

Prophylactic aluminium hydroxide and hyperaluminemia in intensive care

K. Mackway-Jones, R. Cove-Smith and P. Lawler

Intensive Therapy Unit and Renal Unit, South Cleveland Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK

Received: 24 March 1986; accepted: 28 June 1986

Abstract. Prophylactic antacid therapy is widely used in intensive care units. We show that significant hyperaluminemia may occur during the course of prophylaxis with aluminium hydroxide in patients with compromised renal function. Since a significant proportion of patients in intensive care have, or are at risk of developing, renal failure we suggest that the routine use of aluminium hydroxide should be avoided.

Key words: Aluminium hydroxide – Hyperaluminemia – Gastrointestinal Haemorrhage – Prophylaxis – Renal failure

The need for prophylaxis against gastrointestinal haemorrhage in intensive care units (ICUs) is widely acknowledged. A recent survey of teaching and specialist ICUs in the United Kingdom has shown that 73% use prophylactic regimens. Of these 63% use antacids, either alone or in combination with H₂ receptor antagonists. (TM Bird, unpublished observations). Aluminium hydroxide is the antacid used in a number of these units. Recent reports of hypermagnesaemia during antacid therapy, together with the evidence that aluminium hydroxide used as a phosphate binder is a significant cause of hyperaluminemia and aluminium toxicity in renal failure, prompted us to see whether hyperaluminemia occurred during aluminium hydroxide therapy in this ICU. We report a case in which hyperaluminemia did occur, and discuss the significance of this.

Case report

An 57-year-old man, known to suffer from chronic obstructive airways disease, was admitted to this hos-

pital following an episode of self-poisoning with theophylline. His renal function on admission was mildly abnormal (urea 7.9 mmol·l⁻¹, creatinine 128 μmol·l⁻¹) but there was no evidence of chronic renal disease (Hb 12.6 g·dl⁻¹, inorganic phosphate 1.2 mmol·l⁻¹). He had a grand mal convulsion and was subsequently treated with charcoal haemoperfusion. His pre-treatment theophylline level was 164.8 μg·l⁻¹. Over the next 7 days he gradually improved and was transferred to a psychiatric assessment ward for treatment of his underlying depression. However, whilst on that ward, he developed a chest infection, stopped drinking and developed acute renal failure (urea 39 mmol·l⁻¹, creatinine 1403 μmol·l⁻¹). He was transferred to the ICU because of increasing hypoxia and was commenced an intermittent positive pressure ventilation (IPPV). His renal failure was treated with fluids, urine output being maintained with diuretics. He was commenced on a regimen of aluminium hydroxide 20 ml 4 hourly as prophylaxis against gastrointestinal bleeding.

He stabilised on IPPV and his renal failure slowly resolved with the high fluid throughput (urea 17 mmol·l⁻¹, creatinine 192 μmol·l⁻¹). Problems arose when weaning from the ventilator was attempted and an elective tracheostomy was performed. Despite repeated attempts to wean him from his ventilatory support, no progress was achieved and he died, still attached to the ventilator, some 30 days after admission to the ICU. No cause for his renal failure was established. Specifically, Legionella titres were not raised. At the Coroner's postmortem the kidneys were noted to be swollen, but no histological sections were taken.

Serum aluminium was assayed 3 days before he died and found to be 91 μmol·l⁻¹ (Perkin Ellmer graphite furnace: normal range 5–15 μmol·l⁻¹). Aluminium hydroxide prescribed as above was the on-

ly source of aluminium, apart from 10 units of 4.5% human albumin solution infused during the course of his stay in the ICU.

Discussion

Stress ulceration in critically ill patients is associated with sepsis, trauma, respiratory failure, renal disease, major surgical procedures, burns, head injury and other conditions. The incidence of detectable bleeding has been reported at 100% but bleeding of a life threatening nature may occur in only 5% of those at risk. Antacid prophylaxis has been shown to reduce the risk of haemorrhage [3], and to be more effective than the H₂ receptor antagonist cimetidine used alone [5]. There has been no well designed study to compare the efficacy of antacid, used alone, with a combination of antacid and H₂ receptor antagonist. Such studies as there are suggest that there is little benefit to be gained by the addition of a H₂ receptor antagonist, unless acid-base disturbance complicates antacid therapy.

Against this background many ICUs use antacids for prophylaxis. A high prevalence of side effects is recognised, but most are of a minor nature and do not require a change in therapy.

The relationship between serum aluminium level and aluminium toxicity is controversial. Serum levels are more likely to reflect recent aluminium exposure, rather than total aluminium load [2]. However the 1982 International Workshop on the Role of Biological Monitoring in the Prevention of Aluminium Toxicity in Man [4], concluded that concentrations above 60 µg·l⁻¹ indicated increased aluminium absorption and that efforts should be made to identify the sources and reduce them. Furthermore, concentrations above 100 µg·l⁻¹ were considered to be of potential clinical concern. The level found in our patient is worrying for our local renal unit aims to reduce aluminium levels in the chronic dialysis population to less than 10 µg·l⁻¹, and institutes specific treatment for hyperaluminiumaemia when levels exceed 30 µg·l⁻¹.

In the light of our experience with this case we have abandoned the use of aluminium hydroxide. An attempt to abandon antacids altogether and rely on the H₂ receptor antagonists alone was followed by gastrointestinal haemorrhage in two patients within 2 weeks. One of these haemorrhages was fatal. There

had been no significant gastrointestinal haemorrhages in this ICU during the preceding 18 months, despite a high number of patients at risk. Our present policy is to use the aluminium/magnesium mixture Magaldrate¹, as this reduces the incidence of bowel disturbance, while providing adequate antacid therapy. We maintain gastric pH at more than 5. Serum magnesium is monitored routinely, and serum aluminium is measured in patients with compromised renal function. There have been no further significant episodes of gastrointestinal haemorrhage since the reintroduction of antacid therapy.

Research into other prophylactic regimens has continued and recently sucralfate has been compared favourable with antacids [1]. If the efficacy of sucralfate is confirmed, then the ease of administration and lack of systemic effects will make it more desirable than antacids in routine use.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Dr. TM Bird for giving permission for data from his survey to be quoted prior to publication.

References

1. Borrero E, Margolis IB, Bank S, Shulman N, Chardavoyne R (1984) Antacid versus sucralfate in preventing acute gastrointestinal bleeding. *Am J Surg* 148:809
2. Cundy T, Kanis JA (1983) Serum aluminium measurements in renal bone disease. *Lancet* 1:1168
3. Hastings PR, Skillman JJ, Bushnell LS, Silen W (1978) Antacid titration in the prevention of acute gastrointestinal bleeding. *N Engl J Med* 298:1041
4. International Workshop on the Role of Biological Monitoring in the Prevention of Aluminium Toxicity in Man "aluminium analysis in biological fluids". Luxembourg July 5-7 1982. Memorandum on the summary and conclusions. *J. Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 19:907
5. Priebe HJ, Skillman JJ, Bushnell LS, Long PC, Silen W (1980) Antacid versus cimetidine in preventing acute gastrointestinal bleeding: randomised trial in 75 critically ill patients *N Engl J Med* 302:426

Dr. K. Mackway-Jones
Intensive Therapy Unit
South Cleveland Hospital
Marton Road
Middlesbrough
Cleveland TS3 4BW
UK

¹ Magaldrate Antacid Suspension USP: Galen Ltd, Northern Ireland