Predictive value of intravenous glucose tolerance test insulin secretion less than or greater than the first percentile in islet cell antibody positive relatives of Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients

P. Vardi¹, L. Crisa¹, R. A. Jackson¹ and coauthors (see acknowledgments)^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6}

 ¹ Joslin Diabetes Center, Brigham and Women's Hospital, New England Deaconess Hospital, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, ² University of California, Davis, Sacramento, ³ Diabetes and Endocrine Associates, San Diego, California,
 ⁴ Diabetes Center of Excellence, Humana Hospital, Mesa, Arizona, ⁵ New England Diabetes and Endocrine Center, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts, and ⁶ Pediatric/Adolescent Diabetes Center, Morristown, New Jersey, USA

Summary. We have followed-up 35 islet cell antibody-positive first degree relatives of patients with Type 1 (insulindependent) diabetes mellitus for an average of 1,300 days with sequential intravenous glucose tolerance tests. At the time of analysis and manuscript submission approximately half (18 of 35) had developed diabetes during follow-up. At initial intravenous glucose tolerance test, 11 had a 1 + 3 min insulin secretion below the first percentile of insulin secretion compared to 225 similarly studied normal control subjects. Six islet cell antibody positive relatives on follow-up developed an intravenous glucose tolerance test less than the first percentile. Fifteen out of 17 (88%) of these islet cell antibody positive relatives with secretion ever found to be below the first percentile are now overtly diabetic (positive predictive value = 88%) and insulin-treated, while only 3 of 18 (17%) without an intravenous glucose tolerance test demonstrating loss of first phase insulin secretion have progressed to diabetes (with approximately 1,300 days of follow-up for both groups relative risk or odds ratio with intravenous glucose tolerance test ever below vs never below the first per-

With less than seven years of average follow-up and utilizing "high titre" cytoplasmic islet cell antibody assays (ICA), approximately 8% per year of ICA positive relatives of patients with Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes develop overt diabetes [1-3]. We have reported that abnormalities of 1st phase insulin secretion in response to intravenous glucose can precede Type 1 diabetes in both cytoplasmic islet cell antibody positive and negative relatives [2, 4-6]. In addition to our studies of intravenous glucose tolerance testing (IVGTT) three other centres have evaluated this test in a significant number of ICA positive relatives. Chase and co-workers have reported that insulin secretion (sum of 1 + 3 min insulin after intravenous glucose) less than $25 \,\mu$ U/ml in ICA positive children is shortly followed by overt diabetes while none of the similarly

centile = 38, p < 0.001). Intravenous glucose tolerance test response below the first percentile preceded diabetes by an average of 656 days. Even when first phase insulin secretion is below the first percentile, the absolute value of $1 + 3 \min$ insulin above basal insulin correlates with the time to development of diabetes (r = 0.586, p < 0.001). With our current duration of follow-up, the negative predictive value (intravenous glucose tolerance test never below the first percentile) is 83%, and overall accuracy 86%. Incidence rates of diabetes development amongst our islet cell antibody positive relatives with follow-up while intravenous glucose tolerance test is below the first percentile is 0.48 per year (15 conversions to diabetes amongst 17 relatives in 30.8 patient years of follow-up) vs 0.05 per year (three diabetic patients in 55.5 patient years) with intravenous glucose tolerance test greater than the first percentile.

Key words: Intravenous glucose tolerance test, islet cell antibodies, prediction.

defined ICA positive adult relatives progressed to diabetes [7]. Riley and co-workers have suggested that IVGTT response in their family studies is variable and a more quantitative assessment of this parameter in predicting diabetes is necessary [8]. Gale and Bottazzo have indicated in a recent review that IVGTT response below the 1st percentile is usually accompanied by abnormal glucose tolerance [9]. These latter centres have recently introduced IVGTT studies into their prospective evaluation. In our studies of the IVGTT response of 35 ICA positive relatives we now have a mean follow-up period of approximately 1,300 days with 18 individuals progressing to overt diabetes. This study quantitates the predictive value of IVGTT assessment in the ICA positive relatives we have evaluated.
 Table 1. Subsequent development of overt diabetes in islet cell antibody positive relatives

	Diabetes	No diabetes
a. Relative risk		
Intravenous glucose tolera	nce test ever	
< 1st percentile	15	2
Intravenous glucose tolera	nce test always	
>1st percentile	3	15
Relative risk or odds ratio	$= \frac{(15) \times (15)}{(3) \times (2)}$	= 38,
p < 0.001 with current follow	w-up	
Positive predictive value =	$\frac{15}{15+2} = 88\%$	
Negative predictive value	$=\frac{15}{15+3}=83\%$	
Overall accuracy = $\frac{15}{15+15}$	$\frac{+15}{5+3+2} = 86\%$	
False positive = $\frac{2}{15+2} = 1$	2%	
False negative = $\frac{3}{15+3}$ =	17%	
b. Incidence rates		
Follow-up <1st percentile 0.48/year 15 diab	petic patients in	30.8 years
Follow-up > 1st percentile 0.05/year 3 dial	petic patients in	55.5 years
$X^2, p < 0.005$		

Subjects and methods

Thirty-five non-diabetic non-obese 1st degree relatives of patients with Type 1 diabetes (age range at the 1st encounter 2.6 to 66 years, mean = 24.1 years) were sequentially studied. Criteria for inclusion was ICA-positivity by our protein A assay (\geq 40 JDF units) [10], no symptoms or evidence (fasting glucose, HbA1c, and where available oral glucose tolerance or IVGTT) of diabetes at the time of initial screening (except for patient one where the diagnosis of diabetes was missed in that fasting glucose levels were not analysed until after overt diabetes) greater than one year of follow-up at time of analysis and agreeing to have and having at least one IVGTT prior to the diagnosis of overt diabetes. Thirty of the 35 patients were identified and prospectively followed because of ICA positivity on family screening. First degree relatives of patients with Type 1 diabetes were screened who had been contacted through the Joslin Diabetes Center or collaborating physicians, or who responded directly to a mailed appeal to Joslin patients or an advertised (e.g., Countdown) description of the study. No remuneration for participation in screening was offered but screening and follow-up were provided free of charge. Five patients (reported previously $[\hat{2}]$) had been prospectively endocrinologically studied before availability of ICA testing because they were relatives of a Type 1 diabetic patient (nos. 3, 4, 5, 9 and 13) and ICA positivity was subsequently identified using frozen sera samples. All subjects were prospectively followed for Beta-cell function, assessed by evaluating blood glucose and insulin secretion. In years 1973, 1978, 1980, 1981 and 1983 one relative each year developed overt diabetes, two developed diabetes in 1985, two in 1986, seven in 1987 and two in 1988 (reflecting the 1984 to present expansion of our ICA screening programme). Overt diebetes was diagnosed by the occurrence of a fasting venous plasma glucose > 7.8 mmol/l or symptomatic hyperglycaemia, with glucose > 11.2 mmol/l and the institution of insulin therapy. All individuals identified as diabetic have received continuous insulin therapy and are currently insulin treated.

Islet cell antibody assay

Sera stored at -20° C were tested for islet cell antibodies by indirect immunofluorescence with frozen sections of human pancreas utilizing fluorescein isothiocyanate protein A [10]. The assay utilized is positive in less than 1/400 Framingham population controls, 2% of 1st degree relatives of Type 1 diabetic patients [6] and has a detection limit of approximately 40 JDF units [11]. Sera is tested undiluted in a blinded fashion and scored from negative to + + + +. Values reported represent duplicate readings over time for each individual (sera samples are repeated in separate assays to confirm positivity). In an identical manner ICA negative monozygotic twins and HLA identical siblings have been evaluated over time and have been ICA negative on multiple readings (data not shown).

Intravenous glucose tolerance test

Intravenous glucose tolerance was tested by infusing dextrose 0.5 g per kg of body weight to a 20 to 25% solution over a period of 2 to 4 min. Blood samples were collected before (0 min) and 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min after the end of the rapid intravenous infusion and were assayed for glucose with a Beckman glucose analyzer and reported as plasma glucose in mg/100 ml (to convert to mmol/l multiply by 0.056) and insulin by a standardized and quality controlled double antibody radioimmunoassay.

The sum of 1 + 3 min insulin values were used as an index of the early phase insulin response, and the results were expressed as a percentile of the response in 225 non-obese normal individuals with no family history of diabetes (age range 8 to 77 years). The normal individuals included Joslin Diabetes Center researchers and relatives. All IVGTTs were performed after a 10 h overnight fast on outpatients who were instructed to consume at least 200 g of carbohydrate per day for three days prior to testing. The 1st percentile of insulin release of these normal subjects expressed as the sum of $1 + 3 \text{ min insulin is } 48 \,\mu\text{U/ml}$ (3rd percentile = 56 μ U, 5th percentile = 64 μ U, 10th percentile = 81 μ U and 50th percentile = $162 \,\mu\text{U/ml}$). Percentiles for $1 + 3 \min$ insulin subtracting twice the fasting (basal) insulin in the same control population is: 1st percentile = $24 \mu U$, 3rd percentile = $33 \mu U$, 5th percentile = $43 \mu U$, 10th percentile = $55 \mu \hat{U}$ and 50th percentile = $137 \mu U$. Glucose disposal on IVGTT was expressed as the K-rate. K-rate percentiles in our 225 normal control subjects are 50th = 2.27, 10th = 1.41, 5th = 1.24, 3rd = 1.18, 1st = 1.07% per min disappearance.

Oral glucose tolerance test

Prior to 1987 this test was performed with a 1 g per pound up to 100 g of oral glucose for children, and adults received 100 g of oral glucose. Subsequently, children receive 1.75 g/kg of ideal body weight of oral glucose and adults 75 g.

Results

Eighteen of the 35 ICA positive relatives have progressed to overt diabetes (Table 1). Fifteen of the 18 who have progressed to diabetes had $1 + 3 \min$ IVGTT insulin response on one or more tests below the 1st percentile. One individual (patient 13, Table 2) who progressed to overt diabetes without a documented IVGTT ever below the 1st percentile, had his last IVGTT (at the 9th percentile) three years prior to overt diabetes, while of note patients 17 and 18 with normal $1 + 3 \min$ insulin on the last IVGTTs prior to diabetes had their last IVGTT seven months and one year prior to overt diabetes. To date, 15 of

Table 2. Patients who progressed to overt diabetes	i
--	---

Patient No.	Days prior to	ICA	IVGTT insulin			60 min glucose				
	diabetes		1' + 3'	1' + 3' – basal	Fasting glucose	IVGTT	K-rate	HbA _{lc}		
1	536	+								
Age 39 ^c	373	+	37ª	-9^{a}	8.1^{d}	14.2ª	0.8ª			
	$ \begin{array}{c} 262\\ 0 \end{array} $	+	45ª	5ª	8.0ª 12.4	13.2ª	0.9ª			
2	1168	+	54	40	3.9	6.8	1.6	5.3		
Age 8	1077	+	62	44	5.3	7.6	2.7	5.2		
DRw6/DR4	918	+	42ª	26	. 4.5	8.2	1.9	5.1		
	751	+	48ª	36	4.1	7.2	1.4	5.4		
	415	+	41ª	25	4.5	9.1ª	1.0ª	5.2		
	195	+ W	214	1° 1 Oa	5.0° 4.2	11.3"	1.1"	0.3" 5.6		
	155	+ w	348	10^{4}	4.2	9.6	1.5	5.0 6.5ª		
	113	+ + w	36ª	-2^{a}	4.0 6.2ª	12.3ª	1.0 1 0ª	53		
	79	_	21ª	$-\overline{7}^{a}$	6.2ª	12.4ª	0.9ª	6.0		
	44	+	23ª	-7^{a}	8.9ª	16.1ª	0.6ª	7.0ª		
	34 Oral GTT,	impaired (0'	= 6.9; 60' = 14.4;	120' = 18.8) ^b	15 7 ^f					
2		normal						47		
Age 10	127	+	24ª	4ª	4.2	8.3	1.0^{a}	-+./		
DR3/DR4	83 Oral GTT,	impaired (0'	= 7.0; 60' = 14.9	(120' = 13.9)						
	82	1	21ª	_ 9ª	6.7ª	9.6ª	0.9ª	6.2ª		
	0				20.8 ^f					
4	1296	+	46ª	30	5.6ª	5.9	1.9			
Age 19	0				1/./		·			
5 Age 22	2357 Oral GTT,	normal	104	72	4.0	Q 7a	1 1a			
	1988 Oral GTT.	+ w impaired (0' =	= 5.9:60' = 13.4	(120' = 10.5)	4.9	2.1	7.7			
	$1928 + 74 46 5.8^{a} 1.1^{a}$									
	1655 Oral GTT,	impaired (0'	=4.3;60'=12.9	; 120' = 10.6)						
	1533 0	+	41ª	15ª	5.2 11.6		1.1ª			
6	831		25ª	7ª	53	9.5ª	1 ()ª	5.8		
Age 58	737 Oral GTT.	normal			0.0	5.0	110	0.0		
0	469ª	+	14ª	2ª	5.1	10.9 ^a	1.0^{a}	6.5ª		
	448	+	31ª	15ª	5.1	8.7	1.2	5.9		
	410	+	24ª	12ª	4.5	9.4	1.1^{a}	5.1		
	0				13.8 ^f					
7	638	+	37°	17ª	4.7	8.2	1.3	5.4		
Age 15	310		30ª	4ª	4.9ª	10.3	1.1ª	4.8		
DR3/DR4	196 0	+	30ª	8ª	4.9ª 19.6 ^f	10.2	0.8^{a}	5.4		
8	167	+	29ª	15ª	4.9	8.1	1.4			
Age 7	0				51.1 ^f					
9	2878 Oral GTT,	normal								
Age 14	2652	-+-	119	105	4.6	5.8	1.7			
DR4/DRw5	2323 Oral GTT,	normal								
	1994	+	132	92	4.4	6.0	1.9			
	105/ 1278 Oral OTTE	+	120	106	4.2	6.0	2.0			
	15/8 Ural GTT, 816 Oral GTT	1378 Oral G'IT, normal								
	295	normai +	44 ^a	22ª	5 6ª	Q 1a	12			
	197	+	2.3ª	9a	6.3ª	2.1 11.8 ^a	0.8ª			
	0		2.5	,	14.0	11.0	0.0			
10	103	+	29ª	- 11ª	7.3ª	9.8ª	1.3	5.1		
Age 9	0	·			11.3 ^f	2.0	2.00	<i></i>		
11	514	+	111	81	4.6		2.6			
Age 2.6	71	+	26ª	2ª	3.9		1.4	8.8ª		
DR4/DR4	0				13.9 ^f					

Table 2. (Continued)

Patient No.	Days prior to diabetes	ICA	IVGTT insulin			60 min glucose				
			1' + 3'	1' + 3' – basal	Fasting glucose	IVGTT	K-rate	HbA _{1c}		
12	299	+		<u> </u>			·····			
Age 9	257		30°	22ª	4.9	4.1	2.5			
	102		33"	11"	26.8 ^f	10.0-	1.1-			
13	3998	_	226	212	4.9	6.4	1.9			
Age 37	3816		233	207	4.6	6.1	1.9			
DR3/DRX	2808		249	231	5.3	7.1	1.7			
	2450	-	220	190	4./	/.1	1./			
	1/3/	_	155	14Z 51	4.0 1 Q	72	1.7			
	0	Ŧ	15	51	18.4 ^f	,	1			
14	1053	+	30ª	18ª	4.9	9.3ª	1.1ª	5.5		
Age 66	1045 Oral GTT,	normal								
	997	+	44ª	26	4.9	7.8	0.8ª	4.9		
	971	+ .	40°	28	4.6	9.5ª	0.8^{*}	5.4		
	845 Oral GTT,	indeterminat	$e(0^{\circ} = 5.1; 60^{\circ} = 26^{\circ})$	10.7; 120 = 11.2	D) 52	11 08	0.04	6 73		
	222 151	+	20*	20 3ª	5 Qa	11.2 11.7ª	0.9	55		
	0	+	23	5	10.5	11.7	0.0	5.5		
15	1436	+	36ª	16ª	4.5	4.9	2.0	5.1		
Age 25	1412 Oral GTT,	normal								
DR2/DR1	1370	+/-	27ª	11ª	5.2	7.4	1.0ª	<i>с</i> 1		
	1335	+	48ª	24ª	5.3	6.0	1.4	5.1		
	1311		43°	23ª	4.9	8.4	1.2 1 Oa	4.9		
	1206	+	45° 271	29 1 3ª	4.0	0.0 7.2	1.0	4.0		
	$\frac{1100}{1050 \text{ Oral CTT normal}} + \frac{27^{-15}}{1050 \text{ Oral CTT normal}} + \frac{27^{-15}}{1050 \text{ Oral CTT normal}} + \frac{1050 \text{ Oral CTT normal}}{1050 \text{ Oral CTT normal}}$									
	1050 Orat GT1,		<i>A</i> 4ª	26	52	73	1.6			
	1045	+	19ª	 7ª	5.5	7.6	1.4	4.8		
	993	+	98°	74°	4.9	7.7	1.5	5.3		
	965	+	74°	58°	5.1	3.6	2.7	4.6		
	947 Oral GTT	normal								
	925	+	52°	32 ^e	5.3	9.3ª	1.1ª	4.8		
	911	+	56°	36°	5.3	4.7	2.4	5.2		
	771	+	26ª	10^{a}	5.4	8.0	1.3	5.2		
	723 Oral GTT	, normal	(==	350	50	7 4	16			
	702	+	650	335	5.5	7,4	1.0			
	691 Oral GTT	, normal	~ 0e	26	50	7.0	1.4	5.2		
	681	+	50° ∠oe	20° 57°	5.5 4 Q	7.2	1.4	52		
	007 621	+	08 /0°	25°	4.9 5.6ª	9.5	1.0ª	5.0		
	595	+	4) 63°	31°	5.6ª	10.2°	1.0ª	4.9		
	553	+	40°	10 ^e	5.7ª	9.3ª	1.0^{a}	5.7		
	510 Oral GTT, indeterminate $(0' = 5.1; 60' = 11.0; 120' = 11.2)$									
	469		25ª	7ª	6.1ª	11.1ª	0.7ª			
	434	+/-	33ª	15ª	4.9	9.4"	0.9"	4.9		
	413	+	38°	10° 6°	4.0	9.5 10.1ª	1.2 1 0ª	4.9 5 1		
	393	+	50 1Q ²	1 ^a	5.3	9.4ª	1.3	5.3		
	344	+ ••	19ª	5ª	5.5	9.3ª	1.2	5.7		
	337	$+\mathbf{w}$	2 7 ª	9ª	4.9	8.3	1.2	5.1		
	300	+	22°	O^{a}	5.7ª	9.9ª	1.2	5.3		
	265	+	18ª	- 2ª	6.1ª	10.7ª	1.1ª	- -		
	248	+ w	22ª	- 4ª	6.2ª	10.8ª	1.0*	5.6		
	204	+	22ª	0^{a}	5.8"	11.4°	0.9"	4.3		
	166 Oral GT1 0	, diabetic (U =	= 0.0; 00 = 13.0; .	120 = 14.4	13.4					
16	806	+	31ª	21ª	4.5	6.9	1.3	5.2		
Age 8	701	+	22ª	6 ^a	4.4	6.0	1.6	5.5		
	683 Oral GTT	, normal								
	638	+	38ª	30	4.5	4.5	2.6	5 1		
	631	+	16ª	10 ^a	4.2	5 1	2.2	5.1		
	603	+	24ª	$1Z^{a}$	4.3	3.1	2.2	J.U		

Table 2. (Continued)

Patient No.	Days prior to	ICA	IVGTT in	nsulin		60 min glucose		
	diabetes		1'+3'	1' + 3' – basal	Fasting glucose	IVGTT	K-rate	HbA _{1c}
	554	+	24ª	12ª	4.2	6.5	1.5	5.2
	526	+	33ª	21ª	3.8	5.8	1.6	5.0
	498	+	37ª	23ª	4.3	5.1	1.9	5.1
	456	$+\mathbf{w}$	33ª	19ª	4.9	7,7	1.2	5.4
	428	+	29ª	15ª	4.8	7.8	1.1^{a}	5.4
	386	+	33ª	21ª	3.9			
	344	+ w	24ª	12ª	4.2	7.1	1.3	5.6
	309	+ w	22ª	2ª	4.5	7.6	1.3	
	276	$+\mathbf{w}$	24ª	4ª	5.1	6.9	1.4	
	225	+	15ª	1ª	4.2	6.5	1.4	5.2
	158 Oral GTT,	indeterminat	e(0' = 5.5; 60' =	10.3; 120' = 13.7	')°			
	134	+	15ª	1ª	5.1	9.1ª	0.9^{a}	
	78 Oral GTT,	indeterminat	e(0' = 4.9; 60' =	8.2; 120' = 10.0)			015	
	22	+	19ª	- 3ª	6.3ª	10.0^{a}	0.8ª	
	0				27.3 ^f			
17	999	+	100	80	4.1	5.2	1.7	5.5
Age 5	815	+	143	115	4.5	4.0	2.5	4.9
DR3/DR4	449	+	80	50	4.9	6.5	1.6	6.0
	237 Oral GTT,	impaired (0'	=4.8;60'=14.0;	120' = 9.7)				
	223	+	90	56	5.6	7.8	1.5	5.2
	89 Oral GTT,	impaired (0'	= 6.9; 60' = 14.1;	120' = 10.9)				
	0	• `		,	9.1			
18	1236	+	215	173	4.8	4.7	2.9	4.6
Age 11	852	+			4.2			
	727	+	145	119	4.4	4.2	2.6	5.2
	365	+	177	135	4.5	3.5	3.0	5.0
	189	+ OGTT 1	normal $(0' = 5.0;$	60' = 6.8; 120' =	7.1)			0.0
	0		· · · · ·	,	16.6			

* $1 + 3 \text{ min insulin} < 48 \,\mu\text{U}$, or $1 + 3 \text{ min insulin} - 2 \times \text{basal} < 25 \,\mu\text{U}$, or fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l, or 60 min glucose > 9.0 mmol/l, or K-rate < 1.2, or HbA_{1c} > 6.0

^b Glucose in mmol/l given for OGTT at 0, 60, and 120 min

° Age at initial encounter

^d These fasting glucose values were not analysed initially and thus diagnosis of diabetes was made almost one year later with overt diabetes

Oral prednisone

f Random glucose

the 17 (88%) relatives having an IVGTT below the 1st percentile progressed to overt diabetes while only 3 of 18 (17%) without detection of such a loss of IVGTT response progressed to diabetes. Amongst ICA positive relatives with an average 1,300 days of follow-up from the 1st encounter, the relative risk of progressing to overt diabetes with an IVGTT ever below the 1st percentile in contrast to IVGTTs always greater than the 1st percentile is 38 (p < 0.001, Fisher's Exact Test), giving a positive predictive value of 88%, a negative predictive value of 83%, and a false negative rate of 17% (Table 1). If only the results of the initial IVGTT are utilized to categorize patients (IVGTTs greater than or less than 1st percentile), then of those below the 1st percentile at initial IVGTT 11 of 11 have become diabetic, and of those greater than the 1st percentile at initial IVGTT 7 of 24 became diabetic, giving a positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 71%.

Analysing incidence rates for diabetes development with IVGTTs below or above the 1st percentile, the incidence of diabetes developing during follow-up when a patient has had an IVGTT below the 1st percentile is 0.48 per patient year of follow-up and 0.05 per year during follow-up with the last IVGTT above the 1st percentile (\times^2 , p < 0.005, Table 1).

Figure 1 presents life table analysis of progression to overt diabetes from the date of initial encounter. Life table analysis is given for all patients (middle line) and for those with initial IVGTT greater than or less than the 1st percentile. The more rapid progression to overt diabetes of those with loss of 1st phase insulin secretion at initial IVGTT is readily apparent (Wilcoxon Test for comparing life tables, p < 0.001, >1st percentile vs < 1st percentile). At 4 years of follow-up, life table predicted survival nondiabetic is $58.3 \pm 9.7\%$ for all relatives (± 1 SD), $9.1 \pm 17.8\%$ for those below the 1st percentile and $83.1 \pm 10.3\%$ for those initially greater than the 1st percentile. From the life table analysis (Fig.1) and the data presented in Table 3, it is evident that the follow-up of many individuals with IVGTT response greater than the 1st percentile exceeds the time from initial evaluation to overt diabetes for the majority of currently diabetic individuals. In pre-diabetic patients once the IVGTT reached the 1st percentile there was little variability [none reaching the 1st percentile $(1 + 3 \min \text{ insulin} = 48 \,\mu\text{U/ml})$ had a subsequent IVGTT with 1 + 3 min insulin exceeding



Fig. 1. Life table analysis of the development of overt diabetes for ICA positive relatives without their initial IVGTT 1 + 3 min insulin less than the 1st percentile (upper line) in contrast to ICA positive relatives initial 1 + 3 min insulin on IVGTT less than the 1st percentile (48 μ U/ml) (lower line). Middle line is life table analysis for all patients combined. Elapsed days (x-axis) is from initial encounter with each patient



Fig.2. Correlation of iv glucose stimulated 1st phase insulin secretion vs days to overt diabetes. All insulin responses below the 1st percentile of our relatives are plotted subtracting basal insulin, for IVGTT's total (not subtracting basal) where the 1 + 3 min insulin was less than the 1st percentile (48 μ U/ml). Because 2* basal insulin is subtracted the highest x-axis value is 30 μ U/ml corresponding in this patient to a 1 + 3 min insulin of 46 μ U/ml

98 μ U/ml] (Table 2). The mean time to development of overt diabetes from the initial IVGTT found to be below the 1st percentile was 656 days with a range of 71 to 1,543 days. Table 3 gives sequential 1+3 min IVGTT values for the ICA positive relatives who have not progressed to overt diabetes, the 1st two of whom have insulin secretion below the 1st percentile (patients 19 and 20, Table 3).

In contrast to 1+3 min insulin, other parameters (Tables 2 and 3) on the IVGTTs gave lower relative risks (RR) for development of diabetes (e.g., fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l, RR = 3.8; K-rate < 1.2, RR = 3.5; glucose at 60 mins > 9.0 mmol/l; RR = 4.0). The false negative rate for fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l was 39% (7 of 18 had glucose \leq 5.6 mmol/l on all IVGTT's prior to diabetes), 38% for K-rate < 1.2 and 35% for glucose at

60 min on IVGTT > 9.0 mmol/l. By National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG) criteria the diagnosis of diabetes on an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) in children requires fasting glucose greater than 7.8 mmol/l and therefore patients 2 and 3 (Table 2) had impaired glucose tolerance rather than diabetes 34 and 83 days respectively prior to the diagnosis of overt diabetes. Patients 6, 14, 15 and 16 (Table 2) were documented as having normal OGTT after 1st phase insulin secretion was found to be less than the 1st percentile. It is of interest that four adult patients had K-rates of 0.9 to 1.1 at a time their 1st phase insulin secretion exceeded the 1st percentile (patient 5, age 22; patient 19, age 33; patient 20, age 25 and patient 25, age 52). Four of these four also had indeterminate OGTTs by NDDG criteria. For three of the patients, glucose stimulated insulin release above basal $(1 + 3 \min in)$ sulin $-2 \times$ fasting insulin) was below the 5th percentile of normal subjects but for patient 5, IVGTT insulin release was approximately the 20th percentile.

As illustrated in Figure 2 the value of glucose stimulated insulin secretion, plotted for values below the 1st percentile of relatives who have progressed to diabetes correlates with the time to overt diabetes (r = 0.586, p < 0.001). In this figure the basal insulin has been subtracted from the 1 + 3 min insulin (1 + 3 min insulin – $2 \times$ fasting insulin) to reflect only glucose stimulated insulin secretion.

Discussion

In our ICA positive relatives, we find loss of IVGTT insulin response aids in assessing risk of progression to overt diabetes. In ICA positive relatives with 1st phase insulin secretion ever below the 1st percentile the relative risk (odds ratio) of progressing to overt diabetes is with approximately 4 years of follow-up 38 [positive predictive value 88%, negative predictive value 83%, overall accuracy 86%, false negatives 17% (3 of 18) and false positives 12% with current duration of follow-up]. Fasting glucose, glucose at 60 min on IVGTT and K-rate on IVGTT are poorer predictors of progression to overt diabetes primarily due to higher false negative rates. (False negatives: Krate < 1.2 = 45%, fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l = 39%, and 60 min IVGTT glucose > 9.0 mmol/l = 35%). In part the higher false negative rate for glucose on IVGTT compared to 1 + 3 min insulin probably reflects the temporally later development of glucose abnormalities as contrasted with IVGTT insulin below the 1st percentile. If studies are performed frequently enough it is likely that elevations in glucose will more often be detected prior to overt diabetes.

The profound loss of insulin secretion on IVGTT can precede overt diabetes by years and in the current study preceded overt diabetes by an average of 656 days. This average almost certainly underestimates the mean length of time that loss of 1st phase secretion precedes diabetes in that the initial IVGTT, at the time islet cell antibodies were discovered, was below the 1st percentile of 11 of the 15 currently diabetic patients in whom IVGTT less than the 1st percentile was documented. It is noteworthy that

P. Vardi et al.: IVGTT in ICA + relatives

Table 3. Patients non-diabetic^e at last follow-up

Patient No.	Days from ini-	ICA	IVGTT insulin			60 min glucose			
	tial encounter		1' + 3'	1' + 3' – basal	Fasting glucose	IVGTT	K-rate	HbA _{lc}	
19	92 Oral GT	T, normal							
Age 33°	407 440 Quel CT	+ T :- 1-t	55	39	5.1	9.3ª	0.9 ^a	5.4	
DIA DIA	440 Oral G1 476	1, indeterminate ($0 \approx 88; 00 = 177;$ 35 ^a	$120 = 100)^{\circ}$	5 Qa	0.2ª	0.68	5.0	
	496	+ + w	50	32	53	9.5	0.0 1 0ª	5.0	
	685 Oral GT	T. indeterminate (0' = 5.1; 60' = 8.6;	120' = 7.9	5.5	0.5	1.0	5.4	
	881	+	43 ^a	37	6.0	8.7	1.0^{a}	4.9	
	986 Oral GT	T, normal							
	1085 Oral GT	T, normal							
	1175	+	419	2.53	4.9	0.73	1 03	5.8	
	1588	+	41-	23*	5.4 5.6	9.7	1.0"	5.2	
	1750	- -	42 ^a	2.4 ^a	5.4	81	13	4.4	
	1911		78	66	5.6	0.2	110	115	
	2138	-			4.8			4.6	
<u></u>	2508	+							
20	83	+	78	50	5.1	8.3	1.2	4.5	
Age 25	140	+	63	43	4.4	8.9 ^a	1.1 ^a	4.7	
	195	+	56 06 ^b	34 70 ^d	4.9	10.1^{a}	1.2 1.0ª	5.2	
	244	+	54	36	4.7	9.5 9.4 ^a	1.0 0.9ª	4.5 4.5	
	356	+	57	43	4.5	5.7	1.6	5.1	
	490	+	54	36	4.3	8.0	1.1^{a}	4.9	
	532	+	120 ^b	94 ^d	4.1	8.5	1.1 ^a	4.4	
	553	+	69°	55°	4.3	8.6	1.1	4.5	
	595	+	59	55 55	4.5	7.0 0.7ª	1.5 0.0 ^a	4.8	
	679 Oral GT	T. indeterminate (0' = 4.7; 60' = 10.4	120' = 10.4	4.5	2.1	0.9	7.9	
	714	+	66	48	4.7	10.3ª	0.8 ^a	4.6	
	763	+	63	37	4.9			4.5	
	945	+	43 ^a	27	4.7	8.9 ^a	1.1 ^a	5.5	
	1252	+	1 7a	25	4.0			5.1	
	1432 Oral GTT indeterminate $(0' - 4.6; 60' - 9.5; 120' - 8.8)$								
	1510	+	79	51	4.1				
	1728	+	34 ^a	14 ^a	5.2			5.4	
Diabetes	1946	+			8.2ª			7. 8 ^a	
21	0	+	150	128	4.2	4.0	2.2	5.0	
Age 8	13 Oral GT	T, normal							
DR4/DR4	110	+	207	179	4.5	3.6	4.4	5.6	
	278	+	234	200	4.0	3.6	4.1	5.2	
	598	+	216	128	4.2	3.1 4.0	2.5	5.2 4 7	
	825	+	148	124	4.3	4.7	2.5	5.2	
	1187	+	244	198	4.5	4.5	1.9	5.2	
	1372	+	161	121	4.6	5.1	2.2	5.0	
	158/ Oral GT	l, normal	154	110	17	<i>.</i> ,			
	1928	+	134 204	112	4.7	5.4	2.3		
	2027	+ Oral GTT. nc	ermal	152	4.5				
	2138	+	57	29	5.6				
	2254	+	100	64	4.9	7.8	1.3		
	2355	+	65	45	4.9		2.0		
		+			5.3				
22	0	+ W	05	60					
Age 22 DP7/DPw6	1041	+ W	95 152	69	4.6	6.1	1.7	6.0	
22			132	144				5.0	
23 A ao 13	31 660	+	124	114	4.6	3.1	4.4	5.1	
* *5° *3	802 Oral GT	T. normal	105	1 - 1-1	4.7	3.3	3.8	4.0	
	977	+	180	172	4.7				
	1138	+	163	155	4.7			4.4	
	1649	+	207	195	4.9	4.0	3.3	4.8	
24	62	+ w	142	114	5.6 ^a	6.1	2.1	······································	
Age 14	214		157	125	4.9	3.8	4.5	5.0	
	523 Oral GT	l, normal							
25	0	+	76	38	5.3	8.6	1.0 ^a	5.1	
Age 52	118	+	113	79 22ª	5.0	5.7	1.9	5.7	
	310	- + w	70 99	∠∠- 53	5.U 4.9	5.9 7 0	1.4 1.0 ^a	5.5 5.6	
	483	+	66	26	5.7ª	9.4ª	1.0 ^a	5.3	

Patient No.	Days from i	ni- ICA	IVGTT in:	IVGTT insulin			60 min glucose		
	tial encount	er	1' + 3'	1' + 3' – basal	Fasting glucose	IVGTT	K-rate	HbA _{1c}	
	672 Oral G	TT. indetermina	ate $(0' = 5.3; 60')$	= 10.6; 120' = 11.5)				
	1085		79	27	5.5	9.6^{a}	1.1^{a}	5.8	
	1303	-	123	13ª	5.7 ^a	8.1	1.4		
26	56	+	174	148	5.3ª	13.7ª	0.9 ^a		
Age 30	1157	+	91	61	6.3 ^a		0.9 ^a		
	1423 Oral G	TT, diabetic (0'	= 123; 60' = 13.9	; 120′ = 11.4)					
27	0	+ W							
Age 47	105	_	105	83					
	644	_	108	80	6.3ª	10.7 ^a	0.72^{a}		
	1022	-	226	180	4.8	7.8	1.3		
28	0	+							
Age 8	29	+	88	72	4.9	5.7	2.1	5.1	
DR4/DR3	568	+	71	59	4.9	3.8	3.2	4.5	
	1114	+	50	42	D.1 5 08			4.8	
	1430	+ + Oral GT	01 T. normal	35	5.8"			4.9	
29 A eo 11	0	+	104	00	5.6				
Age 11	43	+	104 61	88 61	5.0 47				
	207 374	+	60	48	4.7				
	586	+	120	10					
	768	k.	108	94					
	1146	+	129	113	4.9				
	1298	+	42 ^a	28	5.7 ^a				
	1321	+	38ª	24 ^a	5.2				
	1323	+ Oral GT	Γ, normal		5.1				
	1342	+	38ª	24 ^a	5.0				
30	21	+	139	127	4.9	6.6	2.0	6.1ª	
Age 34	494	+	235	215	4.6	5.8	2.5	5.8	
	823 Oral G	TT, normal	251	007	4.0				
	1062		251	237	4.9			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
31	0	+							
Age 50 ^c	14	+	161	137	4.9	5.2	2.0	5.5	
DR2/DR1	31 Oral G	TT, normal	177	150	5.0			6.0	
	139		1//	153	5.2	6.1 5.5	2.3	6.U	
	528 1744	+	112	98 138	5.4	5.5	1.7	0.1	
	1/++			150			1000 ⁷¹		
32	0	+	86	62	5.3	6.5	1.8	6.0	
Age 39	319 830	+	235 71	181	5.0	4.5	4.4	5.2 4.9	
33	0	+	184	154	5.0	5.9	1.5	4.2	
Age IU	/ Oral G	T1, normal	245	207	4.0	10	2.1	4.1	
	145 318	+/-	243	207	4.9	4.0	2.1	4.1	
	715	+	154	140	4.4	7.3	1.7	1.7	
	988	+	384	322	4.9	5.9	2.1		
	1325	+	494	412	5.2	4.5	3.0		
	1809	+	282	280	5.1		2.0		
34	109	+	101	81	4.6		1.4		
Age 8	229		65	53	5.5		2.1		
-	369	+	56	44	5.4		1.6		
	1293	+	133	109	4.7	8.1			
Diabetes	1650				16.5 f				
35	0	+				_			
Age 40	56	+	203	181	4.7	7.0	2.2	6.0	
	287	-	194	174	4.2		2.4	5.8	
	1549	-	227	211	4.2				

Table 3. (Continued)

^a 1 + 3 min insulin < 48 μ U/ml, or 1 + 3 min - 2 × basal insulin < 25 μ U/ml, or fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l, or glucose 60 min IVGTT > 9.0 mmol/l, or K-rate < 1.2, or HbA_{1c} > 6.0 ^b Glucose in mmol/l given for OGTT at 0, 60, and 120 min

^c Age at initial encounter
 ^d Oral prednisone
 ^e Patients 20, 26, and 34 developed diabetes after manuscript submission and analysis

f random glucose

the absolute insulin secretion even below the 1st percentile correlates with the time to development of overt diabetes (Fig. 2). Insulin secretion can vary both with puberty, insulin resistance and marked obesity [12]. It is also important to note that loss of 1st phase insulin secretion is not an abnormality specific to Type 1 diabetes but occurs with Type 2 diabetes [13] and following partial pancreatectomy [14].

The current analysis of predictive value of IVGTT response in ICA positive relatives was performed with an average of approximately 1,300 days of follow-up. We have observed a relative (patient 9, Table 2) in whom 1st phase insulin secretion was lost after 1,300 days of follow-up (2,583 days from initial encounter) and who then progressed to diabetes. It is likely that with longer follow-up, more of the relatives currently above the 1st percentile will lose 1st phase secretion and progress to diabetes. With our current serial IVGTT we hope not to miss such conversion prior to overt diabetes as we probably did in the one relative (patient 13, Table 2) whose last IVGTT was at the ninth percentile 3 years prior to overt diabetes. Nevertheless, as patients 17 and 18 illustrate, IVGTT's at 3 month intervals may be required in a subset of children. These two children are important exceptions to documented loss of IVGTT prior to diabetes having 1 + 3 min insulin response above the 1st percentile 7 months and 1 year prior to diabetes. Of the two currently non-overtly diabetic ICA positive relatives whose 1st phase insulin secretion is now below the 1st percentile only one (patient 19, Table 3) at the latest follow-up has normal oral glucose tolerance by NDDG criteria.

Analysis of the predictive value of the IVGTT response below the 1st percentile should not be extended to ICA negative "non-relatives" or even ICA positive nonrelatives. There is little long-term data concerning ICA-"normal" individuals with 1+3 min insulin secretion below the 1st percentile. By definition 1% of normal individuals are at or below the 1st percentile. To date we have followed one such normal individual (without a family history of diabetes) for 21 years. Although his 1st IVGTT was below the 1st percentile (subsequent IVGTT's between the 2nd and 5th percentiles) he has not developed diabetes or glucose intolerance and is currently age 60. In the normal individuals we have followed, ICA negative identical twins of Type 1 diabetic patients [15], and ICA positive relatives with 1st phase insulin secretion above the 5th percentile (Table 3) there is variation of $1 + 3 \min$ insulin within the normal range.

Recent analysis of the Barts-Windsor study indicates that of the two ICA assays employed in this study, a complement fixing ICA assay is highly predictive of the development of overt Type 1 diabetes [1]. In this same study [1], relatives ICA positive utilizing a fluorescein conjugated anti-antibody but negative by complement fixing ICA had a low risk of developing diabetes (1 of 33; not substantially different from ICA negative siblings). In an ICA workshop, the FITC-protein A ICA assay we employ [11] gave similar sensitivity in terms of dilution of sera assayed as positive as the Barts-Windsor complement fixing ICA assay (significantly lower titres positive

when compared to most anti-IgG assays) [11]. A similar comparison of our FITC-protein A assay with the ICA assay utilized in the past in Gainesville (even though Gainesville employs a fluorescein conjugated anti-antibody) indicates that the two assays had a similar assay sensitivity [3, 11]. These three functionally similar assays [Joslin: protein A, Barts-Windsor: complement fixing ICA, Gainesville: FITC anti-IgG (>40 JDF units)] identify antibody positive relatives with a risk of approximately 8% per year of progressing to overt diabetes with eventual total risk beyond 6 years not known [1, 3, 6]. It is important to note that the current study has utilized an ICA assay with a high cutoff (>40 JDF units) and high specificity (<1 of 400 control subjects ICA positive) and the predictive values apply to relatives with a high titre of ICA. Since relatives are informed concerning their ICA results we have only used such assay formats. The predictive value of lower titres of ICA (<40 JDF units) where antibody positivity appears to fluctuate was not evaluated in this study. The current study evaluated ICA in terms of negatives and positives and thus fluctuation in titre would not have been detected.

Our findings concerning the predictive value of intravenous glucose tolerance testing in ICA positive relatives are in general agreement with those of Chase and co-workers [7] with, we believe, longer follow-up allowing us to identify adults as well as ICA positive children progressing to diabetes. In our study abnormalities of glucose tolerance can become evident (elevation in fasting glucose > 5.6 mmol/l, 60 min glucose on IVGTT > 9.0 mmol/l, impaired glucose tolerance on OGTT, HbA_{1c} intermittently > 6.0) prior to overt diabetes. However, when an early enough IVGTT was available, loss of IVGTT insulin response (< 1st percentile) was usually documented as preceding these abnormalities. Our data indicate that a combination of ICA screening and intravenous glucose tolerance testing identifies relatives with a very high risk of progressing to overt diabetes. It is likely that other parameters will be identified to aid in predicting when an ICA positive 1st degree relative will develop overt diabetes. In particular we have preliminary evidence that measurement of insulin autoantibodies in combination with IVGTT may aid risk assignment and has led to a "dual parameter" linear-regression model to predict diabetes onset [16]. The ability to accurately predict Type 1 diabetes should facilitate development of therapies to prevent this disorder.

Acknowledgements. Additional co-authors are Drs. R. Dumont Herskowitz, J. I. Wolfsdorf, D. Einhorn, L. Linarelli, R. Dolinar, S. Wentworth, S. J. Brink, H. Starkman, J. S. Soeldner and G. S. Eisenbarth. We gratefully acknowledge the technical support of Ms. M. Tautkus, A. Rabizadeh and M. Grinbergs, the skilled nursing of Ms. T. M. Smith, R. N. and the secretarial assistance of Mrs. P. Cronin-Sevigny. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01-DK32083, DK33790, DK 39233), Massachusetts Lions Eye Research Fund and Diabetes Endocrine Research Center Grant (1P30-DK36837) with core facilities. CRC CLINFO (Brigham and Women's Hospital) was used for data storage and analysis. Dr. Vardi was supported by the Adler Foundation. We thank Dr. J. Warram for advice concerning statistical analysis.

References

- Gale EAM, Bottazzo GF (1986) Can we predict Type I (insulindependent) diabetes? In: Krall L (ed) World book of diabetes in practice. Elsevier, The Netherlands, pp 25–29
- Srikanta S, Ganda OP, Jackson RA, Gleason RE, Kaldany A, Garovoy MR, Milford EL, Carpenter CB, Soeldner JS, Eisenbarth GS (1983) Type I diabetes mellitus in monozygotic twins: chronic progressive beta cell dysfunction. Ann Int Med 99: 320– 326
- 3. Riley W, Maclaren N (1984) Islet cell antibodies are seldom transient. Lancet I: 1351–1352
- Srikanta S, Ganda OP, Jackson RA, Brink SJ, Fleischnick E, Yunis E, Alper C, Soeldner JS, Eisenbarth GS (1984) Pre-Type I diabetes: common endocrinologic course despite immunologic and immunogenetic heterogeneity. Diabetologia 27: 146–149
- Eisenbarth GS, Fleischnick E, Ganda OP, Srikanta S, Jackson RA, Brink SJ, Soeldner JS, Yunis E, Alper C (1985) Progressive autoimmune beta cell insufficiency: occurrence in the absence of high risk HLA alleles DR3, DR4. Diab Care 8: 477–480
- Srikanta S, Ganda OP, Soeldner JS, Eisenbarth GS (1985) First degree relatives of patients with Type I diabetes mellitus: islet cell antibodies and abnormal insulin secretion. N Engl J Med 313: 461–464
- Chase HP, Vass MA, Butler-Simon N, Hoops S, O'Brien D, Dobersen MJ (1987) Diagnosis of pre-Type I diabetes. J Pediatr 111: 807–812
- Riley W, Maclaren NK, Spillar R, Krischer J, Silverstein J, Malone J, Schwartz S, MacMillam D, Rotter J (1988) Predictive value of ICA for IDD and insulopenia to IV glucose. Diabetes [Woods Hole Suppl]: 20A
- Bottazzo GF, Pujol-Borrell R, Gale EAM (1987) Autoimmunity and Type I diabetes: bringing the story up to date. In: Alberti K, Krall L (eds) The Diabetes Annual 3. Elsevier, The Netherlands, pp 15–38

- Srikanta S, Rabizadeh A, Omar MAK, Eisenbarth GS (1985) Assay for islet cell antibodies: protein A – monoclonal antibody method. Diabetes 34: 300–305
- Boitard CH, Bonifacio E, Bottazzo GF, Gleichmann H, Molenaar J (1988) Immunology and Diabetes Workshop: Report of the Third International (Stage 3) Workshop on the Standardization of Cytoplasmic Islet Cell Antibodies. Diabetologia 31: 451–452
- 12. Smith CP, Williams AJK, Thomas JM, Archibald HR, Algar VD, Bottazzo GF, Gale EAM, Savage MO (1988) The pattern of basal and stimulated insulin responses to intravenous glucose in first degree relatives of Type 1 (insulin dependent) diabetic children and unrelated adults aged 5 to 50 years. Diabetologia 31: 430–434
- Seltzer HS, Allen EW, Herron AL, Brennan MT (1967) Insulin secretion response to glycemic stimulus: relations of delayed initial release to carbohydrate intolerance in mild diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest 46: 323
- Weir GC, Clore ET, Zmachinski CJ, Bonner-Weir S (1981) Islet secretion in a new experimental model for non-insulin dependent diabetes. Diabetes 30: 590–595
- 15. Srikanta S, Ganda OP, Gleason RE, Jackson RA, Soeldner JS, Eisenbarth GS (1984) Pre-Type I diabetes: linear loss of beta cell response to intravenous glucose. Diabetes 33: 717–720
- Jackson RA, Soeldner JS, Eisenbarth GS (1989) Testing the dual parameter model for predicting time to diabetes. Clin Res 37: 57/A

Received: 9 May 1990

G. S. Eisenbarth, M. D., Ph. D. Joslin Diabetes Center One Joslin Place Boston, MA 02215 USA