

# Cognitive Chance Discovery

Akinori Abe

ATR Knowledge Science Laboratories  
2-2-2, Hikaridai, Seika-cho, Soraku-gun, Kyoto 619-0288 Japan  
ave@ultimaVI.arc.net.my

**Abstract.** Dementia is the progressive decline in cognitive function due to damage or disease in the body beyond what might be expected from normal aging. Dementia persons cannot reasonably live their lives. In order to support dementia persons' lives, various approaches are proposed. Bozeat and Hodges showed affordance might give a certain support to (semantic) dementia persons of understanding (meanings of) objects. In this paper, based on the concept of affordance, abduction, and chance discovery, a dementia care under the concept of affordance is proposed.

## 1 Introduction

Due to the advanced and innovative medical treatment, we are able to live longer. It will be happy to live long, but the other problems are caused by such long lives. One of the most famous problems is increasing patients who are suffered from cancer. It will be able to be overcome by the advancement of medical treatment and is a problem for individuals. Furthermore serious problem for a person and even for his/her family and surroundings will be dementia. It is the progressive decline in cognitive function due to damage or disease in the body beyond what might be expected from normal aging. Dementia persons cannot reasonably live their lives. It is said that the current medical treatment cannot cure dementia completely. Even in the near future, it will be negative to cure dementia. Dementia is caused by problems in a brain. Accordingly, it is more difficult to cure dementia than cancer. Currently, some methods to delay the progress of dementia are proposed. For instance, a therapy room or house will be one of the solution to take care of dementia person [21]. Actually, it is rather a support system for dementia person's everyday life.

In addition, several researches and experiments are conducted to analyze the feature of dementia. Bozeat and Hodges showed affordance might give a certain support to (semantic) dementia persons of understanding (meanings of) objects [4,13]. Actually, it covers a limited situation, but it would be better to introduce a concept of affordance to a dementia care. Affordance has been discussed in Artificial Intelligence or philosophy as well as in cognitive science. For instance, Magnani discussed manipulation of affordances in the abduction framework [17]. Thus strategies for dementia care can be discussed and built in the framework of affordance theory. Affordance theory is a natural processing in actual environments. In addition, affordance can be dealt with abduction framework and

since affordance is not explicitly displayed but hidden in the environments. Accordingly, chance discovery [19] can be one of the strategies to a dementia care problems.

In this paper, based on the above discussion, a dementia care under the concept of affordance, abduction, and chance discovery is discussed.

Section 2 reviews the feature of dementia. Section 3 illustrates the concept of affordance, abduction, and chance discovery which are discovery reasoning or knowledge processing. Section 4 proposes a system based on the concept of affordance. It will be discussed in the context of chance discovery.

## 2 Dementia

Dementia is the progressive decline in cognitive function, such as memory, attention, language, and problem solving, due to damage or disease in the body beyond what might be expected from normal aging. In the later stages, dementia persons will not be able to recognize time (day of the week, day of the month, and year etc.), place, and person. Phenomena due to aging and dementia are different. For instance, for memory, aged person does not forget all of his/her experiences, on the other hand, dementia person forgets whole of his/her experiences. Dementia is roughly categorized to cortical and subcortical. For instance, several types of cortical dementia are reported such as Alzheimer's disease. Except for the treatable types, there is no cure to dementia, although scientists are progressing in making a type of medication that will slow down the process. For instance, For the medication of Alzheimer, actions such as cheerful communication and proper stimulation are recommend [15]. For instance, some studies have found that music therapy which stimulates emotion as well as brain may be useful in helping patients with dementia [3]. Alternative therapies are also discussed for the care of Alzheimer's disease and dementia [5,6].

Bozeat and Hodges analyzed the feature of mapping between objects and their meaning for semantic dementia person from four factors — affordance, presence of recipient, familiarity, and problem solving [4,13]. They showed very interesting results For instance, they pointed out “as a group, the patients did not achieve better performance on a subset of affordanced objects when use of these was compared with a familiarity-matched subset of objects lacking such affordances. This absence of a general group benefit applied both to overall use and to the specific component of use afforded by the object's structure.[...]it became clear that there was a reliable benefit of affordance on the specific components of use, but only for the most impaired patients.” They also pointed out “The impact of recipient, like affordance, was found to be modulated by the degree of semantic impairment. The patients with a moderate level of conceptual impairment demonstrated significantly better use with the recipient present, whereas the patients with mild and severe impairment showed no effect. [...] It was not surprising, therefore, to find that familiarity also influenced performance on object use assessments.”

These observations and analyses show that proper affordance might give a certain support to dementia persons understanding (meanings of) objects.

### 3 Affordance

#### 3.1 Affordance

Gibson ecologically introduced concept of affordance for perceptual phenomena [10,11]. It emphasizes the environmental information available in extended spatial and temporal pattern in optic arrays, for guiding the behaviors of animals, and for specifying ecological events. Thus he defined the affordance of something as “a specific combination of the properties of its substance and its surfaces taken with reference to an animal.” For instance, the affordance of climbing a stair step in a bipedal fashion has been described in terms of the height of a stair riser taken with reference to a person’s leg length [22]. That is, if a stair riser is less than 88% of a person’s leg length, then that means that the person can climb that stair. On the other hand, if a stair riser is greater than 88% of the person’s leg length, then that means that the person cannot climb that stair, at least not in a bipedal fashion. For that Jones pointed out that “it should be noted also that this is true regardless of whether the person is aware of the relation between his or her leg length and the stair riser’s height, which suggests further that the meaning is not internally constructed and stored but rather is inherent in the person’s environment system” [14].

In the context of human-machine interaction Norman extended the concept of affordance from Gibson’s definition. He pointed out that “...the term affordance refers to the perceived and actual properties of the thing, primarily those fundamental properties that determine just how the thing could possibly be used. [...] Affordances provide strong clues to the operations of things. Plates are for pushing. Knobs are for turning. Slots are for inserting things into. Balls are for throwing or bouncing. When affordances are taken advantage of, the user knows what to do just by looking: no picture, label, or instruction needed” [18]. Thus Norman defined affordance as something of both actual and perceivable properties. Accordingly his interpretation has effectively been introduced to interaction designs.

Zhang categorized several types of affordance into the following categories [23]:

- Biological Affordance  
For instance, a healthy mushroom affords nutrition, while a toxic mushroom affords dying.
- Physical Affordance  
For instance, the flat horizontal panel on a door can only be pushed. Many of this type of affordances can be found in Norman [18].
- Perceptual Affordance  
In this category, affordances are mainly provided by spatial mappings. For instance, if the switches of the stovetop burners have the same spatial layout as the burners themselves, the switches provide affordances for controlling the burners. Examples of this type include the pictorial signs for ladies’ and men’s restrooms.

- Cognitive Affordance

Affordances of this type are provided by cultural conventions. For instance, for traffic lights, red means “stop,” yellow means “prepare to stop,” and green means “go.”

- Mixed Affordance

For instance, a mailbox, which is one of the examples used by Gibson, does not provide the affordance of mailing letters at all for a person who has no knowledge about postal systems. In this case, internal knowledge is involved in constructing the affordance in a great degree.

Thus since Gibson’s introduction, affordance has been widely discussed, and the other perspective and extensions have been added. Especially, it has been effectively introduced to interface designs after several extensions.

### 3.2 Affordance, Abduction and Chance Discovery

It is important to deal with rare or novel phenomena which might lead us to risk or opportunity. We call this type of activity as chance discovery and discuss theories and methods to discover such chances. A chance is defined as “*a novel or rare event/situation that can be conceived either as an opportunity or a risk in the future*” [19]. Thus it is rather difficult to discover a chance by usual statistical strategies. We adopt abduction and analogy (Abductive Analogical Reasoning) to perform chance discovery [1,2]. Where chance discovery is regarded as an explanatory reasoning for the unknown or unfamiliar observations, and a chance is therefore defined as followings:

1. **Chance** is a set of unknown hypotheses. Therefore, explanation of an observation is not influenced by it. Accordingly, a possible observation that should be explained cannot be explained. In this case, a hypotheses base or a knowledge base lacks necessary hypotheses. Therefore, it is necessary to generate missing hypotheses. Missing hypotheses are characterized as chance.
2. **Chance** itself is a set of known facts, but it is unknown how to use them to explain an observation. That is, a certain set of rules is missing. Accordingly, an observation cannot be explained by the facts. Since rules are usually generated by inductive ways, rules that are different from the trend cannot be generated. In this case, rules are generated by abductive methods, so trends are not considered. Abductively generated rules are characterized as chance.

Magnani also discussed application of abduction to chance discovery. Especially, he pointed out “manipulative abduction happens when we are thinking through doing and not only, in a pragmatic sense, about doing. So the idea of manipulative abduction goes beyond the well-known role of experiments as capable of forming new scientific laws by means of the results (the nature’s answers to the investigator’s question) they present, or of merely playing a predictive role (in confirmation and in falsification). Manipulative abduction refers to an extra-theoretical behavior that aims at creating communicable accounts of new experiences to integrate them into previously existing systems of experimental and

linguistic (theoretical) practices. The existence of this kind of extra-theoretical cognitive behavior is also testified by the many everyday situations in which humans are perfectly able to perform very efficacious (and habitual) tasks without the immediate possibility of realizing their conceptual explanation” [16]. Then he pointed out that “in dealing with the exploitation of cognitive resources and chances embedded in the environment, the notion of affordance, originally proposed by Gibson to illustrate the hybrid character of visual perception, can be extremely relevant. [...] In order to solve various controversies on the concept of affordance, we will take advantage of some useful insights that come from the study on abduction. Abduction may fruitfully describe all those human and animal hypothetical inferences that are operated through actions which consist in smart manipulations to both detect new affordances and to create manufactured external objects that offer new affordances” [17]. Thus he suggests the application of abduction to detect affordances which can be regarded as chances embedded in the environment.

#### 4 Dementia Care Inspired by Affordance

It is not possible to prepare all necessary things in every places. Sometimes an alternative or an extended usage of things will be necessary. For a proper and an extended usage of a thing, it is necessary to present proper information of it. At least, it is necessary to suggest such information. Sometimes it can be presented as a memorandum or a sign. In the other case, it can be received as hidden information inside of the thing. Actually it is not always necessary to provide such hidden information. For a progressive and promising system, it is not realistic to prepare all the necessary information to things. Sometimes such information is not correct and will change in the future. For instance, it is ridiculous to attach a sign such as “You can sit here.” to tree stumps. It is rather realistic to suggest information about its hidden functions.

In this section we discuss how to present such hidden information in dementia care situation. Such hidden information can be presented as certain stimuli in such situations. Because, as shown in the previous section, even for dementia person, if he/she receives certain stimuli, he/she sometimes achieve better performance. The problem is that what type of stimulus will be better to present and how to make it recognize. Actually such stimulus should be “afforded” by the user. That is, it can be regarded as “affordance” in an environment. Accordingly we introduce concept of affordance to a dementia care system. Proper affordance might give a certain support to dementia persons understanding (meanings of) objects. Thus affordance is a fruitful concept for recognizing objects and using them as tools. According to Gibson’s definition, affordance is hidden in the nature and it should be accepted by us naturally. For instance, if an object’s upper side is flat and it has a certain height, the observer will be able to afford it as something to sit, rest or sleep. Of course, the level of affordance will be change according to observer’s acceptance ability. For a certain person a tree stump will function as a chair, but for the other person it will not. If they are able to regard

a tree stump as a chair, it will be necessary to provide a proper guidance to discover an affordance as a something to sit.

For normal persons, it is not so difficult to provide such guidances. They can also understand analogy, so that they can extend the meaning to the other materials. For instance, after finding that a tree stump functions as a chair, they can also understand a wooden board or box can also function as a chair. That is, they can extend or map the meaning to the other situations. However, for dementia persons, it is not easy to provide a proper guidance with which they can afford the function of an object. Actually, for person who does not have common knowledge or context, it is also not easy to provide a proper guidance for affordance discovery. For them affordance is something rare or novel. Accordingly, it is rather difficult to be aware of “affordance” as an afforded matter. In therapy houses, there should be many things which are not able to properly used by dementia persons. In the case, it is necessary to provide certain guidances to lead the user to the correct direction to use things properly. The simplest method will be to attach the name and usage of things. It will functions well for normal persons. However, for impaired persons, sometimes even such attachment will not function well. For them, it will be necessary to apply the other strategy to suggest or instruct the meaning or usage of things. For semantic dementia persons, it is observed that they did not achieve better performance on a subset of affordanced objects when use of these was compared with a familiarity-matched subset of objects lacking such affordances. Therefore, when we design an environment for dementia persons, it is necessary to consider such unhappy situations. It is necessary to prepare specialized affordances to dementia person. Even if they can detect affordance, they might not understand what it will emerge.

For affordance, according to the Gibson’s definition, an *Object* is observed and affordance is detected in the environment to understand its meaning. Accordingly, the affordance situation can be logically described as follows:

$$F \cup \text{affordance} \models \text{Object} \quad (1)$$

$$F \cup \text{affordance} \not\models \square \quad (2)$$

The above is described based on the formalization of Theorist [20].  $F$  is so called facts which involves fundamental knowledge in the world. The obtained affordance is consistent with  $F$  (equation (2)) and gives life (meaning) to the *Object*. Thus *Object* involves invisible *meaning* and by adopting discovered affordance, potential meaning appears. Therefore, in the above formalization, *meaning* does not appear explicitly.

However, in the above application, we would like to give a certain meaning to the *Object* explicitly. Though meaning exists inside of the *Object*, in this framework meaning is explicitly described. That is, meaning should be observed and affordance functions as a type of link to *Objects*. When meaning is fixed, the affordance determination situation will be logically described as follows:

$$\text{Object} \cup \text{affordance} \models \text{meaning} \quad (3)$$

$$Object \cup affordance \not\models \square \quad (4)$$

That is, affordance can be regarded as a hypothesis. We can select consistent affordance ((equation (4))) in the environment (hypothesis base) to explain meaning. In addition, for understanding subset of or similar affordanced objects (*Object'*), the affordance determination situation will be logically described as follows:

$$Object \cup Object' \cup M \cup affordance \models meaning \quad (5)$$

In fact, the above description is based on Goebel's formalization of analogy [12]. *M* is a mapping function from *Object* to *Object'*. That is, to understand the same meaning of the subset of or similar affordanced objects, an additional mapping function *M* is required. Thus if *M* can be determined and the usage of *Object* is known, *Object'* can also be understood. In fact, for normal persons, *M* is easy to understand. However, for dementia persons, it is pointed out that it is rather difficult to understand and determine *M*. Then the issue becomes how to suggest a mapping function *M* as an additional hypothesis. For typical analogical mapping, objects in the source domain and the target domain are quite different. In fact, the typical analogical mapping is determined based on conceptual structure as pointed out by Gentner [7,8,9]. For instance, if we know about the water flow system where water flows from a place with greater pressure to a place with less pressure, we can guess or find the heat flow system where heat flows from a place with greater temperature to a place with less temperature. However, for the applications shown in this paper, a mapping function will not so complex as typical analogical mapping. For the proposed application, expected situations are very simple. For instance, to give a hint (mapping function) of sitting on a wooden box to dementia person who could use a tree stump as a chair. In fact, the situation is structured, but for an application, we can only focus on an aspect such that the upper side is flat. . This type of mapping will be one dimensional mapping and not so confusing. Thus theoretically a mapping function becomes simple.

The final issue is how to suggest hidden information as affordance. This type of information is usually hidden in the environment. Thus the proposed type of application can be discussed under the context of chance discovery. As Abe mentioned, chance discovery can be performed by a combination of abduction and analogy. Also as Magnani pointed out, affordnace can be performed by a certain type of abduction. In the above, the concept of affordance is also described in the framework of Theorist that is hypothetical reasoning (limited version of abduction). Accordingly, all procedures can be described in abduction's framework. In addition, it is happy for us that we can simplify our problems to one dimensional mapping. Of course, in this section, for the first step, a very simple case is discussed. For the actual usage, much more complex situation should be considered. My assumption is that such complex situation can be transformed to a combination of simple situations. To deal with complex situations, it is necessary to develop a mechanism to transform complex situation to a combination of simple situations such as polynomial.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, I introduced the concept of affordance to support dementia persons. Limited merits of affordance for supporting dementia persons are pointed out by Bozeat and Hodges. In addition, after the extension of Gibson's concept of affordance, it is mainly applied to the interface design. Based on the concept of affordance, I proposed a dementia person support mechanism in which functions of things can be suggested. It is based on abduction framework and performed under the context of chance discovery to determine affordance. In this paper, I only described logical framework and dealt with very simple situation. In fact, actual situations should be more complex. To deal with such complex situation, additional treatments are necessary. I showed my assumption that complex situation can be transformed to a combination of simple situations and necessity of develop a mechanism to transform complex situation to a combination of simple situations.

## References

1. Abe, A.: The Role of Abduction in Chance Discovery. *New Generation Computing* 21(1), 61–71 (2003)
2. Abe, A.: Abduction and Analogy in Chance Discovery. In: [19], ch. 16, pp. 231–248 (2003)
3. Aldridge, D.: *Music Therapy in Dementia Care*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers (2000)
4. Bozeat, S., Ralph, M.A.L., Patterson, K., Hodges, J.R.: When objects lose their meaning: What happens to their use? *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neurosciences* 2(3), 236–251 (2002)
5. Cafalu, C.A.: The Role of Alternative Therapies in the Management of Alzheimer's Disease and Dementia, Part I. *Annals of Long-Term Care* 13(7), 34–41 (2005)
6. Cafalu, C.A.: The Role of Alternative Therapies in the Management of Alzheimer's Disease and Dementia, Part II. *Annals of Long-Term Care* 13(8), 33–39 (2005)
7. Gentner, D.: Structure-Mapping: A Theoretical Framework for Analogy. *Cognitive Science* 7, 155–170 (1983)
8. Gentner, D.: Analogical Inference and Analogical Access. *Analogica*, 63–88 (1988)
9. Gentner, D.: The mechanisms of analogical learning. *Similarity and Analogical Reasoning*, 199–241 (1989)
10. Gibson, J.J.: The Theory of Affordances. In: Shaw, R., Bransford, J. (eds.) *Perceiving, Acting, and Knowing* (1977)
11. Gibson, J.J.: *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Houghton Mifflin (1979)
12. Goebel, R.: A sketch of analogy as reasoning with equality hypotheses. In: Jantke, K.P. (ed.) *AII 1989. LNCS (LNAI)*, vol. 397, pp. 243–253. Springer, Heidelberg (1989)
13. Hodges, J.R., et al.: The role of conceptual knowledge in object use evidence from semantic dementia. *Brain* 123, 1913–1925 (2000)
14. Jones, K.S.: What Is an Affordance? *Ecological Psychology* 15(2), 107–114 (2003)
15. Kasama A.: Dementia, <http://www.inetmie.or.jp/~Ekasamie/dementia.html>



16. Magnani, L.: Epistemic Mediators and Chance Morphodynamics. In: Abe, A., Ohsawa, Y. (eds.) *Readings in Chance Discovery*. International Series on Natural and Artificial Intelligence, vol. 3, Advanced Knowledge Intelligence, ch. 13, pp. 140–155 (2005)
17. Magnani, L.: Chances, Affordances, and Cognitive Niche Construction: The Plasticity of Environmental Situatedness. *International Journal on Advanced Intelligence Paradigms* (to appear, 2009)
18. Norman, D.: *The Design of Everyday Things*. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1988)
19. Ohsawa, Y., McBurney, P. (eds.): *Chance Discovery*. Springer, Heidelberg (2003)
20. Poole, D., Goebel, R., Aleliunas, R.: Theorist: A Logical Reasoning System for Defaults and Diagnosis. In: Cercone, N.J., McCalla, G. (eds.) *The Knowledge Frontier: Essays in the Representation of Knowledge*, pp. 331–352. Springer, Heidelberg (1987)
21. Sloane, P.D., et al.: The Therapeutic Environment Screening Survey for Nursing Homes (TESS-NH): An Observational Instrument for Assessing the Physical Environment of Institutional Settings for Persons With Dementia. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences* 57B(2), S69–S78 (2002)
22. Warren, W.H.: Perceiving affordances: Visual guidance of stair-climbing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* 10, 683–703 (1984)
23. Zhang, J., Patel, V.L.: Distributed cognition, representation, and affordance. *Cognition & Pragmatics* (2006)