

QUEST: Querying Complex Information by Direct Manipulation

Marcus Nitsche and Andreas Nürnberger

Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany
{marcus.nitsche, andreas.nuernberger}@ovgu.de

Abstract. When users search for information in domains they are not familiar with, they usually struggle to formulate an adequate (textual) query. Often users end up with repeating re-formulations and query refinements without necessarily achieving their actual goals. In this paper we propose a user interface that is capable to offer users flexible and ergonomic interaction elements to formulate even complex queries in a simple and direct way. We call this concept *QUEST* (Query User Interface for Exploratory Search Tasks). The proposed radial user interface supports phrasing and interactive visual refinement of vague queries to search and explore large document sets. The main idea of this concept is to provide an integrated view of queries and related results, where both - queries and results - can be interactively manipulated and influence each other. Changes will be immediately visualized. The concept was implemented on a tablet computer and the usability was stepwise evaluated during a formative and a summative evaluation process. The results reveal high usability ratings, even if the concept was completely unknown to our test users.

1 Motivation

When users try to handle complex information needs they often end up in conducting exploratory searches [11]. One of the main characteristics of exploratory searches is that users often do not know how to formulate their information need and that they are unfamiliar with the domain they search in [18]. Thereby learning and exploring aspects will be covered as well [18,11]. This concept of interactive visual filtering of relevant information in a more natural way that enables data processing in cases, where standard algorithms can not be applied since these algorithms might filter out relevant data.

In this work we like to tackle the problem of formulating appropriate queries by offering dynamic user interface (UI) elements that enable users to manipulate directly the UI elements by touch gestures. We introduced the concept of this paper back in 2011 [15], where we described the basic idea and did some pre-studies with a digital mock-up prototype. In [16] we first introduced a running implementation and a more detailed user study towards this concept. In this paper the latest results of conducted user studies the platform independent HTML 5 re-implementation of the proposed concept and newly designed UI elements are presented.

2 State-of-the-Art

Interactive filtering search user interfaces (SUIs) are not new: The VIBE-system [10,17] also supports users in finding relevant information using magnets to attract relevant documents to specific screen points (Fig. 1). The principle of dust-and-magnet was previously presented by Yi et al. [19]. Our proposed concept uses this principle also - as one aspect of the interaction concept. In contrast to VIBE we offer users an interactive visualization with less classical WIMP (Windows, Icons, Menus, Pointer) UI elements. Thereby, no virtual mapping of functions is necessary and users might be able to use the interface in a more firm and reliable way. Cousins et al. [5] developed a system that follows a direct manipulation approach like done here. In contrast to our proposed solution it is divided into different UI elements and different views. It is less integrated in a single view. Therefore user's work load might be higher since she or he needs to face various mode switches. Commercial systems, like the Vis4you concept¹, are more focused on visualization than on interaction (via direct manipulation). Furthermore, their system is designed to be used on desktop computers with a mouse (*single point and click principle*), no support for multi-touch, no platform independent approach.




Fig. 1. webVIBE, a variant of the VIBE-system [10,17]

3 QUEST

Since users sometimes do not know what they are searching for, we like to support them by the opportunity to formulate vague queries. The proposed solution

¹ <http://www.vis4you.com/vis4you/> (accessed on 04.07.2012)




Fig. 2. Direct feedback: Relevance value next to the widget

is called QUEST (Query User Interface for Exploratory Search Tasks). Here, the user is asked to narrow the search results by dragging user interface (UI) elements, so called widgets, with its query terms (or objects), see also Fig. 2.

3.1 Concept

The concept follows the idea that more relevant data are centred. Note, this is equivalent to filtering an overcrowded desktop, cf. Fig. 3 (left picture), where the more centralized documents are possibly more important (highlighted in the right picture). Query objects (widgets) can be entered via a virtual keyboard and can also be dragged by the user to formulate more complex or vague queries. Selecting a specific data point supports the user with additional information on this data point and highlights all further related data points (Fig. 4). The distance of a certain term is directly connected to its importance for the user: If a user thinks a specific term is more relevant to its actual filter/search task, he positions the corresponding UI element nearer to the center. Thereby, users do not need to specify a concrete position of UI elements on the screen, we support this by a non-determined precision. Due to the increasing amount of data and complexity, it is necessary to apply and improve the concepts of visual information filtering and retrieval. This goes along with the underlying methods and tools.



Fig. 3. Crowded desktop: More relevant documents are centred²

² <http://lawprofessors.typepad.com/> (accessed on 04.07.2012)

Considering clustering algorithms (e.g., k-means [3]), we thought about the concept of *vague query formulation*: Since users sometimes do not know what they are searching for, we like to support them by the opportunity to formulate vague queries. The system was designed to be a multi-user system. Therefore a number of multiple users need to be supported at the same time, also considering security aspects [14]. To offer each user the same possibility to interact with the system we use a radial form for the interface layout. Furthermore, an underlying multi-touch device is a hardware requirement, that enhances the combination of tool and application domain significantly. Another appealing advantage is, that multi-touch also supports users in a more natural way of interaction [9]. Other radial user interfaces for selecting or filtering often offers fixed places for items. In contrast to this our system is supposed to be more flexible since users are allowed to position their query widgets where they like.




Fig. 4. Concept of relevance mapping (left) and corresponding results (right), visually highlighted to group them (e.g. highlighted results for the search term “cat”)

We offer users a dimension merging according specified weights, similar to the result listing of search engines, where also different weights can be linked to specific query terms. Data points represent the data space. Query objects (widgets) can be entered via a virtual keyboard and can also be dragged by the user to formulate more complex or vague queries. Selecting a specific data point supports the user with additional information on this data point and highlights all further related data points.

The distance of a certain term is directly connected to its importance for the user. In other words, if a user thinks a specific term is more relevant to its actual filter/search task, he positions the corresponding UI element nearer to the center, which influences the weight of this term when computing its Term Frequency / Inverted Document Frequency (TF/IDF)-value [2], which in fact is a calculated weight to influence the ranking of the data space and this in return effects the visualization (Fig. 4). Thereby, users do not need to specify a concrete position of UI elements on the screen, we support this by a non-determined precision. The widget-induced relevance of a query term is calculated according to the formula in Fig. 5. Result elements are placed near to corresponding query elements.

$$R_{Widget} = \frac{d_{Center Point}}{r_{Search Area}}$$

Fig. 5. Widget-induced relevance of a query term

$$R_{Search Result} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\# \text{ relevant widgets}} R_{Relevant Widget_i}}{\# \text{ relevant widgets}} - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\# \text{ non relevant widgets}} R_{Non Relevant Widget_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\# \text{ relevant exclusion widgets}} R_{Relevant Exclusion Widget_i}}{\# \text{ non relevant widgets} + \# \text{ relevant exclusion widgets}} \right)$$

Fig. 6. Relevance of a search result object

The formula for calculating the relevance of a SearchResult object (result dot) is shown in Fig. 6. The calculated relevance determines the distance to the center, considering further result objects. To address various types of end devices such as multi-touch desktops or mobile interfaces with large displays, we use direct manipulation as a central interaction paradigm. Only the relative distance of an UI element to the center is relevant for the system. Thus, we provide users with a direct linking to the data they like to filter. By this interaction concept, we propose to achieve more precise results. Additionally, we support users with the concept of *What-if*-queries, which supports a fault-tolerant interaction system, using a ghosting technique: Dragging an element and holding it on a specific position triggers the system to show the user how many items are in the center point of interest (POI) after releasing the element. Thereby, users are able to explore the impact of possible next steps.

Changes of the query configuration also effect the data points to provide the user with a direct link to the data (interactive visualization). By the underlying metaphor of magnets, we offer an integrated feedback, comparable to *Dust-and-Magnet* [19]: When users drag a specific UI element to a certain point, relevant data points follow this UI element. Data points that have the same TF-IDF value (equal relevance to a query configuration) are drafted with a minimal distance to each other to minimize the possibility of occlusions.

3.2 Features

The UI supports direct feedback since the relevance value is simultaneously shown while users interact with the widget (Fig. 2). Results, corresponding to a specific query object are visually highlighted and grouped to each other (Fig. 4 (right)). Detailed information on particular result objects, like a website preview, is provided after clicking on the result dot (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. Website preview popover, here a result for “Labrador Retriever”

4 Implementation

To proof the concept of the proposed user interface, a first prototype was implemented, using an Apple iPad (Fig. 8). This application was written in ObjectiveC




Fig. 8. Radial design of the iPad implementation

using the xCode environment³. The backend architecture is the CARSA system [1], an information retrieval framework for research purposes.

Currently, an HTML 5 re-implementation is going to be developed. In Fig. 10 the re-design is shown: Left, a drop area for currently unused UI elements is offered, on top the complex user query is represented by numbers and using different shades of blue. On the right side a common known result listing is shown that corresponds to the radial representation shown in the center of the screen. The radial representation follows the principles introduced in this paper. The result dots are now represented using favicons to support a better representation.

5 Evaluation and Results

Since this contribution is basically driven by fields of human factors and user interface design, we are using common methods from these research areas. Such as user centred design (UCD) processes [7], formative evaluation methods [12], questionnaires [6], think-aloud-protocols [8], and cognitive walkthroughs [4].

The evaluation concept followed a formative evaluation process where several usability testing were conducted. Several test users mentioned that it was fun to use it, which might be reflected by a high rating of joy of use measurements. Also in parallel to the development process: To identify at least 85% of all usability issues this mock-up was evaluated according to Nielsen and Landauer [13] with only a small number of test users since most usability issues will be mentioned repeatedly by users. The sixth tested user would report new usability issues in only 15% of all cases. Therefore we decided to ask only eight users. The results of this first user test seem to be promising that this concept works as desired. Users were introduced in the main features and were asked afterwards to formulate a filter query consisting of three terms to find all relevant documents while visualizing most important relations to other potential interesting data. After going through a cognitive walk-through of a movie filtering task our eight test users (six male, two female, average age: 23.4) answered seven usability questions by filling out a 7-step Likert scale from 1 (very bad) to 7 (very good). Next to cognitive walk-throughs, we used think-aloud-protocols and questionnaires. The usefulness of the prototype was rated high, the functionality was praised by test users, performing tasks were rated as *very easy* and test users were satisfied with QUEST. Terminology, attractiveness, and consistency were rated lower. Our final evaluation revealed the results you can see in Fig. 9. Even if there is room for improvement the results reveal overall a good usability, several test users mentioned that it was fun to use it, which might be reflected by the high rating of the *joy of use* measurement.

³ developer.apple.com/xcode/ (accessed on 04.07.2012)




Fig. 9. Results of final usability testing

6 Discussion and Outlook

We described the newly designed UI concept *QUEST* for filtering, exploring and searching information via direct manipulation. The proposed concept is

- flexible: parameters can be adapted or enhanced by users
- context-sensitive: initial parameters are extracted from the current use case
- easy to learn: through work environment metaphor and direct manipulation

Currently, the system is about to be re-implemented using the upcoming web standard HTML 5 to provide a platform independent solution featuring enhanced UI elements such as visualising a result list in parallel to address users' expectations towards a standard search user interface and to provide a more smooth mapping to the proposed spatial design (see Fig. 10). In the near future, a more detailed and larger user study will be conducted.

9. Han, J.Y.: Multi-touch interaction wall. In: Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Emerging Technologies (2006)
10. Koshman, S.L.: VIBE User Study. Technical Report LS062/IS97001, University of Pittsburgh (1997)
11. Marchionini, G.: Exploratory search: from finding to understanding. *Communications of the ACM* 49(4), 41–46 (2006)
12. Moxley Jr., R.A.: Formative and non-formative evaluation. *Instructional Science* 3(3), 243–283 (1974)
13. Nielsen, J., Landauer, T.K.: A mathematical model of the finding of usability problems. In: Proceedings of ACM INTERCHI 1993 Conference, The Netherlands, Amsterdam, pp. 206–213 (1993)
14. Nitsche, M., Dittmann, J., Nürnberger, A., Vielhauer, C., Buchholz, R.: Security-relevant Challenges of selected Systems for Multi-User Interaction. In: Detyniecki, M., García-Serrano, A., Nürnberger, A. (eds.) AMR 2009. LNCS, vol. 6535, pp. 124–134. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
15. Nitsche, M., Nürnberger, A.: Supporting vague query formulation by using visual filtering. In: Proceedings of Lernen. Wissen, Adaption (2011)
16. Nitsche, M., Nürnberger, A.: Vague Query Formulation by Design. In: Proceedings of EuroHCIR 2012, The Netherlands, Nijmegen, pp. 83–86 (2012)
17. Olsen, K.A., Korfhage, R.R., Sochats, K.M., Spring, M.B., Williams, J.G.: Visualization of a Document Collection: the VIBE System. *Information Processing & Management* 29(1), 69–81 (1993)
18. White, R.W., Roth, R.A.: Exploratory search: Beyond the Query-Response paradigm. In: Marchionini, G. (ed.) *Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers (2009)
19. Yi, L.S., Melton, R., Stasko, J., Jacko, L.: Dust & Magnet: multivariate information visualization using a magnet metaphor. *Information Visualization*, 239–256 (2005)