Molecular Beam Epitaxial Regrowth of Antimonide-Based Semiconductors

MATTHEW REASON,¹ BRIAN R. BENNETT,^{1,2} RICHARD MAGNO,¹
and J. BRAD BOOS¹

1.—Electronics Science and Technology Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375, USA. 2.—e-mail: brian.bennett@nrl.navy.mil

We have investigated regrowth of p^+ InGaSb on AlGaSb and on thin InAs etch-stop layers after atomic hydrogen cleaning (AHC) surface treatments. Following certain cleaning conditions, the surface morphologies for In_{0.27}Ga_{0.73}Sb regrown on InAs exhibit smooth surfaces with similar root-mean-square (rms) roughness to the as-grown InAs, which in turn is similar to the roughness of the AlGaSb buffer layer below it. In addition, hole mobilities for InGaSb regrown on AHC InAs approach the highest mobilities reported to date for any p^+ III–V semiconductors. A wide range of AHC conditions including substrate temperatures from 280°C to 370°C and exposure durations between 5 min and 30 min result in smooth InGaSb films with low resistivity.

Key words: InGaSb, regrowth, overgrowth, MBE, p -FET, AFM, antimonide, InAs, AlGaSb

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the antimonide–arsenide materials systems, for applications including high-electron-mobility transistors (HEMTs), heterojunction bipolar transistors, and THz subharmonic mixers.^{1,2} InGaSb channel materials with a lattice parameter near 6.2 Å are of particular interest, since these have the highest hole mobilities of all III–V semiconductors, as well as high conduction and valence band offsets with lattice-matched InAlSb barrier layers.³ To incorporate high-speed, low-power Sb-based HEMTs into advanced logic circuits, a complementary p -channel field-effect transistor (p -FET) is required. Recently, the fabrication and characterization of InGaSb-channel p -FETs have been reported.⁴ However, reduction of the contact and access resistances is necessary for further improvement in high-speed, low-power performance.⁴

One approach to lowering the contact and access resistances involves epitaxial regrowth. A

cross-section of a potential device, showing the material layer design, is given in Fig. 1. In this approach, the p -FET heterostructure would be grown, then removed from vacuum and processed, followed by reloading into the reactor for p^+ InGaSb regrowth in the source and drain regions. To date, there have been few studies of regrowth for Sb-based materials.^{5–7} Many challenges still persist, including understanding the surface preparation necessary to remove oxides and residues that impede regrowth. Atomic hydrogen cleaning (AHC) has been utilized for removing oxides and hydrocarbons from a variety of polar III–V semiconductor surfaces,⁸ and has improved results for regrowth on (In)GaAs surfaces,^{9–11} but its effectiveness for Sb-based regrowth remains unexplored.

In this article, we report successful fabrication of high-quality p^+ InGaSb regrowth layers, which was achieved by inserting a thin InAs layer after the AlGaSb buffer layer in Fig. 1 to avoid regrowth on the Al-containing buffer layer. While AHC proved ineffective for regrowth on AlGaSb, we achieved low-resistance InGaSb regrowth layers on InAs which was cleaned using a wide range of substrate temperatures and duration times.

(Received September 27, 2010; accepted October 6, 2010;
published online November 11, 2010)

Fig. 1. (Color online). Cross-section of a p -type field-effect transistor device, utilizing p^+ regrowth (shown in red cross-hatching) to reduce the contact and access resistances for the source and drain contacts. The channel, barrier, and sacrificial protection layers are shown in blue, orange, and black, respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The samples reported in this work were grown by solid-source molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) with valved sources for As_2 and Sb_2 . Be was used as the p -type dopant. Ternary compositions were controlled by monitoring intensity oscillations on test structures with reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), and confirmed by x-ray diffraction measurements. Flux measurements were used to set the valves of the group V sources to produce a ratio of V/III incorporation rates of $\sim 1.5:1$. A growth rate of one monolayer per second (ML/s) was used for each layer, unless otherwise noted. During growth, surface reconstructions and substrate temperatures were monitored using RHEED and a k-Space Associates BandiT band-edge thermometry system, respectively. Prior to InGaSb regrowth, AHC was performed using a 1.0 sccm hydrogen flow rate, in a chamber with a base pressure of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr. During AHC, the chamber pressure was 2.3×10^{-5} Torr, with dose times of 5 min to 60 min, corresponding to estimated H_2 doses of 7 kilolangmuirs to 80 kilolangmuirs. Substrate temperatures during AHC were estimated by calibrating the MBE chamber manipulator thermocouple reading with the BandiT temperature measurement, and assuming that the nominally identical heaters and thermocouples in the MBE and AHC chambers function the same.

For the initial growths, epitaxially (001) semi-insulating GaAs substrates were cleaved and mounted in $2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$ square In-free plates. As there are no commercially available semi-insulating substrates with a $6.2 \text{ \AA}$ lattice constant, a $1 \text{ \mu m}$ $\text{Al}_{0.7}\text{Ga}_{0.3}\text{Sb}$ buffer layer with a lattice constant of $\sim 6.12 \text{ \AA}$ was grown at 530°C to help accommodate the lattice mismatch between the GaAs substrate and the InGaSb regrowth epilayer. For samples which contained an etch-stop layer, the substrate temperature was lowered to 500°C and a $25 \text{ \AA}$ InAs etch-stop layer was grown at 0.2 ML/s. Finally, the sample was quenched to room temperature,

removed from vacuum, and stored in room air for a period of approximately 1 month. No *ex situ* surface treatments were used except where noted. Instead, *in situ* AHC was performed for 5 min to 60 min at substrate temperatures between 280°C and 400°C , to remove the native oxide and other contaminants. Following AHC, samples were transferred under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) to the MBE chamber and heated to 400°C . Then, a $235 \text{ \AA}$ p -type $\text{In}_{0.27}\text{Ga}_{0.73}\text{Sb}$ layer was regrown with a target dopant density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The surface morphology of the InGaSb films was examined *ex situ* with tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM).¹² Electronic transport measurements were implemented in the van der Pauw geometry using $5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ pieces and In solder contacts. Hall measurements were performed at magnetic fields of 0.37 T, 0.55 T, and 1.0 T, for two or more currents at each B field.

MEASUREMENT, RESULTS, AND ANALYSIS

Figure 1 illustrates the desired p -FET structure including regrowth of p^+ InGaSb for the source and drain contacts. In this section, we investigate the simpler case of p^+ InGaSb regrowth directly on the AlGaSb buffer, with no FET structure involved. Figure 2 shows representative $10 \text{ \mu m} \times 10 \text{ \mu m}$ AFM images of InGaSb regrown on AlGaSb following (a) 5 min AHC at 370°C , and (b) *ex situ* HCl dip and 30 min AHC at 300°C . For regrowth on AlGaSb which was not treated with HCl, a diffuse RHEED pattern was observed throughout the InGaSb growth, indicating that AHC was not sufficient to remove the aluminum-containing oxide. Qualitative analysis of multiple AFM images reveals multilayer growth for all InGaSb regrowths on AlGaSb, with an rms roughness of (a) 10 nm with no *ex situ* surface treatments and (b) 20 nm with HCl dip to remove oxide before AHC. For InGaSb regrown on AlGaSb, the resistivity was too high ($> 10^6 \text{ \Omega}/\square$) to determine the Hall mobilities accurately.

In order to avoid regrowth directly on AlGaSb, an additional layer must be inserted after the AlGaSb buffer. The material for this layer must have a lattice parameter relatively close to that of AlGaSb, without containing Al. Thus, the potential binary materials for such a layer are limited to InAs or GaSb. We have successfully regrown smooth InGaSb layers on GaSb, but regrowth on a thin GaSb layer is not applicable to the p -FET structure shown in Fig. 1, since it is not a suitable etch-stop layer. Instead, InAs was chosen, since a thin layer can be inserted between the AlGaSb buffer layer and InGaSb channel shown in Fig. 1, enabling a selective etch of the Sb-based layers above. Furthermore, $25 \text{ \AA}$ InAs can be inserted as an etch-stop layer without creating a parallel conduction channel.¹³ Therefore, we investigated p^+ InGaSb regrowth on AlGaSb capped with $25 \text{ \AA}$ InAs, for a variety of AHC temperatures and cleaning durations.

Fig. 2. Representative $10\ \mu\text{m} \times 10\ \mu\text{m}$ AFM images of p^+ InGaSb regrowth directly on AlGaSb, following (a) 5 min atomic hydrogen cleaning at 370°C , and (b) HCl dip plus 30 min atomic hydrogen cleaning at 300°C . The gray-scale range displayed is 100 nm for both images.

Fig. 3. Representative $10\ \mu\text{m} \times 10\ \mu\text{m}$ AFM images of (a) as-grown InAs on AlGaSb, and p^+ InGaSb regrowth on InAs following 5 min of atomic hydrogen cleaning at (b) 350°C , and (c) 400°C . The gray-scale range displayed is 10 nm for all images.

For InGaSb regrowth on InAs, AHC was performed between 280°C and 400°C , for 5 min to 60 min. Immediately following hydrogen cleaning, the sample was transferred under UHV to the MBE chamber and examined with RHEED. For the majority of samples, RHEED revealed a streaky (2×4) surface reconstruction for InAs after AHC, in contrast to the diffuse RHEED pattern observed from the oxide-covered InAs before AHC. However, for AHC performed at 400°C or for 60 min at 350°C to 370°C , RHEED revealed a spotty (1×1) pattern, suggesting that the surface may be heavily damaged during hydrogen cleaning at high temperatures and/or long exposure times.

Figure 3 shows representative $10\ \mu\text{m} \times 10\ \mu\text{m}$ AFM images of InGaSb regrown on InAs following 5 min AHC at 350°C (Fig. 3b) and 400°C (Fig. 3c). For comparison, the surface morphology of InAs is shown in Fig. 3a, before InGaSb regrowth. For InGaSb regrowth on InAs cleaned between 280°C and 370°C , including Fig. 3b, we observe an AFM rms roughness of 1.6 nm with a peak-to-valley height of 12 nm, both similar to the InAs etch-stop layer in

Fig. 3a before the InGaSb was grown. Finally, at the highest AHC temperature of 400°C , AFM reveals a significantly increased rms roughness of 2.1 nm with a peak-to-valley height of 31 nm, as shown in Fig. 3c. Thus, for AHC temperatures between 280°C and 370°C and exposure durations ≤ 30 min, InGaSb regrowth on 25 Å InAs produces layer-by-layer growth, resulting in smooth surface morphologies. This is a very wide range of AHC conditions which result in high-quality films, with surface roughness limited only by the roughness inherited from the AlGaSb buffer layer.¹⁴

Improving the p -FET source and drain contact resistances is ultimately the goal for InGaSb regrowth in this work. Therefore, we have also investigated the electronic transport properties of the Be-doped InGaSb films. Table I presents a comparison of the electronic properties, including hole mobility, concentration, and resistivity, and the surface morphologies for InGaSb regrowth. In addition, Fig. 4 shows a comparison of the Hall resistivity and the surface roughness for InGaSb regrowth following AHC between 280°C and 400°C ,

Table I. Comparison of the surface roughness, rms, and maximum peak-to-valley distance, P-V, determined from $10\ \mu\text{m} \times 10\ \mu\text{m}$ AFM images, and the hole mobility, μ , hole concentration, p , and sheet resistance, R_{SH} , determined from Hall measurements, for as-grown InAs, InGaSb grown on InAs without being removed from vacuum, and InGaSb regrowth on InAs following AHC at various temperatures and exposure times

Sample	rms (nm)	P-V (nm)	μ (cm^2/Vs)	p ($10^{19}\ \text{cm}^{-3}$)	R_{SH} ($\Omega/\square$)
As-grown InAs	1.57	12	—	—	—
InGaSb w/o regrowth	1.68	14	77	3.3	1070
280°C, 5 min	1.61	14	110	2.8	870
320°C, 5 min	1.63	13	107	2.7	920
350°C, 5 min	1.61	12	109	2.6	960
350°C, 60 min	1.63	14	79	3.0	1150
370°C, 5 min	1.63	12	113	2.3	1050
370°C, 30 min	1.71	12	115	2.6	910
370°C, 60 min	1.81	17	94	2.3	1260
400°C, 5 min	2.09	31	82	2.2	1500

Fig. 4. (Color online). Hall resistivity in $\Omega/\square$ (red circles) and AFM rms roughness in nm (blue triangles), for p^+ InGaSb regrowth on InAs following 5 min AHC at various substrate temperatures. The dashed line indicates the AFM rms roughness for as-grown InAs before AHC or InGaSb regrowth.

as well as for as-grown InAs. Again, we observe degradation of the electronic properties for AHC at 400°C, as well as for durations of 60 min. However, for regrowth following 5 min of AHC between 280°C and 370°C, the Hall resistivity is actually lower than for InGaSb grown on InAs without being removed from vacuum, and the hole mobilities approach the highest reported to date for p^+ III-V semiconductors.¹⁵ Thus, we have successfully fabricated high-quality p^+ InGaSb regrowth layers, by inserting a thin InAs etch-stop layer after the AlGaSb. This method has great potential to lower the contact and access resistances for InGaSb-channel p -FETs, and is expected to be applicable for other antimonide-based semiconductor materials.

CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated regrowth of p^+ InGaSb films on AlGaSb and InAs following atomic hydrogen cleaning surface treatments. For regrowth on AlGaSb, issues resulting from residual Al-containing oxides and/or contaminants lead to multilayer

InGaSb growth with very rough surface morphology and very high resistivity. However, the insertion of a thin, 25 Å InAs layer after the AlGaSb buffer layer results in significant improvements. Surface morphologies for InGaSb regrown on InAs following AHC exhibited similar AFM rms roughness to the as-grown InAs, which in turn was similar in roughness to the AlGaSb buffer below it. In addition, resistivities for InGaSb regrown on InAs were the same as, or better than, InGaSb grown on InAs without being removed from vacuum. A wide range of AHC conditions led to smooth InGaSb films with low resistivity, including substrate temperatures between 280°C and 370°C and exposure durations between 5 min and 30 min.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank their collaborators at the Naval Research Laboratory, including J.G. Champlain, N.A. Papanicolaou, and D. Park. The Office of Naval Research supported this work.

REFERENCES

1. B.R. Bennett, R. Magno, J.B. Boos, W. Kruppa, and M.G. Ancona, *Solid State Electron.* 49, 1875 (2005).
2. R. Magno, J.G. Champlain, H.S. Newman, M.G. Ancona, J.C. Culbertson, B.R. Bennett, J.B. Boos, and D. Park, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 92, 243502 (2008).
3. B.R. Bennett, M.G. Ancona, J.B. Boos, and B.V. Shanabrook, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 91, 042104 (2007).
4. J.B. Boos, B.R. Bennett, N.A. Papanicolaou, M.G. Ancona, J.G. Champlain, R. Bass, and B.V. Shanabrook, *Electron. Lett.* 43, 834 (2007).
5. M. Walther, G. Kramer, R. Tsui, H. Goronkin, M. Adam, S. Tehrani, S. Rogers, and N. Cave, *J. Cryst. Growth* 143, 1 (1994).
6. M. Walther, G. Kramer, R. Tsui, H. Goronkin, M. Adam, S. Tehrani, S. Rogers, and N. Cave, *J. Electron. Mater.* 24, 387 (1995).
7. T. Baba, T. Uemura, and M. Mizuta, *J. Cryst. Growth* 127, 887 (1993).
8. G.R. Bell, N.S. Kaijaks, R.J. Dixon, and C.F. McConville, *Surf. Sci.* 401, 125 (1998).
9. T.M. Burke, M.A. Quierin, M.P. Grimshaw, D.A. Ritchie, M. Pepper, and J.H. Burroughes, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 15, 325 (1997).

10. R. Hey, M. Wassermeier, M. Horicke, E. Wiebicke, and H. Kostial, *J. Cryst. Growth* 201, 582 (1999).
11. G.J. Burek, M.A. Wistey, U. Singisetti, A. Nelson, B.J. Thibeault, S.R. Bank, M.J.W. Rodwell, and A.C. Gossard, *J. Cryst. Growth* 311, 1984 (2009).
12. I. Horcas, R. Fernandez, J.M. Gomez-Rodriguez, J. Colchero, J. Gomez-Herrero, and A.M. Baro, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 78, 013705 (2007).
13. 1D Poisson—Schrödinger solver program developed by Dr. Gregory Snider, University of Notre Dame [<http://www.nd.edu/~gsnider/>].
14. B.R. Bennett, S.A. Khan, J.B. Boos, N.A. Papanicolaou, and V.L. Kuznetsov, *J. Electron. Mater.* 39, 2196 (2010).
15. J.G. Champlain, R. Magno, and J.B. Boos, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 24, 2388 (2006).