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## 1. Introduction

In this article we will be concerned with arithmetic operations in the finite field $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$. In particular, we examine methods of exploiting parallelism to improve the speed of exponentiation.

We can think of the elements in $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ as being $n$-tuples which form an $n$ dimensional vector space over $G F(2)$. If

$$
\beta, \beta^{2}, \beta^{4}, \ldots, \beta^{2^{n-1}}
$$

is a basis for this space then we call it a normal basis and we call $\beta$ a generator of the normal basis. It is well known ([1]) that $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ contains a normal basis for every $n \geq 1$. For $a \in G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ let $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ be the coordinate vector of $a$ relative to the ordered normal basis $N$ generated by $\beta$. It follows that $a^{2}$ then has coordinate vector ( $a_{n-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}$ ), so squaring is simply a cyclic shift of the vector representation of $a$. In a hardware implementation squaring an element takes one clock cycle and so is negligible. For the remainder of this article we will assume that squaring an element is "free".

## 2. Discrete exponentiation

Suppose that we want to compute $\alpha^{e} \in G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ where

$$
e=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} 2^{i}, \quad a_{i} \in\{0,1\}
$$

Then

$$
\alpha^{e}=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{a_{i} 2^{i}}
$$

and this requires $A=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i}\right)-1$ multiplications. On average for randomly chosen $e, A$ will be about $\frac{n}{2}$ and so we require $\frac{n}{2}$ multiplications to do the exponentiation. We now examine ways of doing better.

Select a positive integer $k$ and rewrite the exponent $e$ as

$$
e=\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right]-1} b_{i} 2^{k i}
$$

where $b_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j+k i} 2^{j}$. Of course, each $b_{i}$ can be represented by a binary $k$-tuple over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ which we represent by $\bar{b}_{i}$. We now rewrite $e$ in the form

Example 1. If $e=2^{10}+2^{8}+2^{7}+2^{6}+2^{4}+2^{3}+2^{1}+1$ and $k=2$ then

$$
e=(1) 2^{10}+(1) 2^{8}+(1+2) 2^{6}+(1) 2^{4}+(2) 2^{2}+(1+2) 2^{0}
$$

or

$$
e=\left(2^{10}+2^{8}+2^{4}\right)(1+(0) 2)+2^{2}(0(1)+2)+\left(2^{6}+2^{0}\right)(1+2)
$$

If we let $\lambda(w)=\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil-1} C_{i, w} 2^{k i}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{e} & =\alpha^{\sum_{\bar{w}} \lambda(w) w} \\
& =\Pi\left(\alpha^{\lambda(w)}\right)^{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

On average $\lambda(w)$ will have $\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}$ nonzero terms in it and, hence, will require $\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}-1$ multiplications to evaluate. Since $w$ is represented by a binary $k$-tuple, $w$ will have on average $\frac{k}{2}$ non-zero terms and require $\frac{k}{2}-1$ multiplications to evaluate $\beta^{w}$. Therefore, to evaluate $\alpha^{\lambda(w) w}$ we need $t=\left(\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}+\frac{k}{2}-2\right)$ multiplications. Finally, to compute $\alpha^{e}$ we need $t$ multiplications for eacl $\bar{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k} \backslash\{0\}$ and then $2^{k}-2$ multiplications to multiply the results together. In total we require

$$
M(k)=\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left\{\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}+\frac{k}{2}-2\right\}+2^{k}-2
$$

$$
=\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left\{\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}+\frac{k}{2}-1\right\}-1
$$

multiplications.
If we use $2^{k}-1$ processors in parallel to evaluate each $\alpha^{\lambda(w) w}$ simultaneously then the number of multiplications is on average

$$
T(k)=\frac{n}{k 2^{k}}+\frac{k}{2}+2^{k}-4
$$

Example 2. For $n=2^{10}$ and various values of $k$ we compute $M(k)$ and $T(k)$.

| $k$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 293 | - |
| 5 | 244 | 37 |
| 4 | 254 | 30 |
| 3 | 315 | 48 |

$M(k)$ is minimized by $k=5$ and $T(k)$ by $k=4$.
Example 3. For $n=2^{16}$ and various values of $k$ we compute $M(k)$ and $T(k)$.

| $k$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 15165 | 2052 |
| 10 | 10638 | 1031 |
| 9 | 9055 | 527 |
| 8 | 8924 | 288 |
| 7 | 9605 | 201 |
| 6 | 10877 | 234 |

$M(k)$ is minimized by $k=8$ and $T(k)$ by $k=7$.

A more extensive tabulation of the functions $M(k)$ and $T(k)$ is given in the appendix. It appears at least for small values of $n$ that $M(k)$ and $T(k)$ are minimized for $k$ about $\log _{2} \sqrt{ } \bar{n}$.

## Summary

In this paper, we have examined techiques for exponentiating in $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$. These techniques take advantage of parallelism in exponentiation and use processor/time tradeoffs to greatly improve the speed. A more complete study of this problem and other techniques for exploiting parallelism in operation in $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ is presented in [2].
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## Appendix

Table 1 below lists the values of $k$ which minimize $M(k)$ and $T(k)$ for various values of $n$ where $n$ is a power of 2 . Table 2 below is similar for values of $n$ in increment of 100 .

|  | $k$ for Min |  | Min value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ |
| 64 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 8 |
| 128 | 3 | 3 | 39 | 10 |
| 256 | 4 | 3 | 74 | 16 |
| 512 | 4 | 4 | 134 | 22 |
| 1024 | 5 | 3 | 243 | 30 |
| 2048 | 5 | 5 | 442 | 43 |
| 4096 | 6 | 5 | 797 | 56 |
| 8192 | 6 | 5 | 1469 | 81 |
| Table 1 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $k$ for Min |  | Min value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ | $M(k)$ | $T(k)$ |
| 100 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 9 |
| 200 | 3 | 3 | 60 | 13 |
| 300 | 4 | 3 | 84 | 18 |
| 400 | 4 | 4 | 107 | 20 |
| 500 | 4 | 4 | 131 | 21 |
| 600 | 4 | 4 | 154 | 23 |
| 700 | 4 | 4 | 178 | 24 |
| 800 | 5 | 4 | 200 | 26 |
| 900 | 5 | 4 | 219 | 28 |
| 1000 | 5 | 4 | 239 | 29 |
| 1100 | 5 | 4 | 258 | 31 |
| 1200 | 5 | 4 | 278 | 32 |
| 1300 | 5 | 4 | 297 | 34 |
| 1400 | 5 | 4 | 316 | 35 |
| 1500 | 5 | 4 | 336 | 37 |
| 1600 | 5 | 4 | 355 | 39 |
| 1700 | 5 | 4 | 374 | 40 |
| 1800 | 5 | 5 | 394 | 41 |
| 1900 | 5 | 5 | 413 | 42 |
| 2000 | 5 | 5 | 433 | 43 |

Table 2

