Correction to: Is It a Single Anastomosis Gastric Bypass or Is It a Single Anastomosis Biliopancreatic Diversion?

Michel Gagner^{1,2}

Published online: 25 July 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Obesity Surgery
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-018-3386-6>

Due to errors during the production process, several corrections to this article were excluded in the published article. The following bolded text is correct.

Paragraph 1:

I have been asked to review the paper entitled "One Anastomosis (Mini) Gastric Bypass is now an Established Bariatric Procedure: A Systematic Review of 12,807 patients," by **Parmar and Mahawar** [1]. Yes, the procedure has been endorsed by IFSO recently, which is contrary to current practice in North America, **and** ASMBS has decided not to endorse the procedure, even though it has reviewed the same literature and body of evidence [2]. A decision based on unknown...

Paragraph 5, last sentence:

In well-studied cohorts, mineral and vitamin deficiencies after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass alterations are seen up to 33-55%, to 75% in non-compliant patients [18]; **hence, it should be**

worse with SAGB.

Reference 1:

1. **Parmar, C.D. & Mahawar, K.K.** "One Anastomosis (Mini) Gastric Bypass Is Now an Established Bariatric Procedure: a Systematic Review of 12,807 Patients" *Obes Surg* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-018-3382-x>

Reference 2:

2. Parikh M, Eisenberg D, Johnson J, El-Chaar M; American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Clinical Issues Committee. American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery review of the literature on one-anastomosis gastric bypass. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2018. **May 9 pii: S 1550-7289(18): 30240-5. (ePub).**

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-018-3386-6>

✉ Michel Gagner
gagner.michel@gmail.com

¹ Herbert Wertheim School of Medicine, FIU, Miami, FL, USA

² Hôpital du Sacre Coeur, Montreal, Canada