Correction to: “Interrogating “privacy” in a world brimming with high political entanglements, surveillance, interdependence & interconnections” (EDITORIAL CHAPTER)

Robert Mathews¹

Published online: 31 January 2018

© IUPESM and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Health Technol. (2017).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-017-0211-5>

- 1) In the first sentence of the last paragraph on Page 267, the reference “in relation to the Privacy...” should merely be, “in relation to Privacy...”
- 2) Within the first paragraph of Section 4, “Re-establishing human rights foundations in the long shadow of atrocities - the Rwandan experience,” the existing reference to “**Fig. 12**” bears no connection to the text immediately before it, and therefore, the reference to “**Fig. 12**” need not exist.
- 3) In the second sentence of the first paragraph, in Section 5, “The case of privacy in India,” the word “isolation” should in fact be “isolationism.”
- 4) Within the first paragraph of Section 5, “The case of privacy in India,” the existing reference to “**Fig. 14**” bears no connection to the text immediately before it, and therefore, the reference to “**Fig. 14**” need not exist.
- 5) The very last sentence of Section 5.5, “The Aadhaar program: a case of thriving political paternalism,” should be: “How will the Indian people get beyond massively tribal mentalities, crippling illiteracy, and the lack of fundamental respect for human rights, social order, and civility?”
- 6) In Section 6.2.4, “Psychosocial characterizations of the digital workforces,” the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph should have been: “No matter whom the employer, whether they are schools, hospitals, courts, the military, religious entities, and/or corresponding houses of worship, people have to be - both broadly and deeply concerned of such addictions.”
- 7) In Section 8, “Some examples of the threat ecology working against privacy and security,” the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph should be: “A study involving U.S. consumers, small, medium, and large-scale enterprises have Americans being ~60% agreeable to paying a data ransom [243].”
- 8) **Figure 22** should not have been placed in Section 9 “Conclusions,” on Page 312. **Fig. 22** should be correctly situated in Section 8.3 “Righting the perspectives on modern workforces,” immediately before the sentence, “Looking forward, the overall capabilities of institutions to protect people’s Personal Privacy and Security.....” on Page 309.
- 9) The reference to **Fig. 22** in the first sentence of the second paragraph, in the left column of Page 312 should be substituted with a reference to **Fig. 23**.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-017-0211-5>

✉ Robert Mathews
mathews@hawaii.edu

¹ Distinguished Senior Research Scholar, National Security Affairs and U.S. Industrial Preparedness, Office of Scientific Inquiry and Applications (OSIA), University of Hawai’i, Honolulu and Hilo, HI, USA