Erratum to: Prosthetic component segmentation with blur compensation: a fast method for 3D fluoroscopy

Giacomo Tarroni · Luca Tersi · Cristiana Corsi ·
Rita Stagni

Published online: 25 April 2012
© International Federation for Medical and Biological Engineering 2012

Erratum to: Med Biol Eng Comput
DOI 10.1007/s11517-012-0884-x

Due to a processing error, the presentation of Fig. 5 was incorrect. The corrected Fig. 5 is given in the following page.

The online version of the original article can be found under
doi:[10.1007/s11517-012-0884-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11517-012-0884-x).

G. Tarroni · C. Corsi (✉) · R. Stagni
Department of Electronics, Computer Science, and Systems,
(DEIS), University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
e-mail: cristiana.corsi3@unibo.it

L. Tersi · R. Stagni
Health Sciences and Technologies-Interdepartmental Center
for Industrial Research (HST-ICIR), University of Bologna,
Bologna, Italy

Fig. 5 Box and whisker plot of the area ratio (A_r), mean absolute deviation (MAD), and Hausdorff distance (H) without (*step E*) and with (*step F*) blur detection and compensation with respect to different levels of blur and different prosthesis models obtained by one un-experienced operator. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test highlighted that blur decreased the accuracy of the segmentation (increasing H and MAD) with a smaller area with respect to the reference contour ($A_r < 1$). Blur correction and compensation significantly reduced this effect (*Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.01$)