ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF EIGENVALUES OF BLOCK TOEPLITZ MATRICES

M. ROSENBLATT¹

Communicated by Edwin Hewitt, June 1, 1960

Let $g(\lambda)$, $-\pi \le \lambda \le \pi$, be a $p \times p$ $(p=1, 2, \cdots)$ matrix-valued Hermitian function. Further $g(\lambda)$ is bounded on $[-\pi, \pi]$, that is, its elements are bounded on $[-\pi, \pi]$. The Fourier coefficients

(1)
$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} g(\lambda) d\lambda, \qquad k = 0, \pm 1, \cdots,$$

are then bounded in k. We call the $np \times np$ matrix

$$A_n = (a_{j-k}; j, k = 1, \cdots, n)$$

(an $n \times n$ matrix of the $p \times p$ blocks a_{j-k}) the *n*th section block Toeplitz matrix generated by $g(\lambda)$. Notice that the block Toeplitz matrix A_n is generally not Toeplitz. Our interest is in obtaining the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of A_n as $n \to \infty$. The proof is suggested by an argument given in the one-dimensional case (p=1) (see [3]) and is based on results in the multidimensional prediction problem [5].

If the real number α is sufficiently small in absolute value $f(\lambda) = [I_p + \alpha g(\lambda)]$ is positive definite for all λ and bounded (I_p) is the identity matrix of order p). Let $R_n = I_{np} + \alpha A_n$ be the nth section block Toeplitz matrix generated by $f(\lambda)$. Further denote the (i, j)th block element $(p \times p)$ matrix, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, of the inverse R_n^{-1} of R_n by $n r_{i,j}^{(-1)}$. The basic result on the determinant of the prediction error covariance matrix in the multidimensional prediction problem [5] tells us that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \det \left({}_{n}r_{11}^{(-1)} \right)^{-1} = \left(2\pi \right)^{p} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \det \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{2\pi} \right) d\lambda \right\}$$

since $f(\lambda)/2\pi$ can be regarded as the spectral density function of a p-vector weakly stationary stochastic process. However,

$$\det {\binom{n}{r_{11}^{(-1)}}}^{-1} = \det {(R_n)}/\det {(R_{n-1})} = \sigma_n^2$$

(see [1, p. 21]). Let $\lambda_{\nu,n}$, $\nu=1, \cdots, np$, be the eigenvalues of A_n .

¹ This research was supported in part by the U. S. Army Signal Corps.

Now

$$\det (R_n) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{np} (1 + \alpha \lambda_{\nu,n})$$

and

$$\lim \log \sigma_n^2 = \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \log \sigma_k^2 = \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{np} \log (1 + \alpha \lambda_{\nu,n}).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\nu=1}^{np}\log\left(1+\alpha\lambda_{\nu,n}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log\det\left(I_{p}+\alpha g(\lambda)\right)d\lambda.$$

On taking $s_{n,k} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{np} \lambda_{\nu,n}^k$, it is readily seen that

$$\lim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{s_{n,k}}{pn} \alpha^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{tr}(g^k(\lambda)) \alpha^k d\lambda$$

for $|\alpha|$ sufficiently small (tr(A)) denotes the trace of A). This cannot hold unless

(2)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{s_{n,k}}{pn} = \frac{1}{2\pi p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{tr}(g^k(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

Let $\mu_1(\lambda)$, \cdots , $\mu_p(\lambda)$ be the eigenvalues of g at λ , let us say for convenience in order of magnitude. Then relation (2) implies that the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues $\lambda_{\nu,n} = 1, \cdots, pn$, is given by

(3)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\text{number of eigenvalues} \le a}{pn} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} P[\mu_j(X) \le a]$$

where X is a random variable uniformly distributed on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Aside from the interest in this result for its own sake, it is clear that it suggests a number of good approximations for the joint distribution of spectral estimates in the case of multidimensional normal stationary processes [2; 4].

REFERENCES

- 1. F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, vol. 1, New York, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1959.
- 2. N. R. Goodman, On the joint estimation of the spectra, cospectrum and quadrature spectrum of a two-dimensional Gaussian process, Scientific Paper No. 10, Engineering Statistics Laboratory, New York University, 1957, p. 168.
- 3. U. Grenander and G. Szegö, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, University of California Press, 1958.
- 4. M. Rosenblatt, Statistical analysis of stochastic processes with stationary residuals, The H. Cramér Volume, Stockholm, Almquist and Wiksell, 1959.
- 5. N. Wiener and P. Masani, The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, Acta Math. vol. 98 (1957) pp. 111-150.

Brown University