

Erratum

A bivariate response surface for growth data

K.G. Dodds, A.G. Sinclair & J.D. Morrison

AgResearch, Invermay Agricultural Centre, Private Bag 50034, Mosgiel, New Zealand

Fertilizer Research Volume 45, no. 2, pp. 117–122.

In the original article two errors were inadvertently included.

In the 'Interpretation of parameters' section the first note concerning the bivariate Mitscherlich-related response surface has $-\alpha(1 + \beta)(1 + \delta)$ as the zero intercept. The minus sign is erroneous. The note should read:

- $\alpha(1 + \beta)(1 + \delta)$ is the zero intercept, i.e. the value of the surface (the "yield") when both x and y are zero.

Figures 1 and 2 were erroneously interchanged. They are printed again below.




Figure 1 Actual yields and yields predicted from fitting the bivariate Mitscherlich-like model (grid lines) for example 1. Vertical lines connect actual yields with predicted yields. Actual yields greater than predicted (above the grid) are shown darker (◆) than those less than predicted (◇).




Figure 2. Actual S:P ratios and S:P ratios predicted from fitting the bivariate Mitscherlich-like model (grid lines) for example 2. Vertical lines connect actual S:P ratios with predicted S:P ratios. Actual S:P ratios greater than predicted (above the grid) are shown darker (◆) than those less than predicted (◇).