Erratum to: Effusive Reasoning

Brandon Erickson, BS¹, Gurpreet Dhaliwal, MD², Mark C. Henderson, MD³, Ezra Amsterdam, MD⁴, and Joseph Rencic, MD, FACP^{1,5}

¹Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; ²Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco and the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA; ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of California, Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, USA; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of California, Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, USA; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA.

J Gen Intern Med 26(10):1235

DOI: 10.1007/s11606-011-1846-y

© Society of General Internal Medicine 2011

On page 4 of the original publication, the first sentence of the final paragraph of the Discussion reads: “The framework assumes that the ultimate unifying diagnoses are mutually exclusive.” The revised version should read: “The $\sum P(Dx) = P(Dx1) + P(Dx2) + P(Dx3) = 100\%$ framework assumes that the ultimate unifying diagnoses are mutually exclusive.”