FIG. 1 Upper gastrointestinal barium study showing (a) tumor mass in the lower esophagus involving the cardia and gastric fundus before surgery (arrows); (b) a fistula (arrows) located at the lower end of the esophagus, fundus being pulled up to the diaphragm, and an esophageal hiatal hernia at 1-month follow up.

FIG. 2 Immunohistochemistry reveals an immature teratoma (arrow shows the bone content). (See website for color image).

tension between the esophagus and stomach, and lead to the postoperative fistula. On the other hand, the hiatal hernia could have been induced by an inadequately repaired esophageal hiatus. In addition, difficulties during surgery, especially exposing the mass, looking for a surgical entry point, and complete removal of the tumor may have added to the risk of postoperative complications.

While resecting such masses, full dissociation of the fundus and pulling it into the chest may reduce esophageal and gastric anastomotic tension and thereby avoid the occurrence of postoperative fistula.

REFERENCES

1. Peterson CM1, Buckley C, Holley S, Menias CO. Teratomas: A multimodality review. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol.* 2012;41:210-9.
2. Giacomoni MA, Zaffaroni G. Teratoma of the gastro-esophageal junction in an infant. *Arch Ital Chir.* 1968;94:781-7.
3. Bernat M1, Strutyńska-Karpińska M, Lewandowski A, Blaszczyk J, Grabowski K, Czapla L. Benign esophageal tumors. *Wiad Lek.* 1993;46:24-7.
4. Logani KB, Tayal A, Bhan S, Choudhary M, Uma G. Gastric teratoma in infants-a report of two cases. *Indian J Cancer.* 1983;30:34-7.

Erratum

In the article entitled “Newborn Screening for Congenital Hypothyroidism, Galactosemia and Biotinidase Deficiency in Uttar Pradesh, India” published in September 2014 issue of Indian Pediatrics on page nos. 701-705, following corrections are to be noted:

On page no. 704, under contributors: “VG and KJ are both to be regarded as first authors”

On page no. 704, funding section should be read as “Department of Biotechnology, Government of India (BT/PR11395/SPD/24/335/2008) to Dr. Shubha Phadke.”

On page number 703, under discussion, first paragraph, 5th line, “screened for hypothyroidism” should be read as “diagnosed to have CH”. Full sentence should be read as “In a regional network catering to predominantly rural and low socioeconomic strata population, we were able to demonstrate more than 70% success in sampling, more than 80% success in recall and 90% success rate in follow-up of neonates diagnosed to have CH.”

These corrections have already been carried out in the online version of the article at website of Indian Pediatrics (www.indianpediatrics.net), but have not been carried out in the version of the article published at Springer website of the journal (<http://www.springer.com/medicine/pediatrics/journal/13312>), as per individual publisher’s policies.