Yoshito Chikaraishi · Nanako O. Ogawa
Hideyuki Doi · Naohiko Ohkouchi

$^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios of amino acids as a tool for studying terrestrial food webs: a case study of terrestrial insects (bees, wasps, and hornets)

Received: 5 November 2010 / Accepted: 2 May 2011 / Published online: 24 May 2011
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) of amino acids is a new method that enables estimates of trophic position for consumers in food webs. We examined the nitrogen isotopic composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of amino acids of Japanese social insects (three bee, three wasp, and four hornet species) to evaluate the potential of CSIA of amino acids in studies of terrestrial food webs. For wasps, we also examined samples at different growth stages (ranging from egg to adult) to assess the effect of metamorphosis on CSIA estimates of trophic position. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of bulk tissues for Japanese social insects are only weakly correlated with the biologically expected trophic positions. In contrast, the trophic positions estimated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids (yielding values of between 2.0 and 2.3 for bees, between 2.8 and 3.3 for wasps, and between 3.5 and 4.1 for hornets) are consistent with the biologically expected trophic positions for these insects (i.e., 2.0 for bees, 3.0 for wasps, and 3.0–4.0 for hornets). Although large variability is observed among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of individual amino acids (e.g., ranging from 3.0 to 14.9‰ for phenylalanine), no significant change is observed in the trophic position during wasp metamorphosis. Thus, the CSIA of amino acids is a powerful tool for investigating not only aquatic food webs but also terrestrial food webs with predatory insects.

Keywords Trophic position · Nitrogen isotopic composition · Metamorphosis · Omnivory

Introduction

Knowledge of the trophic position of organisms in food webs allows understanding of biomass flow and trophic linkages in complex networks of ecosystems. Compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) of amino acids is a new method that enables estimates of the trophic position of organisms in food webs (e.g., McClelland and Montoya 2002; Popp et al. 2007; Chikaraishi et al. 2009). It has been proposed that a comparison between large ^{15}N -enrichment (+8.0‰) in glutamic acid and little change (+0.4‰) in phenylalanine, with each increase in trophic level, provides a precise estimate of the trophic position of organisms in food webs (Fig. 1). This approach is based on isotopic fractionations associated with the metabolic processes of two common amino acids: glutamic acid shows significant increase of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values during reactions (e.g., transamination and deamination) that cleaves the carbon–nitrogen bond, whereas phenylalanine shows little change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values during conversion to tyrosine that neither forms nor cleaves the carbon–nitrogen bond (Chikaraishi et al. 2007). Chikaraishi et al. (2009, 2010a) established that the trophic position ($\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$) of organisms could be estimated from the nitrogen isotopic compositions of glutamic acid ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}}$) and phenylalanine ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}}$), as follows:

$$\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}} = [(\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}} + \beta)/7.6] + 1 \quad (1)$$

where β represents the isotopic difference between glutamic acid and phenylalanine in primary producers (−3.4‰ for aquatic cyanobacteria and algae, +8.4‰ for terrestrial C3 plants, and −0.4‰ for terrestrial C4 plants; Fig. 1). This method has three key advantages. First, the trophic position is estimated based on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids only from the target

Y. Chikaraishi (✉) · N. O. Ogawa · N. Ohkouchi
Institute of Biogeosciences,
Japan Agency for Marine–Earth Science and Technology,
2-15 Natsushima-cho, Yokosuka 237-0061, Japan
E-mail: ychikaraishi@jamstec.go.jp
Tel.: +81-46-8679778
Fax: +81-46-8679775

H. Doi
Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment,
Carl-von-Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Schleusenstrasse 1,
26382 Wilhelmshaven, Germany

Present address: H. Doi
Institute for Sustainable Sciences and Development,
Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama,
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the relationship between the nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids (glutamic acid and phenylalanine) and trophic level in food webs (after Chikaraishi et al. 2009, 2010a)

organism. Consequently, unlike bulk isotopic methods (i.e., determination of the trophic position from bulk nitrogen isotope analysis; e.g., Minagawa and Wada 1984), this method does not require characterization of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of primary producers or baseline consumers. Second, small accuracy is expected; the standard deviation (1σ) of accuracy in the trophic position ($=[\text{actual TP}] - [\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}]$) is only 0.12 units for aquatic organisms (Chikaraishi et al. 2009) and 0.20 units for terrestrial organisms (Chikaraishi et al. 2010a). Third, a small sample size (nanomolar levels of nitrogen) is required for CSIA of amino acids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS) (Merritt and Hayes 1994; Chikaraishi et al. 2010b). As a result, CSIA of amino acids overcomes several of the challenges associated with bulk isotopic methods (e.g., O'Reilly et al. 2002; Post 2002). Consequently, the CSIA of amino acids has been used to elucidate the trophic position of organisms in recent ecological studies of shrimp in the sub-Antarctic

archipelago (Pakhomov et al. 2004), krill in the Antarctic (Schmidt et al. 2004, 2006), plankton in the central Pacific (McCarthy et al. 2007), yellow-fin tuna in the eastern tropical Pacific (Popp et al. 2007), zooplankton near Hawaii (Hannides et al. 2009), and penguin in the Southern Ocean (Lorrain et al. 2009).

Recent evidence suggests that CSIA of amino acids is also useful in the evaluation of terrestrial food webs (Chikaraishi et al. 2010a; Naito et al. 2010; Styring et al. 2010). In particular, Chikaraishi et al. (2010a) demonstrated that a ^{15}N enrichment factor of $+7.6\text{‰}$ (Eq. 1) describes the trophic relationship between caterpillars and plant leaves (i.e., diet), which is consistent with relationship found between aquatic organisms and their diets (Chikaraishi et al. 2009). However, few studies have used CSIA of amino acids to evaluate terrestrial food webs. In terrestrial ecosystems, insects are abundant, and are the dominant consumers at many trophic levels (e.g., Price et al. 1980). However, the factors controlling the isotopic composition of amino acids and the trophic position of insects remain poorly understood. In particular, many insects undergo a metamorphosis from larval to adult stages. Little information is available regarding isotopic and trophic changes associated with metamorphosis, from feeding during the larval and adult stages through starvation during the chrysalis stage. Also, pollen and nectar are basal resources in terrestrial food webs (e.g., Memmott 1999; O'Reilly et al. 2002; Patt et al. 2003), and feeding on pollen and nectar by pollinators is important for maintaining plant–pollinator interactions and terrestrial food webs (Memmott 1999). However, investigations of feeding on pollen and nectar would be difficult using only bulk $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Patt et al. 2003), because large variations exist among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of these foods.

To further evaluate the applicability of CSIA of amino acids for food webs in terrestrial ecosystems, we examined the nitrogen isotopic compositions of amino acids in 45 samples of social insects, including three bee, three wasp, and four hornet species, as well as in 20 samples of reference materials including plant, caterpillar, aphid, and ladybug samples. For the three wasp species, we examined 26 samples, which included wasps at different growth stages (from egg to adult), which were used to evaluate the effect, if any, of metamorphosis on estimates of trophic position.

Materials and methods

All samples were collected from a farm near Yokohama, Japan ($35^{\circ}08'\text{N}$, $139^{\circ}07'\text{E}$) (Table 1). This farm cultivates fruits (e.g., oranges and chestnuts) and vegetables (e.g., carrots, broccoli, eggplants, potatoes, radishes, and tomatoes), and all are C3 plants. Samples of adult bees (*Apis mellifera*, *Bombus diversus diversus*, and *Xylocopa appendiculata circumvolans*), wasps (*Polistes japonicus japonicus*, *Polistes rothneyi iwatai*, and *Parapolybia indica*), and hornets (*Vespa ducalis pulchra*, *Vespa*

Table 1 Nitrogen isotopic composition of 10 amino acids in bee, wasp, hornet, and reference materials (plant, caterpillar, aphid, and ladybug) used in this study

Sample	Stage	Tissue	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{Bulk}}$	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	Bulk										TP ^a _{bulk}	TP ^b _{Glu/Phe}
					Alanine	Glycine	Valine	Leucine	Isoleucine	Proline	Serine	Methionine	Glutamic acid	Phenylalanine		
Bee																
<i>Apis mellifera</i> (#1)	Adult	Whole	-26.8	1.6	4.8	6.5	6.4	0.9	3.2	15.0	2.8	1.4	8.0	9.1	0.5	2.0
<i>Apis mellifera</i> (#2)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	4.1	3.4	3.2	-0.2	0.2	10.1	0.9	n.d.	7.3	7.2	n.d.	2.1
<i>Apis mellifera</i> (#3)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	6.3	6.9	7.5	5.8	5.5	20.8	n.d.	3.0	11.7	11.6	n.d.	2.1
<i>Bombus diversus diversus</i>	Adult	Whole	-26.9	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.0	-0.8	0.3	12.2	n.d.	-0.7	6.9	5.7	0.7	2.3
<i>Xylocopa appendiculata circumvolans</i>	Adult	Whole	-25.0	5.1	9.8	10.3	11.1	11.5	7.8	19.1	6.0	-1.1	12.3	12.6	1.5	2.1
Wasp																
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#X)	Egg	Whole	-27.2	4.9	7.9	-1.0	16.4	7.6	10.0	16.7	-1.6	0.0	19.9	14.1	1.5	2.9
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#2)	Larva	Whole	-29.7	1.6	4.2	2.1	15.7	2.1	5.1	14.0	0.0	-1.5	16.8	9.9	0.5	3.0
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#3)	Larva	Whole	-30.1	1.1	4.6	1.0	14.4	1.4	5.0	13.6	-0.6	-3.4	17.3	8.7	0.3	3.2
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#4)	Chrysalis	Whole	-29.4	1.4	3.6	1.5	16.4	5.2	7.4	17.5	-0.5	n.d.	17.3	10.2	0.4	3.0
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#5)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.6	2.6	8.8	2.2	15.6	3.7	5.2	15.9	-0.5	n.d.	18.6	11.2	0.8	3.1
<i>Polistes japonicus japonicus</i> (#6)	Newly emerged	Whole	-28.8	2.7	9.0	1.2	14.0	8.1	8.9	17.7	-4.1	-0.4	17.7	12.0	0.8	2.9
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#1)	Egg	Whole	-26.1	7.2	9.0	5.1	16.2	10.6	13.5	18.2	10.1	n.d.	22.5	13.2	2.1	3.3
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#2)	Larva	Whole	-27.4	4.6	7.4	2.7	14.3	8.2	12.3	16.3	4.3	n.d.	20.7	13.7	1.4	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#3)	Larva	Whole	-27.2	5.5	8.0	0.9	16.0	9.8	13.6	17.9	1.2	n.d.	20.9	13.5	1.6	3.1
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#4)	Larva	Whole	-27.2	5.2	8.3	5.5	15.3	9.0	13.9	18.4	5.8	n.d.	19.8	13.5	1.5	2.9
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#5)	Chrysalis	Whole	-29.6	5.5	7.3	2.7	14.3	10.6	12.2	17.3	-0.3	n.d.	20.3	12.9	1.6	3.1
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#6)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.6	5.5	6.0	3.3	15.1	10.0	14.2	18.1	0.8	n.d.	19.5	12.0	1.6	3.1
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#7)	Chrysalis	Whole	-29.8	5.4	8.6	3.2	14.0	10.9	13.7	18.4	3.8	n.d.	20.5	13.6	1.6	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#8)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.1	4.9	9.5	8.5	15.9	12.0	15.3	16.5	1.6	n.d.	21.1	14.4	1.5	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#9)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.4	4.9	7.8	6.4	15.6	8.4	13.8	18.2	4.7	n.d.	19.6	13.5	1.5	2.9
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#10)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.2	4.9	6.4	10.0	16.7	12.5	15.6	16.7	0.5	n.d.	22.0	14.9	1.5	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#11)	Newly emerged	Whole	-28.4	2.4	5.5	1.2	11.7	9.7	10.9	14.2	-0.6	n.d.	14.1	8.3	0.7	2.9
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#12)	Adult	Whole	-26.8	5.5	12.2	8.4	9.0	5.6	5.6	n.d.	3.0	5.7	11.3	6.2	1.6	2.8
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#13)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	6.1	5.5	8.8	7.5	11.0	12.6	4.0	-1.8	14.3	6.1	n.d.	3.2
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#14)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	5.9	4.5	8.6	5.6	8.6	13.6	3.0	2.7	15.9	8.4	n.d.	3.1
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#15-1)	Newly emerged	Abdomen	-29.0	3.8	13.0	5.7	16.9	11.1	14.6	19.2	6.6	n.d.	21.4	14.8	1.1	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#15-2)	Newly emerged	Head	-28.0	6.4	12.4	6.6	16.9	11.4	14.4	19.7	6.5	n.d.	21.3	14.1	1.9	3.1
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#15-3)	Newly emerged	Leg	-28.6	5.4	12.9	5.4	16.3	11.8	14.2	19.2	6.6	n.d.	20.8	14.3	1.6	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#15-4)	Newly emerged	Thorax	-28.4	5.7	12.9	6.1	16.4	10.6	13.7	19.6	n.d.	n.d.	20.9	14.2	1.7	3.0
<i>Polistes rothneyi iwatai</i> (#15-5)	Newly emerged	Wing	-27.6	5.4	12.9	6.5	16.2	12.4	13.8	20.2	7.3	n.d.	21.7	14.9	1.6	3.0
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#1)	Larva	Whole	-27.7	3.5	6.5	4.9	9.5	6.6	8.2	15.9	3.5	n.d.	14.9	9.1	1.0	2.9
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#2)	Larva	Whole	-28.5	2.5	7.6	7.5	11.6	3.5	6.3	15.7	2.8	n.d.	13.8	6.3	0.8	3.1
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#3)	Larva	Whole	-28.6	1.3	7.6	8.6	10.5	4.5	5.5	14.0	2.5	n.d.	12.0	5.1	0.4	3.0
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#4)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.4	3.7	8.0	8.4	11.1	6.0	9.6	16.2	2.6	n.d.	16.8	11.2	1.1	2.8
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#5)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.3	4.0	8.2	7.9	12.2	4.7	6.7	15.9	1.3	n.d.	12.7	4.8	1.2	3.1
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#6)	Chrysalis	Whole	-27.6	3.3	7.6	5.8	11.4	5.9	7.2	13.9	3.4	n.d.	9.6	3.0	1.0	3.0

Table 1 continued

Sample	Stage	Tissue	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{Bulk}}$	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	Bulk								TP ^a _{Bulk}			
					Alanine	Glycine	Valine	Leucine	Isoleucine	Proline	Serine	Methionine	Glutamic acid	Phenylalanine	TP ^b _{Glu/Pha}	
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#7)	Chrysalis	Whole	-28.7	1.4	6.9	5.6	10.0	6.9	7.2	19.5	7.7	n.d.	10.0	4.4	0.4	2.8
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#8)	Newly emerged	Whole	-27.4	4.9	6.0	2.7	9.8	9.5	9.1	15.5	2.6	n.d.	14.9	8.2	1.5	3.0
<i>Parapolybia indica</i> (#9)	Adult	Whole	-27.5	4.3	7.8	6.2	10.0	6.9	8.4	15.9	5.4	n.d.	15.6	8.8	1.3	3.0
Hornet																
<i>Vespa ducalis pulchra</i> (#1)	Adult	Whole	-25.8	5.4	7.6	5.4	19.9	10.7	13.2	19.1	4.5	n.d.	21.4	7.7	1.6	3.9
<i>Vespa ducalis pulchra</i> (#2)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	10.7	9.5	17.2	10.1	13.2	20.6	6.8	n.d.	23.5	8.8	n.d.	4.0
<i>Vespa ducalis pulchra</i> (#3)	Adult	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	10.0	4.5	14.8	11.0	15.5	16.1	3.0	n.d.	22.6	7.4	n.d.	4.1
<i>Vespa mandarinia japonica</i>	Adult	Whole	-26.8	4.5	13.2	-0.2	16.8	6.6	8.5	15.3	0.6	1.0	18.5	8.0	1.3	3.5
<i>Vespa similina xanthoptera</i>	Adult	Whole	-25.6	4.9	12.0	7.0	12.2	7.2	10.2	18.3	3.6	n.d.	20.1	9.4	1.5	3.5
<i>Vespa flaviceps lewisii</i>	Adult	Whole	-24.6	5.6	12.3	5.6	16.6	7.2	10.0		5.9	n.d.	20.0	9.7	1.7	3.5
Plant																
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> (#1) ^c	Leaf		-32.5	4.7	0.2	-6.7	5.1	3.8	3.9	9.5	1.0	0.8	5.7	13.1	1.4	1.1
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> (#2)	Leaf		n.d.	n.d.	1.7	-7.0	3.7	0.7	3.4	4.6	-2.1	n.d.	2.6	9.8	n.d.	1.2
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> (#3)	Leaf		n.d.	n.d.	4.3	-8.0	3.4	-1.2	-0.8	6.3	-5.5	n.d.	3.4	11.7	n.d.	1.0
<i>Daucus carota</i>	Leaf		-30.6	5.9	8.3	-2.2	8.0	4.6	7.3	7.2	n.d.	n.d.	8.2	15.7	1.8	1.1
<i>Castanea crenata</i> (#1) ^c	Leaf		-30.0	0.7	-2.1	-12.7	-0.3	0.5	1.6	4.6	-4.2	n.d.	1.5	10.1	0.2	1.0
<i>Castanea crenata</i> (#2)	Leaf		-29.3	1.8	0.7	-9.8	0.2	-2.4	-0.7	6.2	n.d.	n.d.	2.9	8.8	0.5	1.3
<i>Castanea crenata</i> (#3) ^c	Nut		n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-14.8	n.d.	0.1	n.d.	2.9	-7.2	n.d.	0.8	8.3	n.d.	1.1
<i>Citrus unshiu</i>	Leaf		-30.6	4.9	6.4	-6.8	4.7	3.1	3.0	8.9	-0.6	n.d.	2.8	12.4	1.5	0.8
<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	Leaf		-29.8	4.0	-3.6	-8.4	-2.9	-3.8	-4.3	3.3	-4.9	n.d.	-2.6	5.9	1.2	1.0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Leaf		-28.5	5.2	6.2	-3.6	2.0	2.9	1.3	8.6	-4.0	n.d.	2.0	10.3	1.5	1.0
<i>Solanum melongena</i>	Leaf		-27.7	5.6	5.6	-4.6	6.8	1.3	-0.2	15.1	5.1	n.d.	7.2	17.0	1.7	0.8
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Leaf		-29.5	-2.8	-2.4	-12.1	-2.0	-5.7	-3.5	-2.0	n.d.	n.d.	-6.3	4.1	-0.8	0.7
Catapillar																
<i>Pieris rapae</i> (#1) ^c	Larva	Whole	-29.6	1.9	6.6	-0.4	8.2	7.0	8.9	16.3	3.7	1.6	13.0	13.4	0.6	2.0
<i>Pieris rapae</i> (#2) ^c	Larva	Whole	-26.9	1.9	5.3	-2.7	7.4	6.5	8.5	14.3	2.3	1.0	14.6	13.6	0.6	2.2
<i>Pieris rapae</i> (#3)	Larva	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	9.1	0.1	9.6	2.7	7.0	13.1	1.6	n.d.	10.6	9.5	n.d.	2.2
<i>Pieris rapae</i> (#4)	Larva	Whole	n.d.	n.d.	8.3	0.8	6.5	2.4	4.6	11.8	1.5	n.d.	9.9	11.9	n.d.	1.8
Aphid																
<i>Aphidoidea</i> sp.	Adult	Whole	-21.8	2.2	5.5	2.9	7.1	3.6	5.1	10.4	1.2	n.d.	8.1	8.9	0.7	2.0
Ladybug																
<i>Harmonia axyridis</i>	Larva	Whole	-26.5	6.4	10.8	8.4	9.4	4.9	9.1	18.7	3.7	n.d.	16.5	9.0	1.9	3.1
<i>Harmonia axyridis</i>	Chrysalis	Whole	-29.0	4.7	10.2	7.9	10.1	5.0	7.8	15.0	3.7	n.d.	16.6	9.4	1.4	3.1
<i>Harmonia axyridis</i>	Adult	Whole	-28.6	7.4	10.9	11.7	9.8	7.4	8.8	23.0	6.6	n.d.	14.2	6.9	2.2	3.1

^aTrophic position estimated by the traditional bulk isotope method with the following equation: $\text{TP}_{\text{Bulk}} = (\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{sample}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{average of plants}})/3.4 + 1$; Minagawa and Wada 1984

^bTrophic position calculated using the novel amino method with following equation: $\text{TL}_{\text{Glu/Phe}} = (\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}} + 8.4)/7.6 + 1$; Chikaraishi et al. 2010a

^cData from Chikaraishi et al. 2010a

mandarinia japonica, *Vespa simillima xanthoptera*, and *Vespula flaviceps lewisii*) were collected from the farm. For wasps, we also collected different growth stages of samples: egg, larva, chrysalis, and wasps newly emerged from their nests. Leaves from several plants: *Brassica oleracea* (broccoli), *Daucus carota* (carrot), *Castanea crenata* (chestnut), *Citrus unshiu* (orange), *Raphanus sativus* (radish), *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato), *Solanum melongena* (eggplant), and *Solanum tuberosum* (potato), nuts from *C. crenata*, and caterpillars, *Pieris rapae*, found on the leaves of *B. oleracea* were also collected as reference materials for primary producers and baseline consumers at this farm. Samples of the aphid *Aphidoidea sp.* and the ladybug *Harmonia axyridis* were also collected from the *C. crenata* leaves, as examples of insect samples with well-established trophic positions (i.e., 2.0 for aphids and 3.0 for ladybugs); aphids feed on the sap from *C. crenata* and ladybugs feed on the aphids.

Sample surfaces were washed with distilled water to remove contaminants. Samples were then freeze-dried and crushed to a fine powder. Powdered samples were stored at -20°C prior to analysis. The carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions of the bulk sample materials were determined using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash EA (EA1112) coupled to a Delta^{plus}XP IRMS with a ConFlo III interface (Ogawa et al. 2010). Carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions are reported in the standard delta (δ) notation relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard (VPDB) and to atmospheric nitrogen (Air), respectively.

All samples were prepared for CSIA of amino acids with HCl hydrolysis followed by *N*-pivaloyl/isopropyl (Pv/iPr) derivatization, according to the methods described by Chikaraishi et al. (2007). In brief, samples were hydrolyzed using 12 M HCl at 100°C . The hydrolysate was washed with *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (6:5, v/v) to remove hydrophobic constituents (e.g., lipids). Then, derivatizations were performed sequentially with thionyl chloride/2-propanol (1:4, v/v) and pivaloyl chloride/dichloromethane (1:4, v/v). The Pv/iPr derivatives of the amino acids were extracted with *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (6:5, v/v). The nitrogen isotopic compositions of individual amino acids were determined by GC/C/IRMS using an Agilent Technologies 6890N GC coupled to a Thermo Fisher Scientific Delta^{plus}XP IRMS with a GC-C/TC III interface. The analytical conditions for the GC/C/IRMS analyses are described in detail by Chikaraishi et al. (2008, 2010a). Standard mixtures of ten amino acids (alanine, glycine, valine, leucine, norleucine, aspartic acid, serine, glutamic acid, phenylalanine, and hydro) with known $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (ranging from -7.3 to $+22.7\text{‰}$) were analyzed after every three to four samples during GC/C/IRMS analytical sessions to assess the reproducibility of the isotope measurements and to normalize the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids in samples. Three pulses of reference N_2 gas (-4.3‰) were discharged into the IRMS at the beginning and end of each chromatogram for both standard mixtures and samples. The isotopic compositions of

amino acids in samples are expressed relative to atmospheric N_2 on scales normalized to the known $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of standard amino acids.

Nitrogen isotopic compositions ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) were determined for the following ten amino acids in samples: alanine, glycine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline, serine, methionine, glutamic acid, and phenylalanine. These amino acids were chosen because their peaks were always well separated with baseline resolution in the chromatogram (Metges et al. 1996; Chikaraishi et al. 2010b). The accuracy obtained for the standards and samples was always better than $\pm 0.5\text{‰}$ for sample sizes of ≥ 30 ng N. Glutamine was converted to glutamic acid during acid hydrolysis; as a result, the α -amino group of glutamine contributed to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value calculated for glutamic acid. Additional amino acids were not included in the study due to difficulties associated with sample preparation; primarily, the co-elution of a portion of aspartic acid with threonine during chromatography and the absence of arginine, cysteine, histidine, lysine, tyrosine, and tryptophan from chromatograms, which is attributed to the decomposition of samples during preparation or low sample recovery (Chikaraishi et al. 2010b). The trophic position of samples was calculated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for glutamic acid and phenylalanine using Eq. (1) and a β value of $+8.4\text{‰}$.

Results and discussion

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of bulk tissues

The carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions determined for the bulk tissues of the bees, wasps, and hornets are listed in Table 1, together with those of reference materials (plants, caterpillars, aphids, and ladybugs) collected from the same farm. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of bulk tissues are between -26.8 and -25.0‰ for bees, -30.1 and -26.1‰ for wasps, and -25.8 and -24.6‰ for hornets. These $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are similar to or slightly more positive than those determined for plants (between -32.5 and -27.7‰), caterpillars (between -29.6 and -26.9‰), aphids (-21.8), and ladybugs (between -29.0 and -26.5‰). These results are consistent with the previously observed $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ shift in food webs (-0.6 to $+2.7\text{‰}$ at each trophic level; e.g., DeNiro and Epstein 1981). Thus, the examined insects are presumed to feed entirely on plant materials or consumers in C3 food webs.

Field observations reveal that bee species (i.e., *A. mellifera*, *B. diversus*, and *X. appendiculata*) feed on nectar and pollen from flowers; wasp species (i.e., *P. indica*, *P. japonicus*, and *P. rothneyi*) feed mainly on caterpillars found on plant leaves; the hornet species *V. ducalis* feeds solely on wasps; and other hornet species (i.e., *V. flaviceps*, *V. mandarinia*, and *V. simillima*) are carnivorous predators that feed on various insects, such as caterpillars, bees, and wasps (e.g., Takamizawa 2005). Therefore, the biologically expected trophic positions

are 2.0 for bees, 3.0 for wasps, 4.0 for the hornet species *V. ducalis*, and between 3.0 and 4.0 for the other hornet species. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of bulk tissues are between +1.6 and +5.1‰ for bees, between +1.1 and +7.2‰ for wasps, and between +4.5 and +5.6‰ for hornets, which largely overlaps the range of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for plant materials (between −2.8 and +5.9‰). If an average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value for the examined plant materials is simply employed as a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ baseline for the determination of trophic position in the bulk method, trophic positions may be estimated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of bulk tissues (i.e., between 0.5 and 1.5 for bees, between 0.3 and 2.1 for wasps, and between 1.3 and 1.7 for hornets; see Table 1). The estimated trophic positions show only a weak correlation with the expected trophic positions for these insects.

The weak correlation observed between estimated and expected trophic positions is largely attributed to the large variation among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values exhibited by plants in modern terrestrial environments, especially in the case of a farm. This large variation among $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values may also reflect the influence of human activities on terrestrial environments (e.g., Denton et al. 2001; Comisso and Nelson 2006). In fact, in our study, significant variation in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (ranging from −2.8 to +5.9‰) was observed for bulk plant leaves. This range is equivalent to approximately 2.6 times the ^{15}N -enrichment factor in the bulk method (i.e., 3.4‰; Minagawa and Wada 1984).

Accuracy in CSIA of amino acids

The nitrogen isotopic compositions of ten amino acids in terrestrial insects (bees, wasps, and hornets) and the reference materials (plants, caterpillars, aphids, and ladybugs) are given in Table 1. As with the bulk isotopic compositions, large variability is observed among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids; for phenylalanine, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are only weakly increased by trophic level, yet the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are still highly variable (between +5.7 and +12.9‰ for bees, +3.0 and +14.9‰ for wasps, and +7.7 and +9.7‰ for hornets), likely reflecting large variation among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of plants (i.e., the food web base) at this farm.

When CSIA of amino acids is used for plants and insects with well-established trophic positions, the trophic positions estimated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of glutamic acid and phenylalanine are between 1.0 and 1.3 for plants, between 1.8 and 2.2 for aphids, and 3.1 for ladybugs (Fig. 2). The potential uncertainty in the trophic position calculated by taking into account the propagation of uncertainty (i.e., 0.5‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}}$, 1.6‰ for β , and 1.2‰ for denominator: Chikaraishi et al. 2010a, b) in Eq. (1) is only 0.23 for plants, 0.28 for aphids, and 0.40 for ladybugs. Thus the trophic positions estimated by CSIA of amino acids are consistent with the actual trophic positions for these plants and insects (1.0 for plants, 2.0 for aphides, and 3.0

Fig. 2 Nitrogen isotopic compositions of glutamic acid (filled circles) and phenylalanine (open circles), and trophic positions, estimated by the amino acid method ($\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$, filled squares), for plants (*C. crenata*), aphids (*Aphidoidea* sp.), and ladybugs (*H. axyridis*). Bars represent potential uncertainty in $\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$ calculated by taking into account the propagation of 1σ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}}$, β , and denominator (7.6) in Eq. (1)

for ladybugs). The standard deviation (1σ) on the accuracy ($=[\text{actual TP}] - [\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}]$) is 0.11 units for these plants and insects, which is somewhat smaller than that ($1\sigma = 0.20$) estimated from plants and caterpillars in our previous study (Chikaraishi et al. 2010a). Combined with the dataset for plants and caterpillars provided by Chikaraishi et al. (2010a), here we employ 0.17 unit (1σ) as the accuracy in the trophic position estimated by CSIA of amino acids for terrestrial C3 food webs.

Based on triplicate analysis for representative species, the standard deviation (1σ) for the comparison of trophic positions estimated by CSIA of amino acids is <0.21 (0.13 on average) units for all samples (i.e., plant leaves, caterpillars, bees, wasps and hornets) (Fig. 3a). This variation is almost identical or smaller than the accuracy ($1\sigma = 0.17$) of this method. The insects of interest may exhibit large variation among the bulk $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of different body tissues (e.g., leg and wing) because of highly variable compositions of nitrogen-containing molecules, which reflect their feeding habits and life strategies. In fact, the bulk $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for different body tissues of a wasp (*P. rothneyi* #15) exhibit a spread of 2.4‰ among abdomen (+3.8‰), leg and wing (+5.4‰), thorax (+5.7‰), and head (+6.4‰) tissues (Table 1). However, as shown in Fig. 3b, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids, particularly those of glutamic acid and phenylalanine, are within error among tissues (i.e., within 1σ of 0.4‰ for the comparison of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values). Moreover, the estimated trophic positions are consistent for different tissues (i.e., within 1σ of 0.03 units for the comparison of the $\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$ values). Thus, no substantial difference is observed in both the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids and the estimated trophic positions among different body tissues; e.g., leg and wing.

Fig. 3 Nitrogen isotopic compositions of glutamic acid (filled circles) and phenylalanine (open circles), and trophic positions, estimated by the amino acid method ($\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$, filled squares), for **a** plant (*B. oleracea*), caterpillar (*P. rapae*), bee (*A. mellifera*), wasp (*P. rothneyi*), and hornet (*V. ducalis*) samples (analyzed in

triplicate), and **b** different body tissues of a wasp (*P. rothneyi*). Bars represent potential uncertainty in $\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$ calculated by taking into account the propagation of 1σ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}}$, β , and denominator (7.6) in Eq. (1)

Fig. 4 Nitrogen isotopic compositions of glutamic acid (filled circles) and phenylalanine (open circles), and trophic positions, estimated by the amino acid method ($\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$, filled squares), for three wasps at various growth stages. Bars represent potential uncertainty in $\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$ calculated by taking into account the propagation of 1σ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Glu}}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Phe}}$, β , and denominator (7.6) in Eq. (1)

Effect of metamorphosis

The lifestyle (e.g., feeding habits) of many insects shows a marked change during metamorphosis from larva to adult. For wasps, after the egg hatches, they feed mainly on caterpillars (hunted by adult worker wasps) during their larva stage, and do not feed during metamorphose in cocoons during their chrysalis stage (e.g., Takamizawa 2005). In a previous study on the Diptera *Chironomus acerbiphilus* (Doi et al. 2007), metamorphosis resulted in an increase of between 0.7 and 1.6‰ in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of bulk tissues from larva to adult. Similar increases in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values have been observed for Lepidoptera (McCutchan et al. 2003; Tabbets et al. 2008) and Neuroptera (Patt et al. 2003).

However, as shown in Fig. 4, our results demonstrate that for wasps (*P. indica*, *P. japonicus*, and *P. rothneyi*), trophic position does not change significantly ($1\sigma < 0.14$ units for the comparison of the $\text{TP}_{\text{Glu/Phe}}$ values) from the egg to adult stages, despite marked differences among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for wasps at different growth stages (e.g., for phenylalanine, between +3.0 and +11.2‰ for *P. indica*, between +8.7 and +14.1‰ for *P. japonicus*, and between +8.3 and +14.9‰ for *P. rothneyi*). These results suggest that amino acids are not metabolized as energy sources during wasp metamorphosis in cocoons (it could be considered as a semi-closed system), and that metamorphosis has a negligible effect on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids and the trophic positions of wasps. Rather, the observed variations in

Fig. 5 Mean trophic positions, estimated by the amino acid method, for bees, wasps, hornets, and several reference materials (i.e., plants, caterpillars, aphids, and ladybugs). Bar represents 1σ for the comparison of the $TP_{Glu/Phe}$ values in each species

$\delta^{15}N$ values for both bulk tissues and amino acids may reflect changes (or variations) in the $\delta^{15}N$ values of their diet as they feed during the larva stage.

Trophic positions of bees, wasps, and hornets

The bulk $\delta^{15}N$ values vary significantly for plants (between -2.8 and $+5.9\text{‰}$) and insects (between $+1.1$ and $+7.2\text{‰}$) collected from the farm. Trophic positions estimated by the bulk method have only a weak correlation with the biologically expected trophic positions of these insects (Table 1). However, the trophic positions estimated by CSIA of amino acids are 2.0 and 2.1 for *A. mellifera*, 2.3 for *B. diversus*, 2.1 for *X. appendiculata*, between 2.8 and 3.1 for *P. indica*, between 2.9 and 3.2 for *P. japonicus*, and between 2.8 and 3.3 for *P. rothneyi* (Fig. 5). Considering the accuracy associated with this method ($1\sigma = 0.17$), the estimated trophic positions are well consistent with the biologically expected trophic positions of the bees that feed on nectar and pollen from flowers and of the wasps that feed primarily on caterpillars found on plant leaves. Moreover, the trophic positions estimated for hornets by CSIA of amino acids (between 3.9 and 4.0 for *V. ducalis* and 3.5 for the other hornets, i.e., *V. flaviceps*, *V. mandarinia*, and *V. simillima*; Fig. 5), are consistent with the biologically expected trophic positions for both hornets that feed solely on wasps and other hornets that feed on various insects (e.g., caterpillars, bees, and wasps), respectively.

Herbivorous insects feed on various types of plant tissues such as leaves, twigs, bark, and nectar. In the present study, bees feed on nectar and pollen, caterpillars feed on leaves, and aphids feed on sap. The trophic positions of these insects are estimated to be between 1.8 and 2.3 (2.1 on average), which are reasonable values for the herbivores. Our study suggests that variation among food sources (i.e., plant tissues) does not significantly affect estimates of trophic positions for herbivores.

Adult wasps feed on the excretion of larvae as well as nectars from flowers (e.g., Takamizawa 2005), as a result, the trophic positions of adult wasps may be expected to be different from those of larvae and chrysalis. However, no substantial difference is observed among the trophic positions of wasps at different growth stages (i.e., egg, larva, chrysalis, and even adult). This indicates that feeding on excretions and nectars during the adult stages has little effect on the trophic position of adult wasps.

The trophic position of omnivore hornets (*V. flaviceps*, *V. mandarinia*, and *V. simillima*) is estimated to be 3.5. Bulk $\delta^{15}N$ values have been used to estimate trophic positions; however, this is particularly difficult in the case of omnivores. If an omnivore assimilates various food sources with different C:N ratios (i.e., plant and animal), analysis with a simple model using bulk $\delta^{15}N$ values would fail to incorporate differences in C:N stoichiometry among food sources (Post 2002). Using CSIA of amino acids, the trophic position of an omnivore may be estimated using a simple model that considers differences in food quality, because amino acids are assimilated independently of the elemental stoichiometry.

Conclusions

We evaluated the potential of CSIA of amino acids for estimating the trophic position of terrestrial insects (i.e., bees, wasps, and hornets) in a case study of terrestrial food webs. The CSIA estimated trophic positions (yielding values of between 2.0 and 2.3 for bees, between 2.8 and 3.3 for wasps, and between 3.5 and 4.1 for hornets) are well consistent with the biologically expected trophic positions of these insects (i.e., 2.0 for bees, 3.0 for wasps, and 3.0–4.0 for hornets) given the accuracy associated with this method ($1\sigma = 0.17$). In addition, we determined that wasp metamorphosis may

not significantly affect the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of amino acids as well as trophic position. Thus, estimates of trophic position based on CSIA of amino acids are useful in investigations of terrestrial food webs with predatory insects.

Our results suggest that CSIA of amino acids is a useful tool for assessing the structure of food webs and biogeochemical processes in both aquatic and terrestrial environments. However, the present method cannot be applied directly to a more complex food web, where the basal resources include terrestrial C3 and C4 plants, as well as aquatic plants, because the factor β in Eq. (1) is different among the basal resources (Fig. 1). In such cases, the mixing ratio among different resources should be quantified by other proxies prior to estimating trophic position. We suggest the use of carbon isotope analysis of bulk tissues or amino acids to address this problem. Advances in this field are expected from recent developments and improvements in methodologies for CSIA of amino acids using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Corr et al. 2007a, b; Smith et al. 2009; Chikaraishi and Ohkouchi 2010; Choy et al. 2010). CSIA of amino acids, using both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, would facilitate the study of ecological food webs where C3, C4, and aquatic plants are present.

Acknowledgments We thank Mr. Mikio Chikaraishi (Yamani farm) for providing samples and Ms. Rutsu Hirono for drawing illustrations of insects. We are grateful to Dr. Yoshinori Takano (Biogeos, JAMSTEC) and Prof. Brian N. Popp (University of Hawaii) for expert advice and constructive discussion. We also thank handling editors and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and thoughtful comments that are very helpful for improving the manuscript. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the JSPS (Y.C., N.O.O., H.D. and N.O.), and Grant-in-Aid for Creative Scientific Research.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Chikaraishi Y, Ohkouchi N (2010) An improved method for precise determination of carbon isotopic composition of amino acids. In: Ohkouchi N, Tayasu I, Koba K (eds) *Earth, life, and isotopes*. Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, pp 355–366
- Chikaraishi Y, Kashiyama Y, Ogawa NO, Kitazato H, Ohkouchi N (2007) Biosynthetic and metabolic controls of nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids in marine macroalgae and gastropods: implications for aquatic food web studies. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 342:85–90
- Chikaraishi Y, Kashiyama Y, Ogawa NO, Kitazato H, Satoh M, Nomoto S, Ohkouchi N (2008) A compound-specific isotope method for measuring the stable nitrogen isotopic composition of tetrapyrroles. *Org Geochem* 39:510–520
- Chikaraishi Y, Ogawa NO, Kashiyama Y, Takano Y, Suga H, Tomitani A, Miyashita H, Kitazato H, Ohkouchi N (2009) Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids. *Limnol Oceanogr Meth* 7:740–750
- Chikaraishi Y, Ogawa NO, Ohkouchi N (2010a) Further evaluation of the trophic level estimation based on nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids. In: Ohkouchi N, Tayasu I, Koba K (eds) *Earth, life, and isotopes*. Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, pp 37–51
- Chikaraishi Y, Takano Y, Ogawa NO, Ohkouchi N (2010b) Instrumental optimization for compound-specific nitrogen isotope analysis of amino acids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. In: Ohkouchi N, Tayasu I, Koba K (eds) *Earth, life, and isotopes*. Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, pp 367–386
- Choy K, Smith CI, Fuller BT, Richards MP (2010) Investigation of amino acid $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures in bone collagen to reconstruct human palaeodiets using liquid chromatography–isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 74:6093–6111
- Comisso RG, Nelson DE (2006) Modern plant $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values reflect ancient human activity. *J Archaeol Sci* 33:1167–1176
- Corr LT, Berstan R, Evershed RP (2007a) Optimisation of derivatisation procedures for the determination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of amino acids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 21:3759–3771
- Corr LT, Berstan R, Evershed RP (2007b) Development of *N*-acetyl methyl ester derivatives for the determination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of amino acids using gas chromatography–combustion–isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 79:9082–9090
- DeNiro MJ, Epstein S (1981) Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes in animals. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 45:341–351
- Denton TM, Schmidt S, Critchley C, Stewart GR (2001) Natural abundance of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in *Cannabis sativa* reflects growth conditions. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 28:1005–1012
- Doi H, Kikuchi E, Takagi S, Shikano S (2007) Changes in carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes of chironomid larvae during growth, starvation and metamorphosis. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 21:997–1002
- Hannides CCS, Popp BN, Landry MR, Graham BS (2009) Quantification of zooplankton trophic position in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre using stable nitrogen isotopes. *Limnol Oceanogr* 54:50–61
- Lorrain A, Graham B, Ménard F, Popp B, Bouillon S, van Breugel P, Cherel Y (2009) Nitrogen and carbon isotope values of individual amino acids: a tool to study foraging ecology of penguins in the Southern Ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 391:293–306
- McCarthy MD, Benner R, Lee C, Fogel ML (2007) Amino acid nitrogen isotopic fractionation patterns as indicators of heterotrophy in plankton, particulate, and dissolved organic matter. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 71:4727–4744
- McClelland JW, Montoya JP (2002) Trophic relationships and the nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids in plankton. *Ecology* 83:2173–2180
- McCutchan JM Jr, Lewis WM Jr, McGrath CC (2003) Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. *OIKOS* 102:378–390
- Memmott J (1999) The structure of a plant–pollinator food web. *Ecol Lett* 2:276–280
- Merritt DA, Hayes JM (1994) Nitrogen isotopic analyses by isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 5:387–397
- Metges CC, Petzke KJ, Henning U (1996) Gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometric comparison of *N*-acetyl- and *N*-pivaloyl amino acid esters to measure ^{15}N isotopic abundances in physiological samples: a pilot study on amino acid synthesis in the upper gastro-intestinal tract of minipigs. *J Mass Spectrom* 31:367–376
- Minagawa M, Wada E (1984) Stepwise enrichment of ^{15}N along food chains: further evidences and the relation between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and animal age. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 48:1135–1140

- Naito YI, Honch NV, Chikaraishi Y, Ohkouchi N, Yoneda M (2010) Quantitative evaluation of marine protein contribution in ancient diets based on nitrogen isotope ratios of individual amino acids in bone collagen: an investigation at the Kitakogane Jomon site. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 143:31–40
- O'Reilly CM, Hecky RE, Cohen AS, Plisnier P-D (2002) Interpreting stable isotopes in food webs: recognizing the role of time averaging at different trophic levels. *Limnol Oceanogr* 47:306–309
- Ogawa NO, Nagata T, Kitazato H, Ohkouchi N (2010) Ultra sensitive elemental analyzer/isotope ratio mass spectrometer for stable nitrogen and carbon isotope analyses. In: Ohkouchi N, Tayasu I, Koba K (eds) *Earth, life, and isotopes*. Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, pp 339–353
- Pakhomov EA, McClelland JW, Bernard K, Kaehler S, Montoya JP (2004) Spatial and temporal shifts in stable isotope values of the bottom-dwelling shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* at the sub-Antarctic archipelago. *Mar Biol* 144:317–325
- Patt JM, Waunright SC, Hamilton GC, Whittinghill D, Bosley K, Dietrick J, Lashomb JH (2003) Assimilation of carbon and nitrogen from pollen and nectar by a predaceous larva and its effects on growth and development. *Ecol Entomol* 28:717–728
- Popp BN, Graham BS, Olson RJ, Hannides CCS, Lott M, López-Ibarra G, Galván-Magaña F (2007) Insight into the trophic ecology of yellowfin tuna, *Thunnus albacares*, from compound-specific nitrogen isotope analysis of proteinaceous amino acids. In: Dawson TE, Siegwolf RTW (eds) *Stable isotopes as indicators of ecological change*. Academic Press, Dublin, pp 173–190
- Post DM (2002) Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology* 83:703–718
- Price PW, Bouton CE, Gross P, McPheron BA, Thompson JN, Weis AE (1980) Interactions among three trophic levels: influence of plants on interactions between insect herbivores and natural enemies. *Ann Rev Ecol Sys* 11:41–65
- Schmidt K, McClelland JM, Mente E, Montoya JP, Atkinson A, Voss M (2004) Trophic-level interpretation based on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values: implication of tissue-specific fractionation and amino acid composition. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 266:43–58
- Schmidt K, Atkinson A, Petzke K-J, Voss M, Pond DW (2006) Protozoans as a food source for Antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba*: complementary insights from stomach content, fatty acids, and stable isotopes. *Limnol Oceanogr* 51:2409–2427
- Smith CI, Fuller BT, Choy K, Richards MP (2009) A three-phase liquid chromatographic method for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis of amino acids from biological protein hydrolysates using liquid chromatography–isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Anal Biochem* 390:165–172
- Styring AK, Sealy JC, Evershed RP (2010) Resolving the bulk $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of ancient human and animal bone collagen via compound-specific nitrogen isotope analysis of constituent amino acids. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 74:241–251
- Tabbets TM, Wheelless LA, Marthinez del Rio C (2008) Isotopic enrichment without change in diet: an ontogenetic shift in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ during insect metamorphosis. *Func Ecol* 22:109–113
- Takamizawa K (2005) The Japanese social wasps and bees. *The Shinano Mainichi Shimbun*, Nagano