ERRATUM

Erratum to: Plausibility Judgments of Atypical Symptoms Across Cultures: an Explorative Study Among Western and Non-Western Experts

Irena Boskovic^{1,2} · Douwe van der Heide³ · Lorraine Hope² · Harald Merckelbach¹ · Marko Jelacic¹

Published online: 16 August 2017
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2017

Erratum to: Psychol. Inj. and Law
DOI 10.1007/s12207-017-9294-6

Correction of mistake in the original version of this paper, “Plausibility Judgments of Atypical Symptoms Across Cultures: an Explorative Study Among Western and Non-Western Experts”, the sentence “We conducted a 2 (Western vs non-Western) $\times$ 3 (SIMS versus DES-T-versus BSI-18 items) analysis of variance (ANOVA).” should read “We conducted a **multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA)**, where dependent variables were the plausibility scores on SIMS, DES-T, and BSI-18, and the independent variable was culture background of professionals (Western vs non-Western).”

The footnote “We also ran this ANOVA with working experience as a covariate, and none of the results reached significance: $\lambda = .97$, $F(3.89) = .98$, $p = .40$, SIMS $F(1.90) = 2.71$, $p = .11$, DES-T $F(1.90) = 2.01$, $p = .16$; BSI-18 $F(1.90) = .30$, $p = .60$.” should read “We also ran this **MANOVA** with working experience as a covariate, and none of the results reached significance: $\lambda = .97$, $F(3.89) = .98$, $p = .40$, SIMS $F(1.90) = 2.71$, $p = .11$, DES-T $F(1.90) = 2.01$, $p = .16$; BSI-18 $F(1.90) = .30$, $p = .60$.”

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12207-017-9294-6>

✉ Irena Boskovic
irena.boskovic@maastrichtuniversity.nl

¹ Forensic Psychology Section, Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands

² University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, UK

³ GGZ Centraal, Ermelo, The Netherlands