Total staphylococci as performance surrogate for greywater treatment

David C. Shoults¹ · Nicholas J. Ashbolt¹

Received: 25 August 2016 / Accepted: 18 April 2017 / Published online: 1 May 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract Faecal indicator bacteria (FIB) are commonly used as water quality indicators; implying faecal contamination and therefore the potential presence of pathogenic enteric bacteria, viruses, and protozoa. Hence in wastewater treatment, the most commonly used treatment process measures (surrogates) are total coliforms, faecal coliforms, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), and enterococci. However, greywater potentially contains skin pathogens unrelated to faecal load, and *E. coli* and other FIB may grow within greywater unrelated to pathogens. Overall, FIB occurs at fluctuating and relatively low concentrations compared to other endogenous greywater bacteria affecting their ability as surrogates for pathogen reduction. Therefore, unlike municipal sewage, FIB provides a very limited and unreliable log-reduction surrogate measure for on-site greywater treatment systems. Based on our recent metagenomic study of laundry greywater, skin-associated bacteria such as *Staphylococcus*, *Corynebacterium*, and *Propionibacterium* spp. dominate and may result in more consistent treatment surrogates than traditional FIB. Here, we investigated various *Staphylococcus* spp. as potential surrogates to reliably assay over 4-log₁₀ reduction by the final-stage UV disinfection step commonly used for on-site greywater reuse, and compare them to various FIB/phage surrogates. A collimated UV beam was used to determine the efficacy of UV inactivation (255, 265 and 285 nm) against *E. coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *E. casseliflavus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *S. epidermidis*. *Staphylococcus* spp. was estimated by combining the bi-linear

dose-response curves for *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* and was shown to be less resistant to UV irradiation than the other surrogates examined. Hence, a relative inactivation credit is suggested; whereas, the doses required to achieve a 4 and 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Staphylococcus* spp. (13.0 and 20.9 mJ cm⁻², respectively) were used to determine the relative inactivation of the other microorganisms investigated. The doses required to achieve a 4 and 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Staphylococcus* spp. resulted in a log₁₀ reduction of 1.4 and 4.1 for *E. coli*, 0.8 and 2.8 for *E. faecalis*, 0.8 and 3.6 for *E. casseliflavus* and 0.8 and 1.2 for MS2 coliphage, respectively. Given the concentration difference of *Staphylococcus* spp. and FIB (3 to 5-log₁₀ higher), we propose the use of *Staphylococcus* spp. as a novel endogenous performance surrogate to demonstrate greywater treatment performance given its relatively high and consistent concentration and therefore ability to demonstrate over 5-log₁₀ reductions.

Keywords Greywater · Graywater · *Staphylococcus* · Staphylococci · Water treatment · Indicator organisms · Surrogates · UV disinfection · Collimated beam

Introduction

Available freshwater is an increasingly scarce commodity for many rapidly urbanizing regions, even within developed countries (World Health Organization 2016). However, increasing population growth in relatively water-scarce regions along with an increase in personal water consumption have greatly contributed to the urban water deficit faced around the world (Schiermeier 2014). There is also a greater awareness in the water-energy nexus (Sathé 2013), and that most household water use does not need to be treated to drinking water quality. In particular, many circumpolar communities lack adequate

Responsible editor: Philippe Garrigues

✉ David C. Shoults
dshoults@ualberta.ca

¹ School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Room 3-57, South Academic Building, Edmonton, AB T6E 2G7, Canada

quantities of water for potable and non-potable purposes (Daley et al. 2014; Hennessy and Bressler 2016). Hence, one option to provide more sustainable water services is to utilize treated greywater (Schoen et al. 2014). Greywater (graywater), which can be defined as domestic household wastewater without input from the toilet (Ottooson 2003), is a valuable commodity which should be utilized to reduce water usage. In-home greywater reuse is not widely practiced, and is illegal to reuse within homes throughout the majority of North America (National Research Council of the National Academics 2016), where there are many circumpolar communities still lacking sustainable water and sanitation (Thomas et al. 2016; Daley et al. 2015).

Current available technologies are capable of effectively treating greywater to potable quality; however, the cost of such systems is high (Cobacho et al. 2012) and not necessary for uses such as toilet flushing and clothes washing. There is a need for a cost effective and robust greywater treatment system that can handle the variability of contaminant composition within greywater and produce safe, disinfected, non-potable water for household uses ranging from toilet flushing to laundry, and potentially semi-continuous recirculation of shower water. Additionally, there is a need for regulatory guidelines to be designed for in-home greywater reuse including identifying adequate performance surrogates for greywater treatment processes to assess required pathogen log-reductions in risk-based guidelines (e.g. Sharvelle et al. 2017). Depending on the end use of the treated greywater, necessary log₁₀ reductions may range from 5 to 13 for viruses, 4 to 9 for *Cryptosporidium*, 3 to 8 for *Giardia*, and 3 to 8 for bacteria (Schoen et al. 2017). Given complexities/costs in undertaking controlled spiking studies (Zimmerman et al. 2016), here we present the potential for using endogenous *Staphylococcus* spp. (total staphylococci) as a greywater treatment performance surrogate, demonstrated for ultraviolet (UV) irradiation performance testing.

Ultraviolet irradiation

Disinfection is an essential process in water treatment to remove/inactivate pathogenic organisms. UV inactivation is a commonly used disinfection treatment method in both wastewater and drinking water treatment, which directly damages the nucleic acids of microorganisms and inhibits future replication (Gross et al. 2015). UV is an attractive disinfection method and considered to be a more environmentally friendly disinfection technology than chemical disinfection (Winward et al. 2008). Additionally, UV is often preferred over chlorine because the use of chlorine may leave residual chlorine compounds that may have adverse effects, such as generating odorous substances and biohazardous disinfection by-products (Chang et al. 1985; Mori et al. 2007). However, UV irradiation does have its limitations; Winward et al.

(2008) showed raw greywater having higher turbidity, larger mean particle size, lower UV₂₅₄ transmittance, and higher total suspended solids' (TSS) levels relative to raw municipal wastewater. This can be explained by the lack of dilution of greywater when compared to municipal wastewater. Winward et al. (2008) also showed that the presence of larger particles in greywater limited the effectiveness of UV irradiation, causing an extreme tailing effect of coliforms reduction even in with UV doses up to 239 mJ cm⁻². Hence, for UV irradiation to be an effective pathogen reduction step in greywater treatment, adequate pre-treatment must be performed to ensure adequate UV₂₅₄ transmissivity and removal of larger particles capable of shielding microorganisms.

Process indicators, faecal indicators and index organisms

There is often confusion between the semantics and purposes of process indicators (surrogates), faecal indicators, and pathogen index organisms. It is important to make a distinction between the use of indicators generally and surrogates specifically, as the roles of such are not necessarily interchangeable. Table 1 exhibits the key differences between the three.

Process indicators (surrogates) and faecal indicator bacteria (FIB) are commonly used as indicators in water treatment to determine the potential presence of enteric viruses, bacteria and parasitic protozoan pathogens that maybe associated with faecal contamination (U.S. EPA 2012). The most commonly tested indicators are total coliforms, faecal coliforms, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), and enterococci (U.S. EPA 2012). However, most greywater treatment studies inappropriately utilize FIB as an indicator of pathogen risk (Ottooson and Stenström 2003). Determining the microbiological contamination in greywater can be difficult; each source of greywater presents different potential biological contaminants and concentrations (Birks and Hills 2007). Zimmerman et al. (2014) investigated the 16S rRNA gene diversity of laundry water and identified skin-associated bacterial members of *Staphylococcus*, *Corynebacterium*, and *Propionibacterium* as the major members of that microbiome. Using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) they showed that in the university gym laundry samples taken, *Staphylococcus* spp. averaged from 3 to 5 orders of magnitude higher than faecal source markers including total *Bacteroides* spp., human-specific *Bacteroides*, *Enterococcus* spp., and *E. coli*, in ascending orders of magnitude, respectively (Zimmerman et al. 2014). Due to the direct contact of clothing with human skin and the prevalence of the opportunistic pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) on the human body (Zimmerman et al. 2014), *S. aureus* may be at concentrations up to 5×10^5 cfu.100 mL⁻¹ (Burrows et al. 1991; Nolde 1999; Gilboa and Friedler 2008), but as with any pathogen, is not always present. Hence, *S. aureus*, enteric pathogens and FIB are present in varying and often low concentrations in greywater, with some of the FIB exhibiting growth within greywater

Table 1 Definitions for indicator and index microorganisms of public health concern (World Health Organization 2001, 2016)

Group	Definition
Process indicator (surrogate)	A group or organism that demonstrates the efficacy of a process, such as total heterotrophic bacteria or total coliforms for chlorine disinfection.
Faecal indicator	A group or organism that indicates the presence of faecal contamination, such as the bacterial groups thermotolerant coliforms or <i>E. coli</i> . Hence, they only infer that pathogens may be present.
Index and model organisms	A group/or species indicative of pathogen presence and behaviour respectively, such as <i>E. coli</i> as an index for <i>Salmonella</i> presence and F-RNA coliphages as models of human enteric virus behaviour.

systems (e.g. *E. coli*) (Ottosson and Stenström 2003). If re-growth occurs (either in the raw greywater holding tank or after treatment as reported by Friedler and Gilboa (2010), it is problematic to quantify the reduction occurring across any treatment step. Furthermore, traditional indicator organisms used in North America are not adequate surrogates to represent the log-reductions likely required to produce safe greywater (Sharvelle et al. 2017; Gilboa and Friedler 2008). Hence, the focus of this paper is to determine if endemic greywater staphylococci, including pathogens such as *S. aureus*, may be suitable treatment surrogates to reliably assay over 4-log₁₀ reductions of key pathogens (Birks and Hills 2007; Zimmerman et al. 2014; Fogarty et al. 2015; Gross et al. 2007) by UV disinfection.

Ultraviolet irradiation of staphylococci

Commercial UV systems generally deliver 254 nm UV-C from mercury-vapour lamps that impact on nucleic acid within microorganisms; however some manufacturers also use polychromatic UV-C lamps (including higher wavelength UV-C) that also impact on cellular proteins (Eisheid and Linden 2011). Little is known as to the efficacy of UV inactivation towards staphylococci, and in particular the pathogenic species *S. aureus* (Benami et al. 2013). Overall, there is a collective need for action-spectra and dose-response curves describing UV inactivation of *S. aureus*, as well as other *Staphylococcus* spp. generally and within greywater. Furthermore, given the above listed limitations with FIBs as process indicators for the removal of pathogens in greywater reuse, knowledge on the efficacy of UV inactivation towards staphylococci may provide future options for risk management monitoring. Most limiting is a lack of knowledge on the fate of pathogens (both enteric and saprozoic) via different greywater treatment systems, (Ashbolt 2015) and if demonstrated of value for enteric bacteria performance, total staphylococci may also provide value to address these additional pathogen concerns.

Materials and methods

Bacterial culturing, plating and enumeration

Freeze dried *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (*S. epidermidis*) (ATCC 12228), *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) (ATCC 13115), *E. coli** (ATCC 15597), *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) (ATCC 29212), and *Enterococcus casseliflavus* (*E. casseliflavus*) (ATCC 9199) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and revived according to ATCC protocols. ATCC bacteria culture stocks were stored at −80 °C in a cryomedium to glycerol ratio of 3:2. When needed, bacteria cultures were inoculated into the brain heart infusion (BHI) liquid media and incubated at 37 °C.

Phage culturing, plating and enumeration

Aliquots (200 µL) of the F-RNA coliphages MS2 (ATCC 15597-B1) were used to infect *E. coli** while in its exponential phase during incubation as described in Method 1601 (U.S. EPA 2001). Briefly, after 18 h of growth and infection time, the sample was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter to recover the coliphages and plaque within a semi-soft tryptic soy agar (TSA) overlay containing 0.7% agar. Plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h before counting plaque-forming units (PFUs).

UV irradiation

Liquid medium containing the test organism was diluted to 1:100 (for bacteria) and 1:1000 (for MS2 coliphage) in sterile deionized (DI) water inside a 60 mm Petri plate containing a 5 mm × 2 mm stir bar which was then placed on a magnetic stirrer at 400 rpm to facilitate mixing without a vortex forming. DI water was used rather than tap water in order to minimize potential contact with residual chlorine, which could have an inactivation effect on the cells (Zyara et al. 2016).

Controls with bacteria suspended in sterile DI water were performed to investigate any loss in viability due to osmotic pressure change. No significant difference in $\log_{10}$ CFU count was observed from 100 s after pipetting from the BHI liquid media (the time needed to dilute the cells to a countable dilution) to 20 min (the estimated maximum time cells might be suspended in DI water throughout the experiment). Prior to exposing the sample to the UV, a 100- μ L sample was pipetted out of the mixed solution and diluted to the appropriate dilution before being plated in triplicate. The collimated beam was then placed over the sample and the desired wavelength dose was delivered to the sample.

Based on an initial experiment performed for each test organism to determine the approximate dose needed for a 4- $\log_{10}$ reduction, a second experiment was performed to estimate an 11-point dose-response curve. Samples were taken at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, 135, and 150% of the dose calculated for an estimated 4- $\log_{10}$ reduction. Subsamples (100 μ L) were taken at appropriate times, diluted in DI water, and plated to determine the $\log_{10}$ reduction at each dosage using the following equation:

$$\text{Log}_{10} \text{Reduction} = \text{Log} \left(\frac{\text{CFU Control}}{\frac{100\text{mL}}{\text{CFU Dosage}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

An AquaSense Pearl Beam collimated UV reactor (Florence, KY USA) was used to deliver 255, 265, or 285 nm UV-C irradiation to test organisms suspended in water using a modified EPA protocol (U.S. EPA 2006). Equation 2 was used to calculate the effective intensity (E_{ave}) of the collimated beam based on measurable variables: (NSF International 2014)

$$E_{\text{ave}} = 0.98 \left[\frac{E_0}{L} \left(\frac{(1-A)^L - 1}{\ln(1-A)} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

The incident intensity (E_0) was measured using a NSF certified radiometer calibrated for the three test wavelengths (UVP radiometer, model UVX-25). The water height (L)

was measured to 1 cm (28.3 mL in a 60-mm cylindrical Petri dish), and a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific GENESYS 10S UV-VIS) was used to measure the absorbance (A) of 255 nm UV by the suspending medium. The resulting E_{ave} was then multiplied by the exposure time (seconds) in order to calculate the resulting dosage measured in mJ cm^{-2} .

Results

Data points from each experiment were plotted to display the dose-response of each organism relative to 255 nm UV irradiation. Figure 1 shows the relative dose-response to UV by *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, *E. faecalis*, *E. casseliflavus*, *E. coli*, and MS2 coliphage.

S. aureus and *S. epidermidis* are generally the most common *Staphylococcus* spp. colonizing humans, (Coates et al. 2014) and therefore were used in order to represent total staphylococci in the bench experiments to determine the efficacy of UV inactivation. Data points for the *S. epidermidis* decay curve were interpolated to estimate $\log_{10}$ reduction values for the dosages for each *S. aureus* point. A weighted average was then taken of each point to estimate a dose-response curve for *Staphylococcus* spp., which is shown in Fig. 2. Figure 2 also depicts the bi-linear decay curve with total staphylococci; two trend lines were plotted to determine the decay equation (in units of Log_{10} Reduction (LR) per mJ cm^{-2}) in the bi-linear graph to determine the decay coefficients K_1 (0.435 LR per mJ cm^{-2}) and K_2 (0.1283 LR per mJ cm^{-2}). These equations, along with the decay equations and respective R^2 values for the other test organisms are shown in Table 2. These equations were used to determine the relative reduction for each organism compared to total staphylococci.

Comparison of 255, 265, and 285 nm UV on *S. aureus* inactivation

Also explored was a comparative evaluation of 255, 265, and 285 nm UV irradiation on *S. aureus* to determine the most

Fig. 1 Comparison of inactivation of *Staphylococcus* spp., FIB and MS2 coliphage by 255 nm UV (average log reduction values $\pm$ SD)

Fig. 2 Bi-linear box and whisker plot for UV (255 nm) decay curve of total staphylococci (average of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*)

effective wavelength for inactivation. The initial experiment for 285 nm yielded very little inactivation and was not explored any further. The 255 nm (11.8 mJ cm⁻² for 4-log₁₀ reduction) UV wavelength was observed to be more effective in inactivating *S. aureus* than 265 nm (17.1 mJ cm⁻² for a 4-log₁₀ reduction).

Discussion

Previously published data on FIB identified them as poor performance surrogates for greywater treatment (Ottooson and Stenström 2003; Birks and Hills 2007; Zimmerman et al. 2014). Key criteria for a suitable performance surrogate are given in Table 3, which compares FIB and *Staphylococcus* spp. Traditional FIB failed to satisfy the first three criteria, due to their inconsistent presence with varying, and generally low concentration (Ottooson and Stenström 2003; Zimmerman et al. 2014) and lack of correlation with pathogen presence; (Birks and Hills 2007) this is enough to determine that traditional FIB are not suitable performance surrogates for grey-water treatment. In contrast, total staphylococci meet the first three criteria due to their consistent and high concentration in

greywater; (Zimmerman et al. 2014; Burrows et al. 1991; Nolde 1999; Gilboa and Friedler 2008) presumably, due to the high presence of staphylococci colonizing human skin (Coates et al. 2014) and the correlation of presence when human mitochondrial DNA (HmtDNA) is detected in greywater (Zimmerman et al. 2014). It is important to acknowledge that although some studies showed a non-detect of *S. aureus* in raw greywater samples (Casanova et al. 2001; Siegrist 1977) this is no surprise for a pathogen (as we are not always infected); whereas, other skin staphylococci are likely to be present. For example, Zimmerman et al. (2014) reported *S. aureus* at approximately 5-log₁₀ lower concentration than *Staphylococcus* spp. in laundry greywater. In addition, approximately 30–40% of humans carry *S. aureus* (Kluytmans et al. 1997; Cole et al. 2001), while *Staphylococcus* spp. has been shown to dominate the microbiota of approximately 60% of humans (Callewaert et al. 2013). The fourth criteria explored, stating that an appropriate performance surrogate must have greater survival than target pathogens is still in question. More research is needed to determine the spectrum of genera present within a variety of greywater sources, and their treatment requirements for a 3.5 to 6-log₁₀ removal, as likely needed for different domestic greywater reuse (Sharville et al. 2017). Although *Staphylococcus* spp. showed less resilience to UV than the FIB bacteria tested

Table 2 255 nm UV-C decay curves for various organisms (linear equations represent the line of best fit for the linear segments of each decay curve)

Organism	Linear equation (x units: [mJ cm ⁻²])	R ²
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	$y = -0.435x + 1.6348$ ($x < 12$)	0.9849
	$y = -0.1283x - 2.3177$ ($12 < x < 20$)	0.973
<i>E. coli</i>	$y = -0.343x + 3.0246$ ($9 < x < 21$)	0.9873
	$y = -0.132x + 1.2697$ ($21 < x < 30$)	0.8306
<i>E. faecalis</i>	$y = -0.258x + 2.5954$ ($12 < x < 24$)	0.9956
	$y = -0.0847x - 1.6868$ ($24 < x < 40$)	0.9818
<i>E. casseliflavus</i>	$y = -0.3527x + 3.8164$ ($14 < x < 25$)	0.9849
MS2 coliphage	$y = -0.0607x + 0.0323$ ($0 < x < 90$)	0.9916

Table 3 Comparison of FIB and *Staphylococcus* spp.

Criteria	FIB	<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.
Consistent presence	✗	✓
Higher concentration than target pathogens	✗	✓
Identifiable correlation to presence of target pathogens	✗	✓
Same or greater survival as target pathogens	?	?

in the collimated UV beam bench test, total staphylococci are expected to be a minimum of 3-log₁₀ higher in concentration than the FIB traditionally used. Due to the high and consistent concentrations of *Staphylococcus* spp., we are suggesting inactivation curves for each reference pathogen can be used to determine log₁₀ reductions relative to *Staphylococcus* spp. (World Health Organization 2016).

Since total staphylococci were observed to be more susceptible to inactivation by UV irradiation than FIB, *Staphylococcus* spp. inactivation cannot be used as enteric pathogen surrogates, such as *E. coli*, enterococci, and MS2 coliphage. In order to gauge the relative log₁₀ reduction between *Staphylococcus* spp. and the other organisms which were tested, the linear equations for each organism was used to estimate the log₁₀ reduction that would be observed relative to the dosage required for a 4 and 5-log₁₀ reduction for *Staphylococcus* spp., respectively: being 13.0 and 20.9 mJ cm⁻², respectively. These dosages were then used in the linear equations obtained from each decay curve (displayed in Table 2) to determine their relative log₁₀ reduction to *Staphylococcus* spp. (Table 4).

Given the high concentrations of *Staphylococcus* spp. present in greywater, relative to FIB (ranging from 3 to 5-log₁₀ higher) (Zimmerman et al. 2014), total staphylococci may still serve as a conservative measure for pathogen reduction for enteric bacteria, especially at the dosage for an observed 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Staphylococcus* spp.; the log₁₀ reduction difference at 20.9 mJ cm⁻² observed between *Staphylococcus* spp. and the enteric bacteria tested is less than 3-log₁₀, which is satisfactory given the 3 to 5-log₁₀ concentration difference reported by Zimmerman et al. (2014). However, the UV dosage required to achieve a 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Staphylococcus* spp. is estimated to only achieve some 1.2-log₁₀ reduction in MS2 coliphage; this suggests *Staphylococcus* spp. would likely not be an adequate surrogate for enteric virus reduction in greywater, and an additional surrogate, such as endogenous (staphylococci) bacteriophages, is needed to represent enteric virus reduction.

Table 4 Log₁₀ reduction credits relative to 4 and 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Staphylococcus* spp.

Organism	Log ₁₀ reduction observed at 13.0 mJ cm ⁻²	Log ₁₀ reduction observed at 20.9 mJ cm ⁻²
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	4	5
<i>E. coli</i>	1.4	4.1
<i>E. faecalis</i>	0.8	2.8
<i>E. casseliflavus</i>	0.8	3.6
MS2 coliphage	0.8	1.2

Acknowledgements The authors are most grateful for project funding from Alberta Innovates - Health Solutions, including MSc student stipends support, along with travel support from the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA) and administered by the Norwegian Centre for International Cooperation in Education (SIU) via the joint project (NorCan) with Petter Jenssen of the Norwegian University of Life Sciences that enabled presentation of some aspects of this work at ARTEK, Technical University of Denmark, April 12, 2016 Sisimiut, Greenland.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Ashbolt NJ (2015) Environmental (saprozoic) pathogens of engineered water systems: understanding their ecology for risk assessment and management. *Pathogens* 4(2):390–405
- Benami M, Gross A, Herzberg M, Orlofsky E, Vonshak A, Gillor O (2013) Assessment of pathogenic bacteria in treated graywater and irrigated soils. *Sci Total Environ* 458–460:298–302
- Birks R, Hills S (2007) Characterisation of indicator organisms and pathogens in domestic greywater for recycling. *Environ Monit Assess* 129(1–3):61–69
- Burrows WD, Schmidt MO, Carnevale RM, Schaub SA (1991) Nonpotable reuse: development of health criteria and technologies for shower water recycle. *Wat Sci Technol* 24(9):81–88
- Callewaert C, Kerckhof FM, Granitsiotis MS, Van Gele M, Van de Wiele T, Boon N (2013) Characterization of *Staphylococcus* and *Corynebacterium* clusters in the human axillary region. *PLoS One* 8(8):e70538
- Casanova LM, Gerba CP, Karpiscak M (2001) Chemical and microbial characterization of household graywater. *Environ Sci Health A36(4):395–401*
- Chang JCH, Ossoff SF, Lobe DC, Dorfman MH, Dumais CM, Qualls RG, Johnson JD (1985) UV inactivation of pathogenic and indicator microorganisms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 49(6):1361–1365
- Coates R, Moran J, Horsburgh MJ (2014) Staphylococci: colonizers and pathogens of human skin. *Future Microbiol* 9(1):75–91
- Cobacho R, Martín M, Palmero C, Cabrera E (2012) Key points in the practical implementation of greywater recycling systems. The Spanish situation in the global context. *Water Science & Technology: Water Supply* 12(3):406
- Cole AM, Tahk S, Oren A, Yoshioka D, Kim YH, Park A, Ganz T (2001) Determinants of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 8(6):1064–1069
- Daley K, Castleden H, Jamieson R, Furgal C, Ell L (2014) Municipal water quantities and health in Nunavut households: an exploratory case study in coral harbour, Nunavut, Canada. *Int J Circumpolar Health* 73:1–10
- Daley K, Castleden H, Jamieson R, Furgal C, Ell L (2015) Water systems, sanitation, and public health risks in remote communities: inuit resident perspectives from the Canadian Arctic. *Soc Sci Med* 135:124–132
- Eischeid AC, Linden KG (2011) Molecular indications of protein damage in adenoviruses after UV disinfection. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77(3):1145–1147
- Fogarty, L. R.; Haack, S. K.; Johnson, H. E.; Brennan, A. K.; Isaacs, N. M.; Spencer, C., *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant

- S. aureus* (MRSA) at ambient freshwater beaches. *J Water Health* 2015, 13 (3), 680–692.
- Friedler E, Gilboa Y (2010) Performance of UV disinfection and the microbial quality of greywater effluent along a reuse system for toilet flushing. *Sci Total Environ* 408(9):2109–2117
- Gilboa Y, Friedler E (2008) UV disinfection of RBC-treated light greywater effluent: kinetics, survival and regrowth of selected microorganisms. *Water Res* 42(4–5):1043–1050
- Gross A, Kaplan D, Baker K (2007) Removal of chemical and microbiological contaminants from domestic greywater using a recycled vertical flow bioreactor (RVFB). *Ecol Eng* 31(2):107–114
- Gross A, Stangl F, Hoenes K, Sift M, Hessling M (2015) Improved drinking water disinfection with UVC-LEDs for *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* utilizing quartz tubes as light guide. *Water* 7(9):4605–4621
- Hennessy TW, Bressler JM (2016) Improving health in the Arctic region through safe and affordable access to household running water and sewer services: an Arctic Council initiative. *Int J Circumpolar Health* 75:31149
- Kluytmans J, van Belkum A, Verbrugh H (1997) Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus*: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 10(3):505–520
- Mori M, Hamamoto A, Takahashi A, Nakano M, Wakikawa N, Tachibana S, Ikehara T, Nakaya Y, Akutagawa M, Kinouchi Y (2007) Development of a new water sterilization device with a 365 nm UV-LED. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 45(12):1237–1241
- National Research Council of the National Academies (2016) Using graywater and stormwater to enhance local water supplies: an assessment of risks, costs, and benefits. National Research Council of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., p 420
- Nolde E (1999) Greywater reuse systems for toilet flushing in multi-storey buildings—over ten years experience in Berlin. *Urban Water* 1(4):275–284
- NSF International (2014) NSF/ANSI 55–2014. In: Ultraviolet microbiological water treatment systems
- Ottosson J (2003) Hygiene aspects of greywater and greywater reuse. Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm
- Ottosson J, Stenström TA (2003) Growth and reduction of microorganisms in sediments collected from a greywater treatment system. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 36(3):168–172
- Sathe A (2013) California's sustainable future: understanding the water-energy nexus vital to resource management. *J Am Water Works Assoc* 105(6):67–71
- Schiermeier Q (2014) Water risk as world warms. First comprehensive global-impact project shows that water scarcity is a major worry. *Nature* 505:10–11
- Schoen ME, Xue X, Hawkins TR, Ashbolt NJ (2014) Comparative human health risk analysis of coastal community water and waste service options. *Environ Sci Technol* 48(16):9728–9736
- Schoen ME, Ashbolt NJ, Jahne MA, Garland J (2017) Risk-based enteric pathogen reduction targets for non-potable and direct potable use of roof runoff, stormwater, and greywater. *Microbial Risk Analysis*. doi:10.1016/j.mran.2017.01.002
- Sharvelle S., Ashbolt N., Clerico E., Hultquist R., Leverenz H., Olivier A. (2017) Risk based approach for the development of public health standards for decentralized nonpotable water systems (DNWS); WERF Project SIWM10C15 via National Water Reuse Institute (NWRRI), Water Environment Research Foundation
- Siegrist RL (1977) Waste segregation to facilitate onsite wastewater disposal alternatives. University of Wisconsin, Madison
- Thomas TK, Ritter T, Bruden D, Bruce M, Byrd K, Goldberger R, Dobson J, Hickel K, Smith J, Hennessy T (2016) Impact of providing in-home water service on the rates of infectious diseases: results from four communities in Western Alaska. *J Water Health* 14(1): 132–141
- U.S. EPA (2001) Method 1601: male-specific (F+) and somatic coliphage in water by two-step enrichment procedure. Office of Water, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA (2006) Ultraviolet disinfection guidance manual for the final long term 2 enhanced surface water treatment rule. Washington, DC USEPA, 2006; Vol. EPA 815-R-06-007.
- U.S. EPA (2012) Water: monitoring & assessment. <http://water.epa.gov/type/rs/monitoring/vms511.cfm> (Accessed 9 Nov 2016)
- Winward GP, Avery LM, Stephenson T, Jefferson B (2008) Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection of grey water: particle size effects. *Environ Technol* 29(2):235–244
- World Health Organization (2001) Water quality: guidelines, standards and health. IWA Publishing, London
- World Health Organization (2016) Quantitative microbial risk assessment: application for water safety management. World Health Organization, Geneva
- Zimmerman BD, Ashbolt NJ, Garland JL, Keely S, Wendell D (2014) Human mitochondrial DNA and endogenous bacterial surrogates for risk assessment of graywater reuse. *Environ Sci Technol* 48(14): 7993–8002
- Zimmerman BD, Korajkic A, Brinkman NE, Grimm AC, Ashbolt NJ, Garland JL (2016) A spike cocktail approach to improve microbial performance monitoring for water reuse. *Water Environment Research* 88(9):824–837
- Zyara AM, Torvinen E, Veijalainen AM, Heinonen-Tanski H (2016) The effect of chlorine and combined chlorine/UV treatment on coliphages in drinking water disinfection. *J Water Health* 14:640–649