synchronization Words

In order to construct the encoder for our source $[A, \mu]$, we will have to make sure that certain blocks of the encoder output are synchronization words; i.e., words which cannot be mistaken for cyclic shifts of themselves. In this section,
for a given stationary and ergodic source we will show that there are synchronization words "typical" of the source.
Definition. Let $B^{*}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B^{n}$, where $B^{n}$ is the set of all $n$-tuples $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ from $B$. ( $B^{*}$ is thus the set of all finite-length words whose letters are from the alphabet B.) Define $\pi: B^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$ to be the map

$$
\pi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}, b_{1}\right)
$$

If $S$ is a set of integers and $m$ is a positive integer we say $S$ has minimal distance $m$ if $|i-j| \geqq m$ for every $i, j \in S, i \neq j$. From now on, if $G$ is a finite set, $|G|$ denotes the cardinality of $G$.

If $A$ is a finite set, and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are in $A^{n}$, let $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ denote the Hamming distance between these sequences:

$$
n^{-1}\left|\left\{1 \leqq i \leqq n: x_{i} \neq x_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right|
$$

Lemma 1. Let $m$ be a positive integer. Let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a stationary ergodic process with state space $B$ such that if $S$ is a set of integers with minimal distance $m$, then $\left\{X_{i}: i \in S\right\}$ are independent. Let $\lambda=\inf _{i \neq 0} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{i} \neq X_{0}\right]$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left[\min _{1 \leqq k \leqq n-1} d\left(\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \pi^{k}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right) \leqq \lambda-\varepsilon\right]=0 .
$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma A2 of [8].
Definition. Let $Z$ denote the set of integers. Let $S$ be a subinterval (possibly infinite) of $Z$. Given a sequence of letters $x=\left\{x_{i}: i \in S\right\}$ from some alphabet, an integer $k$, and a positive integer $r$ such that the interval $[k, k+r-1]$ $=\{i \in Z: k \leqq i \leqq k+r-1\}$ is a subset of $S$, define $x_{k}^{r}=\left(x_{k}, \ldots, x_{k+r-1}\right)$. If $k=0$, we write $x^{r}$ for $x_{0}^{r}$. Similarly, if $X=\left\{X_{i}: i \in S\right\}$ is a sequence of random variables, define $X_{k}^{r}$ and $X^{r}$.

Fix from now on $\tilde{X}=\left\{\tilde{X}_{i}: i \in Z\right\}$ to be the sequence of coordinate mappings from $B^{\infty} \rightarrow B ; \bar{X}=\left\{\bar{X}_{i}\right\}$ to be the sequence of maps from $B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty} \rightarrow B$ such that $\bar{X}_{i}(x, y)=x_{i}$; and $\bar{Y}=\left\{\bar{Y}_{i}\right\}$ to be the sequence of maps from $B^{\infty}$ $\times C^{\infty} \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{Y}_{i}(x, y)=y_{i}$.

If $\mu$ is a $T_{B}$-stationary probability measure on $B^{\infty}$ define $\lambda(\mu)=\inf _{i \neq 0} \mu\left[\tilde{X}_{i}\right.$ $\left.\neq \tilde{X}_{0}\right]$. Also, if $n, k$ are positive integers with $n>k$, and $\delta>0$, we say $\stackrel{i \neq 0}{x \in B^{n}}$ is $(k, \delta)$ typical of $\mu$ if for every $b \in B^{k}$, the distance between

$$
n^{-1}\left|\left\{1 \leqq i \leqq n-k+1: x_{i}^{k}=b\right\}\right|
$$

and $\mu^{(k)}(b)$ is less than $\delta$.
Lemma 2. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$. Then for any $\delta>0$ and any positive integer $m$, there exists for $n$ sufficiently large a subset $W_{n}$ of $B^{n}$ such that
(a) $\left|W_{n}\right| \geqq 2^{n(H(\mu)-\delta)}$.
(b) every element of $W_{n}$ is $(m, \delta)$ typical of $\mu$.
(c) $\min _{1 \leqq k \leqq n-1} d\left(x, \pi^{k} x\right) \geqq \lambda(\mu)-\delta$ for every $x \in W_{n}$.

Proof. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$, let $\mu_{n}$ be the probability measure on $B^{\infty}$ such that $\left\{\tilde{X}_{i n}^{n}: i \in Z\right\}$ are independent under $\mu_{n}$ and each have distribution $\mu^{(n)}$. Let $\bar{\mu}_{n}$ be the probability measure $n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu_{n} \cdot T_{B}^{n i}$ on $B^{\infty}$. It is easily checked that $\overline{\mu_{n}} \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and that if $S \subset Z$ has minimal distance $n,\left\{\tilde{X}_{i}: i \in S\right\}$ are independent under $\overline{\mu_{n}}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, Lemma 2 holds for each $\overline{\mu_{n}}$. Since $\overline{\mu_{n}} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly, $H\left(\overline{\mu_{n}}\right) \rightarrow H(\mu)$ and $\lambda\left(\overline{\mu_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda(\mu)$, Lemma 2 must also hold for $\mu$.
Definition. If $A$ is a finite set and $E \subset A^{n}$, we say $E$ has minimal distance $\varepsilon$ if $d(x, y) \geqq \varepsilon$ for every $x, y \in E, x \neq y$. If $x \in A^{n}$ and $1 \leqq m \leqq n$ and $w \in A^{m}$, we say $w$ is a $m$-subblock of $x$ if $x_{i}^{m}=w$ for some $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n-m+1$.

The following is proved by making a slight modification in the proof of Lemma A6 of [8].

Lemma 3. Let $A$ be a finite set and let $\varepsilon>0$. For $n=1,2, \ldots$, let $E_{n} \subset A^{n}$ be given with minimal distance $2 \varepsilon$. Suppose $\lim \inf n^{-1} \log \left|E_{n}\right|>0$. Let $k$ be a positive integer. For $n$ sufficiently large, let $F_{n} \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{\subset} A^{k n}$ and a probability distribution $p_{n}$ on $F_{n}$ be given. Then for $n$ sufficiently large, there exists $x_{n} \in E_{n}$ such that if

$$
F_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{y \in F_{n}: d\left(x_{n}, c\right) \geqq \varepsilon \text { for every } n \text {-subblock } c \text { of } y\right\}
$$

we have $p_{n}\left(F_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 1$.
Definition. If $A$ is a finite set and $0<\varepsilon \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, define

$$
q_{A}(\varepsilon)=-\varepsilon \log \varepsilon-(1-\varepsilon) \log (1-\varepsilon)+2 \varepsilon \log |A|
$$

where the logarithm is to base 2 .
A subset of $A^{n}$ of form $\left\{y \in A^{n}: d(x, y)<\delta\right\}$ for some $x \in A^{n}$ is called a Hamming ball of radius $\delta$ and center $x$.
Lemma 4. Let $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2}$. Then for $n$ sufficiently large, every Hamming ball in $A^{n}$ has cardinality no greater than $2^{\text {nq }} A^{(\varepsilon)}$, and every subset $S$ of $A^{n}$ has a subset $S^{\prime}$ of minimal distance $\varepsilon$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \geqq|S| 2^{-n q_{A}(\varepsilon)}$.
Proof. That the cardinality of every Hamming ball is bounded above as indicated, was shown in [5, p. 6]. Given $S \subset A^{n}$, find Hamming balls $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ of radius $\varepsilon$ which cover $S$, such that the center of each ball is in $S$, and the center of $B_{j}$ does not lie in $B_{1} \cup \ldots \cup B_{j-1}, 1<j \leqq k$. Take $S^{\prime}$ to be the set of centers of the balls $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$.

The following is Lemma A3 of [8].
Lemma 5. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$. Suppose $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{4}$ and $H(\mu)>q_{B}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Then $\lambda(\mu)>\varepsilon$.
Following is the synchronization lemma we will need later on to construct our source encoder.

Lemma 6. Let $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{4}$ and let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ satisfy $H(\mu)>q_{B}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Let $k, m$ be positive integers and let $\delta>0$. Then for $n$ sufficiently large there exists $x \in B^{n}$ and $F \subset B^{k n}$ such that:
(a) $\min _{1 \leqq i \leqq n-1} d\left(x, \pi^{i} x\right) \geqq \varepsilon$.
(b) $x$ is $(m, \delta)$ typical of $\mu$.
(c) every element of $F$ is $(m, \delta)$ typical of $\mu$.
(d) if $y \in F$, then $d(x, c) \geqq \varepsilon$ for every $n$-subblock $c$ of $y$.
(e) $|F| \geqq 2^{k n(H(\mu)-\delta)}$
(f) $\mu^{(k n)}(F) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that $\lambda(\mu)>\varepsilon$ by Lemma 5. Since $q_{B}(2 \varepsilon) \leqq q_{B}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})<H(\mu)$, we can choose $\eta>0$ so small that $H(\mu)>\eta+q_{B}(2 \varepsilon)$. By Lemmas 2 and 4, pick for $n$ sufficiently large a set $E_{n} \subset B^{n}$ with minimal distance $2 \varepsilon$ and cardinality at least $2^{n\left(H(\mu)-q_{B}(2 \varepsilon)-\eta\right)}$ such that (a), (b) hold for every $x \in E_{n}$. For each $n$, choose $F_{n} \subset B^{k n}$ such that $\mu^{(n k)}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and every sequence in $F_{n}$ is ( $m, \delta$ ) typical. Since $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log \left|E_{n}\right|>0$, by Lemma 3 we may find for $n$ sufficiently large a $x \in E_{n}$ and $F \subset F_{n}$ such that (d) holds and $\mu^{(n k)}(F) \rightarrow 1$. Because (f) holds, (e) must hold for large $n$ by the Shannon-McMillan Theorem.

## 4. Building Very Good Codes From Good Codes

Our method for proving Theorem 1 will work roughly this way. We first encode $[A, \mu]$ with a "good" code that produces small probability of error. Then, as a result of this section, we will be able to obtain a "very good" code by changing the original encoder a small amount, so that the new encoder produces a much smaller probability of error. In this way we construct a Cauchy sequence of better and better encoders such that the limit code is the zero-error code we seek.

Definition. If $A$ is a finite set and $n$ is a positive integer, we call $S \subset A^{\infty}$ a Rohlin $n$-set if the sets $S, T_{A} S, \ldots, T_{A}^{n-1} S$ are disjoint. We point out the following property of a Rohlin $n$-set $S \subset A^{\infty}$ for later use.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{p+1 \leqq i \leqq p+t: T_{A}^{i} u \in S\right\}\right| \leqq t n^{-1}+1, u \in A^{\infty}, p \in Z, t=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a subset of $A^{\infty}$ finite-dimensional (f.d.) if it is of form $\left\{u \in A^{\infty}: u_{i}^{k} \in S\right\}$ for some $i \in Z$, positive integer $k$ and $S \subset A^{k}$. If $G$ is another finite set, it should be clear what we mean by a f.d. subset of $A^{\infty} \times G^{\infty}$. (Make the obvious identification between $A^{\infty} \times G^{\infty}$ and $(A \times G)^{\infty}$.) We call a function from $A^{\infty} \rightarrow G$ f.d. if the pre-image of very element of $G$ is a f.d. subset of $A^{\infty}$. We call a map $\varphi: A^{\infty} \rightarrow G^{\infty}$ f.d. if for each $i \in Z$, the map $\varphi_{i}: A^{\infty} \rightarrow G$ is f.d., where

$$
\varphi_{i}(u)=\varphi(u)_{i}, u \in A^{\infty} .
$$

In the following, if $S$ is a set, $I_{S}$ denotes the indicator function of $S$. Also, $d_{w}$ denotes the metric on $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ yielding weak convergence such that

$$
d_{w}(\mu, \lambda)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \sum_{x \in B^{n}}\left|\mu^{(n)}(x)-\lambda^{(n)}(x)\right|, \mu, \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)
$$

The symbol $T$ denotes the transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow\left(T_{B} x, T_{C} y\right)$ on $B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$.

Lemma 7. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and let $[B, v, C]$ be a stationary channel which is ergodic at $\mu$ and for which $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at $\mu$. Let $S \subset B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}$ be f.d. and satisfy $\mu v(S)>\alpha>0$. Given $\tau(0<\tau<1)$, there exist positive integers $s, N$ and $a \delta>0$ such that if $n \geqq N$, if $W \subset B^{n}$ has every sequence in it $(s, \delta)$ typical of $\mu$, if $\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W\right.$ $\times W\}$ is a Rohlin $n$-set, and if $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ satisfies $n \lambda\left\{\hat{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W\right\}>1-\delta$, then
(a) $\lambda \nu\left\{k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{T^{-i} S}>\alpha\right\}>1-\tau, \quad k \geqq N$.
(b) $d_{w}(\lambda, \mu)<\tau$.

Proof. Find $\alpha^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}$ so that $\alpha^{\prime}>0,0<\tau^{\prime}<1, \mu v(S)>\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\left(1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}\right)>\alpha, \sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}<\tau$. Since $\mu v$ is ergodic, we may find $J$ such that if $G$ is the event $\left\{J^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} I_{T^{-, s}}>\alpha^{\prime}\right\}$, then $\mu v(G)>1-\tau^{\prime}$. Since $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at $\mu$, we may find $\beta>0$ such that if $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and $d_{w}(\lambda, \mu)<\beta$, then $\lambda v(G)>1-\tau^{\prime}$. We can, and do, assume that $\beta<\tau$. Fix $N, s, \delta$ so that for $n \geqq N$ :
(c) If $W \subset B^{n}$ has every sequence in it (s, $\delta$ ) typical of $\mu$, if $\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W\right\}$ is a Rohlin $n$-set, and if $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ satisfies $n \lambda\left(\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W\right)>1-\delta$, then $d_{w}(\lambda, \mu)<\beta$.
(d)

$$
\sup _{B^{\infty} \times C^{\infty}}\left|(n J)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{i+J^{-1}} I_{T^{-j} S}-n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{T^{-2} S}\right|<\alpha^{\prime}\left(1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}\right)-\alpha .
$$

Fix $k, n \geqq N$. Let $W \subset B^{n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ be given by (c). Then $E_{\lambda \nu}\left[k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{T^{-\iota} G}\right]$ $=\lambda v(G)>1-\tau^{\prime}$ and so by Chebyshev's inequality $k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{T-i} \gg 1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}$ with $\lambda v$-probability $>1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}$. This implies

$$
\lambda v\left[(k J)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i+J-1} I_{T^{-\jmath s}}>\alpha^{\prime}\left(1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}\right)\right]>1-\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}
$$

and hence by (d), (a) follows.
Definition. Given positive integers $n, M$ and positive numbers $\varepsilon, \alpha$ such that $0<\varepsilon, \alpha<1$, a ( $n, M, \varepsilon, \alpha$ ) channel code for the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ is a triple ( $W, G, g$ ) where:
(a) $W \subset B^{n},|W|=M$, and $\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W\right\}$ is a Rohlin $n$-set.
(b) $G$ is a f.d. Rohlin $n$-subset of $C^{\infty}$.
(c) $g$ is a f.d. map from $C^{\infty} \rightarrow W$.
(d) If $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and $n \lambda\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W\right\}>1-\alpha$, then

$$
n \lambda v\left[\bar{X}^{2 n} \in W \times W, \bar{Y} \in G, \bar{X}^{n}=g(\bar{Y})\right]>1-\varepsilon .
$$

The following lemma will allow us to construct a very good channel code from a good channel code.
Lemma 8. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$, let the stationary channel $[B, v, C]$ be ergodic at $\mu$, and let $\Phi_{v}$ be continuous at $\mu$. Let $m, N$ be positive integers and let $F \subset B^{2 m+1}$ be such that $\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 m+1} \in F\right\}$ is a Rohlin $N$-set. Let $G \subset C^{\infty}$ be a f.d. Rohlin $N$-set, and
let $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{C}^{\infty} \rightarrow B^{N}$ be a f.d. function such that

$$
N \mu \nu\left[\bar{X}_{-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, \bar{Y} \in G, \bar{X}^{N}=g(\bar{Y})\right]>1-\delta,
$$

where $\delta>0$ is so small that $\delta<(16)^{-4}, q_{B}\left(8 \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)<H(\mu)$. Then, given $\tau(0<\tau<1)$, there exists $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$ such that for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large there exists a ( $N^{\prime}, M, \tau, \alpha$ ) channel code $\left(W^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ and a subset $Q$ of $B^{N^{\prime}}$ containing $W^{\prime}$ such that
(a) $M \geqq 2^{N^{\prime}\left(H(\mu)-q_{B}\left(8 \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right)}$
(b) $\mu^{\left(N^{\prime}\right)}(Q) \rightarrow 1$, and if $x \in Q$ there exists $w \in W^{\prime}$ such that for more than $N^{-1} N^{\prime}\left(1-8 \delta^{1}\right)$ of the integers $i \in\left[m+1, N^{\prime}-N-m\right]$ one has $x_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, w_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F$ and $w_{i}^{N}=x_{i}^{N}$.
(c) If $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and $N^{\prime} \lambda\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right\}>1-\alpha$, then $d_{w}(\lambda, \mu)<\tau$.

Proof. To ease the notation, if $r, s \in Z$ and $r \leqq s$ and $x \in B^{\infty}, y \in C^{\infty}$, let $I_{r}^{s}(x, y)$ be the set of all $i \in[r, s]$ such that $x_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, T_{C}^{i} y \in G, g\left(T_{C}^{i} y\right)=x_{i}^{N}$. By Lemma 7, we may choose a positive $\alpha<\tau / 2$, and positive integers $s, J$ such that for every $n \geqq J$, if $W^{\prime} \subset B^{n}$ has every sequence in it (s, $\alpha$ ) typical of $\mu$, if $\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right\}$ is a Rohlin $n$-set, and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ satisfies $n \lambda\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 n} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right\}>1-\alpha$, then
(d) $\lambda \nu\left[\left|I_{0}^{j-N}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\right| \geqq N^{-1} j(1-\delta)\right]>1-\tau / 2, j \geqq J$.
(e) $d_{w}(\lambda, \mu)<\tau$.

Define $\varepsilon=\sqrt{24} \delta$. Let $k$ be the greatest integer in $\varepsilon^{-1}$. Since $q_{B}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})<H(\mu)$, by Lemma 6 for $n$ sufficiently large there is $\tilde{x} \in B^{n}$ and $D \subset B^{k n}$ such that $\mu^{(k n)}(D) \rightarrow 1$ and:
(f) $\tilde{x} y \tilde{x}$ is $(s, \alpha)$ typical of $\mu, y \in D$.
(g) $|D| \geqq 2^{k n(H(\mu)-\varepsilon)}$.
(h) $\min _{1 \leq i \leq n-1} d\left(\tilde{x}, \pi^{i} \tilde{x}\right) \geqq \varepsilon$.
$1 \leqq i \leqq n-1$
(i) If $y \in D, d(\tilde{x}, c) \geqq \varepsilon$ for every $n$-subblock $c$ of $y$.
(j) $\left|\left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq k n-N-m: y_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F\right\}\right| \geqq N^{-1} k n(1-\delta), y \in D$.

Fix an arbitrary $n \geqq J$ for which (f)-(j) hold and
(k) $2 \leqq n \delta N^{-1}$ and $2 m n^{-1}<\delta$.
(l) Any Hamming ball in $B^{n k}$ of radius $5 \varepsilon$ has cardinality $\leqq 2^{n k q_{B}(5 \varepsilon)}$.

Let $\sigma$ be the symmetric reflexive relation on $D$ such that
(m) $x \sigma y$ if and only if there are more than $N^{-1} k n(1-5 \varepsilon)$ integers $i \in[m$ $+1, k n-N-m]$ for which $x_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, y_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F$ and $x_{i}^{N}=y_{i}^{N}$.
Pick $D \subset D$ so that
(n) every $x \in D$ is $\sigma$-related to some $w \in D^{\prime}$.
(o) if $w_{1}, w_{2} \in D^{\prime}$ and $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$ then $w_{1} \phi w_{2}$.

Set $N^{\prime}=2 n+k n, W^{\prime}=\left\{\tilde{x} y \tilde{w}: y \in D^{\prime}\right\}$. Because of $(h),(i),\left\{\tilde{X}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right\}$ is a Rohlin $N^{\prime}$-set. If $x \sigma y$, then $x$ is in the Hamming ball of radius $5 \varepsilon$ centered at $y$. Hence by (g), (l),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \log \left|W^{\prime}\right| & \geqq(2 n+k n)^{-1} k n\left(H(\mu)-q_{B}(6 \varepsilon)\right) \\
& \geqq(1-4 \varepsilon)\left(H(\mu)-q_{B}(6 \varepsilon)\right) \geqq H(\mu)-q_{B}(8 \varepsilon),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which (a) follows. Setting $Q=B^{n} \times D \times B^{n}$, then $Q \supset W^{\prime}, \mu^{\left(N^{\prime}\right)}(Q) \rightarrow 1$, and since $N^{-1} k n(1-5 \varepsilon)>N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-9 \varepsilon)$, (b) follows from (n).

Let $G^{\prime}$ be the set of all $y \in C^{\infty}$ such that there exists $x \in B^{\infty}$ for which $x^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(x, y)\right| \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-2 \delta)
$$

Let $\bar{g}: C^{\infty} \rightarrow B^{\infty}$ be a f.d. stationary code such that $y \in G$ implies $\bar{g}(y)^{N}=g(y)$. If $G^{\prime}$ is not a Rohlin $N^{\prime}$-set there exist $y \in C^{\infty}$ and $x, \bar{x} \in B^{\infty}$ and integers $i, j$ with $i$ $+1 \leqq j \leqq i+N^{\prime}-1$ such that $x_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}}, x_{j}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$ and

$$
d\left(x_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}}, \bar{g}(y)_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}}\right)<2 \delta, d\left(\bar{x}_{j}^{2 N^{\prime}}, \bar{g}(y)_{j}^{2 N^{\prime}}\right)<2 \delta
$$

Because of (h), (i), there an integer $r$ such that

$$
[r, r+n-1] \subset\left[j, j+N^{\prime}-1\right] \cap\left[i, i+2 N^{\prime}-1\right] \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(x_{r}^{n}, \bar{x}_{r}^{n}\right) \geqq \varepsilon .
$$

Now $d\left(x_{r}^{n}, \bar{g}(y)_{r}^{n}\right) \leqq 4 N^{\prime} \delta n^{-1}<12 \varepsilon^{-1} \delta$.
Similarly, $d\left(\bar{x}_{r}^{n}, \bar{g}(y)_{r}^{n}\right)<12 \varepsilon^{-1} \delta$, and so $d\left(x_{r}^{n}, \bar{x}_{r}^{n}\right)<24 \varepsilon^{-1} \delta=\varepsilon$, a contradiction. We conclude from this that $G^{\prime}$ must be a Rohlin $N^{\prime}$-set. Suppose $y \in C^{\infty}$, $x, \bar{x} \in B^{\infty}, x^{2 N^{\prime}}, \bar{x}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$, and

$$
\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(x, y)\right|,\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(\bar{x}, y)\right| \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-2 \delta)
$$

Now by (4.1) and the fact (from (k)) that $N^{-1} N^{\prime}+1 \leqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1+\delta)$, we have

$$
\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(x, y) \cup I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(\bar{x}, y)\right| \leqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1+\delta),
$$

whence

$$
\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(x, y) \cap I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(\bar{x}, y)\right| \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-5 \delta) .
$$

Applying (4.1) again and (k),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{n+m}^{(k+1) n-N-m-1}(x, y) \cap I_{n+m}^{(k+1) n-N-m-1}(\bar{x}, y)\right| \\
& \quad \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-5 \delta)-2-N^{-1}\left(N^{\prime}+2 n+2 m+N\right)>N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-5 \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $x_{n}^{k n} \sigma \bar{x}_{n}^{k n}$, and then $x^{N^{\prime}}=\bar{x}^{N^{\prime}}$ by ( 0 ). Thus there exists a map $g^{\prime}: C^{\infty} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ such that if $y \in C^{\infty}$ and $x \in B^{\infty}$ and $x^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$ and $\left|I_{0}^{2 N^{\prime}-N}(x, y)\right| \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-2 \delta)$, then $g^{\prime}(y)=x^{N^{\prime}}$. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and $N^{\prime} \lambda\left(\tilde{X}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right)>1-\alpha$. Then (c) follows from (f). Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N^{\prime} \lambda v\left[\bar{X}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}, \bar{Y} \in G^{\prime}, \bar{X}^{N^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad=g^{\prime}(\bar{Y})\right]=\lambda v\left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{N^{\prime}-1}\left\{\bar{X}_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}, T_{C}^{i} \bar{Y} \in G^{\prime}, \bar{X}_{i}^{N^{\prime}}=g^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{C}}^{i} \bar{Y}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& \quad \geqq \lambda v\left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{N^{\prime}-1}\left\{\bar{X}_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime},\left|I_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}-N+i}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\right| \geqq 2 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-2 \delta)\right]\right. \\
& \quad \geqq \lambda v\left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{N^{\prime}-1}\left\{\bar{X}_{i}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime},\left|\left.\right|_{0} ^{3 N^{\prime}-N}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\right| \geqq 3 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\delta)\right]\right. \\
& \quad \geqq N^{\prime} \lambda\left(\tilde{X}^{2 N^{\prime}} \in W^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}\right)+\lambda v\left[\left|I_{0}^{3 N^{\prime}-N}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\right| \geqq 3 N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\delta)\right]-1 \\
& \quad>(1-\tau / 2)+(1-\tau / 2)-1=1-\tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left(W^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\left(N^{\prime},\left|W^{\prime}\right|, \tau, \alpha\right)$ channel code.

Notation. In the following if $X, U$ are jointly stationary finite state processes $H(X \mid U)$ denotes the conditional entropy rate of $X$ given $U$, and $H(X)$ denotes the entropy rate of $X$.
Lemma 9. Let $m, N$ be positive integers with $m>N$. Let $A, B$ be finite sets. Let $\varepsilon, \delta$ be numbers such that $0<\varepsilon<1,0<\delta<1 / 3$. Given $F \subset A^{2 m+1}, S_{1} \subset S_{2} \subset A^{N}$, W $\subset B^{N}, \varphi: S_{1} \rightarrow W, \psi: W \rightarrow S_{2}$ and a jointly ergodic pair of processes $U, X$ with respective state spaces $A, B$ such that:
(a) $\left\{u \in A^{\infty}: u_{-m}^{2 m+1} \in F\right\},\left\{x \in B^{\infty}: x^{2 N} \in W \times W\right\}$ are Rohlin $N$-sets.
(b) With probability $1, U^{2 m+1} \in F, \quad U^{N} \in S_{2}$ implies $X^{N} \in W, \psi\left(X^{N}\right)=U^{N}$; $U_{-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, U^{N} \in S_{1}$ implies $\varphi\left(U^{N}\right)=X^{N}$.
(c) $\operatorname{NPr}\left[U_{-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, U_{N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, U^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}\right]>1-\delta$;

$$
N \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_{-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, U_{N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, U^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}\right]>1-\varepsilon
$$

(d) For $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large there are subsets $W^{\prime}, Q$ of $B^{N^{\prime}}$ such that $W^{\prime} \subset Q$, $\operatorname{Pr}\left[X^{N^{\prime}} \in Q\right] \rightarrow 1$, and for every $x \in Q$ there exists $w \in W^{\prime}$ for which more than $N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\delta)$ integers $i \in\left[1, N^{\prime}-2 N+1\right]$ satisfy $x_{i}^{2 N}, w_{i}^{2 N} \in W \times W, w_{i}^{N}=x_{i}^{N}$.
(e) $H(X \mid U)>q_{B}(\delta)+q_{A}(3 \delta)$.

Then for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large there is $V \subset A^{N^{\prime}}$ such that $\operatorname{Pr}\left[U^{N^{\prime}} \in V\right] \rightarrow 1$ and a one-to-one map $\varphi^{\prime}: V \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ with the property that:

For every $u \in V$, there are at least $N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-7 \delta-\varepsilon)$ integers $i \in\left[m+1, N^{\prime}-N\right.$ $-m]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varphi^{\prime}(u)_{i}^{N}=\varphi\left(u_{i}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. Let $G \subset A^{N^{\prime}} \times B^{N^{\prime}}$ be the set of all $(u, x)$ such that:
(f) $\left|\left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m: u_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}\right\}\right|$

$$
\geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\delta)
$$

(g) $\left|\left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m: u_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}\right\}\right|$

$$
\geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\varepsilon) .
$$

(h) For each $i \in\left[m+1, N^{\prime}-N+1\right], u_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F$ and $u_{i}^{N} \in S_{2}$ imply $x_{i}^{N} \in W$,

$$
\psi\left(x_{i}^{N}\right)=u_{i}^{N} ; u_{i-m}^{2 m+1} \in F \quad \text { and } \quad u_{i}^{N} \in S_{1} \quad \text { imply } \varphi\left(u_{i}^{N}\right)=x_{i}^{N} .
$$

(i) $x \in Q$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left[X^{N^{\prime}}=x \mid U^{N^{\prime}}=u\right] \leqq 2^{-N^{\prime}(H(X \mid U)-\sigma)}$,
where $\sigma>0$ is so small that $H(X \mid U)>q_{B}(\delta)+q_{A}(3 \delta)+\sigma$. Let $V \subset A^{N^{\prime}}$ be the set $\left\{u \in A^{N^{\prime}}: \operatorname{Pr}\left[X^{N^{\prime}} \in G_{u} \mid U^{N^{\prime}}=u\right] \geqq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, where $G_{u}$ denotes the section of $G$ at $u$. Then, $\operatorname{Pr}\left[U^{N^{\prime}} \in V\right] \rightarrow 1$ as $N^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $N^{\prime}$ so large that
(j) $2 m+1+N<\delta N^{\prime}$
(k) The Hamming balls in $A^{N^{\prime}}$ of radius $3 \delta$ have no more than $2^{N^{\prime} q_{A}(3 \delta)}$ elements, and the Hamming balls in $B^{N^{\prime}}$ of radius $\delta$ have no more than $2^{N^{\prime} q_{B}(\delta)}$ elements.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\left[2^{N^{\prime}\left(H(X \mid U)-\sigma-q_{B}(\delta)\right)} / 2\right] \geqq 2^{N^{\prime} q_{A}(3 \delta)} \geqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where if $x$ is a real number, $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer in $x$. For each $u \in V$, let $A(u)$ be the set of all $\bar{u} \in V$ such that for more than $N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-3 \delta)$ integers $i \in\left[m+1, N^{\prime}-N-m\right]$ one has $u_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, \bar{u}_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1}, \bar{u}_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F$, and $u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}$ and $u_{i}^{2 N}=\bar{u}_{i}^{2 N}$. Pick $V^{\prime} \subset V$ such that the sets $\left\{A(u): u \in V^{\prime}\right\}$ cover $V$, and if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V^{\prime}$ and $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, then $u_{1} \notin A\left(u_{2}\right)$. For each $u \in V$ let $J(u)$ be the set of integers given in (f). Suppose $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V^{\prime}$ and $x \in G_{u_{1}} \cap G_{u_{2}}$. Now $J\left(u_{1}\right) \cup J\left(u_{2}\right)$ is contained in the set $\left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m: x_{i}^{2 N} \in W \times W\right\}$, whose cardinality is less than $N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1+\delta)$ by (4.1) and (j). Hence, $\left|J\left(u_{1}\right) \cap J\left(u_{2}\right)\right|>N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-3 \delta)$, which implies $u_{1} \in A\left(u_{2}\right)$. Consequently, $G_{u_{1}} \cap G_{u_{2}}=\emptyset$ if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V^{\prime}$ and $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. For each $x \in B^{N^{\prime}}$, let $B(x)$ be the set of all $\bar{x} \in B^{N^{\prime}}$ such that for more than $N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\delta)$ integers $i \in\left[1, N^{\prime}-2 N+1\right]$ one has $x_{i}^{2 N}, \bar{x}_{i}^{2 N} \in W \times W$, and $x_{i}^{N}=\bar{x}_{i}^{N}$. Let $\tilde{V} \subset V^{\prime}$. Let $G(\tilde{V})=\bigcup\left\{G_{u}: u \in \tilde{V}\right\}$. Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{t}\right\}=W^{\prime} \cap[\bigcup\{B(x): x \in G(\tilde{V})\}]$. If $x \in G(\tilde{V})$ then $x \in Q$ and so $w \in B(x)$ for some $w \in W^{\prime}$ by (d). Thus $w \in\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{t}\right\}$ and so $G(\tilde{V}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B\left(w_{i}\right)$. Now each $B(x)$ is contained in a Hamming ball of radius $\delta$ and therefore has cardinality at most $2^{N^{\prime} q \mathrm{q}(\delta)}$ by $(\mathrm{k})$. Also, each $G_{u}$ has cardinality at least $2^{N^{\prime}(H(X \mid U)-\sigma)} / 2$. Therefore, $t \geqq|\tilde{V}| r$. By a marriage lemma [10, Lemma 2], there exist disjoint subsets $\left\{W_{u}^{\prime}: u \in V^{\prime}\right\}$ of $W^{\prime}$, each having cardinality $r$, such that if $u \in V^{\prime}$ and $w \in W_{u}^{\prime}$, then there exists $x \in G_{u}$ with $w \in B(x)$. There are disjoint sets $\left\{A^{\prime}(u): u \in V^{\prime}\right\}$ which partition $V$ such that $A^{\prime}(u) \subset A(u)$, $u \in V^{\prime}$. By $(\mathrm{k}),\left|A^{\prime}(u)\right| \leqq 2^{N^{\prime} q_{A}(3 \delta)}$ and so by (1) there is a one-to-one $\varphi^{\prime}: V \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}(u)=w$ implies there exists $\bar{u} \in V^{\prime}$ and $x \in B^{N^{\prime}}$ for which $w \in B(x)$, $x \in G_{\bar{u}}$, and $u \in A(\bar{u})$. With $u, \bar{u}, w, x$ as just described, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}= & \left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m: x_{i}^{2 N}, w_{i}^{2 N} \in W \times W, w_{i}^{N}=x_{i}^{N}\right\} \\
J_{2}= & \left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m: \bar{u}_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, \bar{u}_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, \bar{u}_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}\right\} \\
J_{3}= & \left\{m+1 \leqq i \leqq N^{\prime}-N-m:\right. \\
& \left.u_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, \bar{u}_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1}, \bar{u}_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F, u_{i}^{2 N}=\bar{u}_{i}^{2 N}, u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left|J_{1}\right| \geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-2 \delta),\left|J_{2}\right| \geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-\varepsilon),\left|J_{3}\right| \geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-3 \delta)$. Note that if $i \in J_{1} \cup J_{2} \cup J_{3}$, then $x_{i}^{2 N} \in W \times W$ and so by (4.1), $\left|J_{1} \cup J_{2} \cup J_{3}\right| \leqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1+\delta)$. Thus,

$$
\left|J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cap J_{3}\right| \geqq\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|J_{2}\right|+\left|J_{3}\right|-2\left|J_{1} \cup J_{2} \cup J_{3}\right| \geqq N^{-1} N^{\prime}(1-7 \delta-\varepsilon) .
$$

Note that $i \in J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cap J_{3}$ implies that $u_{i-m}^{2 m+1}, u_{i+N-m}^{2 m+1} \in F$, and $u_{i}^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}$, and $\varphi^{\prime}(u)_{i}^{N}=\varphi\left(u_{i}^{N}\right)$, and so (4.2) follows.
Lemma 10. Let $[A, \mu]$ be a stationary, ergodic, aperiodic source with entropy $H$. For $N$ sufficiently large suppose we have sets $V \subset A^{N}, W \subset B^{N}$ and a one-to-one map $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ such that $\mu^{(N)}(V) \rightarrow 1$ and $\lim \inf N^{-1} \log |W| \geqq R>H$. Let $\varepsilon, \delta$ be numbers such that $0<\varepsilon, \delta<1$. Then for $N$ sufficiently large, there exists a f.d. Rohlin $N$-set $F \subset A^{\infty}$, sets $S_{1} \subset S_{2} \subset V$, a map $\psi: W \rightarrow S_{2}$ and jointly ergodic processes $U, X$ with respective state spaces $A, B$ such that:
(a) the distribution of $U$ is $\mu$.
(b) $N \operatorname{Pr}\left[U^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}, U \in F, T_{A}^{N} U \in F\right]>1-2 \varepsilon$, $N \operatorname{Pr}\left[U^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}, U \in F, T_{A}^{N} U \in F\right]>1-\delta$.
(c) With probability 1, if $U \in F$ and $U^{N} \in S_{2}$ then $X^{N} \in W, \psi\left(X^{N}\right)=U^{N}$; if $U \in F$ and $U^{N} \in S_{1}$, then $X^{N}=\varphi\left(U^{N}\right)$.
(d) $H(X) \geqq H+\varepsilon(R-H)-\delta$.

Proof. Choose a positive $\varepsilon^{\prime}<\varepsilon$ so that $\varepsilon^{\prime}(R-H)>-\delta+\varepsilon(R-H)$. Find for each $N$ a set $S_{2} \subset V$ so that $\mu^{(N)}\left(S_{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \log \left|S_{2}\right|=H$. Find $S_{1} \subset S_{2}$ so that $\mu^{(N)}\left(S_{1}\right) \rightarrow 1-\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Since $\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \log \left|W-\varphi\left(S_{1}\right)\right| \geqq R$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \log \mid S_{2}$ $-S_{1} \mid=H$, there is a partition $\left\{W_{u}: u \in S_{2}-S_{1}\right\}$ of $W-\varphi\left(S_{1}\right)$ such that
(e) $\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}\left[\min _{u \in S_{2}-S_{1}} \log \left|W_{u}\right|\right] \geqq R-H$.

Define $\psi: W \rightarrow S_{2}$ to be the map such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =\varphi^{-1} \quad \text { on } \varphi\left(S_{1}\right) \\
& =u \quad \text { on } W_{u}, \quad u \in S_{2}-S_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a strong form of Rohlin's theorem [11, p.22], for each $N$ we can choose a f.d. Rohlin $N$-set $F \subset A^{\infty}$ such that
(f) $\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mu\left[F \cap T_{A}^{-N} F \cap\left\{u: u^{2 N} \in S_{1} \times S_{1}\right\}\right] \geqq 1-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}>1-2 \varepsilon$.
(g) $\left.\left.\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mu\right] F \cap\left\{u: u^{N} \in S_{2}-S_{1}\right\}\right]=\varepsilon^{\prime}$.
(h) $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mu\left[F \cap T_{A}^{-N} F \cap\left\{u: u^{2 N} \in S_{2} \times S_{2}\right\}\right]=1$.

Fix $x^{*} \in B$. Let $[A, \tau, B]$ be a stationary channel such that for each $u \in A^{\infty}$,
(i) $\left\{\tilde{X}_{i}^{N}: T_{A}^{i} u \in F\right\}$ are indepencent under $\tau_{u}$.
(j) $\tau_{u}\left[\tilde{X}_{i}^{N}=\varphi\left(u_{i}^{N}\right)\right]=1, u_{i}^{N} \in S_{1}, T_{A}^{i} u \in F$.
(k) $\tau_{u}\left[\tilde{X}_{i}^{N}=x\right]=\left|W_{u_{i}^{N}}\right|^{-1}, x \in W_{u_{i}^{N}}, u_{i}^{N} \in S_{2}-S_{1}, T_{A}^{i} u \in F$.
(l) $\tau_{u}\left[\tilde{X}_{j}=x^{*}\right]=1, j \notin \bigcup_{T_{A}^{i} u \in F}[i, i+N-1]$.

Let $U, X$ be processes with respective state spaces $A, B$, such that the distribution of $U$ is $\mu$, and the distribution of $X$ conditioned on $U$ is given by $\tau$. Then $U, X$ are jointly ergodic by [1], and (c) holds. Because of (c) and (h), $H(U \mid X) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Because of (k), (g), and (e), $\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} H(X \mid U) \geqq \varepsilon^{\prime}(R-H)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty}[H(X)-H(U)] & =\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty}[H(X \mid U)-H(U \mid X)] \\
& \geqq \varepsilon^{\prime}(R-H)>-\delta+\varepsilon(R-H)
\end{aligned}
$$

giving (d). Property (b) holds because of (f), (h).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 11. Let $[B, v, C]$ be stationary and let $\tau \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$. Suppose $\mathscr{N}$ is a neighborhood of $\tau$ in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ such that for every positive entropy measure $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{N}, \Phi_{y}$ is continuous at $\lambda$ and $[B, v, C]$ is ergodic at $\lambda$. Then given $k$ (a positive integer), $\delta(\delta>0), R(0<R<I(\tau v))$ and $\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1)$, there exists $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$ and for $n$
sufficiently large a ( $n, M, \varepsilon, \alpha$ ) sliding-block channel code $(W, G, g)$ with $M \geqq 2^{n R}$ and every sequence in $W(k, \delta)$ typical of $\tau$.
Proof. Choose a stationary channel $[B, \sigma, C]$ such that $\mu \sigma=\mu v, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ and the map $\mu \rightarrow \mu \sigma$ from $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{\prime}(B) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(B, C)$ is continuous at any measure in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ if and only if $\Phi_{v}$ is, where $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{\prime}(B)$ is the set of all $T_{B}$-stationary and ergodic measures on $B^{\infty}$, with the weak topology. Call this map $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\prime}$. There must be a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ of $\tau$ in $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{\prime}(B)$ such that for every positive entropy measure $\lambda$ in $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{\prime}(B),[B, \sigma, C]$ is ergodic at $\lambda$ and $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ is continuous at $\lambda$. By the proofs of Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 of [8], there exists $\alpha$ and for $n$ sufficiently large a ( $n, M, \varepsilon, \alpha$ ) code ( $W, G, g$ ) for the channel $[B, \sigma, C]$ with $M \geqq 2^{n R}$ and every sequence in $W(k, \delta)$ typical of $\tau$. But a ( $n, M, \varepsilon, \alpha$ ) code for the channel $[B, \sigma, C]$ must be a ( $n, M, \varepsilon, \alpha$ ) code for the channel $[B, v, C]$, since $\mu \nu=\mu \sigma, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$.

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1. Fix $[A, \mu], \tau,[B, v, C]$ given in the statement of Theorem 1. Fix $\beta>0$. We will find a $v$-invulnerable $[B, \lambda]$ isomorphic to $[A, \mu]$ for which $d_{w}(\lambda, \tau)<\beta$.

Fix neighborhoods $\mathscr{N}, \mathscr{N}^{\prime}$ of $\tau$ in $\mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$ so small that
(a) $[\bar{B}, v, C]$ is ergodic at every positive entropy measure in $\mathscr{N}$ and $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at every measure in $\mathcal{N}$.
(b) If $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{N}^{\prime}, \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{e}(B)$, and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$, then $d_{w}(\lambda, \tau)<\beta$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{N}$.

Construct on some probability space a process $U$ with state space $A$ and distribution $\mu$, processes $\{X(i)\}_{1}^{\infty}$ with state space $B$ jointly stationary with $U$, positive numbers $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, positive integers $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{N_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, sets $\left\{S_{1}^{(i)}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, $\left\{S_{2}^{(i)}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, and functions $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that for each $i \geqq 1$ :
(c) $U, X(i)$ are jointly ergodic and the distribution $\lambda_{i}$ of $X(i)$ lies in $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$.
(d) $m_{i}>N_{i}, F_{i} \subset A^{2 m_{i}+1}$ and $\left\{u \in A^{\infty}: u_{-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1}\right\}$ is a Rohlin $N_{i}$-set; $W_{i} \subset B^{N_{i}}$ and $\left\{x \in B^{\infty}: x^{2 N_{i}} \in W_{i} \times W_{i}\right\}$ is a Rohlin $N_{i}$-set; $G_{i} \subset C^{\infty}$ is a f.d. Rohlin $N_{i}$-set.
(e) $S_{1}^{(i)} \subset S_{2}^{(i)} \subset A^{N_{i}}, N_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_{-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1}, U_{N_{i}-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, U^{2 N_{i}} \in S_{1}^{(i)} \times S_{1}^{(i)}\right]>1-2 \varepsilon_{i}$, and

$$
N_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_{-m_{2}}^{2 m_{i}+1}, U_{N_{i}-m_{2}}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, U^{2 N_{i}} \in S_{2}^{(i)} \times S_{2}^{(i)}\right]>1-\delta_{i} .
$$

(f) $\varphi_{i}: S_{1}^{(i)} \rightarrow W_{i}, \psi_{i}: W_{i} \rightarrow S_{2}^{(i)}$; with probability 1 if $U_{-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, U^{N_{i} \in S_{2}^{(i)}}$ then $X(i)^{N_{i}} \in W_{i}, U^{N_{i}}=\psi_{i}\left(X(i)^{N_{i}}\right)$, and if $U_{-m_{i}}^{2 m_{1}+1} \in F_{i}, U^{N_{i}} \in S_{1}^{(i)}$, then $X(i)^{N_{i}}=\varphi_{i}\left(U^{N_{i}}\right)$.
(g) $\delta_{i}<(56)^{-4} / 2, \varepsilon_{i}<1 / 2, \sum_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{i}}\right)<\infty$.
(h) $H(X(i))>H(\mu)+q_{B}\left(8 \delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)+q_{A}\left(24 \delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{i}}\right)$.
(i) $\mathrm{g}_{i}: C^{\infty} \rightarrow W_{i}$ is f.d. and for every $k \geqq i$,

$$
N_{i} \lambda_{k} \nu\left[\bar{X}^{2 N_{i}} \in W_{i} \times W_{i}, \bar{Y} \in G_{i}, \bar{X}^{N_{i}}=g_{i}(\bar{Y})\right]>1-\delta_{i} .
$$

(j) If $u \in S_{1}^{(i+1)}$, for at least $N_{i}^{-1} N_{i+1}\left(1-2 \varepsilon_{i}-56 \delta_{i}^{\frac{1}{i}}\right)$ of the integers $j \in\left[m_{i}\right.$ $\left.+1, N_{i+1}-N_{i}-m_{i}\right]$ one has $u_{j-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1}, u_{j+N_{i}-m_{i}}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, u_{j}^{2 N_{i}} \in S_{1}^{(i)} \times S_{1}^{(i)}$, and $\varphi_{i+1}(u)_{j}^{N_{i}}$ $=\varphi_{i}\left(u_{j}^{N_{i}}\right)$.
(One begins the construction for $i=1$ using Lemma 11 and Lemma 10. Having done the construction for $i=k$, say, one does it for $i=k+1$ using Lemmas $8-10$.) Define the sequence $\left\{\eta_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ by $\eta_{i}=\sum_{k=i}^{\infty}\left(2 \varepsilon_{k}+56 \delta_{k}^{1}\right), i \geqq 1$. From (j)
we obtain
(k) If $k>i$, and $u \in S_{1}^{(k)}$, for at least $N_{i}^{-1} N_{k}\left(1-\eta_{i}\right)$ of the integers $j \in\left[m_{i}+1, N_{k}\right.$ $\left.-N_{i}-m_{i}\right]$ one has $u_{j-m_{2}}^{2 m_{i}+1}, u_{j+N_{i}-m_{\infty}}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, u_{j}^{2 N_{i}} \in S_{1}^{(i)} \times S_{1}^{(i)}$, and $\phi_{k}(u)_{j}^{N_{i}}=\varphi_{i}\left(u_{j}^{N_{i}}\right)$.

For each $i \geqq 1$, let $\bar{\varphi}_{i}: A^{\infty} \rightarrow B^{\infty}, \bar{\psi}_{i}: B^{\infty} \rightarrow A^{\infty}, \bar{g}_{i}: C^{\infty} \rightarrow B^{\infty}$ be f.d. stationary codes such that

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{aligned}
\bar{\varphi}_{i}(u)_{j}^{N_{i}} & =\varphi_{i}\left(u_{j}^{N_{i}}\right), u_{j-}^{2 m_{i}+1} \in F_{i}, u_{j}^{N_{i}} \in S_{1}^{(i)} . \\
\bar{\psi}_{i}(x)_{j}^{N_{i}} & =\psi_{i}\left(x_{j}^{N_{i}}\right), x_{j}^{2 N_{i}} \in W_{i} \times W_{i} . \\
\bar{g}_{i}(y)_{j}^{N_{i}} & =g_{i}\left(T_{C}^{j} y\right), T_{C}^{j} y \in G_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (k), (f), (l), it follows that for $k>i \geqq 1$, each of the three quantities $\operatorname{Pr}\left[X(k)_{0} \neq X(i)_{0}\right], \operatorname{Pr}\left[\bar{\psi}_{i}(X(k))_{0} \neq U_{0}\right], \operatorname{Pr}\left[\bar{\varphi}_{i}(U)_{0} \neq X(k)_{0}\right]$ is no greater than $\eta_{i}$ $+1-N_{k} \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_{-m_{k}}^{2 m_{k}+1} \in F_{k}, U^{N_{k}} \in S_{1}^{(k)}\right]$. This implies there must be a process $X$ with state space $B$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left[X(k)_{0} \neq X_{0}\right]=0$ and hence for each $i \geqq 1$,
(m) $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bar{\psi}_{i}(X)_{0} \neq U_{0}\right], \operatorname{Pr}\left[\bar{\varphi}_{i}(U)_{0} \neq X_{0}\right] \leqq \eta_{i}$.

Letting $\lambda$ be the distribution of $X$, we see from (m) that $[B, \lambda]$ and $[A, \mu]$ are isomorphic. Since $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \lambda$ weakly, from (c), (b) we have $d_{w}(\lambda, \tau)<\beta, \lambda \in \mathscr{N}$. From (i) and the definition of $\bar{g}_{i}$, if $k>i \geqq 1$,

$$
\lambda_{k} \nu\left[\bar{X}_{0}=\bar{g}_{i}(\bar{Y})_{0}\right]>1-\delta_{i} .
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $\Phi_{v}$ is continuous at $\lambda$, we see that

$$
\lambda v\left[\bar{X}_{0}=\bar{g}_{i}(\bar{Y})_{0}\right] \geqq 1-\delta_{i}, \quad i \geqq 1 .
$$

Hence $[B, \lambda]$ is $v$-invulnerable.
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