Research Article

Correlations of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn activity concentrations in surface soil and groundwater of basement complex geological area of southwest Nigeria



Matthew Omoniyi Isinkaye¹ · Yinka Ajiboye²

Received: 27 December 2019 / Accepted: 15 April 2020 / Published online: 3 May 2020 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Abstract

The quantitative evaluations of naturally occurring radioisotopes in environmental media have provided significant information on the geological and radiological characteristics of different environments around the world. In furtherance to a preliminary study within southwest Nigeria set out to determine the distribution of ²²²Rn in groundwater and soil gas, the present study employed a well-shielded Nal(Tl) and RAD 7 detectors to determine activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in the media. Samples were collected from 100 sampling sites spatially distributed within the study area. Multivariate statistics were employed to extract the relationships between the activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in order to ascertain their origin and behavior in the basement complex geological area of southwest Nigeria. The mean activity concentration of ²²⁶Ra falls below the world average value of 35 Bq kg⁻¹ in soil as reported by UNSCEAR, and ²²²Rn mean activity concentration is lower than 100 Bq l⁻¹ recommended by WHO and European Commission as the criterion level in utility water for the members of the public. It can therefore be concluded that there is no significant radiological risks to the environment and human health due the presence of the measured radionuclides in soil and groundwater.

Keywords Radium · Radon · Radiological risks · Basement complex geology

1 Introduction

Man is continuously affected by the radiation present in the earth's terrestrial system due to the presence of natural radionuclides in soil and water [1, 2]. Groundwater serves as a major source of drinking water especially in developing countries where access to potable water is scarce. The natural radionuclides present in soil and groundwater are sources of internal and external radiation exposures to man with the potential of adverse health effects. Generally, sources of naturally occurring radionuclides are classified into three groups based on their origin, which include the cosmic radiation, cosmogenic and primordial radionuclides [3]. The primordial radionuclides are radionuclides of terrestrial origin which have existed on earth since its formation and are characterized by their long halflives. They include radionuclides in the decay series of ²³⁸U ($T_{1/2} = 4.51 \times 10^9$ years) and ²³²Th ($T_{1/2} = 1.39 \times 10^{10}$ years) decay series and non-series ⁴⁰K ($T_{1/2} = 1.3 \times 10^9$ years) [4]. Terrestrial radiations from primordial radionuclides in the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th decay series and ⁴⁰K contribute about 84% of man's exposure to radiation from natural sources [3].

Man is exposed externally to radiation from NORMs due to the emission of gamma radiation from the radionuclides in the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th decay series and from ⁴⁰K in soil and building materials [5]. However, internal exposure results when the NORMs are ingested in food and water or when they are inhaled from air. As per radiation exposure due to the presence of NORMs in groundwater, the radionuclides in the uranium decay series are of greater concern due to

Matthew Omoniyi Isinkaye, matthewisinkaye@eksu.edu.ng | ¹Department of Physics, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. ²Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Afe Babalola University (ABUAD), Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.



SN Applied Sciences (2020) 2:1008 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2771-7

their relative solubility in water as compared to those in the thorium series [6]. ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn belong to the uranium decay series, and they both decay by alpha emission with half-lives of 1622 years and 3.85 days, respectively [7]. In Nigeria, there are two distinct geological settings [8, 9], which are the sedimentary basin, which is predominant in the south-east, south-south and north-east regions of the country, and the basement complex, which is predominant in the south-west and parts of north-west and north-centre regions (Fig. 1 as modified from [10, 11]). Due to the presence of granitic rock formations in the basement complex areas, it has been observed that the areas fall within region with high background radiation and rich in uranium mineralization [12, 13]. Preliminary studies by the authors within the study area assessed the spatial distribution of ²²²Rn in both groundwater and soil gas [14]. Since ²²⁶Ra is a tracer to ²²²Rn being its immediate parent radionuclide, the present research effort is therefore aimed at measuring and correlating the activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in soil and groundwater samples in the area so as to ascertain their origin and behavior in the basement complex geological area of southwest Nigeria in furtherance to the published preliminary studies in [14]. These will help in understanding their mobility and transportation mechanisms within various geological media.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Collection and preparation of samples

Soil and groundwater samples were randomly collected from rural and urban settlements in the study area for analysis. At the collection points, 100 samples each of soil and groundwater were collected for gamma spectrometry in order to determine the activity concentration of ²²⁶Ra. Continuous radon measurements were performed on the groundwater samples to determine ²²²Rn activity concentration using RAD7-H₂O radon detection system [14, 15]. Around the same collection points, in situ measurements of ²²²Rn in soil gas were carried out. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the sampling locations across the study area. Soil samples were collected at a depth of 0 to ~ 10 cm [16], packed separately and taken to the laboratory. At the laboratory, the soil samples were initially air-dried and then oven-dried at 110 °C until a constant mass is achieved. Afterward, samples were sieved with a 2-mm mesh coupled with a sieve shaker to obtain homogenous samples of which 200 g each were then measured into a 8 cm \times 7 cm cylindrical containers. The containers were thereafter sealed hermetically with adhesive tape and stored for more than 30 days so as to achieve secular equilibrium. Groundwater samples were collected

SN Applied Sciences

from different groundwater sources. Those collected for gamma spectrometry were sealed and stored in Marinelli beaker (0.5 L) for upward of 30 days, while those collected for radon-in-water measurements were analyzed at the point of collections using a 250-mL sample vial. In order to determine activity concentration of ²²²Rn in soil gas, soil gas samples were collected and measured in situ at the same site where groundwater and soil samples were collected. The collection of soil gas sample was carried out with RAD7 coupled with a 1.2-m-long soil gas probe manufactured by AMS Inc. The RAD7 radon gas monitor was operated in GRAB mode—a mode which allows for soil gas sample of 0.7 L to be sucked into the sample cell of the detector [17].

2.2 Measurement of activity concentration of ²²⁶Ra

Soil and groundwater samples obtained in the study area were analyzed for ²²⁶Ra using thalium-activated sodium iodide (Nal(Tl)) scintillation detector manufactured by Bicron [18]. The detector is coupled to a CANBERRA multichannel analyzer. The detector is housed in a cylindrical lead shield of ~ 5 cm thickness. The lead shield which shields the detector from external background radiation has a fixed base and a slidable top cover. The spectral analysis was carried out using Genie 2000 gamma analysis software. The energy calibration of the spectrometer was done using gamma sources (²⁴¹Am, ¹³⁷Cs and ⁶⁰Co). The standard sample used for efficiency calibration is mixed sediment obtained from International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. Resolution of the detector is about 8% at 0.662 MeV of ¹³⁷Cs. In order to reduce statistical uncertainty, each soil sample was counted for 10 h [19]. Determination of environment background count in the laboratory was carried out by counting empty sample container which has the same geometry as the ones containing soil and groundwater samples. The background count was subtracted from the measured sample count to obtain the net count due to the radionuclides. Since radioactive equilibrium has been reached by ²²⁶Ra with its decay products, its activity was determined from the gamma energy of 1764 keV of ²¹⁴Bi.

2.3 Measurement of activity concentration of ²²²Rn in groundwater

Activity concentration of radon in groundwater samples was determined using RAD H₂O 250-mL bubbling kit connected in closed loop with the RAD7 radon detector. The bubbling kit comprises 250-mL glass vial, check valve, vinyl tubing, flow adaptor cap, 40-mL tygon coupler, glass frit, desic-cant, vinyl tubes and retort stand. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. Before the beginning of the measurement,



Fig. 1 Geological map of the study area showing the lithological units and sampling locations within the basement complex (inset is the geological map of Nigeria showing the basement complex and sedimentary regions. Modified from [10, 11]

the RAD7 was purged of any traces of radon using the PURGE mode. The mode allows fresh dry air to be pumped through the sample volume of the detector. The airflow during PURGE mode which passes through a laboratory drying unit (CaSO₄) lowers the relative humidity. The purging is continued until the relative humidity drops to 6% or lower.

The RAD7 which is equipped with an inbuilt pump was operated in WAT-250 protocol. This protocol operates such that the pump aerates the water sample for a five-minute period, thereby delivering the radon gas in the water sample to the sample cell of the detector. Thereafter, the system rests for another period of five minutes to allow for radioactive



Fig. 2 Frequency distribution of activity concentrations of $^{\rm 226}\rm{Ra}$ (Bq $L^{-1})$ in groundwater samples in the study area

equilibrium between Polonium-218 ($t_{1/2} = 3.04$ min) and Radon-222. A four 5-min cycle counting commences automatically after the first ten minutes and mean value computed. The mean value of the radon content of water as at time of analysis is thereafter displayed on a readout. This value takes into account the calibration of the system, the total volume of the closed air loop and the size of the sample vial (250 mL in this case).

2.4 Measurement of activity concentration of ²²²Rn in soil gas

The activity concentration of ²²²Rn in soil gas was measured by employing a RAD7 radon detector with an AMS soil gas probe. The RAD7 was set to 'SNIFF' protocol which enables the detector to distinguish between 'old radon' and 'new radon' if the RAD7 had been used for a previous measurement. The sniffing process usually continues until the count rate in a dedicated window (Window A) of the detector meant for new radon activity count dropped below 0.5 cpm (count per minute). Typically, 0.5 cpm is equivalent to 40 Bq m⁻³. To create a thoroughfare for the AMS probe into the soil, a 25-mm pilot rod was hammered down the soil to a depth of 1 m. The pilot rod was thereafter removed, and the AMS soil gas probe was inserted into the created hole while avoiding contamination of the soil gas with surface air. The soil gas probe was connected to the inlet of the RAD7 through the supplied vacuum gauge and vinyl tubes. Radon content of the soil gas was measured using a GRAB protocol which enables the extraction of soil gas into the sample cell of the detector. Radon activity concentration was then determined from a four 5-min cycle measurements similar to radon-in-water measurement.

SN Applied Sciences A Springer Nature journal

2.5 Estimation of radiological hazards in soil and groundwater

The annual effective dose (AEDE) due to ingestion of ²²⁶Ra or ²²²Rn in groundwater depends on the water consumption rate. It is estimated using Eq. 4 [4]:

$$AEDE_{Ing,W} = A \times CR_W \times DCF$$

where A is the activity concentration of radionuclide in Bq L⁻¹, CR_W is the groundwater consumption rate in L year⁻¹ which is taken as 730 L year⁻¹ (or 2 L days⁻¹) for adult [13], and DCF is the dose conversion factor, 2.8×10^{-4} mSv Bq⁻¹ and 3.5×10^{-6} mSv Bq⁻¹ for ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Activity concentrations of radionuclides in groundwater and radiation dose

The summary of activity concentration values for ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in 100 groundwater samples obtained across the study area and the estimated annual effective dose equivalent values due to the ingestion of the radionuclides are presented in Table 1. The activity concentration values for ²²⁶Ra vary from below detectable limit (BDL) to 14.3 Bq L^{-1} with median and mean values of 3.4 Bq L^{-1} and 4.1 ± 3.4 Bq L⁻¹, respectively. 20% of groundwater samples exhibit activity concentrations which are below the detection limit of the Nal detector. 66% of the assayed groundwater samples have activity concentrations exceeding the maximum permissible level of 1.0 Bg L^{-1} set by WHO for ²²⁶Ra in drinking water [20]. The frequency distribution of the activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra in groundwater samples is presented in Fig. 2 showing that the distribution is highly skewed. As seen from the figure, more of the activity concentration values fall below the mean value. The activity of ²²²Rn in groundwater samples obtained from the study area varies from 0.9 to 472.0 Bq L⁻¹ with a mean of 34.7 \pm 55.5 Bq L⁻¹. 5% of the groundwater samples have activity concentrations in excess of the WHO maximum permissible level of 100 Bq L^{-1} for ²²²Rn in drinking water [20]. The estimated annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE) values due to ingestion of ²²⁶Ra in groundwater range from 0.005 to 2.917 mSv year⁻¹ with mean value of 0.668 ± 0.702 mSv year⁻¹. For ²²²Rn, the AEDE values range from 0.002 to 1.206 mSv year⁻¹ with mean value of 0.089 ± 0.141 mSv year⁻¹. The average values are below the maximum permissible level of 1 mSv year⁻¹ set by the WHO [20].

3.2 Activity concentrations of radionuclides in soil

The activity concentrations data distribution characteristics of ²²⁶Ra (minimum, median, maximum, arithmetic mean, standard deviation, geometric mean, coefficient of variation, skewness and kurtosis) in 100 soil samples obtained from different locations within Ekiti State are presented in Table 2. The activity concentration values range from BDL to 107.5 Bq kg⁻¹ with geometric and arithmetic means of 19.0 \pm 22.1 Bq kg⁻¹ and 24.2 \pm 18.9 Bq kg⁻¹, respectively. Although the average activity concentration of ²²⁶Ra in the soil samples is below the world average value of 35 Bq kq⁻¹ [7], 28% of the soil samples exhibit activities in excess of the world average value. The distribution of ²²⁶Ra activity in the soil of the study area exhibits wide dispersion from the mean with a standard deviation of 18.9 Bg kg⁻¹. The distribution is highly positively skewed (+1.25), indicating that majority of the data are less than the mean value. However, the kurtosis value for the distribution is 2.64. This indicates the existence of more values at the extreme end of the probability curve. The coefficient of variation for the activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra is 78.2% indicating the wide spread of the values about the average value.

The in situ activity concentration measurement of ²²²Rn in soil in the area shows that the range of activity concentration of ²²²Rn is 6.4–298.1 kBq m⁻³ with an average value of 44.9 ± 43.9 kBq m⁻³. The distribution of activity concentration of radon in soil is positively skewed and exhibits positive kurtosis. Generally, the distribution of activity concentrations of radon in soil in the area exhibits wide variability as portrayed by its high standard deviation value (Table 2). This is attributable to the lithology of the area as obtained experimentally by Adepelumi et al. [9] and also the permeability of the soil. The radon potential map for the study area indicating radon-prone areas (generally with C > 30 kBq m⁻³) is shown in Fig. 3. As can be seen from the figure, the areas within the radon-prone areas include Otun in the northwestern part of the study area, central areas including Ido-Ekiti, Iworoko and Ado-Ekiti and Ise-Ekiti which lie in the southeastern part of the study area. These areas lie in the fine-grained biotite granite lithology of the study area. The northeastern part of the state having soil radon activity concentration between 30 and 60 kBq m⁻³ also lies in the radon-prone area of the study area. This area also lies in the granite gneiss lithology of the study area. Comparison of the radon potential map with the geological map of the study area (Fig. 1) shows that the radon-prone areas lie on the granitic lithology of the study area. Granitic rocks are typically rich in ²²⁶Ra which is a parent radionuclide to ²²²Rn.

3.3 Correlation between ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in groundwater and soil

The Pearson correlation coefficient values obtained between ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in groundwater and soil gas are shown in Figs. 4a-f. Correlation of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in groundwater produced insignificant correlation coefficient value of r = -0.089. This reveals that ²²⁶Ra content of the water did not significantly contribute to the radon activity concentration of the groundwater. It further suggests that most of the radon activity concentration might have been contributed by radon produced from uranium-bearing rocks within the aquifers. Determination of Pearson correlation coefficient for ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in soil shows a r value of 0.084. This value reveals a very weak relationship between the ²²²Rn activity concentration at a depth of 1 m in the soil and ²²⁶R activity concentration with 10 cm from the surface soil. This weak relationship may be attributed to the difference in sampling depth. The activity concentrations of ²²²Rn and its parent radionuclide ²²⁶Ra in the two media generally exhibit weak relationship.

Table 1Descriptive statisticsof activity concentrations of 226 Ra and 222 Rn in groundwatersamples and annual effectivedose

Statistic	$\begin{pmatrix} A_{Ra} \\ (Bq L^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{l} AEDE_{Ra}\\ \left(mSvyear^{-1}\right) \end{array}$	$egin{aligned} & A_{\mathrm{Rn}} \ & \left(\mathrm{Bq}\mathrm{L}^{-1} ight) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{array}{l} AEDE_{Rn} \\ \left(mSv year^{-1}\right) \end{array}$
Min	BDL	0.005	0.9	0.002
Med	3.4	0.424	18.6	0.048
Max	14.3	2.917	472.0	1.206
Arith mean	4.1	0.668	34.7	0.089
WHO Guidance Level [20]	1.0	1.000	100.0	1.000
SD	3.4	0.702	55.2	0.141
Geo. mean	2.5	0.202	19.6	0.050
Coeff. of var. (%)	82.9	1.051	1.6	1.584
Kurtosis	0.4	0.770	39.7	39.674
Skewness	1.0	1.167	5.6	5.550

SN Applied Sciences A Springer Nature journal

Table 2 A summary of the activity concentrations of 226 Ra and 222 Rn in soil (n = 100)

Statistic	$A_{\text{Ra}}\left(\text{Bq}\text{kg}^{-1}\right)$	$A_{\rm Rn} \left(\rm kBq m^{-3} \right)$	
Minimum	BDL	6.4	
Median	19.4	32.4	
Maximum	107.5	298.1	
Arith mean	24.2	44.9	
World Average [7]	35	-	
SD	18.9	43.9	
Geo. mean	19.0	33.5	
Coeff. of var. (%)	78.2	97.8	
Kurtosis	2.64	14.3	
Skewness	1.25	3.3	

4 Conclusion

This study assessed the distribution of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in groundwater and soil of Ekiti, Southwest Nigeria. The activity concentrations of the radionuclides in groundwater were found to be within the recommended threshold of the WHO [20], and the groundwater in the environment is therefore safe for consumption and other domestic uses. Radiation dose to the public due to the presence of the radionuclides in soil is also within maximum permissible level. There is a weak correlation between ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn in the environmental media which suggests the influence of radionuclide exchange between the media. The distribution of the radionuclides which have been mapped in this study will provide baseline information for future studies.



Fig. 3 Radon distribution map of the study area showing radon-prone areas



Fig. 4 Correlation plot of ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn activity concentrations in soil

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Waseem A, Ullah H, Rauf MK, Ahmad I (2015) Distribution of natural uranium in surface and groundwater resources: a review. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 45:2391–2423
- 2. Olise FS, Akinnagbe DM, Olasogba OS (2016) Radionuclides and radon levels in soil and ground water from solid minerals-hosted area, south-western Nigeria. Cogent Environ Sci 2(1):1142344
- 3. UNSCEAR (2000) United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation, Report of UNSCEAR to the General Assembly, vol 2. United Nations, New York, USA
- Lauer NE, Hower JC, Hsu-Kim H, Taggart RK, Vengosh A (2015) Naturally occurring radioactive materials in coals and coal combustion residuals in the United States. Environ Sci Technol 49(18):11227–11233
- Isinkaye MO, Shitta MBO (2010) Natural radionuclide content and radiological assessment of clay soils collected from different sites in Ekiti State. Southwest Nigeria Radiat Prot Dosim 139(4):590–596
- 6. Abdurabu WA, Ramli AT, Saleh MA, Heryansyah A (2016) The activity concentrations of ²²²Rn and corresponding health risk in groundwater samples from basement and sandstone aquifer; the correlation to physicochemical parameters. Radiat Phys Chem 127:34–41
- 7. UNSCEAR (2000) United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation, Report of UNSCEAR to the general assembly, United Nations, New York, USA, Annex B
- 8. Avbovbo AA (1980) Basement geology in the sedimentary basins of Nigeria. Geol 8:323–327
- 9. Adepelumi AA, Ajayi TR, Ako BD, Ojo AO (2005) Radon soil–gas as a geological mapping tool: case study from basement complex of Nigeria. Environ Geol 48(6):762–770
- 10. Ologe O, Bankole SA, Adeoye TO (2014) Geo-electric study for groundwater development in Ikunri Estate, Kogi West, Southwestern Nigeria. Ilorin J Sci 1:154–166
- 11. NGSA (2006) The geological map of Nigeria. Published by Nigeria Geological Survey Agency (NGSA), Abuja

- 12. Cothern CR, Lapponbouh WL (1983) Occurrence of uranium in drinking water in the U.S.A. Health Phys 45:89–99
- 13. Isinkaye MO, Ajayi IR (2006) Natural background dose and radium equivalent measurements at Ikogosi warm spring, Nigeria. Radiat Prot Dosim 121:466–468
- Ajiboye Y, Isinkaye MO, Khanderkar MU (2018) Spatial distribution mapping and radiological hazard assessment of groundwater and soil gas radon in Ekiti State. Southwest Nigeria Environ Earth Sci 77:545
- Isinkaye MO, Ajiboye Y (2017) Assessment of annual effective dose due to radon concentrations in deep and shallow wells within Ekiti State. Nigeria Radioprot 52(3):167–170
- Barnekow U, Fesenko S, Kashparov V, Kis-Benedek G, Matisoff G, Onda Y, Sanzharova N, Tarjan S, Tyler A, Varga B (2019) Guidelines on soil and vegetation sampling for radiological monitoring. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Technical repot series No. 486
- 17. Al-bakhat YM, Al-Ani NH, Muhammad Al-Ezawi BF, Ameen NH, Zahar Jabr ZA, Hammid SH (2017) Measurement of radon activity in soil gas and the geogenic radon potential mapping using RAD7 at Al-Tuwaitha nuclear site and the surrounding areas. Radiat Sci Technol 3:29–34
- Mouhti I, Elanique A, Messous MY, Belhorma B, Benahmed AA (2018) Validation of a Nal(TI) and LaBr 3(Ce) detector's models via measurements and Monte Carlo simulations. J Radiat Res Appl Sci 11(4):335–339
- Jibiri NN, Isinkaye MO, Bello AI, Olaniyi PG (2016) Dose assessments from the measured radioactivity in soil, rock, clay, sediment and food crop samples of an elevated radiation area in south-western Nigeria. Environ Earth Sci 75:107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1266 5-015-4819-3
- 20. WHO (2011) Guidelines for drinking-water quality. WHO Chron 38(4):104–108

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.