Erratum to: Short- and Long-Term Response of Deteriorating Brackish Marshes and Open-Water Ponds to Sediment Enhancement by Thin-Layer Dredge Disposal

Megan K. La Peyre · Bryan Gossman · Bryan P. Piazza

Published online: 3 September 2010
© Coastal and Estuarine Research Federation 2010

Erratum to: Estuaries and Coasts (2010) 32:390–402
DOI 10.1007/s12237-008-9126-8

Figures 4 and 8 present soil nutrient concentrations in $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$, not as g cm^{-3} , with multipliers, as incorrectly shown in the original figures and reported in the original paper. The text on page 396 of the original paper should have reported NO_3 in impact pond area ($43 \pm 9 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$) and marsh habitats ($38 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$) as compared to reference habitats ($12 \pm 3 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$); Mn value in reference habitat to be ($20 \pm 3 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$) and impact range (110–

$120 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$), and NH_4 reference ($30 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$) and marsh and pond range ($200\text{--}490 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$).

The corrected figures and captions are as follows:

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12237-008-9126-8>.

M. K. La Peyre (✉)
U.S. Geological Survey, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Louisiana Fish and Wildlife Cooperative Research Unit, School of Renewable Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA
e-mail: mlapey@lsu.edu

B. Gossman · B. P. Piazza
Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, School of Renewable Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Present Address:

B. Gossman
Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Coastal Restoration and Management, CERM Building, Suite 309, 2045 Lakeshore Drive, New Orleans, LA 70122, USA

Fig. 4 Short-term response of marsh soil nutrient density (soil volume in $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$; NO_3 , P, Mn, Fe, NH_4) at the reference, impact marsh, and impact pond habitats at the Little Lake site, Louisiana. Little Lake received sediment enhancement via slurry in June 2006. Means that are significantly different are marked by letters. Values are mean $\pm$ SE

Fig. 8 Comparison of soil nutrient density (soil volume in $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$; NO_3 , P, Mn, Fe, NH_4) at the reference, impact marsh, and pond habitats from six sites which received sediment enhancement via slurry between 1999 and 2006. Impact marsh refers to vegetated marsh that received the sediment enhancement, while impact pond refers to interior open-water ponds that received the sediment enhancement. Soil nutrient density was calculated in spring 2007. Analysis using ANOVA with site and habitat as factors found no significant effects for P and Fe. NO_3 had significant habitat effects only; NH_4 and Mn had significant interactions. Values are mean $\pm$ SE