Erratum to: Non-Native Plants Disrupt Dual Promotion of Native Alpha and Beta Diversity

**Tadashi Fukami · Peter J. Bellingham ·
Duane A. Peltzer · Lawrence R. Walker**

© Institute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic 2014

Erratum to: Folia Geobot
DOI 10.1007/S12224-013-9175-z

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/S12224-013-9175-z>.

T. Fukami (✉)

Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
e-mail: fukamit@stanford.edu

P. J. Bellingham · D. A. Peltzer
Landcare Research, PO Box 40, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand

L. R. Walker
School of Life Sciences, University of Nevada, 4505 Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

Fig. 4 Alpha, beta and gamma diversity of non-native plants at the end of the 4 yr exclusion experiment (February 2006). Diversity was measured and tested for significant difference as in Fig. 2. *Buddleja* is not included in calculation of alpha, beta or gamma diversity. No significant effect of species removal treatment was detected (denoted by n.s.)

Fig. 5 Relationships between *Coriaria* biomass and mean foliar N:P of native species in plots where only native species were retained (**a**), where non-native species were also retained (**b**), where *Buddleja* (but no other non-native species) was also retained (**c**), and where non-native species other than *Buddleja* were also retained (**d**). Data points represent plots observed in February 2006. Regression line is shown where significant. Treatment symbols are as in Fig. 1

Published online: 1 April 2014