

Erratum

The theory of justice

Serge-Christophe Kolm

Paris, France

In: Soc Choice Welfare (1996) 13: 151–182

On page 157 of the above paper the third column in Table 1 was misprinted. The table should read as follows:

Table 1. The allocation of human resources

Capacities	Consumptive	Natural	Natural	Equalize
	Productive	Natural	Equalize	Equalize
Equalizand		Process-freedom	Income, consumption	Satisfaction
Name		Full Process-Liberalism	Equal consumption or income	(Needs or ends) full Justice
For efficiency		<i>Liberal Social Contract</i> (public sector, Kolm 1985)	<i>Efficient Super-Equity</i> (multidimensional maximin, Kolm 1973, 91–94) <i>Fixed-duration Income Equalization</i> (Kolm 1991–93–94)	Basic needs or <i>Practical Justice</i> (leximin in fundamental satisfaction, Kolm 1971)
Irrational formulations		Private Full Process-Liberalism (Locke 1689, 1789, classical “Political Economy”, Nozick 1974)	Equalizand as: (1) Consumption goods (Tobin 1970) (2) Spheres of Justice (Weber, Walzer 1983) (3) Primary goods (Rawls 1971) (4) Resources (Dworkin 1981)	Utilitarianism, “Social Welfare”
Error-inducing omission		Market failures	Either inefficiency of multidimensional equality (1, 2), or exchange capacities (2, 3, 4), or the ethical value of prices (2, 3).	The rationality of equality