

On validity of paraxial theory for super-Gaussian laser beams propagating in a plasma

Lalita Devi¹ · Hitendra K. Malik¹

Received: 12 March 2017 / Accepted: 27 July 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract In the present paper, we have investigated a situation where a high intensity laser beam passes through a gas and ionizes this gas by tunnel ionization. Here the electric field of the laser provides a sufficient velocity to the electrons to surpass the Coulomb barrier of the atom. Owing to the ionization the plasma density enhances which affects the laser beam propagation. The use of paraxial ray approximation theory for the present case of super-Gaussian lasers reveals the self-focusing of the beams and frequency upshifting. The predicted self-focusing of the laser beams is contrary to the expected outcome of defocusing of these beams in the plasma, indicating that the paraxial theory may not be valid for the case of super-Gaussian lasers even for the inclusion of most of the near axis region in the theory.

Keywords Tunnel ionization · Self-focusing · Super-Gaussian lasers · Frequency upshift · Paraxial ray approximation

Introduction

The interaction of high power laser pulse with gases and plasmas is a major field of research due to its applications in laser electron accelerator, laser driven fusion, and super-continuum generation [1–4]. For many of these applications, it is highly desirable that the laser pulse propagate extended

distances (many Rayleigh lengths) at high intensity. The problem of spot size evolution, self-focusing and defocusing of the laser pulse in plasma are of great interest. Self-focusing is a non-linear optical process induced by a change in refractive index of material exposed to intense laser radiation. A medium whose refractive index increases with electric field intensity acts as focusing lens for a laser beam characterized by an initial transverse intensity gradient. There are studies where people have tried to enhance the Rayleigh length. Most of the studies have been conducted for the laser beams having Gaussian profile using paraxial ray approximation [5–8].

Sodha et al. [9] reviewed the self-focusing of electromagnetic beams in plasmas, referring primarily to steady state self-focusing. Esarey et al. [10] gave an extensive review of paraxial ray theory of self-focusing and self-guiding of short laser pulses in ionizing gases and plasmas. Fedosejevs et al. [11] reported experimental results on the competing processes of ionization-induced refraction and relativistic self-focusing. Liu and Tripathi [7] developed a theoretical framework to study the combined effects of the laser-frequency upshift, self-defocusing, and ring formation. The self-defocusing of the laser prepulse and laser guiding of the second laser pulse in an axially nonuniform plasma channel has also been studied by them [12]. The Ohmic and the ponderomotive nonlinearities at sub-picosecond pulse lengths are weak, as the time scale for ambipolar plasma diffusion is much longer. However, two other major nonlinearities are important. These arise due to tunnel ionization of atoms in the optical field of the laser and due to the relativistic dependence of electron mass on its velocity. The former nonlinearity is important when the laser field is comparable with the atomic Coulomb field.

However, the super-Gaussian lasers have recently attracted the researchers all over the world [13–17] for their

✉ Hitendra K. Malik
hkmalik@hotmail.com

¹ Plasma Waves and Particle Acceleration Laboratory,
Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
New Delhi 110016, India

better extraction from saturated laser amplifiers, better non-linear conversion for same peak intensity, and top-hat beams have a parabolic thermal lens which does not decrease beam quality. Due to this reason, it becomes vital to investigate the profile of super-Gaussian lasers when they tunnel ionize the gas and produce the plasma. Hence, in the present article, we have derived the coupled equations for the amplitude and phase of super-Gaussian laser beam and solve it numerically using initial conditions.

Coupled equations for amplitude and phase

Let us consider the propagation of a circularly polarized laser beam in a gas jet target. At $z = 0$, the laser field is given by

$$\vec{E} = (\hat{x} + i\hat{y})E_0(r)e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \tag{1}$$

where $E_0 = E_{00}\exp(-r^4/2r_0^4)$ for $t > 0$ and $E_0 = 0$ for $t < 0$.

The field of the laser ionizes the gas as it passes through it. The tunnel ionization of the gas gives rise to a changing plasma density n_p and plasma frequency ω_p , given by:

$$\frac{\partial \omega_p^2}{\partial t} = \gamma_T(\omega_N^2 - \omega_p^2), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\gamma_T = (\pi/2)^{1/2}(I_0/\hbar)(|E|/E_a)^{1/2}\exp(-E_a/|E|), \tag{3}$$

is the rate of tunnel ionization by the laser electric field E , $\omega_N^2 = 4\pi n_N e^2/m$, n_N is the initial density of neutral atoms, $E_a = (4/3)\sqrt{2m}(I_0)^{3/2}/e\hbar$ is the characteristic atomic field, I_0 is the ionization potential, and $h = 2\pi \hbar$ is the Planck's constant.

Inside the ionizing gas ($z > 0$), $\vec{E} = (\hat{x} + i\hat{y})A(t, z, r)\exp(-i\varphi)$, where A is the slowly varying complex amplitude and $\varphi(t, z)$ is the fast phase of the wave. We define $\omega = \partial\varphi/\partial t$, $k = -\partial\varphi/\partial z$, $\omega_{p0}^2 = \omega_p^2(t, z, r = 0)$. The wave equation for the laser pulse is written as:

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\omega_p^2}{c^2} \vec{E}. \tag{4}$$

Substituting for \vec{E} we obtain, to successive orders, in the WKB approximation,

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{p0}^2 + k^2 c^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2ik \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + \frac{2i\omega}{c^2} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\perp}^2 A + i \frac{\partial k}{\partial z} A + \frac{i}{c^2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} A \\ = \frac{1}{c^2} [\omega_p^2(t, z, r) - \omega_{p0}^2(t, z)] A. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Differentiating Eq. (5) w.r.t. t , using $\partial k/\partial t = -\partial\omega/\partial z$, and defining $v_g = c(1 - \omega_{p0}^2/\omega^2)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \omega^2}{\partial t} + v_g \frac{\partial \omega^2}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \omega_{p0}^2}{\partial t}. \tag{7}$$

Combining the first and fourth, and second and fifth terms of Eq. (6) and defining the action amplitude $A_F = (\omega/\omega_0)^{1/2} A$, $t' = t - z/c$, $z' = z$, and assuming $\omega_p^2/\omega^2 \ll 1$, we can rewrite Eqs. (6) and (7) simply as

$$\frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial A_F}{\partial z'} + \nabla_{\perp}^2 A_F = \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_{p0}^2}{c^2} A_F, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega^2}{\partial z'} = (\gamma_{T0}/c)(\omega_N^2 - \omega_{p0}^2), \tag{9}$$

together with $\gamma_{T0} = \gamma_T(r = 0)$. We consider cylindrically symmetric propagation and write $A_F = A_{F0} \exp(iQ)$, where $A_{F0}(t', z', r)$ and $Q(t', z', r)$ are real. Separating the real and imaginary parts of Eq. (8), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2\omega}{c} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z'} A_{F0} + \frac{\partial^2 A_{F0}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_{F0}}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial r}\right)^2 A_{F0} \\ = \frac{\omega_p^2 - \omega_{p0}^2}{c^2} A_{F0}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\omega}{c} \frac{\partial A_{F0}^2}{\partial z'} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r}\right) A_{F0}^2 + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r} \frac{\partial A_{F0}^2}{\partial r} = 0. \tag{11}$$

To solve these equations, we expand ω_p^2 , Q , γ_T in powers of r^2 , as:

$$\omega_p^2 = \omega_{p0}^2 + \omega_{p2}^2 r^2/r_0^2 + \omega_{p4}^2 r^4/r_0^4 + \omega_{p6}^2 r^6/r_0^6,$$

$$Q = Q_0 + Q_2 r^2/r_0^2 + Q_4 r^4/r_0^4 + Q_6 r^6/r_0^6,$$

$$\gamma_T = \gamma_{T0} + \gamma_{T2} r^2/r_0^2 + \gamma_{T4} r^4/r_0^4 + \gamma_{T6} r^6/r_0^6,$$

i.e., in the non paraxial approximation. Since the electric field profile of the super-Gaussian laser beam is flat over a certain region away from the axis, we have included higher order terms (up to r^6) so that most of the region can be included. Keeping the analysis up to r^4 order terms, a significant error shall occur. However, the higher order terms shall have negligible impact in the case of Gaussian laser beams.

In this approximation, we write the laser intensity as:

$$A_{F0}^2 = \left(1 + \frac{a_2 r^2}{r_0^2 f_B^2} + \frac{a_4 r^4}{r_0^4 f_B^4} + \frac{a_6 r^6}{r_0^6 f_B^6}\right) \frac{E_{00}^2}{f_B^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^4}{r_0^4 f_B^4}\right).$$

The coefficients involved in the expansion of γ_T can be determined using Eq. (3). On comparing the successive powers of r^2 , we obtain the various equations:

$$\frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial z} = \frac{a_2}{\omega_{SE} f_B^2},$$

$$Q_2 = \left(\frac{\omega_{SF}}{2f_B}\right) \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial \zeta},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_B}{\partial \zeta^2} + \frac{1}{\omega_{SF}} \frac{\partial \omega_{SF}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial \zeta} = -\frac{\omega_{P2}^2 f_B r_0^2}{c^2 \omega_{SF}^2} + \frac{(8a_4 - 8 - 3a_2^2)}{f_B^3 \omega_{SF}^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} (Q_4 f_B^4) = -\frac{\omega_{P4}^2 f_B^4 r_0^2}{c^2 \omega_{SF}^2} + \frac{(2a_2^3 - 8a_2 a_4 + 9a_6 - 2a_2)}{f_B^2 \omega_{SF}},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} (Q_6 f_B^6) = -\frac{\omega_{P6}^2 f_B^6 r_0^2}{2c^2 \omega_{SF}^2} - \frac{8Q_4^2 f_B^6}{\omega_{SF}} + \frac{1}{2f_B^2 \omega_{SF}} [a_2^2(18a_4 - 3a_2^2 + 4) - 12a_4^2 - 28a_2 a_6 + 4 - 8a_4],$$

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \zeta} = -\frac{16Q_4 f_B^2}{\omega_{SF}},$$

$$\frac{\partial a_4}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{\omega_{SF}} [-36Q_6 f_B^4 - 24a_2 Q_4 f_B^2 + 4a_2^2 Q_2],$$

$$\frac{\partial a_6}{\partial \zeta} = -(-4 + 6a_4) f_B a_2 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{8Q_4 f_B^2}{\omega_{SF}} (a_2^2 + 4a_4) - \frac{48Q_6 f_B^4 a_2}{\omega_{SF}},$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{P2}^2}{\partial \tau} = -g^{(0)} \omega_{P2}^2 + \frac{g^{(0)} a_2}{4f_B^2} (1 + 2g_1) (\omega_N^2 - \omega_{P0}^2),$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{P4}^2}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_{T0}^{(0)}} (\omega_N^2 - \omega_{P0}^2) - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_{T0}^{(0)}} \omega_{P2}^2 - g^{(0)} \omega_{P4}^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{P6}^2}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\gamma_6}{\gamma_{T0}^{(0)}} (\omega_N^2 - \omega_{P0}^2) - \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_{T0}^{(0)}} \omega_{P2}^2 - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_{T0}^{(0)}} \omega_{P4}^2 - g^{(0)} \omega_{P6}^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{SF}}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{(\omega_N^2 - \omega_{P0}^2) \gamma_{T0}^{(0)} g^{(0)} r_0^2}{2c^2 \omega_{SF} \omega_0}.$$

The above coupled equations are written in the dimensionless quantities $\zeta = z'/R_d$, $\tau = \gamma_{T0} t'$, $\omega_{SF} = \omega/\omega_0$, and $R_d = (\omega_0/c)r_0^2$ is Rayleigh length.

Results and discussion

The coupled equations are solved by applying boundary conditions for an initially plane wave front. The initial and boundary conditions are at $\tau = 0$, $\omega_{P0}^2, \omega_{P2}^2, \omega_{P4}^2, \omega_{P6}^2 = 0$ for all ζ and at $\zeta = 0$, $f_B = 1, \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial \zeta} = 0, \omega_{SF} = 1, a_2, a_4, a_6 = 0, Q_4, Q_6 = 0$ for all τ . Since the Keldysh parameter γ decides the nature of ionization and $\gamma \ll 1$ for the tunnel ionization, we select the laser and plasma parameters such that this condition is satisfied. The Keldysh parameter is given by $\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{E_1}{2U_p}} = \sqrt{\frac{e_0 m_e c E_1 \omega^2}{q^2 I}}$, where E_1 is the ionization potential of atom, q is charge on the electron, I is the intensity of the laser beam and other symbols have their usual meanings.




Fig. 1 Variation of beamwidth parameter f_B as a function of distance of propagation ζ , for argon gas when intensity = 6.8×10^{19} W/m²




Fig. 2 Variation of beamwidth parameter f_B as a function of distance of propagation ζ for different gases. The parameters are $r_0/\lambda_0 = 4, \omega_N^2/\omega_0^2 = 0.008$ and intensity = 6.8×10^{19} W/m²

Figure 1 shows that the beam width parameter goes down with the distance travelled by the laser beam, indicating the self-focusing of the beams. The effect of self-focusing is found to be more for a laser beam of small spot size and smaller wavelength. On the other hand, self-focusing is found to be reduced as we increase the density of neutral atom in the gas.

Figure 2 shows the variation of beam width parameter for three different gases, namely xenon, argon and neon. Here one finds that the self-focusing of the laser in the case of neon gas is more as compared to the argon and xenon gases. On the other hand, this is observed that the lasers of much higher intensities encounter less significant effect of the focusing (see Fig. 3).




Fig. 3 Variation of beamwidth parameter f_B for different intensities of the laser beams, when $\tau = 0.3$, $r_0/\lambda_0 = 4$ and $\omega_N^2/\omega_0^2 = 0.008$ and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2. The intensity I in the legends carries the units W/m^2




Fig. 5 Normalized intensity $(A/E_{00})^2$ pattern of the lasers as a function of r/r_0 for different intensities. This figure corresponds to Fig. 4. The intensity I_0 in the legends carries the units W/m^2




Fig. 4 Normalized intensity $(A/E_{00})^2$ pattern of the laser as a function of r/r_0 for different gases at $\zeta = 0.3$, $\tau = 1.4$, $\omega_N^2/\omega_0^2 = 0.01$ and intensity $= 6.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/m}^2$

We have plotted Fig. 4 for examining the change in intensity profile of the lasers when they propagate in the tunnel ionized plasma for different gases. The figure shows strong self-focusing of the lasers for all the gases. However, there is a significant change in the laser intensity profile when it ionizes the xenon gas and propagates in it. This effect is found to be prominent in the case of lasers carrying lower intensity (Fig. 5).




Fig. 6 Dependence of frequency ω_{SF} on the propagation distance ζ for different gases, when $r_0/\lambda_0 = 4$ and intensity $= 6.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/m}^2$

The theory used in the present article also predicts the frequency shifting of the lasers when they tunnel ionize the gas and propagate in the formed plasma. The change in the frequency with various parameters is shown in the forthcoming figures.

Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the variation of frequency of the laser when it propagates in the plasma. This is evident from these figures that the frequency gets upshifted during the




Fig. 7 Dependence of frequency ω_{SF} on the laser intensity, when $r_0/\lambda_0 = 4$ and laser propagates in argon gas




Fig. 8 Dependence of frequency ω_{SF} on the wavelength of the laser, spot size and density of neutrals, when intensity = $6.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/m}^2$ and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2

propagation of the laser beam in the plasma. The highest shifting takes place in the case of xenon gas, whereas this is the least in the case of neon gas. The significant upshifting of the laser frequency takes place when the laser carries higher intensity (Fig. 7). Under this situation, less significant change in the laser profiles was also noticed. This is observed that the lasers with higher spot size undergo much significant changes in the frequency (Fig. 8); similar is the effect when there is a gas with larger number of neutrals. Another observation is that the lasers of larger wavelength undergo with the larger upshifting in its frequency.

Conclusions

We have considered super-Gaussian beam profile having maximum intensity on the axis and minimum intensity away from the axis. As the laser beam propagates in the gas to form the plasma, the plasma density should stay maximum on the axis which should result in minimum refractive index on the axis. Since the phase velocity of the lasers depends inversely on the refractive index, the phase velocity becomes maximum on the axis and falls down sharply on the edges. This would lead to a diverging wavefront, causing the laser beam to defocus. Kumar and Tripathi [6] observed the self-defocusing of Gaussian laser pulse based on the paraxial ray theory in tunnel ionized helium plasma. Liu and Tripathi [7] also observed the self-defocusing of Gaussian beam based on the paraxial ray theory in tunnel ionized neon plasma. However, we point out that the use of this theory in the case of super-Gaussian lasers beams provides an unphysical result of self-focusing of these beams. Hence, the paraxial ray approximation theory does not seem to be authentic in the case of super-Gaussian laser beams.

Acknowledgements One of the authors, Lalita Devi, would like to thank CSIR, Govt. of India for providing the financial support for this work.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Braun, A., Korn, G., Liu, X., Du, D., Squier, J., Mourou, G.: Self-channeling of high-peak-power femtosecond laser pulses in air. *Opt. Lett.* **20**, 73–75 (1995)
- Esarey, E., Sprangle, P.: Generation of stimulated back scattered harmonic generation from intense laser interactions with beams and plasmas. *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 5872–5882 (1992)
- Malik, H.K.: Analytical calculation of wakefield generated by microwave pulses in a plasma filled waveguide for electron acceleration. *J. Appl. Phys.* **104**, 053308(1–7) (2008)
- Deutsch, G.C., Furukawa, H., Mima, K., Murakami, M., Nishihara, K.: Interaction physics of the fast ignitor concept. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2483 (1996)
- Faisal, M., Mishra, S.K., Verma, M.P., Sodha, M.S.: Ring formation in self-focusing of electromagnetic beams in plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **14**, 103103 (2007)
- Kumar, N., Tripathi, V.K.: Non-paraxial theory of self-defocusing/focusing of a laser pulse in a multiple-ionizing gas. *Appl. Phys. B* **82**, 53–58 (2006)
- Liu, C.S., Tripathi, V.K.: Laser frequency upshift, self defocusing, and ring formation in tunnel ionizing gases and plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **7**, 4360 (2000)

8. Singh, A., Singh, N.: Guiding of a laser beam in a collisionless magnetoplasma channel. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **28**, 081844(1–7) (2011)
9. Sodha, M.S., Prasad, S., Tripathi, V.K.: Nonstationary self-focusing of a Gaussian pulse in a plasma. *J. Appl. Phys.* **46**, 637 (1975)
10. Esarey, E., Sprangle, P., Krall, J., Ting, A.: Self-focusing and guiding of short laser pulses in ionizing gases and plasmas. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **33**, 1879 (1997)
11. Fedosejevs, R., Wang, X.F., Tsakiris, G.D.: Onset of relativistic self-focusing in high density gas jet targets. *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 4615 (1997)
12. Liu, C.S., Tripathi, V.K.: Laser guiding in an axially nonuniform plasma channel. *Phys. Plasmas* **1**, 3100 (1994)
13. Singh, D., Malik, H.K.: THz generation by mixing of two super-Gaussian laser beams in collisional plasma. *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 083105(1–5) (2014)
14. Malik, A.K., Malik, H.K.: Tuning and focusing of terahertz radiation by DC magnetic field in a laser beating process. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **49**, 232–237 (2013)
15. Malik, H.K.: Terahertz radiation generation by lasers with remarkable efficiency in electron–positron plasma. *Phys. Lett. A* **379**, 2826–2829 (2015)
16. Malik, H.K.: Density bunch formation by microwave in a plasma-filled cylindrical waveguide. *Europhys. Lett.* **106**, 55002(1–5) (2014)
17. Malik, H.K., Malik, A.K.: Strong and collimated terahertz radiation by super-Gaussian lasers. *Europhys. Lett.* **100**, 45001(1–5) (2012)