Erratum to: Rapid Determination of Mercury in Contaminated Soil and Plant Samples Using Portable Mercury Direct Analyzer Without Sample Preparation, a Comparative Study

John G. Kelly · Fengxiang X. Han · Yi Su ·
Yunjun Xia · Valerie Phillips · Zhiqi Shi ·
David L. Monts · Sergio T. Pichardo · Kang Xia

Published online: 7 June 2014

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

Erratum to: Water Air Soil Pollut DOI 10.1007/s11270-011-1030-3

After publication, the authors noticed some typographical errors in Table 3. Time per sample should be “min”. LOD of ICP-AES and CVAAS should be 0.480 and 0.048 ppb, respectively, while LOQ of ICP-AES and CVAAS should be 1.60 and 0.160 ppb, respectively. The information is correct within the body of the text. The corrected table appears below. The authors apologize for this oversight.

Table 3 Comparison of some relevant parameters of three instruments for Hg detection in soil and plant samples

Item	ICP-AES	CVAAS	RA-915+
Sample preparation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time per sample (min)	5	2	1
LOD (ppb)	0.48	0.048	3.36
LOQ (ppb)	1.60	0.160	11.1
Precision (%)	3.36	3.73	3.09
Accuracy	84.4±3.49	102±4.50	91.6±3.60

The online version of the original article can be found under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-1030-3>.

J. G. Kelly · F. X. Han · Y. Su · Y. Xia · V. Phillips ·
S. T. Pichardo
Institute for Clean Energy Technology,
Mississippi State University,
205 Research Blvd.,
Starkville, MS 39759, USA

F. X. Han (✉)
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,
Jackson State University,
1400 J. R. Lynch St.,
Jackson, MS 39217, USA
e-mail: han@icet.msstate.edu

Z. Shi
Institute of Food Quality, Safety and Monitoring,
Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences,
Nanjing 210014, China

D. L. Monts
Department of Physics and Astronomy, Mississippi State
University,
Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA

K. Xia
Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Science,
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University,
Blacksburg, USA