Errata

Standardized complex and logarithmic eigensolutions for *n*-material wedges and junctions

STEPHANE S. PAGEAU[1], KESAVARAM S. GADI[2], SHERRILL B. BIGGERS, Jr.[2] and PAUL F. JOSEPH[2]
[1]*Currently Hibbitt, Karlsson and Sorensen, Inc. Pawtucket, Rhode Island 02860, USA*
[2]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, USA*

International Journal of Fracture **77** (1996) 51–76

For an unknown reason the authors did not have an opportunity to review Galley Proofs for this paper. The following necessary corrections should be made:

1. The sentence leading to (2a) should start: The constants A_k, B_k, C_k and D_k are normalized with respect to ....
2. In (11) G_{jk} should be changed to G_{ik}.
3. Equation (38) should be

$$\sigma_{ijk}(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{1-\beta}}\left[K_I\left\{E'_{ijk}(\theta)\cos(\epsilon\ln(r)) - F'_{ijk}(\theta)\sin(\epsilon\ln(r))\right\}\right.$$
$$\left. -K_{II}\left\{E'_{ijk}(\theta)\sin(\epsilon\ln(r)) + F'_{ijk}(\theta)\cos(\epsilon\ln(r))\right\}\right]. \qquad (38)$$

4. In (54b), (54c), and (54d) the term $+2\delta_k\sin(\theta)$ ... should be $-2\delta_k\sin(\theta)$ ....
5. On page 68 in the second paragraph, $b = +0.5$ should be $\beta = +0.5$.
6. The constant B_2 in Table 1 should equal $-iQ_1$.
7. On page 70 between (63) and (64), ... for all q ... should be ... for all θ ....
8. The second line of (66) should be preceeded by a negative sign as in the corrected version of (38) above.
9. On page 74 near the end of the second paragraph, $t_{r\theta}(r,0)$ should be $\tau_{r\theta}(r,0)$ and $\theta = 0.0125$ should be $\omega = 0.0125$.
10. Reference [19] should read: K.S. Gadi, P.F. Joseph, N. Zhang and A.C. Kaya, 'Non-separable Logarithmic Eigensolutions for N-material Wedges and Junctions', submitted for publication, January 1996.
11. With regard to the general development on pages 70 and 71, the authors should have made reference to: T.C.T. Ting, 'The Wedge Subjected to Tractions: A Paradox Re-examined', *Journal of Elasticity* 14 (1984) 235–247. In this paper Professor Ting examines the special case of $\omega = 0$ and provides a solution near the critical point. Other important references are given in this paper for the $\omega = 2$ case. The authors were not aware of this work when the final version of the paper was submitted.