CORRIGENDUM

Asa Kasher and Ruth Manor, 'A Note on the Breadth and Depth of Terms', *Theory and Decision* 11 (1979), 71-79.

The suggested formulation of the Law of Inverse Variation contained a typographical error, and should read:

(8) For any terms x and y of the same syntactic category and relevant to each other, i.e. $x \to y$ or $y \to x$, Br $(x) \nsubseteq Br(y)$ iff $Dp(y) \nsubseteq Dp(x)$.

That the formulation should be formulated in terms of " \subseteq " rather than " \subseteq " is clear from the preceding discussion of (6) and (7).

This obviates the apparent counter-example mentioned by David Makinson (Mathematical Reviews, 80m:03015).