A semi-discrete scheme for the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz equation

François Alouges · Anne de Bouard ·
Antoine Hocquet

Received: 21 January 2014 / Published online: 28 May 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract We propose a new convergent time semi-discrete scheme for the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. The scheme is only linearly implicit and does not require the resolution of a nonlinear problem at each time step. Using a martingale approach, we prove the convergence in law of the scheme up to a subsequence.

Keywords Landau–Lifshitz equation · Numerical analysis · Stochastic partial differential equations

Mathematics Subject Classification 35K55 · 60H15 · 65Z05

1 Introduction

Ferromagnetic materials possess a spontaneous magnetization m which evolution is classically modeled, when thermal fluctuations are negligible, according to the so-called Landau–Lifshitz equation (see e.g. [1, 2])

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m = -\alpha m \times (m \times H_{\text{eff}}) + m \times H_{\text{eff}}, & \text{in } (0, T) \times D, \\ \partial_n m(t, x) = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial D, \\ m(0, x) := m_0(x), & x \in D. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

F. Alouges · A. de Bouard (✉) · A. Hocquet
CMAP, CNRS and Ecole Polytechnique, Route de Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
e-mail: debouard@cmap.polytechnique.fr

F. Alouges
e-mail: francois.alouges@polytechnique.edu

A. Hocquet
e-mail: antoine.hocquet@polytechnique.edu

In (1), $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is the domain occupied by the sample, $\alpha > 0$ is a damping parameter. The effective field $H_{\text{eff}} := -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(m)}{\partial m}$, where $\mathcal{E}(m)$ is the Brown energy of m (see [3] for more details), which governs the dynamics, contains several terms according to different physical phenomena : exchange, anisotropy, stray field, external field, magnetostriction, etc. Notice that (1) preserves the local magnitude of the magnetization, namely, assuming $m_0(x) \in \mathbb{S}^2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3, |x| = 1\}$, we formally have

$$m(t, x) \in \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times D. \quad (2)$$

There is an abundant literature on the mathematical properties of (1). We refer the reader to [4–11], and references therein for the state-of-the-art of the analysis of (1).

In [1], thermal fluctuations are taken into account by adding to (1) a (stochastic) noise term $\xi(t, x)$. Following the presentation given in [12–15], and focusing on the case where only the exchange term is considered (i.e. $H_{\text{eff}} = \Delta m$) we modify (1) to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m = -\alpha m \times (m \times \Delta m) + m \times (\Delta m + \xi), & \text{in } (0, T) \times D, \\ \partial_n m(t, x) = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial D, \\ m(0, x) := m_0(x) & \forall x \in D. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

According to physicists, ξ should be a Gaussian space-time white noise (see for instance, the review article [16] and references therein), that is uncorrelated in space. However, due to the lack of regularity of the space time white noise, Eq. (3) is not expected to possess a well defined solution in this case, and we therefore consider in this article a more regular noise in space. Namely, let W be a cylindrical Wiener process that is given by the expression

$$W(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i(t) e_i,$$

where $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denotes a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(D)^3$, and $(\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ stands for a sequence of real valued and independent brownian motions. Then, writing for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_i := G e_i$, we set

$$\xi(t, x) = G \dot{W} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \dot{\beta}_i(t) G_i(x),$$

where G is a given Hilbert–Schmidt operator from the space $L^2(D)^3$ into the space $H^2(D)^3$.

As long as we have not specified the choice of the stochastic integral, (3) may have different meanings [17]. It is well-known (see for instance [18]) that in order to satisfy the geometrical constraint (2), the product with the noise term must be understood in the Stratonovich sense, which leads to the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz equation (SLL), where, for simplicity, we have set the parameter α to one :

$$dm = (-m \times (m \times \Delta m) + m \times \Delta m) dt + m \times \circ(G dW), \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times D. \quad (4)$$

Here we have denoted by “ $\circ d$ ” the Stratonovich differential, and we will denote by “ d ” the Ito differential. We set the initial condition $m(0, x) = m_0(x)$, for any $x \in D$.

In order to work with a non-anticipative integral, we change (4) to its Ito form. Using the formal relation between the Stratonovich and Ito differentials, a corresponding Ito formulation of (4) is obtained by adding a correction term to the drift of (4). This term is what we may call in the sequel “the Ito correction”. In this sense, the noise term can be rewritten as follows (see e.g. [12, 13, 15]) :

$$m \times \circ(G dW) = m \times (G dW) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (m \times G_i) \times G_i dt.$$

Noticing furthermore that $m \times (m \times \Delta m)$ formally equals $-\Delta m - m|\nabla m|^2$, we rewrite Eq. (4) as

$$dm = (\Delta m + m|\nabla m|^2 + m \times \Delta m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (m \times G_i) \times G_i) dt + m \times (G dW). \quad (5)$$

We refer to [12] for a review of the existing results on Eq. (5).

The so-called Gilbert form (SLLG) is (still formally) obtained by applying the operator $(\text{Id} - m \times \cdot)$ to the previous equation :

$$\begin{aligned} dm - m \times dm &= [2(\Delta m + m|\nabla m|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{Id} - m \times)((m \times G_i) \times G_i)] dt \\ &\quad + (\text{Id} - m \times)(m \times (G dW)). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Equivalence between (5) and (6) is not clearly stated in the litterature and we therefore establish it in Remark 2.

Developing numerical schemes for the simulation of LLG plays a prominent role in the modeling of ferromagnetic materials. We refer the reader to [19–21] for an overview of the literature on the subject. However, reliable schemes for the simulation of (SLL, SLLG) remain very few. Probably the first scheme for which convergence can be proved is given in [13] and is based on a Crank–Nicolson-type time-marching evolution which relies on a nonlinear iteration solved by a fixed point method. On the other hand, there has been in the past recent years an intensive development of a new class of numerical methods for LLG, based on a linear iteration, and for which unconditional convergence and stability can be shown [22–25]. The aim of this paper is to extend the ideas developed there and generalize the scheme in order to take into account the stochastic term. Note that this approach has already been used in [26] where a fully discrete scheme for (4) but with a one-dimensional noise is studied. The method used in that paper is based on the so-called Doss–Sussmann technique [27, 28], which, thanks to a geometric transformation, allows to replace the stochastic PDE by an equivalent PDE with random coefficients. However, it is well known that this method only works with a one-dimensional noise, and cannot be generalized to our setting. Instead, in the present paper, we apply the projection scheme directly on the original stochastic equation. This allows to consider a more general noise, but requires

a specific treatment of the corresponding term. We think that the methodology that we develop can be generalized to stochastic differential or partial differential equations with a geometrical constraint. It is definitely different from—though related to—the approach of [29] (see Remark 1 below).

We only consider here a time semi-discrete approximation of (SLL, SLLG) for which we show the unconditional convergence when the time step tends to 0. Proving the convergence of the fully discrete approximation (using a finite element method in space) would not cause any major difficulty (see [23] for details).

Notation. Throughout this paper, we assume that $T > 0$ is a given constant and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$ is a stochastic basis, that is $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a filtration and $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ a cylindrical Wiener process adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)$. The domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is supposed to be bounded; we denote by $a \cdot b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$), the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$), and by $|\cdot|$ the associated euclidean norm. Norms in Banach spaces are in turn denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. In particular, the notation $\|f\|_{p,x}$ will be used to designate indifferently the $L^p(D)^3$ or $L^p(D)^{3 \times 3}$ norm. The inner product in the space $L^2(D)^3$ (respectively $L^2(D)^{3 \times 3}$) of square integrable functions with values in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$) is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2,x}$, namely

$$\forall f, g \in L^2(D)^3, \quad (f, g)_{2,x} := \int_D f(x) \cdot g(x) \, dx,$$

and

$$\forall F, G \in L^2(D)^{3 \times 3}, \quad (F, G)_{2,x} := \int_D F(x) \cdot G(x) \, dx.$$

The notation $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$, where X is a Banach space, is used to denote the space of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ into X . Classical Sobolev spaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$ -valued functions are denoted by $W^{\alpha,p}(D)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, or $H^\alpha(D)$ when $p = 2$, (see e.g. [30]). Finally, the norm of a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from $L^2(D)$ into $H^\alpha(D)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{2,\alpha}$. For a given number of time intervals $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define the time step $\Delta t := \frac{T}{N}$, and $\Delta W_N^n := W((n+1)\Delta t) - W(n\Delta t)$, for any n with $0 \leq n \leq N-1$. Therefore $G \Delta W_N^n$ is a gaussian random variable on $L^2(D)^3$ with covariance operator $(\Delta t)GG^*$.

2 Main result

Our purpose is to analyse a semi-implicit scheme with parameter $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Unlike the approach used in [13], we use the Gilbert form of the equation, i.e. Eq. (6). This approach allows us to overcome the difficulty of solving a nonlinear system at each step of the algorithm. Given the data m_N^n , where N is the number of time steps, and $n \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, which is an approximation of $m(n\Delta t)$, the unknown v_N^n , namely the tangential increment of m_N^n , can be found simply by solving a linear system. Indeed, following an idea of [23], one may search v_N^n in the subset of $H^1(D)^3$

whose elements are almost everywhere orthogonal to m_N^n , so that the non linear term in $m_N^n \times (m_N^n \times \Delta m_N^n) = -\Delta m_N^n - m_N^n |\nabla m_N^n|^2$ vanishes when testing against functions that also satisfy this constraint. Roughly speaking, the test functions in the following formulation (10) “only see” the part of $m_N^{n+1} - m_N^n$ which is orthogonal to m_N^n , but this is however sufficient, as shown in Sect. 6.

Let us now describe the scheme rigorously. We fix the parameter

$$\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1], \quad (7)$$

and assume that the operator $G : L^2(D)^3 \rightarrow H^2(D)^3$ satisfies

$$\|G\|_{2,2}^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|G_i\|_{H^2(D)^3}^2 < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Our algorithm reads as follows, for a given integer $N > 0$:

Algorithm () : Fix*

$$m_N^0 := m_0 \in H^1(D)^3, \quad (9)$$

and for any $n \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, suppose that the random variable $m_N^n(\omega, \cdot) \in H^1(D)^3$ is known. Let $v_N^n(\omega, \cdot)$ be the unique solution in the space

$$\mathbb{W}_{N,n}(\omega) := \left\{ \psi \in H^1(D)^3, \forall x \in D, \psi(x) \perp m_N^n(\omega, x) \right\},$$

of the variational problem : $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{W}_{N,n}(\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_N^n - m_N^n \times v_N^n, \varphi)_{2,x} + 2\theta \Delta t (\nabla v_N^n, \nabla \varphi)_{2,x} \\ & = -2\Delta t (\nabla m_N^n, \nabla \varphi)_{2,x} + (\text{Id} - m_N^n \times) (m_N^n \times G \Delta W_N^n), \varphi)_{2,x} \\ & + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((\text{Id} - m_N^n \times) (m_N^n \times G_i) \times G_i), \varphi)_{2,x}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then, we set, for all $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times D$,

$$m_N^{n+1}(\omega, x) = \frac{m_N^n(\omega, x) + v_N^n(\omega, x)}{|m_N^n(\omega, x) + v_N^n(\omega, x)|}. \quad (11)$$

Note that the formulation (10) is a θ -scheme applied to the variational formulation of Eq. (6) (see [23]). One has $m_N^n \in H^1(D)^3$ a.s., for any $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and $(m_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is adapted to the filtration $(\mathbb{F}_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{F}_N^n := \sigma \{GW(k\Delta t), 0 \leq k \leq n\}. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, it is not difficult to prove that under the above assumptions, problem (10) admits a unique solution $v_N^n(\omega, \cdot) \in \mathbb{W}_{N,n}(\omega)$ (see [23] for a proof in the deter-

ministic case). The noise and correction terms do not alter the hypotheses of the Lax–Milgram theorem. Moreover, this solution depends continuously in $H^1(D)^3$ on the two arguments $(m_N^n, G \Delta W_N^n)$, for the $H^1(D)^3 \times L^2(D)^3$ topology. It implies in particular that the law of v_N^n on $H^1(D)^3$ only depends on the law of $(m_N^n, G \Delta W_N^n)$ on $H^1(D)^3 \times L^2(D)^3$.

Remark 1 As mentioned before, the approach here is different from the one in [29], where the approximation of solutions of some Stratonovich stochastic differential equation with values in a manifold is considered. Indeed, in [29], the scheme consists in using the explicit Euler scheme (which approximates the Itô equation) on one time step, and then projecting the solution on the manifold. Here, we do not approximate the Itô equation, since part of the Itô correction is put in the increment. We will see that the projection on the manifold (the sphere here) brings the remaining part of the Itô correction.

We now give the definition of the martingale solutions of Eq. (5) that we consider here, which is similar to the one in [13, 15]).

Definition 1 (*Martingale solution*) Given $T > 0$, a martingale solution on $[0, T]$ of (5) is given by a filtered probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t))$, together with $H^1(D)^3$ -valued, progressively measurable processes $G\tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{m}$ defined on this space, where $G\tilde{W}$ is a Wiener process on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t))$, with covariance operator GG^* , and $\tilde{m}$ satisfies the following assumptions :

1. $\tilde{m}(\omega, \cdot) \in C([0, T]; L^2(D)^3)$, a.s.
2. for any $t \in [0, T]$, $\tilde{m}(t)$ belongs to $H^1(D)^3$, and the random variable $\Delta\tilde{m} + \tilde{m}|\nabla\tilde{m}|^2 + \tilde{m} \times \Delta\tilde{m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{m} \times G_i) \times G_i$ takes its values in the space $L^1(0, T; L^2(D)^3)$, a.s.
3. $\tilde{m}$ satisfies (5); more precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(t) = m_0 &+ \int_0^t \left(\Delta\tilde{m}(s) + \tilde{m}(s)|\nabla\tilde{m}(s)|^2 + \tilde{m}(s) \times \Delta\tilde{m}(s) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{m}(s) \times G_i) \times G_i \right) ds + \int_0^t \tilde{m}(s) \times (G d\tilde{W}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

where the first integral is the Bochner integral in $L^2(D)^3$, and the second is the Itô integral of a predictable $H^1(D)^3$ -valued process.

4. $|\tilde{m}(\omega, t, x)| = 1$ for almost every $(\omega, t, x) \in \tilde{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D$.

Remark 2 Note that if $\tilde{m}$ is a martingale solution of (5), then we can rewrite the stochastic integral of the predictable process $s \mapsto \tilde{m}(s) \times$ with respect to the semimartingale $\tilde{m}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \tilde{m}(s) \times d\tilde{m}(s) \\ &= \int_0^t \tilde{m}(s) \times d \left(\int_0^s I(\sigma) d\sigma \right) + \int_0^t \tilde{m}(s) \times d \left(\int_0^s \tilde{m}(\sigma) \times G d\tilde{W}(\sigma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where I is given by $\forall s \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) &= \Delta \tilde{m}(s) + \tilde{m}(s) |\nabla \tilde{m}(s)|^2 + \tilde{m}(s) \times \Delta \tilde{m}(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{m}(s) \times G_i) \times G_i \in L^2(\mathcal{Q} \times D)^3. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from classical properties of stochastic integrals with respect to semi-martingales that

$$\int_0^t (\text{Id} - \tilde{m}(s) \times) d\tilde{m}(s) = \int_0^t (\text{Id} - \tilde{m}(s) \times) I(s) ds + \int_0^t (\text{Id} - \tilde{m}(s) \times) G d\tilde{W}(\sigma),$$

and since for almost all ω, t, x , $|\tilde{m}(\omega, t, x)| = 1$, $\tilde{m}$ is also a solution to (6). Thus (5) and (6) are in fact equivalent.

Our main result is then given by the following theorem, and says that, up to a subsequence, the discrete solution m_N of the algorithm (*) converges in law to a martingale solution of equation (5).

Theorem 1 (Convergence of the algorithm) *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define the progressively measurable $H^1(D)^3$ -valued process m_N by:*

$$m_N(t) := m_N^n \text{ if } t \in [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t).$$

There exists a martingale solution of (5) $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, (\tilde{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \tilde{m})$, and a sequence $(\tilde{m}_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^}$ of random processes defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$, with the same law as m_N , so that up to a subsequence, the following convergence holds :*

$$\tilde{m}_N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}, \text{ in } L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we proceed in several steps. In Sect. 3, we establish uniform estimates for several processes. We first establish a uniform bound on the Dirichlet energy of m_N , thanks to the variational formulation, and an appropriate choice of the test function. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the tightness of the sequence (m_N) on the space $L^2([0, T] \times D)^3$. After a change of probability space, we can assume that there exists an almost sure limit m of (m_N) , that is

$$m_N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} m \text{ a.s. in } L^2([0, T] \times D)^3.$$

Then, setting

$$m_N(t) = m_0 + F_N(t) + X_N(t), \quad (13)$$

where $(X_N(n\Delta t))_{0 \leq n \leq N-1}$ defines an $L^2(D)^3$ -valued discrete parameter martingale, with respect to the filtration $(\mathbb{F}_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N-1}$ [see (12)], and $F_N(t)$ is, for each N , a deterministic function of $m_N|_{[0,t]}$, we use (10) and the previous energy estimates to identify $F_N(t)$ and its limit up to a subsequence. In Sect. 5, we show that, still up to a subsequence, $X_N(t)$ converges to a limit $X(t)$ which is a square-integrable continuous martingale with an explicit quadratic variation. The martingale representation theorem allows us to conclude : there exists a new filtered probability space for which the limit of the martingale part is a stochastic integral with respect to a Wiener process $G\tilde{W}$ with covariance operator GG^* . Finally we use the limit of (13) and this latter stochastic integral in order to identify the equation satisfied by $m(t)$. The explicit form of the limit $F(t)$ of $F_N(t)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ is the Bochner integral of the $L^2(D)^3$ -valued process $t' \mapsto \Delta m(t') + m(t')|\nabla m(t')|^2 + m(t') \times \Delta m(t') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (m(t') \times G_i) \times G_i$ on the time interval $[0, t]$, which allows us to conclude.

3 Energy estimates

Fix $N > 0$, and set $m_N^0 = m_0$. Let $(m_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ and $(v_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be given by the algorithm (*). In all what follows, we write

$$A_N^n := m_N^n \times (G\Delta W_N^n). \quad (14)$$

This term corresponds to the noise term which is added at each step of the algorithm. Thanks to the Gaussian properties of $G\Delta W_N^n$, the fact that $\|m_N^n\|_{L^\infty(D)^3} \leq 1$, and the Sobolev embeddings, we have the following obvious, but useful estimates : for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq \Delta t \|G\|_{2,0}^2, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|A_N^n\|_{L^4}^4 \right] \leq C(\Delta t)^2 \|G\|_{2,1}^4. \quad (16)$$

Proposition 1 *There exists a constant $C = C(T, m_0, \|G\|_{2,2})$, so that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$\max_{n=0 \dots N} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C\Delta t, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C, \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C. \quad (20)$$

The proof of Proposition 1 uses the following remark, together with the estimate of Lemma 1 below, whose proof is postponed to the end of Sect. 3.

Remark 3 The renormalization stage decreases the Dirichlet energy. Indeed, it was shown in [31] that for any map $\psi \in H^1(D)^3$, such that a.e. in D , $|\psi(x)| \geq 1$, one has

$$\int_D \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\psi(x)}{|\psi(x)|} \right) \right|^2 dx \leq \int_D |\nabla (\psi(x))|^2 dx. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 1 For all ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 2\theta - 1$, there exists $C = C(\epsilon, \|G\|_{2,2}, T) > 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + (2\theta - 1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq (1 + C \Delta t) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + C \Delta t. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Let us now prove Proposition 1 with the help of Lemma 1.

Proof (Proposition 1) In the sequel, we fix $\epsilon \in (0, 2\theta - 1)$. We first prove (17). We deduce from (22) that for all $n = 0 \dots N - 1$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq (1 + C \Delta t) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + C \Delta t.$$

We then apply the discrete Gronwall lemma. There exists $C = C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T) > 0$ such that for all $n = 0 \dots N$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2] \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla m_0\|_{2,x}^2]),$$

and (17) is proved.

We now turn to the proof of (18)–(20). We note that (22) implies in particular

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & + (2\theta - 1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C \Delta t \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + C \Delta t. \end{aligned}$$

By summing these inequalities for $n = 0 \dots N - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^N\|_{2,x}^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^0\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & + (2\theta - 1 - \epsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} C \Delta t \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} C \Delta t \leq C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T, m_0), \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (17). This implies that :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + (2\theta - 1 - \epsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T, m_0) - \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^N\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^0\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq C'(\|G\|_{2,2}, T, m_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (18) and (20) follow. Finally, we may deduce (19) from (18) and (15). This ends the proof of Proposition 1. $\square$

We now turn to the proof of the lemma.

Proof (Lemma 1.) Let $0 \leq n \leq N-1$. Since, by definition of the variational problem (10), $m_N^n(x) \cdot v_N^n(x) = 0$, almost everywhere, and almost surely, it follows that for a.e. $x \in D$, a.s.

$$|m_N^n(x) + v_N^n(x)| = \sqrt{1 + |v_N^n(x)|^2} \geq 1,$$

and thanks to Remark 3, one has a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 &= \int_D \left| \nabla \left(\frac{m_N^n + v_N^n}{|m_N^n + v_N^n|} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_D |\nabla m_N^n + \nabla v_N^n|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by expanding the right hand side of this inequality:

$$\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \leq \|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 + 2(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla v_N^n)_{2,x} + \|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2. \quad (23)$$

To find an expression of $2(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla v_N^n)_{2,x}$, we use (10) with the test function

$$\varphi := v_N^n - A_N^n \in \mathbb{W}_{N,n}.$$

Then, observing that for any $x \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_N^n - m_N^n \times v_N^n)(x) \cdot (v_N^n - A_N^n)(x) \\ & - (\text{Id} - m_N^n \times)(m_N^n \times G \Delta W_N^n)(x) \cdot (v_N^n - A_N^n)(x) \\ & = ((\text{Id} - m_N^n \times)(v_N^n - A_N^n)(x)) \cdot (v_N^n(x) - A_N^n(x)) \\ & = |v_N^n(x) - A_N^n(x)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla v_N^n)_{2,x} = & -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 - 2\theta \|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \\ & + 2\theta (\nabla v_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} + 2(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((\text{Id} - m_N^n) \times ((m_N^n \times G_i) \times G_i), v_N^n - A_N^n)_{2,x}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which, using (23) and taking the expectation yields to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + (2\theta - 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + 2\theta \mathbb{E} \left[(\nabla v_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((\text{Id} - m_N^n) \times ((m_N^n \times G_i) \times G_i), v_N^n - A_N^n)_{2,x} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

all terms on the left hand side being non negative, due to $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Now, since m_N^n and $G \Delta W_N^n$ are independent, and $\mathbb{E}[G \Delta W_N^n] = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\nabla m_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} \right] = 0. \quad (26)$$

Moreover, by (14) and the Sobolev embedding $H^2(D)^3 \subset L^\infty(D)^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] & \leq 2 \left(\mathbb{E} \|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\|G \Delta W_N^n\|_{\infty,x}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla (G \Delta W_N^n)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \right) \\ & \leq C \Delta t \|G\|_{2,2}^2 (\mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\nabla v_N^n, \nabla A_N^n)_{2,x} \right] \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{C \Delta t}{2\epsilon} \|G\|_{2,2}^2 (\mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + 1). \quad (28)$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{Id} - m_N^n) \times ((m_N^n \times G_i) \times G_i), v_N^n - A_N^n \right)_{2,x} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta t}{2\epsilon} \|G\|_{2,2}^4 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using (26), (28) and (29) in (25) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^{n+1}\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{1-\epsilon}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|v_N^n - A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + (2\theta - 1 - \theta\epsilon) \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla v_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + \frac{C\theta\Delta t}{\epsilon} \|G\|_{2,2}^2 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + 1 \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{4\epsilon} \|G\|_{2,2}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since $\theta \leq 1$, this proves the lemma. $\square$

Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $w_N^n = \frac{1}{\Delta t}(v_N^n - A_N^n)$ for $n = 0, \dots, N-1$. In the following, we will also denote by v_N, w_N , the piecewise constant processes (indexed by the time interval $[0, T]$), whose values on $[n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t)$ are (respectively) v_N^n, w_N^n . The previous energy estimates can now be written in the form:

$$\operatorname{esssup}_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla m_N(t, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|w_N(t, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 dt \right] \leq C, \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|v_N(t, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 dt \right] \leq C\Delta t, \quad (33)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|\nabla v_N(t, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 dt \right] \leq C\Delta t. \quad (34)$$

Note in addition that, since $|m_N(t, x)| = 1$ for a.e. $(\omega, t, x) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times D$, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|m_N(t, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 dt \right] \leq C. \quad (35)$$

4 Tightness

The aim of this section is to show that the sequence $(m_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is tight. Applying then the classical Prokhorov and Skorohod theorems (see for instance [32]), we first get the relative compactness of the sequence of laws, and secondly we can assume the almost sure convergence to a certain limit $\bar{m}$, up to a change of probability space. In the sequel, we use the following notations : for all $t \in [0, T]$, we set

$$X_N(t) := \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} m_N^n \times (G\Delta W_N^n) = \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} A_N^n \in L^2(\Omega; H^1(D)^3), \quad (36)$$

and

$$X_N^n := X_N(n\Delta t) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} m_N^k \times (G \Delta W_N^k). \quad (37)$$

The process $t \mapsto X_N(t)$ is the martingale part of the semi-martingale m_N . It is a martingale with respect to a natural piecewise constant filtration, and corresponds to the noise induced fluctuations of the process $t \mapsto m_N(t)$. In order to get an almost sure convergence for the martingale part X_N , we consider the triplet $(m_N, X_N, GW)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, and show that it forms a tight sequence on a suitable space. This classical technique is used essentially to retrieve the noise term in this new probability space. This has the drawback that the new Wiener process depends on the integer $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Proposition 2 *The sequence*

$$(m_N, X_N, GW)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$$

is tight in the space

$$L^2([0, T]; L^2(D)^3) \times L^2([0, T]; L^2(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}(0, T; L^2(D)^3).$$

The following result whose proof can be found e.g. in [33] will be needed for the proof of Proposition 2, which will be done later on.

Lemma 2 *Let $B_0 \subseteq B$ be two reflexive Banach spaces such that B_0 is compactly embedded in B . Let $\alpha > 0$. Then the embedding*

$$L^2(0, T; B_0) \cap H^\alpha(0, T; B) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; B)$$

is compact.

We shall apply this lemma with $B = L^2(D)^3$, and $B_0 = H^1(D)^3$. Therefore, in order to deduce the tightness, we need uniform $H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(D)^3)$ estimates on m_N and X_N for some $\alpha > 0$. These estimates are stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 3 *For any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a constant $C = C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T, \alpha)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|m_N\|_{H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(D)^3)}^2 \right] \leq C, \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N\|_{H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(D)^3)}^2 \right] \leq C. \quad (39)$$

Proof (Proposition 3.) We have to evaluate the following quantities for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$:

$$\iint_{[0, T]^2} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\|m_N(t) - m_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2 \right]}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} dt ds,$$

and

$$\iint_{[0,T]^2} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2 \right]}{|t-s|^{1+2\alpha}} dt ds.$$

Notice that these integrals measure the regularity in time of the two processes $t \mapsto m_N(t)$ and $t \mapsto X_N(t)$. Since X_N is expected to be the martingale part in the canonical decomposition of the semi-martingale m_N , one expects m_N to be at least as regular as X_N . In the sequel, we take $t, s \in [0, T]$, and assume without loss of generality that $t > s$. Thus, we first evaluate the following quantity:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right]. \quad (40)$$

Observe that for $n \neq m$, the random variables $G\Delta W_N^n, G\Delta W_N^m$ are independent, with zero mean. Using also the fact that m_N^n is independent of $G\Delta W_N^k$ for $1 \leq n \leq k \leq N$, Fubini's theorem, and the identity $a \cdot (b \times c) = a \times b \cdot c$, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^3$, one has for $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[(A_N^n, A_N^m)_{2,x} \right] \\ &= \int_D \mathbb{E} \left[(m_N^n(x) \times G\Delta W_N^n(x)) \cdot (m_N^m(x) \times G\Delta W_N^m(x)) \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_D \mathbb{E} \left[((m_N^n(x) \times G\Delta W_N^n(x)) \times m_N^m(x)) \cdot \mathbb{E} [G\Delta W_N^m(x)] \right] dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Developing the sum (40) and using (15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\substack{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t \\ s < (m+1)\Delta t \leq t \\ n < m}} (A_N^n, A_N^m)_{2,x} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|A_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C \|G\|_{2,0}^2 \left(\sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t \right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the number of terms in the sum above is bounded by $\frac{t-s}{\Delta t} + 1$, and deduce that for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C \|G\|_{2,0}^2 (|t - s| + \Delta t). \quad (43)$$

Now, remark that (43) implies the uniform estimate of the H^α norm. Indeed, since X_N is a piecewise constant function, the integrand $\mathbb{E}[\|X_N(t, \cdot) - X_N(s, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2]$ vanishes for $(t, s) \in [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t]^2$, for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$. Using moreover (43), there exists a constant $C = C(\|G\|_{2,0}, T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{[0,T]^2} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2]}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} dt ds \\ & \leq C \iint_{[0,T]^2} \frac{dt ds}{|t - s|^{2\alpha}} + C \Delta t \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m, n \leq N-1 \\ |n-m| \geq 1}} \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} \frac{dt ds}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} \\ & = A + B. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq n, m \leq N-1 \\ |n-m| \geq 2}} [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t] \times [m\Delta t, (m+1)\Delta t] \subseteq \{(t, s) \in [0, T]^2, |t - s| > \Delta t\},$$

we remark that

$$B \leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m, n \leq N-1 \\ |n-m|=1}} \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} \frac{C \Delta t dt ds}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} + \iint_{\substack{[0,T]^2 \\ |t-s| > \Delta t}} \frac{C \Delta t}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} dt ds.$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{[0,T]^2} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|X_N(t) - X_N(s)\|_{2,x}^2]}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} dt ds \\ & \leq 2C \iint_{[0,T]^2} \frac{dt ds}{|t - s|^{2\alpha}} + 2C \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} \int_{(n+1)\Delta t}^{(n+2)\Delta t} \frac{dt ds}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The first term of the right hand side of (44) is bounded because $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} \int_{(n+1)\Delta t}^{(n+2)\Delta t} \frac{dt ds}{|t - s|^{1+2\alpha}} = O(\Delta t^{-2\alpha}), \quad (45)$$

and (39) is proved.

We now turn to (38). Note that since we have already estimated X_N , it remains only to consider $m_N - X_N$. Using the definition of m_N and X_N together with (11), we write:

$$\begin{aligned} & (m_N(t) - X_N(t)) - (m_N(s) - X_N(s)) \\ &= \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left(m_N^{n+1} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left(\frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left(\frac{m_N^n + v_N^n}{|m_N^n + v_N^n|} - \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the $L^2(D)^3$ norm, and the expectation, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|m_N(t) - X_N(t) - (m_N(s) - X_N(s))\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \frac{m_N^n + v_N^n}{|m_N^n + v_N^n|} - \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

For the first term in the right hand side of (47), observe that for any $m, V \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t. $V \perp m$ and $|m| = 1$, one has :

$$\left| \frac{m + V}{|m + V|} - m - V \right| \leq \sqrt{1 + |V|^2} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}|V|^2. \quad (48)$$

Using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and (48) on each term of the sum (remember that $A_N^n \perp m_N^n$, see (14)), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{t-s}{\Delta t} + 1 \right) \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{t-s}{\Delta t} + 1 \right) \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \mathbb{E} \left[\|A_N^n\|_{4,x}^4 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have by (16):

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} - m_N^n - A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C(\|G\|_{2,1}, T)(|t - s| + \Delta t)^2. \quad (49)$$

Similarly, for the second term in (49), we use the fact that the map $x \mapsto \frac{x}{|x|}$, is 1-Lipschitz for $|x| \geq 1$, together with Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (18). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \frac{m_N^n + v_N^n}{|m_N^n + v_N^n|} - \frac{m_N^n + A_N^n}{|m_N^n + A_N^n|} \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left(\frac{t-s}{\Delta t} + 1 \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left\| v_N^n - A_N^n \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ & \leq C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T)(|t - s| + \Delta t). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Using (49) and (50) in (47), together with (39), we conclude that there exists a constant $C = C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T)$, independent of $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|m_N(t, \cdot) - m_N(s, \cdot)\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \leq C(|t - s| + \Delta t).$$

We have proved the same inequality as for the process X_N (see (43)), thus the conclusion follows in the same way as before. $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.

Proof (Proposition 2) Remark that thanks to (35) and (31), $(m_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H^1(D)^3)$. Let us prove the same for the process X_N . One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_N\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(D)^3)}^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|X_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \Delta t \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{k \leq n} A_N^k \right\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k \leq n} \|A_N^k\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq k < l \leq n} (A_N^k, A_N^l)_{2,x} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

As before, the second term vanishes [see (42)], while the first term is bounded by $C(\|G\|_{2,0}, T)$ thanks to (15).

Similarly, for $k \neq l$, we have $\mathbb{E}[(\nabla A_N^k, \nabla A_N^l)_{2,x}] = 0$. Moreover, using (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla X_N\|_{2,x}^2] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \sum_{k \leq n} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla A_N^k\|_{2,x}^2] \\ &\leq C \|G\|_{2,2}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \sum_{k \leq n} \Delta t \\ &\leq C' (\|G\|_{2,2}, T).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $C = C(\|G\|_{2,2}, T) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_N\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(D)^3)}^2\right] \leq C.$$

The tightness of the sequence (m_N, X_N, W) is now obtained in a classical way. Let $R > 0$, and fix $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. We consider the product space

$$E := L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(D)^3),$$

endowed with its classical product norm. Thanks to Lemma 2, and a standard Ascoli compactness theorem, the space

$$\begin{aligned}F &:= L^2(0, T; H^1(D)^3) \times L^2(0, T; H^1(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^1(D)^3) \\ &\quad \cap H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha([0, T]; L^2(D)^3)\end{aligned}$$

is compactly embedded in E . Using Markov inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left((m_N, X_N, GW) \notin B_F(0, R)\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{R^2} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\|m_N\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|m_N\|_{H^\alpha([0,T];L^2)}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_N\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)}^2\right] \right. \\ \left. + \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_N\|_{H^\alpha([0,T];L^2)}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|GW\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha([0,T];H^1)}^2\right] \right).\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

Then, using the bounds (38), and (39), (8), the classical properties of a GG^* -Wiener process and also (17), the right hand side of (51) tends to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since the sets $B_F(0, R)$ are precompacts in E , the sequence $(m_N, X_N, GW)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is tight in E , and the proposition is proved. $\square$

A simple application of Prokhorov and Skorohod theorem leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 1 *There exists a new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$, a sequence of random variables on this space $(\bar{m}_N, \bar{X}_N, G\bar{W}_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ taking its values in the space $L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}(0, T; L^2(D)^3)$, with the same laws,*

for each $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, as $(m_N, X_N, G\bar{W})$, and a triplet $(\bar{m}, \bar{X}, G\bar{W})$ of r.v. in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times L^2(0, T; L^2(D)^3) \times \mathcal{C}(0, T; L^2(D)^3)$, so that up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}_N &\xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{m} \text{ a.s. in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(D)^3), \\ \bar{X}_N &\xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{X} \text{ a.s. in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(D)^3), \\ G\bar{W}_N &\xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} G\bar{W} \text{ a.s. in } \mathcal{C}(0, T; L^2(D)^3).\end{aligned}$$

Since m_N, X_N are piecewise constant processes, the same is also true for their counterparts in the new probability space $\bar{\Omega}$. We define the following discrete parameter processes, for $0 \leq n \leq N$:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}_N^n &:= \bar{m}_N(n\Delta t) \in L^2(D)^3, \\ \bar{X}_N^n &:= \bar{X}_N(n\Delta t) \in L^2(D)^3,\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}G\Delta\bar{W}_N^n &:= G\bar{W}_N((n+1)\Delta t) - G\bar{W}_N(n\Delta t), \\ \bar{A}_N^n &:= \bar{m}_N^n \times (G\Delta\bar{W}_N^n),\end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{v}_N^n$ as the unique solution of (10) associated to the data $(\bar{m}_N^n, G\Delta\bar{W}_N^n)$, i.e. for all $0 \leq n \leq N-1$, and all $\varphi \in \bar{W}_{N,n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}& \left(\bar{v}_N^n - \bar{m}_N^n \times \bar{v}_N^n, \varphi \right)_{2,x} + 2\theta \Delta t \left(\nabla \bar{v}_N^n, \nabla \varphi \right)_{2,x} \\ &= -2\Delta t \left(\nabla \bar{m}_N^n, \nabla \varphi \right)_{2,x} + \left((\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N^n \times) (\bar{m}_N^n \times G\Delta\bar{W}_N^n), \varphi \right)_{2,x} \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left((\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N^n \times) ((\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i) \times G_i), \varphi \right)_{2,x},\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

where

$$\bar{W}_{N,n}(\omega) := \left\{ \psi \in H^1(D)^3, \forall x \in D, \psi(x) \perp \bar{m}_N^n(\omega, x) \right\}.$$

These random variables have the same laws as their counterparts in Ω that is (respectively) $m_N^n, X_N^n, G\Delta W_N^n$ and $A_N^n := m_N^n \times (G\Delta W_N^n)$. We already noticed that v_N^n depends continuously on the couple $(m_N^n, G\Delta W_N^n)$ through (10), and thus the law of $\bar{v}_N^n$ is the same as the law of v_N^n . It also follows that we have the identity

$$\bar{m}_N^{n+1} = \frac{\bar{m}_N^n + \bar{v}_N^n}{|\bar{m}_N^n + \bar{v}_N^n|} \text{ a.s.}\tag{53}$$

We still need to define the following processes on $\bar{\Omega}$: $\forall t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{v}_N(t) := \bar{v}_N^n \quad \text{if } t \in [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t), \quad (54)$$

and

$$\bar{w}_N(t) := \frac{\bar{v}_N^n - \bar{A}_N^n}{\Delta t} \quad \text{if } t \in [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t). \quad (55)$$

Remark 4 By (31) and a classical compactness argument, we may assume that up a subsequence the following convergence holds

$$\nabla \bar{m}_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \nabla \bar{m} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^{3 \times 3}. \quad (56)$$

5 Convergence of the martingale part

In Sect. 4 we proved that the process $\bar{X}_N$ converges almost surely in $L^2([0, T] \times D)^3$ to $\bar{X}$. Here we show that $\bar{X}$ defines a square integrable continuous martingale with values in $L^2(D)^3$. We define the filtration $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ as

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t = \sigma \{G\bar{W}(s), s \leq t\}. \quad (57)$$

Proposition 4 *The process $t \in [0, T] \mapsto \bar{X}(t, \omega) \in L^2(D)^3$ is a square integrable continuous martingale with respect to the filtration $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$, with quadratic variation defined for all $a, b \in L^2(D)^3$ by:*

$$\left(\ll \bar{X} \gg_t a, b \right)_{2,x} = \int_0^t \left(\bar{m} \times (Ga), \bar{m} \times (Gb) \right)_{2,x} ds.$$

The proof needs an additional martingale-type uniform estimate on X_N .

Proposition 5 *For all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C = C(\|G\|_{2,0}, T, q) > 0$ independent of $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{n \in \{0, \dots, N\}} \|\bar{X}_N^n\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] \leq C.$$

To prove proposition 5, we state a discrete version of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality with values in a Hilbert space. The following result is a particular case of Proposition 2 of [34], and we therefore omit the proof.

Lemma 3 *For a given discrete parameter martingale $(M^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ with values in a Hilbert space H , for any $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist $C = C(q) > 0$ such that the following inequality holds :*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \|M^n\|_H^{2q} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|M^{n+1} - M^n\|_H^2 \right)^q \right].$$

Remark 5 Since for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the laws of X_N and $\bar{X}_N$ are equal, note that for all $t \in [0, T]$, and almost surely,

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \bar{m}_N^n \times G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n. \quad (58)$$

It is easily seen, using (52) and (53) that $(\bar{m}_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is adapted to

$$\bar{\mathbb{F}}_N^n = \sigma \{ G \bar{W}_N(k \Delta t); k \in \mathbb{N}^*, k \leq n \}, \quad (59)$$

and the process $\bar{X}_N^n$ defines a martingale with respect to this filtration. In particular, we have the following identity : for all $0 \leq n \leq n' \leq N$, and any bounded continuous function ϕ on $(L^2(D)^3)^n$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\bar{X}_N^{n'} - \bar{X}_N^n) \phi(G \bar{W}_N(\Delta t), \dots, G \bar{W}_N(n \Delta t)) \right] = 0. \quad (60)$$

The reader may also check that for any n, n', ϕ as above, and for all $a, b \in L^2(D)^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left((\bar{X}_N^{n'}, a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N^{n'}, b)_{2,x} - (\bar{X}_N^n, a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N^n, b)_{2,x} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{n \leq k \leq n'-1} \Delta t (\bar{m}_N^k \times (Ga), \bar{m}_N^k \times (Gb))_{2,x} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \phi(G \bar{W}_N(\Delta t), \dots, G \bar{W}_N(n \Delta t)) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Equation (61) gives us the quadratic variation of $(\bar{X}_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$.

Proof (Proposition 5) Assume that $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is given. We apply Lemma 3 to the discrete parameter martingale $(\bar{X}_N^n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$, which takes values in the Hilbert space $H = L^2(D)^3$. Thanks to (58), and Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\bar{X}_N^{n+1} - \bar{X}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right)^q \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)\|_{2,x}^2 \right)^q \right] \\ &\leq N^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is known (see for instance [32], corollary 2.17) that since $G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n$ is a gaussian random variable with covariance $\Delta t G G^*$, there exists a constant $C(\|G\|_{2,0}, q) > 0$ (independent of n and N) such that :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] \leq C(\|G\|_{2,0}, q) \Delta t^q. \quad (62)$$

Thus one has, recalling that $|\bar{m}_N^n| = 1$ a.e.,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\bar{X}_N^{n+1} - \bar{X}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right)^q \right] \leq N (C(\|G\|_{2,0}, q) \Delta t^q) N^{q-1} \leq C'(\|G\|_{2,0}, T, q).$$

This proves proposition 5. $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof (Proposition 4.) $\bar{X}$ is a martingale :

We use equalities (60) and (61). We have to show that for any bounded continuous function ϕ defined on the space $(L^2(D)^3)^K$, any $a, b \in L^2(D)^3$ the following relations hold for almost all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, all $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_K < s$:

$$\mathbb{E} [(\bar{X}(t) - \bar{X}(s))\phi(G\bar{W}(t_1), \dots, G\bar{W}(t_K))] = 0. \quad (63)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left((\bar{X}(t), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}(t), b)_{2,x} - (\bar{X}(s), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}(s), b)_{2,x} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \int_s^t (\bar{m}(\sigma) \times (Ga), \bar{m}(\sigma) \times (Gb))_{2,x} d\sigma \right) \phi(G\bar{W}(t_1), \dots, G\bar{W}(t_K)) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

First, observe that as a consequence of Proposition 5, and Egorov's Theorem,

$$\bar{X}_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{X} \text{ in } L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^3. \quad (65)$$

Hence, up to a subsequence, one has for almost all $t, s \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{X}_N(t) - \bar{X}_N(s) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{X}(t) - \bar{X}(s), \quad \text{in } L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times D)^3.$$

For all $0 \leq k \leq K$, if $\lfloor \frac{t_k}{\Delta t} \rfloor$ denotes the floor of $\frac{t_k}{\Delta t}$, then $\lfloor \frac{t_k}{\Delta t} \rfloor \Delta t$ tends to t_k as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account the almost sure continuity of the limit process $G\bar{W}$, and the fact that the process $G\bar{W}_N$ converges almost surely to $G\bar{W}$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(D)^3)$ as N tends to ∞ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (G\bar{W}_N(\lfloor \frac{t_1}{\Delta t} \rfloor \Delta t), \dots, G\bar{W}_N(\lfloor \frac{t_K}{\Delta t} \rfloor \Delta t)) \\ \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} (G\bar{W}(t_1), \dots, G\bar{W}(t_K)) \text{ in } (L^2(D)^3)^K. \end{aligned}$$

The application ϕ being continuous, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [(\bar{X}_N(t) - \bar{X}_N(s))\phi(G\bar{W}_N(\lfloor \frac{t_1}{\Delta t} \rfloor \Delta t), \dots, G\bar{W}_N(\lfloor \frac{t_K}{\Delta t} \rfloor \Delta t))] \\ \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{E} [(\bar{X}(t) - \bar{X}(s))\phi(G\bar{W}(t_1), \dots, G\bar{W}(t_K))]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (60)

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\bar{X}_N(t) - \bar{X}_N(s)) \phi \left(G \bar{W}_N \left(\left[\frac{t_1}{\Delta t} \right] \Delta t \right), \dots, G \bar{W}_N \left(\left[\frac{t_K}{\Delta t} \right] \Delta t \right) \right) \right] = 0,$$

and (63) is proved. If $a, b \in L^2([0, T] \times D)^3$, then (61) implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left((\bar{X}_N(t), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N(t), b)_{2,x} - (\bar{X}_N(s), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N(s), b)_{2,x} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t (\bar{m}_N^n \times (Ga), \bar{m}_N^n \times (Gb))_{2,x} \right) \right. \\ \left. \phi \left(G \bar{W}_N \left(\left[\frac{t_1}{\Delta t} \right] \Delta t \right), \dots, G \bar{W}_N \left(\left[\frac{t_K}{\Delta t} \right] \Delta t \right) \right) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(\bar{X}_N(t), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N(t), b)_{2,x} - (\bar{X}_N(s), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}_N(s), b)_{2,x}$$

tends to

$$(\bar{X}(t), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}(t), b)_{2,x} - (\bar{X}(s), a)_{2,x} (\bar{X}(s), b)_{2,x}$$

in $L^1(\bar{\Omega})$, while

$$\sum_{s < (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t (\bar{m}_N^n \times (Ga), \bar{m}_N^n \times (Gb))_{2,x}$$

converges to

$$\int_s^t (\bar{m}(\sigma) \times Ga, \bar{m}(\sigma) \times Gb)_{2,x} d\sigma,$$

in $L^1(\bar{\Omega})$. This proves (64). It remains to prove that $\bar{X}$ has continuous trajectories.

Proof of the continuity.

We prove that the limit $\bar{X}$ satisfies the assumptions of Kolmogorov's test (see e.g. [32], Theorem 3.3). More precisely, we show that for any $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $C_q > 0$, such that for almost every $(t, s) \in [0, T]^2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\bar{X}(t) - \bar{X}(s)\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] \leq C_q |t - s|^q. \quad (66)$$

Let $T \geq t > s \geq 0$, and $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$, the unique integers such that $t \in [n'\Delta t, (n' + 1)\Delta t)$ and $s \in [n\Delta t, (n + 1)\Delta t]$. One has $|t - n'\Delta t| \leq \Delta t$ and $|s - n\Delta t| \leq \Delta t$. We consider the discrete parameter martingale which starts at $n\Delta t$, and whose increments are the same as $(\bar{X}_N^k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, N\}}$. More precisely, let $(M_N^l)_{0 \leq l \leq n' - n}$ be the discrete parameter process defined by

$$\bar{M}_N^l = \bar{X}_N^{n+l} - \bar{X}_N^n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+l} \bar{A}_N^k, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq n' - n.$$

The process $(\bar{M}_N^l)_{0 \leq l \leq n' - n}$ defines a martingale for the discrete filtration $(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{(l+n)\Delta t})_{0 \leq l \leq n' - n}$, [see (12)]. Using similar arguments as for the proof of Proposition 5, and in particular Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\bar{X}_N(t) - \bar{X}_N(s)\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{l=0, \dots, n' - n} \|\bar{M}_N^l\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{n'} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\bar{A}_N^k\|_{2,x}^{2q} \right] (n' - n)^{q-1} \\ &\leq C(\|G\|_{2,0}, q)(n' \Delta t - n \Delta t)^q \\ &\leq C(\|G\|_{2,0}, q)(|t - s| + \Delta t)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (66) follows from (65) and Fatou's Lemma. Thus, $\bar{X}$ defines a continuous martingale with respect to $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ [see (57)]. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 2 the processes $\bar{X}_N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ are square-integrable, uniformly in N , thus the almost sure limit $\bar{X}$ is square-integrable. This proves Proposition 4. $\square$

We are now ready to apply the continuous martingale representation theorem for Hilbert space-valued Wiener processes. We have shown that the limit process $\bar{X}$ satisfies its hypotheses. The quadratic variation of $\bar{X}$ is given, for any $a, b \in L^2(D)^3$, by :

$$(\ll \bar{X} \gg_t a, b)_{2,x} = \int_0^t (\bar{m}(s) \times (Ga), \bar{m}(s) \times (Gb))_{2,x} ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

There exists an enlarged probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, with $\bar{\Omega} \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}$, a filtration $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$, and a $L^2(D)^3$ -valued Wiener process $G\tilde{W}$ defined on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, such that $\bar{X}, \bar{m}$ can be extended to random variables on this space, and

$$\bar{X}(t, \tilde{\omega}) = \int_0^t \bar{m}(s, \tilde{\omega}) \times G d\tilde{W}(s, \tilde{\omega}). \quad (67)$$

6 Identification of the limit

In this section, the purpose is to find a relation between $\bar{X}$ and the limit $\bar{m}$. Noticing that $\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} (\bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n) = \bar{m}_N(t) - m_0$, and by definition of $\bar{w}_N^n$ in (55), one may write $\bar{X}_N(t)$ as :

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{m}_N(t) - m_0 - \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t \bar{w}_N^n - \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} (\bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n) \quad (68)$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Proposition 6 *Up to a subsequence:*

$$\bar{w}_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{w} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3,$$

with

$$\bar{w} = \Delta \bar{m} + \bar{m} |\nabla \bar{m}|^2 + \bar{m} \times \Delta \bar{m} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\bar{m}^\perp} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i,$$

and $\Pi_{\bar{m}(\omega, t, x)^\perp}$ stands for the $\mathbb{R}^3$ orthogonal projection on $\bar{m}(\omega, t, x)^\perp$, for each ω, t, x .

Corollary 2 *Up to a subsequence, for any $t \in [0, T]$, $\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t \bar{w}_N^n$ converges weakly in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$ to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\Delta \bar{m}(s) + \bar{m}(s) |\nabla \bar{m}(s)|^2 + \bar{m}(s) \times \Delta \bar{m}(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\bar{m}(s)^\perp} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m}(s) \times G_i) \times G_i \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof (Proposition 6.) Thanks to (32), the equality of the laws of w_N and $\bar{w}_N$, and Alaoglu theorem, we can assume that up to a subsequence, $\bar{w}_N$ converges weakly to a limit $\bar{w}$ in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$. Because of the strong convergence of $\bar{m}_N$ to $\bar{m}$ in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$, one has also :

$$(\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N \times) \bar{w}_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} (\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3. \quad (69)$$

Let us first identify the limit of $(\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N \times) \bar{w}_N$.

Step 1 : let us prove that $(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w}(t, x) \perp \bar{m}(t, x)$ a.s.

By definition of $\bar{w}_N$, almost surely, and for almost every $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times D$, one has $\bar{w}_N(\omega, t, x) \cdot \bar{m}_N(\omega, t, x) = 0$. Thus for any $\mathbb{R}$ -valued test function $\phi \in L^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)$, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D (\bar{w}_N \cdot \bar{m}_N) \phi \, dx \, dt \right] = 0.$$

On the other hand, by weak convergence of $\bar{w}_N$ and strong convergence of $\bar{m}_N \phi$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D (\bar{w}_N \cdot \bar{m}_N) \phi \, dx \, dt \right] \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D (\bar{w} \cdot \bar{m}) \phi \, dx \, dt \right].$$

Thus, $\bar{m}(\omega, t, x) \cdot \bar{w}(\omega, t, x) = 0$, for almost all (ω, t, x) , and $(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w} \perp \bar{m}$.

Step 2 : identification of the limit for specific test functions.

We use the definition of $\bar{w}_N$ (55), and (10). Let us take

$$\Phi \in \mathcal{C}\left([0, T]; L^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}; W_x^{1,\infty})\right),$$

and consider a test function of the form

$$\bar{m}(\omega, t, x) \times \Phi(\omega, t, x), \quad \omega \in \bar{\mathcal{Q}}, t \in [0, T], x \in D. \quad (70)$$

We approximate this test function by the sequence of piecewise constant functions $(\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N)$, where we set for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, all $0 \leq n \leq N-1$, and for $t \in [n\Delta t, (n+1)\Delta t)$,

$$\Phi_N(\omega, t, x) = \Phi_N^n(\omega, x) := \Phi(\omega, n\Delta t, x).$$

On the one hand, using the strong convergence of $\bar{m}_N$ to $\bar{m}$ in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$, and (31) we have :

$$\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{m} \times \Phi \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3, \quad (71)$$

$$\nabla(\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^{3 \times 3} \text{ uniformly in } N, \quad (72)$$

and for any $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\bar{m}_N \times \partial_{x_k} \Phi_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{m} \times \partial_{x_k} \Phi \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3. \quad (73)$$

On the other hand, almost surely, $(\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N) \in \bar{\mathbb{W}}_{N,n}$, and is therefore a suitable test function in the variational formulation (52). Using then (55) and the definition of $\bar{A}_N^n$, and summing on $n \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N \times) \bar{w}_N, \bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N)_{2,x} dt \right] \\ &= -2\theta \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\nabla \bar{v}_N, \nabla(\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N))_{2,x} dt \right] \\ & \quad - 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\nabla \bar{m}_N, \nabla(\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N))_{2,x} dt \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((\text{Id} - \bar{m}_N \times) (\bar{m}_N \times G_i) \times G_i), \bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N)_{2,x} dt \right]. \quad (74) \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side above converges to zero, because of (33), and (72). For the second term, we observe that since for all $k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\partial_{x_k} \bar{m}_N^n \cdot (\partial_{x_k} \bar{m}_N^n \times \Phi_N^n) = 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\nabla \bar{m}_N, \nabla (\bar{m}_N \times \Phi_N))_{2,x} dt \right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \left(\sum_{k=1,2,3} \partial_{x_k} \bar{m}_N, \bar{m}_N \times \partial_{x_k} \Phi_N \right)_{2,x} dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (73), and the weak convergence of $\nabla \bar{m}_N$ to $\nabla \bar{m}$ in $L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$ (see Remark 4), this tends to $2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \sum_{k=1,2,3} (\partial_{x_k} \bar{m}, \bar{m} \times \partial_{x_k} \Phi)_{2,x} dt \right]$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Eventually, it easily follows from assumption (8), the Sobolev embedding $H^2(D)^3 \subset L^\infty(D)^3$, and the boundedness of the sequence $(\bar{m}_N)_N$ in $L^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$ and (71) that the third term of the right hand side of (74) converges strongly in $L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$ to

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ((\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i, \bar{m} \times \Phi)_{2,x} dt \right].$$

Identifying all the limits in the right hand side of (74), we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ((\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w}, \bar{m} \times \Phi)_{2,x} dt \right] &= -2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\nabla \bar{m}, \nabla (\bar{m} \times \Phi))_{2,x} dt \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ((\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i, \bar{m} \times \Phi)_{2,x} dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

By a density argument, (75) remains true for any $\Phi \in L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]; H^1(D))^3$.

Step 3 : identification of the limit for any test function.

We are going to use (75) with

$$\Phi := \bar{m} \times \Xi,$$

where $\Xi \in L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(D))^3$ and thus $\Phi \in L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]; H^1(D))^3$. First, observe that for any unit vector $V \in \mathbb{S}^2$, one has

$$V \times (V \times \cdot) = -\Pi_{V^\perp}, \quad (76)$$

where $\Pi_{V^\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal projection on $V^\perp$, hence from Step 1,

$$(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w} \cdot \bar{m} \times (\bar{m} \times \mathcal{E}) = -(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w} \cdot \mathcal{E}. \quad (77)$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq k \leq 3$, since $\bar{m} \cdot \partial_k \bar{m} = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{x_k} \bar{m} \times \bar{m}) \cdot \partial_{x_k} (\bar{m} \times \mathcal{E}) &= (\partial_{x_k} \bar{m} \times \bar{m}) \cdot ((\partial_{x_k} \bar{m} \times \mathcal{E}) + (\bar{m} \times \partial_{x_k} \mathcal{E})) \\ &= |\partial_{x_k} \bar{m}|^2 \bar{m} \cdot \mathcal{E} - \partial_{x_k} \bar{m} \cdot \partial_{x_k} \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Using (77) and (78) in (75) with $\Phi := \bar{m} \times \mathcal{E}$, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ((\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w}, \mathcal{E})_{2,x} dt \right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\nabla \bar{m}, \nabla \mathcal{E})_{2,x} dt \right] - 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (|\nabla \bar{m}|^2 \bar{m}, \mathcal{E})_{2,x} dt \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\Pi_{\bar{m}^\perp} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) ((\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i) \right], \mathcal{E})_{2,x} dt \right], \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

from which we deduce that

$$(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) \bar{w} = 2(\Delta \bar{m} + |\nabla \bar{m}|^2 \bar{m}) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\bar{m}^\perp} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times) ((\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i) \right]$$

in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times [0, T] \times D)^3$.

Step 4 : end of the proof.

Note that if $V \cdot \bar{m} = 0$, then

$$(\text{Id} - \bar{m} \times)^{-1} V = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Id} + \bar{m} \times) V,$$

so that

$$\bar{w} = \Delta \bar{m} + \bar{m} |\nabla \bar{m}|^2 + \bar{m} \times \Delta \bar{m} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\bar{m}^\perp} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i,$$

and Proposition 6 is proved. $\square$

Proof (Corollary 2) It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6, and the fact that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[\frac{t}{\Delta t}]\Delta t}^t (\bar{w}_N(s), \Phi)_{2,x} ds \right] \text{ tends to } 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\Phi \in L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times D)^3$, thanks to (32). $\square$

Proposition 7 For almost every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} (\bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n)$$

converges strongly in $L^1(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times D)^3$ to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Pi_{\bar{m}} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i \right].$$

Corollary 3 For almost every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{X}(t) = \bar{m}(t) - m_0 - \int_0^t (\Delta \bar{m} + \bar{m} |\nabla m|^2 + \bar{m} \times \Delta \bar{m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i) ds, \quad (80)$$

and $\bar{m} \in C([0, T]; L^2(D)^3)$.

Proof (Proposition 7.) We set for each $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$:

$$R_N^n := \bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n + \frac{1}{2} |\bar{A}_N^n|^2 \bar{m}_N^n. \quad (81)$$

It suffices to prove the following two facts :

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} R_N^n \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad (82)$$

strongly in $L^1(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times D)^3$, and

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} |\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 \bar{m}_N^n \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} - \int_0^t \Pi_{\bar{m}} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i \right] ds, \quad (83)$$

strongly in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \times D)^3$.

Proof of (82):

Let us decompose R_N^n into four terms, namely

$$R_N^n = \frac{\bar{m}_N^n + \bar{v}_N^n}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{v}_N^n|^2}} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{A}_N^n|^2 \bar{m}_N^n = I + II + III + IV$$

with

$$I := \bar{m}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{A}_N^n|^2 \right), \quad II := \bar{m}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{v}_N^n|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} \right),$$

$$III := \bar{v}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} - 1 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad IV := \bar{v}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{v}_N^n|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} \right),$$

and treat each of them separately.

Convergence of I : Using

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \right| \leq Cx^4, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left\| \bar{m}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{A}_N^n|^2 \right) \right\|_{1,x} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{A}_N^n\|_{4,x}^4 \right] \\ & \leq C \|G\|_{2,1}^4 \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t^2, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as N tends to infinity.

Convergence of II : we have by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and a Hölder-type inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left\| \bar{m}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{v}_N^n|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} \right) \right\|_{1,x} \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left\| |\bar{v}_N^n|^2 - |\bar{A}_N^n|^2 \right\|_{1,x} \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n + \bar{A}_N^n\|_{2,x} \|\bar{v}_N^n - \bar{A}_N^n\|_{2,x} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{C}{2\sqrt{\Delta t}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n - \bar{A}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{C\sqrt{\Delta t}}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n + \bar{A}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We then use (15), (18) and (19) to conclude that II tends to 0 as N tends to infinity.
Convergence of III : Working as above, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \left\| \bar{v}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} - 1 \right) \right\|_{1,x} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta t}}{2} \left(\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{2\sqrt{\Delta t}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{A}_N^n\|_{4,x}^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using again (19), and (16), the above quantity tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
Convergence of IV : Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies :

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \bar{v}_N^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{v}_N^n|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{A}_N^n|^2}} \right) \right\|_{1,x} \right] \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \|\bar{v}_N^n - \bar{A}_N^n\|_{2,x}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (18) and (19), we conclude that IV tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Finally (82) is proved.

Proof of (83).

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $0 \leq n \leq N$, observe that if we denote by $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \bar{\mathbb{F}}_N^n]$ the conditional expectation with respect to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_N^n$ (see (59)), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 | \bar{\mathbb{F}}_N^n \right] = \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2. \quad (84)$$

We set

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \bar{m}_N^n |\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m} |\bar{m} \times G_i|^2 ds = I + II,$$

where

$$I = \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \bar{m}_N^n \left(|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t \right),$$

and

$$II = \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N^n |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t - \int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m} |\bar{m} \times G_i|^2 ds.$$

Let us prove that the first term above tends to zero as N tends to infinity in $L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times D)^3$.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|I\|_{2,x}^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \bar{m}_N^n (|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t) \right\|_{2,x}^2\right]$$

Developing the square under the expectation above, we get a sum over two indices $k \leq n$, which contains the following terms :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[(\bar{m}_N^n (|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t), \right. \\ & \left. \bar{m}_N^k (|\bar{m}_N^k \times G \Delta \bar{W}_N^k|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^k \times G_i|^2 \Delta t))_{2,x}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

When $k < n$, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[(\bar{m}_N^n \mathbb{E}[|\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t | \bar{\mathbb{F}}_N^n], \right. \\ & \left. \bar{m}_N^k (|\bar{m}_N^k \times G \Delta \bar{W}_N^k|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^k \times G_i|^2 \Delta t))_{2,x}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (84), these terms vanish. It follows, using again (84)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|I\|_{2,x}^2] &= \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| |\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^2 - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t \right\|_{2,x}^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_D |\bar{m}_N^n \times (G \Delta \bar{W}_N^n)|^4 - \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2\right)^2 \Delta t^2 dx\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Both terms on the right hand side are bounded by $C(T)\|G\|_{2,1}^4 \Delta t$ [see (16)], hence tend to zero as N tends to infinity.

For II , we write

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N^n |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t = \int_0^{\lceil \frac{t}{\Delta t} \rceil \Delta t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N |\bar{m}_N \times G_i|^2 ds,$$

and note that $\int_{[\frac{t}{\Delta t}]\Delta t}^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N |\bar{m}_N \times G_i|^2 \, ds$ tends to zero in $L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times D)^3$. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N |\bar{m}_N \times G_i|^2 \, ds - \int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m} |\bar{m} \times G_i|^2 \, ds \right\|_{2,x} \right] \\ & \leq C \|G\|_{2,2}^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\bar{m}_N - \bar{m}\|_{2,x} \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

tends to 0 as N tends to infinity. We conclude that

$$\sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m}_N^n |\bar{m}_N^n \times G_i|^2 \Delta t \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m} |\bar{m} \times G_i|^2 \, ds$$

strongly in $L^1(\bar{\Omega}; L^2(D)^3)$. Eventually, (83) follows from

$$\int_0^t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{m} |\bar{m} \times G_i|^2 \, ds = - \int_0^t \Pi_{\bar{m}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i) \, ds.$$

□

Proof (Corollary 3.) We recall that we have for almost every $t \in [0, T]$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{m}_N(t) - m_0 - \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t \bar{w}_N^n - \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} (\bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n)$$

and that, up to the extraction of a subsequence,

$$\bar{m}_N \longrightarrow \bar{m} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times D)^3,$$

and thus without loss of generality, we can assume that for almost any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{m}_N(t) \longrightarrow \bar{m}(t) \quad L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times D)^3.$$

Then, thanks to Corollary (2) and Proposition 7,

$$- \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} \Delta t \bar{w}_N^n - \sum_{0 \leq (n+1)\Delta t \leq t} (\bar{m}_N^{n+1} - \bar{m}_N^n - \bar{v}_N^n)$$

converges weakly in $L^2(\tilde{\Omega} \times D)^3$ to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^t \left(\Delta \bar{m} + \bar{m} |\nabla \bar{m}|^2 + \bar{m} \times \Delta \bar{m} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\bar{m}^\perp} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i \right] \right) ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\Pi_{\bar{m}} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i \right] \right) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of $\bar{m}$ follows from (80), the continuity of $\bar{X}$ (Proposition 4), and the fact that $\bar{w} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(D)^3)$ a.s. (see Proposition 6). $\square$

End of proof of Theorem 1. The conclusion follows, thanks to (80), together with (67): there exists a martingale solution $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t \in [0, T]}, \tilde{W}, \bar{m})$ of (5), i.e. for any time $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{m}(t) = m_0 & + \int_0^t \left(\Delta \bar{m} + \bar{m} |\nabla \bar{m}|^2 + \bar{m} \times \Delta \bar{m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{m} \times G_i) \times G_i \right) ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \bar{m} \times (G d\tilde{W}(s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments Partial funding of this research through the ANR projects Micro-MANIP (ANR-08-BLAN-0199) and STOSYMAP (ANR-2011-BS01-015-03) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Brown, W.F.: Thermal fluctuations of a single-domain particle. *Phys. Rev.* **130**(5), 1677 (1963)
2. Landau, L.D., Lifshitz, E.: On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies. *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion* **8**(153), 101–114 (1935)
3. Brown, W.F.: *Micromagnetics*. Interscience, New York (1963)
4. Alouges, F., Soyeur, A.: On global weak solutions for Landau–Lifshitz equations: existence and nonuniqueness. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **44**(4), 1405–1419 (2006)
5. Carbou, G., Fabrie, P.: Comportement asymptotique des solutions faibles des équations de Landau–Lifschitz. *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics* **325**(7), 717–720 (1997)
6. Carbou, G., Fabrie, P.: Regular solutions for Landau–Lifschitz equation in a bounded domain. *Differ. Integral Equ.* **14**(2), 213–229 (2001)
7. Carbou, G., Fabrie, P.: Regular solutions for Landau–Lifschitz equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **5**(1), 17–30 (2001)
8. d’Aquino, M., Serpico, C., Coppola, G., Mayergoyz, I., Bertotti, G.: Midpoint numerical technique for stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert dynamics. *J. Appl. Phys.* **99**(8), 08B905–08B905 (2006)
9. Serpico, C., Mayergoyz, I., Bertotti, G.: Numerical technique for integration of the Landau–Lifshitz equation. *J. Appl. Phys.* **89**(11), 6991–6993 (2001)
10. Serpico, C., Mayergoyz, I., Bertotti, G.: Analytical solutions of Landau–Lifshitz equation for precessional switching. *J. Appl. Phys.* **93**(10), 6909–6911 (2003)
11. Visintin, A.: On Landau–Lifshitz equations for ferromagnetism. *Jpn. J. Appl. Math.* **2**(1), 69–84 (1985)
12. Banas, L., Brzeźniak, Z., Neklyudov, M., Prohl, A.: *Stochastic ferromagnetism-analysis and numerics*. De Gruyter (2013)

13. Banas, L., Brzeźniak, Z., Neklyudov, M., Prohl, A.: A convergent finite-element-based discretization of the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. *J. Numer. Anal.* **34**(2), 502–549 (2013)
14. Banas, L., Brzeźniak, Z., Prohl, A.: Computational studies for the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **35**(1), B62–B81 (2013)
15. Brzeźniak, Z., Goldys, B., Jegaraj, T.: Weak solutions of a stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. *Appl. Math. Res. Express AMRX* **1**, 1–33 (2013)
16. Berkov, D.: Magnetization dynamics including thermal fluctuations. In: Kronmüller, H., Parkin, S. (eds.) *Handbook of Magnetism and Advanced Magnetic Materials*, vol. 2. Wiley, Chichester (2007)
17. Van Kampen, N.: Itô versus Stratonovich. *J. Stat. Phys.* **24**(1), 175–187 (1981)
18. Reznikoff, M.G.: *Rare Events in Finite and Infinite Dimensions*. Ph.D. thesis, New York University (2004)
19. Cimrák, I.: Error estimates for a semi-implicit numerical scheme solving the Landau–Lifshitz equation with an exchange field. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **25**(3), 611–634 (2005)
20. Cimrák, I.: A survey on the numerics and computations for the Landau–Lifshitz equation of micromagnetism. *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.* **15**(3), 1–37 (2007)
21. Cimrák, I., Slodička, M.: An iterative approximation scheme for the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **169**(1), 17–32 (2004)
22. Alouges, F.: A new finite element scheme for Landau–Lifshitz equations. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. 1*(2), 187–196 (2008)
23. Alouges, F., Jaisson, P.: Convergence of a finite element discretization for the Landau–Lifshitz equations in micromagnetism. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **16**(02), 299–316 (2006)
24. Bartels, S., Ko, J., Prohl, A.: Numerical analysis of an explicit approximation scheme for the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation. *Math. Comput.* **77**(262), 773–788 (2008)
25. Kritsikis, E., Vaysset, A., Buda-Prejbeanu, L., Alouges, F., Toussaint, J.C.: Beyond first-order finite element schemes in micromagnetics. *J. Comput. Phys.* **256**, 357–366 (2014). doi:[10.1016/j.jcp.2013.08.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2013.08.035)
26. Goldys, B., Le, K.N., Tran, T.: A finite element approximation for the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation (2013)
27. Doss, H.: Liens entre équations différentielles stochastiques et ordinaires. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)* **13**(2), 99–125 (1977)
28. Sussmann, H.J.: An interpretation of stochastic differential equations as ordinary differential equations which depend on the sample point. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **83**(2), 296–298 (1977)
29. Lelièvre, T., Le Bris, C., Vanden-Eijnden, E.: Analyse de certains schémas de discrétisation pour des équations différentielles stochastiques contraintes. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* **346**(7), 471–476 (2008)
30. Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: *Sobolev Spaces, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*, vol. 140, 2nd edn. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam (2003)
31. Alouges, F.: A new algorithm for computing liquid crystal stable configurations: the harmonic mapping case. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **34**(5), 1708–1726 (1997)
32. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008)
33. Flandoli, F., Gatarek, D.: Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **102**(3), 367–391 (1995)
34. Assouad, P.: Espaces p -lisses et q -convexes. inégalités de Burkholder. *Séminaire d’Analyse fonctionnelle* pp. 1–7 (1975)