Erratum to: Methodology for commissioning a brachytherapy treatment planning system in the era of 3D planning

Claire Dempsey

Published online: 28 December 2010
© Australasian College of Physical Scientists and Engineers in Medicine 2010

Erratum to: Australas Phys Eng Sci Med DOI 10.1007/s13246-010-0036-2

A calculation error has occurred in Table 3 of the original article published in OnlineFirst. The corrected Table 3 is shown below.

Table 3 Conformity Index (COIN) values calculated for three different treatment sites

Treatment site	Plan	CTV (cm ³)	CTV ₍₁₀₀₎ (cm ³)	V ₍₁₀₀₎ (cm ³)	COIN
Prostate	Non-optimized	44.96	36.73	45.28	0.66
	IPSA	44.96	44.11	64.37	0.67
Vaginal Wall	Non-optimized	26.91	17.66	27.69	0.42
	IPSA	26.91	19.71	29.57	0.48
Uterine Cervix	Non-optimized	68.09	62.26	99.36	0.70
	IPSA	68.09	67.85	96.39	0.57

Each site had non-optimized and IPSA optimized plans created. The PTV was used as the CTV used in the COIN formula [21, 22]. The reference isodose was the 100% isodose line

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s13246-010-0036-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13246-010-0036-2).

C. Dempsey (✉)
Department of Radiation Oncology, Calvary Mater Newcastle
Hospital, Locked Bag 7, Hunter Regional Mail Centre,
Newcastle, NSW 2310, Australia
e-mail: Claire.hood@mater.health.nsw.gov.au

C. Dempsey
School of Health Sciences, University of Newcastle,
Newcastle, NSW, Australia