No evidence for superiority of air or oxygen for neonatal resuscitation: a meta-analysis

Aucune donnée probante n'appuie la supériorité de l'air ou de l'oxygène pour la réanimation néonatale: une méta-analyse

Joanne Guay, MD · Jean Lachapelle, MD

Received: 28 March 2011 / Accepted: 6 September 2011 / Published online: 5 October 2011
© Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society 2011

Abstract

Purpose The aim of this meta-analysis was to re-evaluate the evidence in favour of oxygen or room air as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation in terms of the following outcomes: death, hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy, need for tracheal intubation, and APGAR score—Appearance (skin color), Pulse (heart rate), Grimace (reflex irritability), Activity (muscle tone), and Respiration—at five minutes.

Methods A search with no language restriction for all available controlled clinical trials (CCT) was conducted in PUBMED, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and EMBASE. Data were extracted independently by the two investigators.

Results Eight CCTs were retained for analysis. They included 1,500 patients, 772 in the oxygen group and 728 in the air group. The evidence is based mainly on quasi-randomized studies (1,311/1,500) with unblinded resuscitators (1,421/1,500). The expertise/training of the resuscitators was unspecified for four of the eight studies. The risk ratio (RR) for death was 1.35 (95% confidence intervals [CI] = 0.97 to 1.88; $P = 0.08$; I^2 -squared 0%). The RR for hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy was 1.03 (95% CI = 0.86 to 1.23; $P = 0.74$; I^2 -squared 0%). The RR

for requiring a tracheal intubation was 0.85 (95% CI = 0.69 to 1.05 [random effects model]; $P = 0.12$; I^2 -squared = 9.51%).

Conclusions The literature is insufficient to make any statement regarding the superiority of oxygen or room air as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation.

Résumé

Objectif L'objectif de cette méta-analyse était de réévaluer les données probantes soutenant la supériorité de l'oxygène ou de l'air ambiant en tant que mélange gazeux initial pour la réanimation néonatale en termes des critères suivants : décès, encéphalopathie hypoxique / ischémique, besoin d'intubation trachéale et score APGAR – Apparence (couleur de la peau), Pouls (fréquence cardiaque), Grimace (irritabilité réflexe), Activité (tonus musculaire) et Respiration – à cinq minutes.

Méthode Une recherche sans restriction de langue a été réalisée dans les bases de données PUBMED, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials et EMBASE afin de récupérer toutes les études cliniques contrôlées (ECC) disponibles. Les données ont été récupérées de façon indépendante par deux chercheurs.

Résultats Huit ECC ont été retenues pour analyse. Au total, elles portaient sur 1500 patients, dont 772 dans le groupe oxygène et 728 dans le groupe air. Les données probantes se fondent principalement sur des études quasi-randomisées (1311/1500) avec des réanimateurs non en aveugle (1421/1500). L'expertise / la formation des réanimateurs n'était pas spécifiée dans quatre des huit études. Le risque relatif (RR) de décès était de 1,35 (intervalle de confiance [IC] 95 % = 0,97 à 1,88; $P = 0,08$; I^2 -carré 0 %). Le RR d'encéphalopathie hypoxique / ischémique était de 1,03 (IC 95 % = 0,86 à 1,23; $P = 0,74$; I^2 -carré 0 %). Le RR de recours à une

J. Guay, MD (✉)
Department of Anesthesiology, Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital, University of Montreal, 5415, L'Assomption Boulevard, Montreal, QC H1T 2M4, Canada
e-mail: joanne.guay@umontreal.ca

J. Lachapelle, MD
Department of Pediatrics, Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada

intubation trachéale était de 0,85 (IC 95 % = 0,69 à 1,05 [modèle à effets aléatoires]; $P = 0,12$; $I\text{-carre} = 9,51$ %).

Conclusion Les données de la littérature ne permettent pas d'émettre une recommandation quant à la supériorité de l'oxygène ou de l'air ambiant en tant que mélange gazeux initial à utiliser lors de la réanimation néonatale.

In their most recent publication, the American Heart Association recommended that neonatal resuscitation should be initiated with air if blended oxygen is not available.¹ This recommendation is based mainly on the results of two meta-analyses.^{2,3} The first meta-analysis included five studies published during 1993 to 2003.² The authors concluded, "There is insufficient evidence at present on which to recommend a policy of using room air over 100% oxygen, or vice versa, for newborn resuscitation."² The second meta-analysis included seven studies published during 1993 to 2005.³ The authors concluded, "Given the sum of the concerns regarding the methodology and patient population, it would be inappropriate to make definitive recommendations in North America based on the pooling of results from these studies."³

The aim of the present meta-analysis was to re-evaluate the evidence in favour of oxygen or room air as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation with respect to the following outcomes: death, hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy, need for tracheal intubation, and APGAR score—Appearance (skin color), Pulse (heart rate), Grimace (reflex irritability), Activity (muscle tone), and Respiration—at five minutes.

Methods

A search with no language restriction was conducted in PUBMED on February 20, 2011 for all available controlled clinical trials (CCT), randomized (RCT) or quasi-randomized which compared oxygen vs air as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation. The following search terms were used: "Randomis* OR Randomized trial* OR Double blind* OR Placebo* OR Clinical trial* OR Randomized OR Controlled Trial[Publication Type] OR Controlled clinical trial[Publication Type] OR Meta-analysis OR Review OR Systematic Review" [limit to human] AND "Air" AND "Infant, Newborn" AND "Asphyxia Neonatorum [*therapy]" OR "Oxygen Inhalation Therapy [adverse effects *methods]" OR "Resuscitation [*methods]" OR "Retinopathy of Prematurity [epidemiology]" OR "Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia [epidemiology]". We also searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (Clinical Trials) on May 2, 2011 using the following search terms: "Newborn [Search all text]" AND "Oxygen

[Search all text]" NOT "Animal [record title]" for any year and EMBASE [1980 to 2011 Week 17] with "New-born [limit to human]" AND "Oxygen [limit to human]" AND "Resuscitation [limit to human- include all sub-headings]". Reference lists of all studies as well as those of previous meta-analyses on the same topic^{2,3} were also checked.

Data were extracted from texts, tables, or figures independently by the two investigators as required. Conflicts ($n = 1$) were resolved by discussion. The latest available outcome up to 28 days was retained (taken earlier if some patients were lost to follow-up). The exact point at which the data were taken is shown in the Table 1. When data were published in more than one report, the available reports were consulted, but the study (not the report) was considered the unit; therefore, no study was considered more than once. Data were analyzed with Comprehensive Meta Analysis version 2.2.044 (www.Meta-Analysis.com) and Review Manager (RevMan) version 5 (for the risk of bias assessment) (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). Studies were judged on the information contained in the reports without any assumption on the following: adequate sequence generation; allocation concealment (inability of the person who was recruiting the patient to know in advance to what group the patient would be assigned); blinding of the observer for the neurological status examination (hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy); incomplete outcome data addressed (clear description of the fate of all patients eligible/included in the study and of all outcomes); free of selective reporting (outcomes of interest clearly available for all patients included in the study); and free of other bias (any other possible factor that could have influenced the results, including any obvious potential commercial interest). Heterogeneity was assessed by the I-squared value. Numbers needed to treat or harm were calculated on the odds ratios (<http://www.ntonline.net/visualrx/>). Publication bias was assessed with the classical fail-safe number, the number of missing studies required to bring the P value to 0.05.

Results

The flow diagram of the study selection is provided in Fig. 1. The eight studies retained for analysis included 1,500 patients, 772 in the oxygen group and 728 in the air group.⁴⁻¹² The exact distribution per country cannot be given because 18 patients originally reported by Saugstad *et al.*⁸ were counted twice and need to be subtracted; nevertheless, as originally reported, the distribution would be: India = 1,148; Egypt = 121; Philippines = 26; Estonia = 26; Spain = 85; Denmark = 69; Norway = 2; United States = 41. The risk of bias assessment is given in Fig. 2. The characteristics of the studies are detailed in the

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

Authors ^{Reference} Years of data collection Country	Population included	Type of study	Resuscitators expertise/ training	Resuscitation protocol	Data extracted/Time point
Bajaj 2005 ⁴ April 2002-June 2002 India	Oxygen = 97 Room air = 107 ≥1,000 g plus apnea or gasping respiration and/or HR < 100 beats·min ⁻¹ requiring positive pressure ventilation; major congenital malformations or hydrops excluded.	Quasi-randomized (date of birth) Presence of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy and neurological examination confirmed by a blinded observer	Unspecified	American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association Room air group switched to oxygen if HR < 100 beats·min ⁻¹ or central cyanosis after 90 sec (defined as resuscitation failure) Corrugated reservoir connected to a bag (type unspecified), oxygen source 5-6 L·min ⁻¹	APGAR score at five min Death before discharge Any HIE before discharge Need for tracheal intubation during resuscitation
Lundstrom 1995 ⁵ November 1, 1990-April 15, 1993 Denmark	Oxygen = 35 Room air = 34 < 33 wks gestational age; known severe malformation and hydrops excluded.	RCT	Unspecified	Room air vs oxygen 80%. All infants initially ventilated by bag and face mask (2-4 breaths), followed by CPAP or more face mask and bag ventilation. If abnormal HR at one minute, $\uparrow$ F _O 2 at 30-40% and +10% thereafter as required. Routine vitamin E.	Death within 28 days
Ramji 1993 ⁶ India	Oxygen = 42 Room air = 43 > 999 g with HR < 80 beats·min ⁻¹ and/or apnea. Newborn babies with lethal anomalies, hydrops fetalis, or congenital cyanotic heart defects were excluded	Quasi-randomized (date of birth) Evaluation of neurological status by a blinded assessor APGAR assessment unblinded	Unspecified	Self-inflated bag and face mask at 60 breaths·min ⁻¹ , switched to 100% if cyanosed and/or bradycardic > 90 sec. Corrugated reservoir and ≥ 4 L·min ⁻¹	APGAR score at five min Death at seven days (the stillbirth of the room air group is included as intention to treat) HIE II or III Need for tracheal intubation not taken because intubation for meconium-stained amniotic fluid was unequally distributed (12 in oxygen group vs 6 in room air group)
Ramji 2003 ⁷ 1995-1997 Four centres from India	Oxygen = 221 Room air = 210 > 1,000 g, HR < 100 beats·min ⁻¹ and/or apnea, unresponsive to nasopharyngeal suction and tactile stimuli, and having no lethal abnormalities nor congenital pulmonary or cyanotic heart defects	Quasi-randomized (date of birth) Unblinded	Personnel involved in the study recruited by the investigator who also ensured their training and compliance to the treatment protocol during the study period	Self-inflated bag 40-60 breaths·min ⁻¹ with oxygen reservoir and 4 L·min ⁻¹ . Air group switched to 100% if HR < 100 beats·min ⁻¹ and/or cyanosed after 90 sec (defined as treatment failure)	Death at seven days HIE during the first seven days

Table 1 continued

Authors ^{Reference} Years of data collection Country	Population included	Type of study	Resuscitator's expertise/ training	Resuscitation protocol	Data extracted/Time point
Saugstad 1998 ^{8*} June 1, 1994-May 31, 1996 10 centres from six countries: India, Egypt, Philippines, Estonia, Spain, Norway	Oxygen = 311 Room air = 280 > 999 g, apnea or gasping with HR < 80 beats·min ⁻¹ . Stillbirth, lethal anomalies, hydrops fetalis, and cyanotic congenital heart defects excluded	Quasi-randomized (date of birth) Unblinded	Two trained people involved in the study	American Heart Association Guidelines, ventilation of 40 to 60 breaths·min ⁻¹	Death within 28 days (stillbirths excluded, no death reported among the 33 neonates recruited from three European centres)
Vento 2001 ⁹ Spain	Oxygen = 21 Room air = 19 Term (37-40 wks) with hypotonia and apnea, nonresponsive to external stimuli, pale skin and mucous colour, and HR < 80 beats·min ⁻¹	RCT Resuscitation team blinded to gas mixture, unclear if assessors were blinded, study designed for measurement of biochemical markers	Neonatologists assisted by neonatal nurses for monitoring installation	6 L·min ⁻¹ gas flow, 40 mbar maximal inspiratory pressure, 30 breaths·min ⁻¹	Grade II or III HIE at seven days Need for tracheal intubation (time unspecified) Need for tracheal intubation
Vento 2005 ¹⁰ 1999-2002 Spain	Oxygen = 22 Room air = 17 Term (37-40 wks) with pale colour, HR < 80 beats·min ⁻¹ , nonresponsiveness to stimuli, pH ≤ 7.0, APGAR ≤ 5 > five min	RCT Resuscitation team blinded to gas mixture, unclear if assessors were blinded, study designed for measurement of biochemical markers	Unspecified	Unclear	Need for tracheal intubation Death within 28 days
Wang 2008 ¹¹ December 2005-March 2007 Two centres from United States	Oxygen = 23 Room air = 18 < 32 wks requiring resuscitation; neonates with known congenital malformations or chromosomal anomalies excluded	RCT	Centre A: a pediatric resident, a neonatal fellow, a neonatal nurse, and a respiratory therapist Centre B: a neonatal respiratory therapist and either a neonatal nurse practitioner, a pediatric resident, or a pediatrician A neonatologist if ≤ 28 wk of gestation.	NRP protocol, T-piece resuscitator or self-inflated bag Switched to 100% if compressions required or HR < 100 beats·min ⁻¹ at two min or < 60 beats·min ⁻¹ for 30 sec at any time. Oxygen ↑ by 25% if preductal SaO ₂ < 70% at three min or < 85% at five min	Need for tracheal intubation in delivery room Death within seven days

HR = heart rate; RCT = randomized controlled trial; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure;

HIE = Hypoxic/Ischemic encephalopathy; grade I (mild) includes irritability, hyperalertness, mild hypotonia, and poor sucking; grade II (moderate) includes lethargy, seizures, marked abnormalities of tone, and requirement of tube feeding; and grade III (severe) includes coma, prolonged seizures, severe hypotonia, and failure to maintain spontaneous respiration

* 18 Neonates of this study were erroneously counted twice in the data: 10 in the oxygen group and 8 in the room air group, the numbers provided here are the corrected numbers for mortality; the numbers could not be corrected for the other outcomes

NRP (protocol) = Neonatal Resuscitation Program

Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the study selection. CCT = controlled clinical trial (randomized or quasi-randomized)

Table 1. Four studies were quasi-randomized (allocation according to the date of birth [even vs odd]).^{4,6-8} One study mentioned that neonates for whom spontaneous circulation was never achieved were considered stillbirths and were excluded from the analysis.⁸ For that study, deaths included in the analysis occurred only in non-European countries.⁸ Of the four potentially randomized studies (three were said to be randomized, but the exact method was unspecified), three were not designed for clinical outcomes.^{5,9,10} In the study by *Bajaj et al.*,⁴ the neurological examination at discharge for the survivors in the room air group is missing for 15 patients, while all such data are given for the survivors in the oxygen group. For this study, an abnormal neurological examination at discharge was found in 13.8% of survivors available for follow-up in the oxygen group and 20.0% of the survivors in the room air group. Data retained for this study were any evidence of hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy before discharge. No obvious methodological problem was detected for this outcome.

In the oxygen group, the risk ratio (RR) bordered the significance level for an increased risk of death (RR = 1.37; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.08 to 1.75; $P = 0.01$; I-squared 0%). The number needed to harm (NNTH) is 20 (95% CI = 93 to 10), classical fail safe number is 2. Excluding the study where the number of true failed resuscitations (spontaneous circulation never achieved) was not counted,⁸ the RR would be 1.35 (95% CI = 0.97 to 1.88; $P = 0.08$; I-squared 0%) (Fig. 3). There was no difference in the risk for hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy (RR = 1.03; 95% CI = 0.86 to 1.23; $P = 0.74$; I-squared 0%) (Fig. 4). Excluding the study by *Bajaj et al.*,⁴ the RR would be 1.05 (95% CI = 0.79 to 1.39; $P = 0.74$; I-squared 0%). Excluding both the *Bajaj et al.*⁴ and *Saugstad et al.*⁸ studies, the RR for hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy would

Risk of Bias

	Adequate sequence generation?	Allocation concealment?	Blinding?	Incomplete outcome data addressed?	Free of selective reporting?	Free of other bias?
Bajaj 2005	—	—	+	—	—	+
Lundstrom 1995	?	+	—	+	+	+
Ramji 1993	—	—	+	?	—	+
Ramji 2003	—	—	—	+	+	+
Saugstad 1998	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vento 2001a	?	?	?	—	—	+
Vento 2005	?	?	?	—	—	+
Wang 2008	?	+	—	—	—	+

Fig. 2 Risk of bias assessment. Blinding was judged as adequate if the examination for hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy was performed by an assessor blinded to the treatment group

be RR = 1.03 (95% CI = 0.81 to 1.32; $P = 0.82$; I-squared 0%) ($n = 516$ patients; oxygen = 263 and room air = 253—all derived from the same authors).^{6,7} The risk

Fig. 3 Risk ratio for death. The difference was not statistically significant when the study of Saugstad *et al.* (where neonates who never achieved spontaneous circulation where excluded from the results) was excluded

Fig. 4 There was no difference in the risk ratio of hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy

Fig. 5 The risk ratio for requiring endotracheal intubation

of requiring a tracheal intubation was similar for the two treatment groups (RR 0.85; 95% CI = 0.69 to 1.05 [random effects model]; $P = 0.12$; I-squared = 9.5%) (Fig. 5). Data for the APGAR score at five minutes could be extracted for only two studies (288 patients); one study favoured oxygen and the other favoured room air. An attempt to sum them would give heterogeneity of 83.6% (I-squared value). A follow-up at 18-24 months (not formally blinded) is available for the study of Saugstad *et al.*⁸ representing 69% of the patients resuscitated with oxygen and 62% of those

resuscitated with room air. In those infants with no follow-up, the room air group had a significantly lower heart rate at 90 sec of life than those resuscitated with oxygen (median 100 beats·min⁻¹; 5 to 95% CI = 68 to 147 vs 120 beats·min⁻¹; 5 to 95% CI = 60 to 166). The incidence of cerebral palsy was 7% and 10% for oxygen and room air, respectively (RR = 0.75; 95% CI = 0.31 to 1.80; $P = 0.52$), and the incidence of abnormal development was 10% and 15% for oxygen and room air, respectively (RR = 0.64; 95% CI = 0.31 to 1.32; $P = 0.22$).¹²

Discussion

The available literature is clearly insufficient to make any statement regarding on the superiority of air or oxygen as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation. The evidence is based mainly on quasi-randomized studies (1,311/1,500) with unblinded resuscitators (1,421/1,500). The expertise/training of the resuscitators was unspecified for four of the eight studies.^{4-6,10} One study⁸ excluded from the count of deaths those neonates for whom a spontaneous circulation was never achieved (true failed resuscitation). This ought to be considered as a major flaw. In an intention-to-treat analysis, those deaths should have been retained in their allocated group for the final analysis. It is possible here that the sicker neonates, those who needed a more vigorous resuscitation, were simply excluded from the results. Excluding this study, no difference in risk of death can be demonstrated between the two treatments (oxygen vs room air). For this study, all recorded deaths occurred in non-European countries.⁸ In the present meta-analysis, a high percentage of the patients were recruited in India. The perinatal (stillbirth plus early neonatal mortality) death rate in India is 49 per 1,000 pregnancies.¹³ The perinatal death rate in Canada from 1999 to 2003 was 6.3 per 1,000 (95% CI = 6.2 to 6.4).¹⁴ Clearly, there are factors other than the initial gas mixture used for neonatal resuscitation (room air or oxygen) that can make a substantial difference in neonatal mortality. Therefore it is doubtful that the data collected in India apply to Canada and similar countries.

The present meta-analysis contains studies that mix premature and term babies, clearly mixing two different populations with different physiology, different morbidity, and different mortality rate.¹⁵ An unstratified analysis may lead to spurious results (Simpson's paradox). Finally, if the two large studies containing major flaws^{4,8} are excluded, we then encounter the issue of the "small-study effect".¹⁶ The small-study effect has two major aspects: contribution to heterogeneity and the publication bias. Heterogeneity was not a problem in the present meta-analysis. It is well recognized, however, that small studies are more likely to be published when there are positive (statistically significant) rather than negative (not statistically significant) results; this is part of the "publication bias". To assess the potential influence of this problem, several techniques have been proposed, e.g., the "Trim and Fill" technique. This technique simply imputes values to the potentially missing studies (for instance the small negative ones), thus allowing an estimate of what the effect size would be if these small studies were published and included. Since this technique requires at least about 20 studies, it could not be applied here. Instead, we have used the classical fail-safe number on the effect on mortality. In the room air group, the RR for

death was statistically significantly lower only when all studies were included (including those with major flaws). We then see that only two missing studies would be enough to bring this *P* value to 0.05 (not statistically significant). This shows the extreme uncertainty of this alleged difference in mortality between room air and 100% oxygen.

An appropriate study on the effect of oxygen vs room air on mortality should therefore be conducted in countries with a low perinatal death rate using a stratified analysis (term vs preterm neonates) and a sample size large enough to provide an appropriate power. Considering a perinatal mortality rate of 0.6% in Canada, 39,046 neonates (19,523 per group) would be required to prove or disprove a one-third reduction in mortality (from 0.6% to 0.4%) with an alpha value of 0.05 and a beta value of 0.2 (two-sided test) (<http://www.stat.ubc.ca/~rollin/stats/ssize/b2.html>).

In the present meta-analysis, there was no difference in the rate of hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy (RR 1.03; 95% CI = 0.86 to 1.23) among the survivors at the short-term evaluation, suggesting that the two treatment modalities could be equivalent and there would be no ethical contraindications to a large multicentre trial. However, subtle neurological abnormalities are not always easy to detect in the first few months of life. A trend towards a one-third reduction in the incidence of neurological abnormalities (cerebral palsy/developmental delay) at 20 months in the Resair 2 study raises serious concerns on the use of room air.¹² The large number of patients lost to follow-up in this study, however, makes these results difficult to interpret. The percentage of patients lost to follow-up was similar across the two treatment groups but twice as high as the percentage of patients suffering from a neurological abnormality. Patients lost to follow-up in the room air group had a lower heart rate at 90 sec than those lost to follow-up in the oxygen group. Therefore, patients lost to follow up in the room air group might have been more severely asphyxiated than those lost to follow-up in the oxygen group. Hence those results may even underestimate the difference between the two groups in the incidence of neurological abnormalities at 20 months.

In North America, the incidence of cerebral palsy is estimated at 1.4/1,000 deliveries.¹⁷ Thus, the sample size of a study trying to determine a difference between room air and oxygen in the overall incidence of cerebral palsy would be much higher than the one needed to look for a difference in the death rate. In their study, Wang *et al.* reported that the incidence of grade III-IV intraventricular hemorrhage was 11% (2/18) in the room air group and 0% (0/23) in the 100% oxygen group, with a similar mortality in both groups (1/18 vs 1/23 for room air and 100% oxygen, respectively).¹¹ Based on this single small study, we can infer that 570 preterm neonates would be required to eliminate a difference of 5% (alpha value of

0.05, beta value of 0.2, and two-sided test) in the incidence of severe intraventricular hemorrhage. However, considering that neither Wang *et al.* nor Escrig *et al.* were able to stabilize premature newborns with room air, we are of the view that room air should not be the initial gas mixture used for resuscitation of premature newborns.^{11,18}

The trend towards a reduction in the number of patients who require tracheal intubation (RR 0.85; 95% CI = 0.69 to 1.05) if oxygen is used should be interpreted cautiously because the expertise/training of the resuscitators was not mentioned in half of the studies, and the resuscitators were blinded to the gas mixture for only two studies.

First reported in 1952, the APGAR score has gone through various periods of favour and disfavour; it has been criticized as having a poor inter-rater reliability and a poor calibration when used as an isolated criterion to predict mortality and long-term morbidity, particularly in preterm newborns.¹⁹ The relationship between the five minute APGAR score and long-term neurological outcome is too fragile to base any evaluation of a resuscitation protocol on it, and future studies should not consider the five minute APGAR score as a final outcome. Finally, even if air were chosen as the first gas mixture, due to the high number of neonates who were switched to oxygen during these studies (approximately 25%), supplementary oxygen should continue to be made available.^{2,3}

In conclusion, the literature is insufficient to make any statement regarding the superiority of oxygen or room air as the initial gas mixture for neonatal resuscitation.

Disclosure Access to some of the references was provided by University of Montreal. We have no other relevant disclosure.

Competing interests None declared.

References

1. Kattwinkel J, Perlman JM, Aziz K. *et al.*; American Heart Association. Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Pediatrics* 2010; 126: e1400-13.
2. Tan A, Schulze A, O'Donnell CP, Davis PG. Air versus oxygen for resuscitation of infants at birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; (2): CD002273.
3. Rabi Y, Rabi D, Yee W. Room air resuscitation of the depressed newborn: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2007; 72: 353-63.
4. Bajaj N, Udani RH, Nanavati RN. Room air vs. 100 per cent oxygen for neonatal resuscitation: a controlled clinical trial. *J Trop Pediatr* 2005; 51: 206-11.
5. Lundstrom KE, Pryds O, Greisen G. Oxygen at birth and prolonged cerebral vasoconstriction in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1995; 73: F81-6.
6. Ramji S, Ahuja S, Thirupuram S, Rootwelt T, Rooth G, Saugstad OD. Resuscitation of asphyxiated newborn infants with room air or 100% oxygen. *Pediatr Res* 1993; 34: 809-12.
7. Ramji S, Rasaily R, Mishra PK, *et al.* Resuscitation of asphyxiated newborns with room air or 100% oxygen at birth: a multicentric clinical trial. *Indian Pediatr* 2003; 40: 510-7.
8. Saugstad OD, Rootwelt T, Aalen O. Resuscitation of asphyxiated newborn infants with room air or oxygen: an international controlled trial: the Resair 2 study. *Pediatrics* 1998; 102: e1.
9. Vento M, Asensi M, Sastre J, García-Sala F, Pallardo FV, Vina J. Resuscitation with room air instead of 100% oxygen prevents oxidative stress in moderately asphyxiated term neonates. *Pediatrics* 2001; 107: 642-7.
10. Vento M, Sastre J, Asensi MA, Vina J. Room-air resuscitation causes less damage to heart and kidney than 100% oxygen. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172: 1393-8.
11. Wang CL, Anderson C, Leone TA, Rich W, Govindaswami B, Finer NN. Resuscitation of preterm neonates by using room air or 100% oxygen. *Pediatrics* 2008; 121: 1083-9.
12. Saugstad OD, Ramji S, Irani SF, *et al.* Resuscitation of newborn infants with 21% or 100% oxygen: follow-up at 18 to 24 months. *Pediatrics* 2003; 112: 296-300.
13. World Health Organization. Department of making pregnancy safer, Country profile: India. ORC Macro, 2007. MEASURE DHS STATcompiler. <http://www.measuredhs.com>, October 8 2008. Available from URL: http://www.who.int/entity/making_pregnancy_safer/countries/ind.pdf (accessed March 2011).
14. Statistics Canada. Available from URL: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca> (accessed March 2011).
15. Simpson CD, Ye XY, Hellmann J, Tomlinson C. Trends in cause-specific mortality at a Canadian outborn NICU. *Pediatrics* 2010; 126: e1538-44.
16. Rucker G, Schwarzer G, Carpenter JR, Binder H, Schumacher M. Treatment-effect estimates adjusted for small-study effects via a limit meta-analysis. *Biostatistics* 2011; 12: 122-42.
17. Gilbert WM, Jacoby BN, Xing G, Danielsen B, Smith LH. Adverse obstetric events are associated with significant risk of cerebral palsy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010; 203: 328.e1-5.
18. Escrig R, Arruza L, Izquierdo I, *et al.* Achievement of targeted saturation values in extremely low gestational age neonates resuscitated with low or high oxygen concentrations: a prospective, randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2008; 121: 875-81.
19. Bharti B, Bharti S. A review of the Apgar score indicated that contextualization was required within the contemporary perinatal and neonatal care framework in different settings. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005; 58: 121-9.