α -Pyrone Derivatives from a *Streptomyces* Strain Resensitize Tamoxifen Resistance in Breast Cancer Cells

Rui-Min Yang · Xiu-Lei Zhang · Li Wang ·
Jian-Ping Huang · Jing Yang · Yi-Jun Yan ·
Jian-Ying Luo · Xiang-Ting Wang · Sheng-Xiong Huang

Received: 31 May 2017 / Accepted: 5 June 2017 / Published online: 20 June 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract Tamoxifen resistance (TamR) is the underlying cause of treatment failure in many breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen. In order to look for noncytotoxic natural products with the ability to reverse TamR, an extract from strain *Streptomyces* sp. KIB-H0495 was detected to be active. Subsequent large scale fermentation and isolation led to the isolation of four α -pyrone derivatives including two new compounds, violapyrones J (2) and K (3), and two known analogues, violapyrones B (1) and I (4). Further bioactivity assays indicated that only 1 and 3 exerted potent resensitization effects on MCF-7/TamR cells at a concentration of 1 μ M. Owing to the simple structures of 1 and 3, these two compounds might have potential for further investigation as novel tamoxifen resensitization agent in breast cancer chemotherapy.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords Breast cancer · Tamoxifen resistance · Resensitization · α -Pyrone derivatives · *Streptomyces*

Rui-Min Yang and Xiu-Lei Zhang have contributed equally to this work.

Electronic Supplementary Material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13659-017-0136-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

R.-M. Yang · L. Wang · J.-P. Huang · J. Yang · Y.-J. Yan ·
J.-Y. Luo · S.-X. Huang (✉)
State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in
West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, Kunming 650201, People's Republic of China
e-mail: sxhuang@mail.kib.ac.cn

R.-M. Yang
University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049,
People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

Resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs is a severe clinical impediment to the successful treatment in cancer chemotherapy [1]. For the past 30 years, tamoxifen has been the standard treatment for ER α -positive breast cancer in women. However, 30% of patients develop tamoxifen resistance (TamR) [2]. Previous studies suggested that natural products such as vinblastine and puromycin can effectively reverse the drug resistance [3, 4]. It had proved that some small compounds could enhance the cytotoxic effect of tamoxifen on MCF-7/TamR cells, however, a cytotoxicity by itself to MCF-7/TamR cell lines accompanied [5]. Therefore, seeking small molecules with no cytotoxicity is an effective way for resensitizing tamoxifen resistance.

Endophytic strains isolated from the medicinal plants or their rhizosphere are prolific sources of novel natural products [6–9]. It has been shown that these natural products are useful resource to produce pharmacological bioactive agents [10–12]. Nearly 40% of known bioactive compounds with microbial origin are derived from actinomycetes as reported [13–15]. Accordingly, we initiated a program designed to discover bioactive natural products from endophytic actinomycetes in plants from un- and under-explored ecological niches [16–18].

In the present study, we screened extracts library obtained from different actinomycete strains in our laboratory. The results highlighted a crude extract of strain *Streptomyces* sp. KIB-H0495 significantly inhibited resistant strains MCF-7/TamR. Further fermentation and isolation towards this strain led to the identification of four α -pyrone derivatives including two new ones, violapyrones J (2) and K (3), and two known analogues, violapyrones B (1) [19] and I (4) [20] (Fig. 1). Among them, both violapyrones B (1) and K (3) exhibited resensitization effect on MCF-7/TamR cells at a low concentration of 1.0 μ M. Herein, we described the isolation, structural elucidation and biological activity of violapyrones from *S.* sp. KIB-H0495.

2 Results and Discussion

Actinomycete strain KIB-H0495 was isolated from the rhizosphere of plant *Radix stellariae* and was identified as *Streptomyces* based on its morphology and 16S rRNA gene sequence (GenBank no. MF164040) which showed 99.9% identity relative to strain *Streptomyces* sp. G1 (GenBank no. KT252874.1). The crude extract of this strain (10 mg/mL, 72 h) reduced the MCF-7/TamR cell growth by 98.14% (Fig. 2).

Next, the fermentation broth (20 L) of the strain *Streptomyces* sp. KIB-H0495 was centrifuged to obtain supernatant and a mycelial cake, which were extracted with ethyl acetate and acetone, respectively. Both extracts were combined and then applied on repeated silica gel chromatograph column (CC), MCI gel CHP20P column, and semipreparative HPLC to yield four α -pyrone derivatives violapyrones B (1) [19], J (2), K (3), and I (4) [20] (Fig. 1).

Violapyrone J (2) was obtained as a yellowish amorphous solid. And the molecular formula of 2 was determined to be C₁₁H₁₆O₃ from the [M+H]⁺ peak at *m/z* 197.1176 (calcd for 197.1172) in the HRESIMS, indicating four degrees of unsaturation. Comparing with the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of violapyrone B (1) [19], a core structure of pyrone [19–21] of 1 with one olefinic proton (5.98, s) was evident. According to HSQC spectrum, two methylene carbons (δ_C 32.2 and 37.1), three methyl carbons (δ_C 8.3 and 22.3 $\times$ 2) and one *sp*³ methine (δ_C 28.3) were determined. In the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 3), Me-11 (δ_H 1.83, s) correlated with C-2 (δ_C 169.3, CO) and C-3 (δ_C 98.8, C), indicating that the methyl was connected with C-3. The HMBC correlations from H-5 (δ_H 5.98, s) to C-3 (δ_C 98.8, C), C-4 (δ_C 168.4, C), C-7 (δ_C 32.2, CH₂) and C-11 (δ_C 8.3, CH₃), from H-7 (δ_H 2.47, t, *J* = 7.8 Hz) to C-5 (δ_C 101.1, CH), C-6 (δ_C 165.1, C), C-8 (δ_C 37.1, CH₂) and C-9 (δ_C 28.3, CH), and from H-8 (δ_H 1.53, m) to C-6 (δ_C 165.1, C), C-7 (δ_C 32.2, CH₂), C-9 (δ_C 28.3, CH) and C-10 (δ_C 22.3, CH₃), suggested a connection of C-3/C-4/C-5/C-6/C-7/C-8/C-9. What's more, in the HMBC spectrum, Me-10 (δ_H 0.95, d, *J* = 6.6 Hz) signal correlated with C-8 (δ_C 37.1, CH₂) and C-9 (δ_C 28.3, CH), suggesting a carbon connection of C-8/C-9/C-10. In this way, the structure of 2 were confirmed (Fig. 1).

Violapyrone K (3) was also obtained as a yellowish amorphous solid. The HRESIMS data (*m/z* 211.1328 [M+H]⁺, calcd for 211.1329) of 3 showed the molecular formula C₁₂H₁₈O₃, corresponding to four degrees of unsaturation. Analogously, a careful comparison of the NMR data between 2 and 3 (Table 1) reached a conclusion that an ethyl (δ_H 2.38, q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1.01, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz; δ_C 17.3, CH₂, 13.1 CH₃) appeared in 3 instead of a methyl (δ_H 1.83, s; δ_C 8.3, CH₃) in 2. This inference was verified by the HMBC correlations (Fig. 3) from H-11 (δ_H 2.38, q,

X.-L. Zhang · X.-T. Wang (✉)
Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
e-mail: wangxt11@ustc.edu.cn

X.-T. Wang
CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of compounds 1–4

Fig. 2 Microscopic observation of MCF-7/TamR treated with DMSO (a) and KIB-H0495 extract (b) (10 mg/mL, 72 h)

$J = 7.2$ Hz) to C-2 (δ_C 169.3, C), C-3 (δ_C 104.9, C), C-4 (δ_C 168.4, C), and C-12 (δ_C 13.1, CH₃), respectively. Therefore, the structure of **3** was established.

The resensitization effect of four isolates **1–4** was further evaluated. The data suggested that violapyrones **J** (**2**) and **I** (**4**) showed no effect on cell growth upon tamoxifen treatment in MCF-7/TamR cells under the concentrations of 10, 15, and 20 μ M (Fig. 4a). However, a combined treatment of either **1** or **3** with tamoxifen resulted in decreased cell growth rate in MCF-7/TamR cells at the concentration of 1 μ M (Fig. 4b). In order to exclude the possibility of cytotoxic effect, we tested the cytotoxicity of violapyrones **B** (**1**) and **K** (**3**) in the absence of tamoxifen in multiple cancer cell lines. It was shown that both **1** and **3** exhibited no cytotoxicity against MCF-7/TamR (Fig. 4c), MCF-10A, MCF-7, SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, BGC-823 and A549 in our experiment (40 μ M, 72 h) (Fig. S16).

Violapyrones **B** (**1**) and **K** (**3**) showed no cytotoxicity for MCF-7, MCF-7/TamR, MCF-10A, SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, BGC-823 and A549 cells at high concentration of 40 μ M which is identical with the results that similar α -pyrone derivatives in the literature have no cytotoxicity [19], but it can improve the sensitivity of tamoxifen resistance for MCF-7/TamR at a low concentration of 1 μ M. On the whole, our experimental data was persuasive. Prospectively, violapyrones **B** (**1**) and **K** (**3**) have possibilities to be further developed into a novel reversal agent in combination with tamoxifen for chemotherapy in breast cancer patients.

3 Experimental Section

3.1 General Experimental Procedures

NMR spectra were recorded in CD₃OD using a Bruker AVANCE III-600 spectrometer, and TMS was used as internal standard. ESIMS spectra were recorded using a Waters Xevo TQS mass spectrometer. HRESIMS data were obtained using an Agilent 1290 UPLC/6540 Q-TOF mass instrument. Column chromatography (CC) was performed using silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., China) and MCI gel CHP20P (75–150 μ m, Mitsubishi Corp., Japan). Semipreparative HPLC was conducted on a HITACHI Chromaster system equipped with a DAD detector, a YMC-Triart C₁₈ column (250 $\times$ 10 mm i.d., 5 μ m).

3.2 Extraction and Isolation

The *Streptomyces* sp. KIB-H0495 was cultivated on a scale of 20 L using D fermentation medium (soluble starch 10 g, trypton 5 g, glucose 10 g, glycerol 10 g, yeast extract 5 g, CaCO₃ 3 g in one liter distilled water, adjusted to pH 7.0 with 2 N NaOH) to cultivate at 30 °C for 7 days on a rotary shaker (200 rpm). The culture broth (total 20 L) was harvested by high speed centrifugation (6000 rpm) and then extracted with EtOAc (10 L $\times$ 3 times). The mycelium was extracted with acetone and the extract was concentrated in vacuo and the residual aqueous concentrate was

then extracted with EtOAc. Both extracts revealed an identical set of metabolites based on HPLC analysis, therefore the extracts (5.1 g) were combined and then separated on silica gel [petroleum ether/EtOAc (1:0, 1:1, 1:3, 0:1) and CHCl₃/CH₃OH (1:1)] CC, yielding five fractions A-E. Fraction B (1.93 g) was separated on MCI CC eluting with gradient CH₃OH/H₂O (35, 50, 75, 85, 100% CH₃OH). From 50% CH₃OH/H₂O part, compounds **1** (2.2 mg), **2** (1.0 mg), **3** (1.1 mg), and **4** (1.3 mg) were obtained after a preparation by semipreparative HPLC eluting with 68% CH₃OH/H₂O at the flow rate of 3.0 mL/min.

Violapyrone J (2): Yellowish amorphous solid; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 204 (4.3), 289 (3.9) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1; ESIMS m/z 197 [M+H]⁺; HRESIMS 197.1176 (C₁₁H₁₇O₃, calcd for 197.1172).

Violapyrone K (3): Yellowish amorphous solid; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 204 (4.2), 289 (3.9) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Table 1; ESIMS m/z 211 [M+H]⁺; HRESIMS 211.1328 (C₁₂H₁₉O₃, calcd for 211.1329).

3.3 Cell Culture

Parental breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and its derived tamoxifen resistant lines MCF-7/TamR were kindly provided by Dr. Tao Zhu (University of science and technology of China, China) and cultured as described before [22]. Breast cancer cells SK-BR-3 and gastric cancer cells BGC-823 were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen/Life Technologies) medium with 2.0 g/L NaHCO₃ (Sigma, USA); A549 was cultured in DMEM medium; Breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium with 1.2 g/L NaHCO₃. All the above mentioned medium were also supplemented with 10% Fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Invitrogen, USA) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (WISENT, China). Normal breast epithelial cells MCF-10A were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium with 1.2 g/L NaHCO₃, 5% horse serum (Gibco, USA), 10 μ g/mL insulin (Roche, Switzerland), 20 ng/mL EGF2 (Sigma, USA), 100 ng/mL cholera toxin α subunit (Sigma, USA) and 0.5 μ g/mL hydrocortisone (Melonepharma, China).

Fig. 3 Key HMBC correlations of **2** and **3**

Table 1 ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (150 MHz) data of **2** and **3** in CD₃OD (δ in ppm)

No.	2		3	
	δ_{C} , Type	δ_{H} (J, Hz)	δ_{C} , Type	δ_{H} (J, Hz)
2	169.3, C		168.9, C	
3	98.8, C		104.9, C	
4	168.4, C		168.9, C	
5	101.1, CH	5.98, s	101.7, CH	5.95, s
6	165.1, C		165.2, C	
7	32.2, CH ₂	2.47, t (7.8)	32.3, CH ₂	2.46, t (7.8)
8	37.1, CH ₂	1.53, m	36.8, CH ₂	1.52, m
9	28.3, CH	1.58, m	28.8, CH	1.59, m
10	22.3, 2 $\times$ CH ₃	0.95, d (6.6)	22.6, 2 $\times$ CH ₃	0.94, d (6.6)
11	8.3, CH ₃	1.83, s	17.3, CH ₂	2.38, q (7.2)
12			13.1, CH ₃	1.01, t (7.2)

3.4 Cytotoxicity Assay

Cytotoxicity of KIB-H0495 extract was investigated using resistant cell line MCF-7/TamR. Four α -pyrone derivatives were tested alone against breast epithelial cells (MCF-10A, MCF-7), tamoxifen resistant cells (MCF-7/TamR), breast cancer cells (SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231), gastric cancer cells (BGC-823) and lung cancer cells (A549). The cytotoxicity of MCF-7/TamR was detected by inputting different concentrations of tamoxifen under separately quantitative compounds **1–4** and DMSO.

3.5 Sulforhodamine B (SRB) Assay

The SRB assay was modified according to the method described by Pauwels et al. [23]. Briefly, 3000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates for 24 h before receiving vehicle or tamoxifen treatment. 72 h later, the culture medium was aspirated, and the remaining cells were fixed with 10% Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) at 4 °C (200 μ L/well) for 1 h. Cells were then washed five times with 100 μ L deionized water, and left to air-dry at room temperature. The cells were next stained with 100 μ L 0.4% SRB (Sigma, USA) at 37 °C for 30 min, and subsequently washed five times with 1% acetic acid to remove unbound stain. Lastly, the plates were dried at 37 °C and bound protein stain was solubilized with 100 μ L of 10 mM unbuffered Tris base (Sigma, USA) on shaker for 30 min. Afterwards, the 96-well plates were transferred to CLARIO star microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Germany) to detect optical density (OD) at 515 nm. The formulae below was used to calculate the relative cell growth rate [24].

Fig. 4 Effect of compounds **1–4** on cell growth and tamoxifen resistance in MCF-7/TamR. **a** Relative cell growth rate of MCF-7/TamR cells was tested with different doses of tamoxifen under the concentrations of 10, 15, and 20 μM of **2** (left) and **4** (right). **b** Relative cell growth rate of MCF-7/TamR cells was tested with

different doses of tamoxifen under the minimum effect concentration of 1 μM of **1** (left) and **3** (right). **c** Relative cell growth rate of MCF-7/TamR was measured after 72 h treatment of indicated concentrations of violapyrone B (**1**) and K (**3**) (0.1–10 μM), respectively. Data was presented as mean ± SEM

Relative cell growth rate (%)

$$= \frac{(\text{mean OD}_{\text{sample}} - \text{mean OD}_{\text{day1}})}{(\text{mean OD}_{\text{negativecontrol}} - \text{mean OD}_{\text{day1}})} \%$$

Acknowledgements This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China to S-X.H (No. 81522044) and to X.W (No. 31471226), High-end Science and Technology Talents Program of Yunnan Province to S-X.H (No. 2013HA022), and a Grant from the Thousand Youth Talents Program of China.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. S. Kachalaki, M. Ebrahimi, L.M. Khosroshahi, S. Mohammadinejad, B. Baradaran, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. **89**, 20–30 (2016)
2. M. Piva, G. Domenici, O. Iriando, M. Rábano, B.M. Simões, V. Comaills, I. Barredo, J.A. Ló-Ruiz, I. Zabalza, R. Kypta, M.M. Vivanco, E.M.B.O. Mol, Medicine **6**, 66–79 (2014)

3. M.M. Gottesman, I. Pastan, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **62**, 385–427 (1993)
4. C.P. Wu, S. Ohnuma, S.V. Ambudkar, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **12**, 609–620 (2011)
5. C.W. Yde, M.P. Clausen, M.V. Bennetzen, A.E. Lykkesfeldt, O.G. Mouritsen, B. Guerra, *Anti Cancer Drugs* **20**, 723–735 (2009)
6. G. Strobel, B. Daisy, U. Castillo, J. Harper, *J. Nat. Prod.* **67**, 257–268 (2004)
7. H.W. Zhang, Y.C. Song, R.X. Tan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **23**, 753–771 (2006)
8. A.A.L. Gunatilaka, *J. Nat. Prod.* **69**, 509–526 (2006)
9. B. Guo, Y. Wang, X. Sun, K. Tang, *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* **44**, 136–142 (2008)
10. J. Clardy, M.A. Fischbach, C.T. Walsh, *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 1541–1550 (2006)
11. W. Fenical, P.R. Jensen, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2**, 666–673 (2006)
12. S. Donadio, S. Maffioli, P. Monciardini, M. Sosio, D. Jabes, *J. Antibiot.* **63**, 423–430 (2010)
13. R.H. Baltz, *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **8**, 557–563 (2008)
14. O. Genilloud, I. González, O. Salazar, J. Martín, J.R. Tormo, F. Vicente, *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **38**, 375–389 (2011)
15. G.B. Mahajan, L. Balachandran, *Front. Biosci.* **4**, 240–253 (2012)
16. Y. Yan, Y.T. Ma, J. Yang, G.P. Horsman, D. Luo, X. Ji, S.X. Huang, *Org. Lett.* **18**, 1254–1257 (2016)
17. Y. Yan, J. Yang, Z. Yu, M. Yu, Y.T. Ma, L. Wang, C. Su, G.P. Horsman, S.X. Huang, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13083 (2016)
18. Z. Yu, L. Wang, J. Yang, F. Zhang, Y. Sun, M. Yu, Y. Yan, Y.T. Ma, S.X. Huang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **57**, 1375–1378 (2016)
19. J. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Y. Cao, J. Liu, D. Zheng, X. Chen, L. Han, C. Jiang, X. Huang, *J. Nat. Prod.* **76**, 2126–2130 (2013)
20. H.J. Shin, H.S. Lee, J.S. Lee, J. Shin, M.A. Lee, H.S. Lee, Y.J. Lee, J. Yun, J.S. Kang, *Mar. Drugs* **12**, 3283–3291 (2014)
21. S.E. Hagen, J.V.N.V. Prasad, F.E. Boyer, J.M. Domagala, E.L. Ellsworth, C. Gajda, H.W. Hamilton, L.J. Markoski, B.A. Steinbaugh, B.D. Tait, E.A. Lunney, P.J. Tummino, D. Ferguson, D. Hupe, C. Nouhan, S.J. Gracheck, J.M. Saunders, S. VanderRoest, *J. Med. Chem.* **40**, 3707–3711 (1997)
22. M. Lu, K. Ding, G. Zhang, M. Yin, G. Yao, H. Tian, J. Lian, L. Liu, M. Liang, T. Zhu, F. Sun, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 8735–8744 (2015)
23. B. Pauwels, A.E.C. Korst, C.M.J. de Pooter, G.O.P. Greet, A.J.L. Hilde, F.D.B. Marc, L. Filip, B.V. Jan, *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **51**, 221–226 (2003)
24. V. Vichai, K. Kirtikara, *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 1112–1116 (2006)